

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Denmark

1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

25/07/1979

1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

| |
|---|
| Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage |
|---|

1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

- Bolette Lehn Petersen
Heritage Agency of Denmark
Architect MAA

Comment

Danish Agency for Culture Bolette Lehn Petersen Architect MAA H.C. Andersens Boulevard 2 DK-1553 Copenhagen V Denmark Telephone: +45 33 73 33 73 Email: blp@kulturstyrelsen.dk

1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

- Niels Christensen
Director-General
Danish Nature Agency
Domain: Natural
- Per Kristian Madsen
Director-General
The National museum of Denmark
Domain: Cultural
- Morten Meldgaard
Director
Natural History Museum of Denmark
Domain: Natural

1.6 - Comments

2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| National | Process completed or continually updated |
| Regional / provincial / state | Process completed or continually updated |
| Local | Process completed or continually updated |
| Other (please provide details in 2.7) | Not applicable |

2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

| | |
|----------|--|
| National | Process completed or continually updated |
|----------|--|

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Regional / provincial / state | Process completed or continually updated |
| Local | Process completed or continually updated |
| Other (please provide details in 2.7) | Not applicable |

2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.

2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are not actively used for the identification of properties for inclusion on the Tentative List.

2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

3. Tentative List

3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

| |
|--|
| Stevns Klint / 2014 / |
| The International Wadden Sea (Danish-German-Dutch Wadden Sea) / 2014 / |
| Moler landscapes of the Liim Fiord / 2015 / |
| Viking Age Sites around the Baltic Sea and the North Atlantic / 2015 / |
| The Parforce Hunting Landscape in North Sealand / 2015 / |
| Christiansfeld / 2016 / |
| Asivissuit, Arnangarnup Qoorua (Greenlandic inland and coastal hunting area) / 2017 / |
| Church ruin at Hvalsø episcopal residence and Gardar and Brattahlid (A Norse/Eskimo cultural landscape) / 2016 / |

3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

| |
|--|
| Filling the gaps – an action plan for the future by ICOMOS, Gaps analysis by IUCN |
| UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List |

3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

| | |
|---|----------------|
| National government institution(s) | Good |
| Regional / provincial / state / government(s) | No involvement |
| Local government(s) | Good |
| Other government departments | Not applicable |

| | |
|--|----------------|
| UNESCO National Commission | Not applicable |
| Local authorities within or adjacent to the property | Good |
| Local communities / residents | Fair |
| Indigenous peoples | Fair |
| Landowners | Fair |
| Local industries | Not applicable |
| Non Governmental Organization(s) | Good |
| Consultants / experts | Fair |
| Site manager / coordinator(s) | Good |

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Improved presentation of sites | Some benefit |
| Enhanced honour / prestige | High benefit |
| Increased funding | Some benefit |
| Additional tool for lobbying / political influence | Limited benefit |
| Stimulus for enhanced partnerships | Limited benefit |
| Increased recognition for tourism and public use | Some benefit |
| Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities | Some benefit |
| Others (please provide details in 4.4) | Not applicable |

3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

Yes

3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

Yes

3.7 - Comments

Viking Age Monuments is an Icelandic nomination (transnational serial nomination)

4. Nominations

4.1 - Property

| Name | Date of submission | Status |
|---|--------------------|------------|
| Roskilde Cathedral | 1993-09-30 | deferred |
| Roskilde Cathedral | 1995-06-23 | inscribed |
| Kronborg Castle | 1993-09-30 | deferred |
| Kronborg Castle | 1999-07-06 | inscribed |
| Jelling Mounds, Runic Stones and Church | 1993-09-30 | inscribed |
| Ilulissat Icefjord | 2003-01-29 | inscribed |
| Stevns Klint | 2012-01-26 | Incomplete |

4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations

| | |
|--|----------------|
| National government institution(s) | Good |
| Regional / provincial / state government(s) | No involvement |
| Local government(s) | Good |
| Other government departments | Fair |
| UNESCO National Commission | Not applicable |
| Local authorities within or adjacent to the property | Good |
| Local communities / residents | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Fair |
| Local industries | Fair |
| Non Governmental Organization(s) | Not applicable |
| Consultants / experts | Good |
| Site manager / coordinator | Good |

4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional) | High benefit |
| Enhanced conservation practices | Limited benefit |
| Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage | Some benefit |

