

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Mantua and Sabbioneta

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1287

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2008


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Mantoue	45.159 / 10.794	175	1900	2075	
Sabbioneta	44.998 / 10.49	60	430	490	
Total (ha)		235	2330	2565	

Comment

Inscription year: 2008 A minor modification concerning the Geographic Informations is to report, due to a miscalculation. Anyway, it doesn't change the boundaries of the property approved by the Committe in 2008. The correct value for Sabbioneta buffer zone is 370ha instead of 430ha. As a result, other informations are uncorrected: Sabbioneta total area is 430ha (insted of 490) Total Buffer zone is 2270ha (instead of 2330) Total area is 2505ha (instead of 2564ha)

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Mantua and Sabbioneta, Maps	26/01/2007	

Comment

A minor modification concerning the Geographic Informations is to report, due to a miscalculation. Anyway, it doesn't change the boundaries of the property approved by the Committe in 2008. The correct value for Sabbioneta buffer zone is 370ha instead of 430ha.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali
Funzionario
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

Comment

Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali Francesca Riccio
Funzionario Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO,
Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1 Via del Collegio Romano,
27 00186 Roma Italy Telephone: +39 06 67232140 Fax: +39
06 67232105 Email: francesca.riccio@beniculturali.it

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Nicola Sodano
Municipality of Mantova
Sindaco

Comment

The new e-mail is:
segreteria.sindaco@comune.mantova.gov.it

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

Comment

www.mantovasabbioneta-unesco.it

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Mantua and Sabbioneta offer exceptional testimonies to the urban, architectural and artistic realizations of the Renaissance, linked through the visions and actions of the ruling Gonzaga family. Mantua, a town whose traces stem from the Roman period, was renovated in the 15th and 16th centuries - including hydrological engineering, urban and architectural works. The participation of renowned architects like Leon Battista Alberti and Giulio Romano, and painters like Andrea Mantegna, makes Mantua a prominent capital of the Renaissance. Sabbioneta represents the construction of an entirely new town according to the modern, functional vision of the Renaissance. The defensive walls, grid pattern of streets, role of public spaces and monuments all make Sabbioneta one of the best examples of ideal cities built in Europe, with an influence over urbanism and architecture in and outside the continent. The properties represent two significant stages of territorial planning and urban interventions undertaken by the Gonzagas in their domains.

Criterion (ii): Mantua and Sabbioneta are exceptional witnesses to the interchange of human values of the Renaissance culture. They illustrate the two main forms of Renaissance town planning: the newly founded town, based on the concept of ideal city planning, and the transformed existing town. Their importance relates also to architecture, technology and monu-mental art. The properties have played a prominent role in the diffusion of the Renaissance culture in and outside Europe.

Criterion (iii): Mantua and Sabbioneta are exceptional testimonies to a particular civilization during a specific period of history, with reflections on urbanism, architecture and fine arts. The ideals of the Renaissance, fostered by the Gonzaga family, are present in their urban morphology and architecture, their functional systems and traditional productive activities, which have mostly been preserved over time.

Both properties meet the required conditions of integrity and authenticity, since their most significant urban and architectural components have been preserved over time, as has their relationship with their settings.

The legal protective structure and management system are adequate, as both properties exhibit a good state of conservation.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

According to the new definition of the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value, it may be appropriate to update the statements of authenticity and integrity, currently expressed in a very concise form, in order to explain it more clearly and in detail.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The State can provide a proposal concerning the updated items referred to the question 2.4, if requested by the World Heritage Centre.

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.2	Commercial development					
3.1.3	Industrial areas					
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage					
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities					
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake	widespread	one off or rare	significant	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Both cities enjoy protection at regional and local level. In Mantua, the World Heritage area is protected by the obligations of environmental and landscape safeguards that include the Regional Park of Mincio, the banks of the Mincio River and of lakes Mezzo and Inferiore, the historic Centre and Citadella, the area of archaeological interest (Cathedral, Ducal Palace and Sant'Andrea) and the monumental ensemble. The buffer zone is also protected by different norms. In Sabbioneta, Decree 42/2004, modified by Decree of 24 March 2006, declares the external area of the city's walls and some 30 buildings to be of remarkable public interest. According to the Italian legal structure, protective instruments are included in the urban and parks plan. Some current plans contribute to the protection of the properties; among them: Regional Territorial and Landscape Plan of Lombardy