4.4 - Comments

5. General Policy Development

5.1 - Legislation

| Title | Year | Link to source |
|--|------|---|
| Act N. 480 on listing buildings and preservation of buildings and town environments (in Danish) | 2001 |  |
| Application form for exportation permit (in Danish) (for a copy of the document, please contact the Database manager s.al-tabbal@unesco.org) | |  |
| Anordning- Vedligeholdelse af og Tilsyn med Kirkebygninger m. v. | 1923 |  |
| Lov pligtig Aflevering af Tryksager til offentlige Biblioteker | 1927 |  |
| Lov om ændring af lov om de kulturhistoriske lokalmuseer | 1964 |  |
| Lov om naturfredning | 1937 |  |
| Lov om statstilskud til kunstmuseer | 1964 |  |
| Bekendtgørelse af Lov om naturfredning | 1961 |  |
| Lov om sikring af kulturværdier Danmark | 1986 |  |
| Lov om vedligeholdelse af Kirker og Kirkegaarde m. v. | 1922 |  |
| Lov om beskyttelse af historiske skibsvrag m. v. | 1963 |  |

Comment

A revised list of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the cultural and natural heritage will be submitted to the WH Centre

5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1

5.3 - Comment

5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?

There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered

Comment

Valettea Convention, Granada Convention, Landscape Convention (European Council's Convention) + undersøg om vi har nogle vedr. Illegal trafficking of cultural goods

5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies

There is adequate coordination and integration.

5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities

There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.

5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

5.10 - Comments

6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?

There is effective cooperation between principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?

All principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage are effectively cooperating with other government agencies.

6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is excellent capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.

6.5 - Comments

7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?

There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

7.2 - Research projects

- The Jelling Project / An ongoing process
National Cultural
<http://jelling.natmus.dk/en/home/>
Archaeological fieldworks, Research and report on the condition of the Jelling Stones

7.3 - Comments

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Sources of funding

| | |
|---|------------------------------------|
| National government funds | Major source of fixed term funding |
| Other levels of government (provincial, state, local) | Major source of fixed term funding |
| International assistance from the World Heritage Fund | Not applicable |
| International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union) | Not applicable |
| International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.) | Not applicable |
| NGOs (international and / or national) | Not applicable |
| Private sector funds | Major source of fixed term funding |
| Other (Please specify in 8.6) | |

8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage

Yes

8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage

No

8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

The available budget is acceptable to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.

8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

8.6 - Comments

9. Training

9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs

- The Royal Danish Academy of Fine Arts, Schools of Architecture, Design and Conservation / National / Cultural
Master of Arts, PhD
- Aarhus School of Architecture / National / Cultural
Master of Arts, PhD

- University of Copenhagen, Department of Prehistoric Archaeology / National / Cultural
Master of Arts, PhD
- Aarhus University, Department of Prehistoric Archaeology / National / Cultural
Master of Arts, PhD
- University of Copenhagen, Department of Forest and Landscape / National / Natural
Master of Science, PhD
- University of Copenhagen, Department of Geography and Geology / National / Natural
Master of Science, PhD

9.2 - Training needs

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Conservation | Very low priority |
| Education | Very low priority |
| Promotion | Medium priority |
| Interpretation | Medium priority |
| Administration | Very low priority |
| Visitor management | Medium priority |
| Community outreach | Medium priority |
| Risk preparedness | Very low priority |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Very low priority |
| Other | Not applicable |

9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?

There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

9.4 - Comments

10. International Cooperation

10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties

| |
|--|
| Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements |
| Participation in foundations for international cooperation |
| Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars |
| Distribution of material / information |

10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others

No

10.3 - Comments

11. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion

11.1.1 - Publications

| |
|-------------------|
| Information |
| Awareness Raising |
| International |
| National |

11.1.2 - Films / TV

| |
|-------------------|
| Information |
| Awareness Raising |
| National |

11.1.3 - Media campaigns

| |
|----------------|
| Not applicable |
|----------------|

11.1.4 - Internet

| |
|-------------------|
| Information |
| Awareness Raising |
| International |
| National |

11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals

| |
|-------------------|
| Awareness Raising |
| International |
| National |
| Regional |
| Local |

11.1.6 - World Heritage Day

| |
|----------------|
| Not applicable |
|----------------|

11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre

| |
|----------------|
| Not applicable |
|----------------|

11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)

| |
|-------------------|
| Information |
| Awareness Raising |
| Education |
| International |
| National |
| Regional |
| Local |

11.1.9 - Comments

Signs at the World Heritage sites have been designed and are disseminating in Danish, English and German. Signposts have been placed along the highways showing the World Heritage sites. In relation to the 40th anniversary of the World Heritage Convention there has been a competition amongst schoolchildren (12-16 year) on the Theme of World Heritage in the Kingdom of Denmark

11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders

There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

11.2.2 - Level of general awareness

| | |
|---|------|
| Private Sector | Poor |
| Youth | Fair |
| Communities living in/around heritage sites | Fair |
| Tourism industry | Poor |
| Decision makers and public officials | Fair |
| Indigenous peoples | Fair |
| General public | Poor |

11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's *World Heritage in Young Hands programme*?

The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's *World Heritage in Young Hands programme*.

11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities

| | |
|--|-------|
| Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i> | Never |
| Courses/activities for students within the school programmes | Never |
| Youth Forums | Never |
| Skills-training courses for students | Never |
| Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites | Never |
| Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations | Never |
| Other (comment below) | Never |

11.2.5 - Comments

We have answered "Never" to question 11.2.4 even though we answered "No" to question 11.2.3 - otherwise this question couldn't be "Completed". Denmark has several schools attending in the UNESCO Associated Schools Project Network - ASP

12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

12.1. State Party's implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

12.1.1 - Identification of heritage

| |
|---|
| Identification of heritage |
| Inventories capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage. |
| Inventories / lists / registers are not actively used for the identification of properties for inclusion on the Tentative List. |

12.1.2 - National Inventories

| |
|---|
| National Inventories |
| National : Process completed or continually updated |
| Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated |
| National : Process completed or continually updated |

| |
|---|
| National Inventories |
| Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated |

12.1.3 - Tentative List

| |
|-----------------------|
| Tentative List |
| Yes |

12.1.4 - Legal framework

| |
|--|
| Legal framework |
| There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework . |

12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies

| |
|---|
| Implementation of international conventions within national policies |
|---|

12.1.6 - Communities

| |
|---|
| Communities |
| Local communities / residents: Fair |
| Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Fair |
| Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Fair |
| Local communities / residents: Good |
| Indigenous peoples: Not applicable |
| Landowners: Fair |

12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning

| |
|--|
| Larger-scale planning |
| There are policies that are effectively implemented. |

12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

| |
|--|
| Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation |
| In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies. |

12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

| |
|--|
| Scientific and Technical Studies and Research |
| There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage. |

12.1.10 - Financial status

| |
|---|
| Financial status |
| The available budget is acceptable to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs. |

12.1.11 - Human resources

| |
|--|
| Human resources |
| Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation. |

12.1.12 - Training

| |
|--|
| Training |
| Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation. |

12.1.13 - International cooperation

| |
|--|
| International cooperation |
| Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements |
| Participation in foundations for international cooperation |

| |
|--|
| International cooperation |
| Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars |
| Distribution of material / information |

12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building

| |
|---|
| Education, Information and awareness building |
| Private Sector : Poor |
| Youth : Fair |
| Communities living in/around heritage sites : Fair |

12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).

12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

| 2 Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------|--|
| | | Action | Short description | Authority(ies) responsible | Timeframe | May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund? |
| 2.3 | Inventories capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage. | None | None | None | None | None |
| 9 Training | | | | | | |
| 9.3 | Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation. | An ongoing development is taking place at the | | | | |

12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

No

13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:

Many of the "guidance" are "not additional guidance" - it would be good if there were further information. From time to another it's a little confusing wheater one has to answer according to cultural and national heritage as such or as World Heritage In Question 9.2 it wasn't fair and true to answer "low priority" to e.g. training in conservation, when you think this is very well managed.

13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire

| | |
|----------------------------|------------|
| World Heritage Centre | Poor |
| UNESCO (other sectors) | Good |
| UNESCO National Commission | No support |
| ICOMOS International | No support |
| IUCN International | No support |
| ICCROM | No support |
| ICOMOS national / regional | No support |
| IUCN national / regional | No support |

13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most required information was accessible

13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| | |
|-----------------|--------------|
| UNESCO | No follow-up |
| Advisory Bodies | No follow-up |
| State Party | Fair |
| Site Managers | Fair |

13.6 - Comments