Region (1999, 2001). This Plan governs territorial changes, aiming to safeguard diverse types of landscape units and the structure of present settlements. The Plan establishes specific regulations on regional territorial areas considered of particular landscape or environmental interest, determines criteria and guidelines for planning and defines areas of special attention for in depth studies. Among landscape and territorial areas, the Plan identifies humid parts of the natural landscape (Mincio Valley and Mantua lakes), urban landscapes, historic centres (Mantua), perceptive components of the landscape, fortifications (Sabbioneta), places related to local identity and historic road systems. For some components the Plan establishes specific safeguarding measures, whose implementation is defined by more precise subordinate plans. Territorial Coordination Plan of Mincio Regional Park (PTCPRM). Some zones of the Park are included in the buffer zones of Mantua county. The Plan identifies different zones with specific norms for their safeguarding. o Territorial Plan of Provincial Coordination (PTCP). The Plan aims to promote and guide territorial transformation and economic development processes at provincial level. The urban form is determined by the identity of the places. The plan establishes criteria to balance conservation of the landscape and environment with the location and dimensions of the settlement. In this Plan, the historic centres of Mantua and Sabbioneta are considered urban centres of ancient origin. According to the Plan, detailed analysis will be carried out to determine adequate forms of interventions. Directive Document of Mantua Commune (2001). Its main objective is the conservation and enhancement of the historic centre through a series of actions to be developed by the local government according to a comprehensive rather than sectoral approach. General Regulatory Plan of Mantua Commune (2004). The objectives are to control historic, artistic and environmental values and to strengthen and rationalise the functions of the historic centre as an urban pole. The Plan controls the built heritage by identifying historic buildings and establishing degrees of protection. Any intervention that compromises the external aspect of historic buildings must be approved by a real estate commission. As part of the Plan, the Services Plan aims to improve urban and territorial accessibility, strengthen cultural and training initiatives, support differentiated ways of tourism and residential and productive balance. General Regulatory Plan of Sabbioneta (1974, 1994, 1995). According to this Plan, only interventions that protect the defined built heritage within the nominated area are permitted; increases in volume and alterations to architectural features are not permitted. The safeguarding of green spaces is compulsory, especially tall trees. At the same time, several executive plans and projects have been defined and implemented.

Comment

The current Mantua PGT (territorial government plan) was approved in 2012. The current Sabbioneta PGT was approved in 2007. Both plans consider the Buffer zone and the Core zone of the UNESCO site as protected areas and enhance protection and development measures.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The management structure is based on regional, provincial and local governmental levels. At regional and provincial levels, the Lombardy Regional Administration and the Province of Mantua have prepared their respective plans, including a study on the territory and its diverse aspects and the definition of management rules for existing cultural heritage. Both local governments have also elaborated their own planning and management instruments. The Italian legislation includes protective measures in the urban plans. The management of the properties is based on a series of regional and local plans, as described in section Legal Protection since they include the protective measures. A Management Plan for Mantua and Sabbioneta, dated December 2006 exists. The objective is to conserve and enhance the properties including all their historic and cultural aspects. The primary objective is the conservation of the cultural heritage in both towns, within the framework of a global strategy of sustainable development for the whole territory concerned. Tourism management is included in several regional and local plans. Visitors are welcomed and assisted by the Tourism Information Services offices (IAT). Museums and public structures make available library services and tourism guides. Lodging and gastronomy are assured by a structure distributed throughout the territory. Cultural and traditional events are also organised; over the last years, some international cultural events took place in Mantua, thus enhancing its role as cultural tourism destination.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

A Management Plan has been drafted in the process of nomination and approved by the World Heritage Committee. An abstrat copy is deposited at the World Heritage Centre.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	8%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	31%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	40%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	21%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	12%
Part-time	88%

Section II-Mantua and Sabbioneta

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-

making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

AA. VV., Mantova e il Rinascimento italiano: studi in onore di David S. Chambers, MN 2011; G. Bazzotti, S. L'Occaso, F. Vischi, Facciate dipinte nella Mantova di Andrea Mantegna, MI 2009; Adorni B., Giulio Romano architetto. Gli anni mantovani, MI 2012; Nonsolosabbioneta. Secondo. Atti della giornata di studi, (Sabbioneta, 29-05-2010), a cura di G. Sartori e L. Ventura, Sabbioneta (MN) 2013.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

The Mantua Tourism Observatory is an analysis tool that provides data concerning the flow of tourism related to the Mantua territory. The Observatory is managed by the Province of Mantua and the Lombardy Region.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake	Criteria II involves architectural and artistic complexes. Criteria III involves architectural complexes.	Following the earthquake of May 2012, the safety, consolidation and restoration works were carried out. Seismic risk maps and building construction regulations are updating.	Permanent monitoring.	Almost all the safety measures are completed or in progress. Consolidation and restoration measures are in progress.	The Mantua and Sabbioneta municipalities, the Lombardy Region, the Regional Head Office for Cultural Heritage and Landscape of Lombardy Region.
						UNESCO dispatched a technical mission in July 2012. The resulting Report recommends new and updated policies and regulations for building, conservation and maintenance to take into account earthquakes risks.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	Specific events to promote the buffer and core zone boundaries, using leaflet and maps, are planned.	Within December 2014.	The Mantua and Sabbioneta municipalities, the Ministry for Cultural Heritage and Activities and Tourism (MiBACT).	The two municipalities created a website dedicated to Mantua and Sabbioneta UNESCO site, with the goal to promote information about Unesco values. The buffer and core zone boundaries are available website.	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very poor
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Inscription year: 2008 A minor modification concerning the Geographic Informations is to report, due to a miscalculation. Anyway, it doesn't change the boundaries of the property approved by the Committe in 2008. The correct value for Sabbioneta buffer zone is 370ha instead of 430ha. As a result, other infomations are uncorrected: Sabbioneta total area is 430ha (insted of 490) Total Buffer zone is 2270ha (instead of 2330) Total area is 2505ha (instead of 2564ha)

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: A minor modification concerning the Geographic Informations is to report, due to a miscalculation. Anyway, it doesn't change the boundaries of the property approved by the Committe in 2008. The correct value for Sabbioneta buffer zone is 370ha instead of 430ha.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise