

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Rhaetian Railway in the Albula / Bernina Landscapes

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy
- Switzerland

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1276

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2008

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Rhaetian Railway in the Albula / Bernina Landscapes	46.498 / 9.846	152.42	109385.9	109538.32	2008
Total (ha)		152.42	109385.9	109538.32	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Rhaetian Railway, Map of the inscribed property and its buffer zone	21/12/2006	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Oliver Martin
Office fédéral de la Culture
Chef de la section Patrimoine culturel et monuments historiques
Département fédéral de l'intérieur (DFI)
- Adele Cesi
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali
Funzionario
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Andreas Bass

lic.iur., Rechtsanwalt

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

Comment

www.rhb.ch

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Rhaetian Railway in the Albula/Bernina Landscapes represents an exemplary railway development for the disenclavement of the Central Alps at the beginning of the 20th century. The railway's socio-economic consequences were substantial and lasting for mountain life, the interchange of human and cultural values, and changes in the relationship between man and nature in the West. The Rhaetian Railway offers a wide diversity of technical solutions for the establishment of the railway in often severe mountain conditions. It is a well designed construction that has been realised with a high degree of quality and it has remarkable stylistic and architectural homogeneity. The railway infrastructure moreover blends in particularly harmoniously with the Alpine landscapes through which it passes. Criterion (ii): The Rhaetian Railway of Albula/Bernina constitutes an outstanding technical, architectural and environmental ensemble. The two lines, today unified in a single transalpine line, embody a very comprehensive and diversified set of innovative solutions that bear witness to substantial interchanges of human and cultural values in the development of mountain railway technologies, in terms of its architectural and civil engineering achievements, and its aesthetic harmony with the landscapes through which they pass.

Criterion (iv): The Rhaetian Railway of Albula/Bernina is a very significant illustration of the development of mountain railways at high altitudes in the first decade of the 20th century. It represents a consummate example of great quality, which was instrumental in the long-term development of human activities in the mountains. It offers diversified landscapes in conjunction with the railway that are significant of this period of the flourishing of a relationship between man and nature. The railway infrastructures of the Albula and Bernina lines form an authentic ensemble of great integrity. Their technical operation and their maintenance ensure long-term conservation of high quality. The Rhaetian railway company that has unified them and carries out their technical management has introduced technical changes and innovations that are compatible with the concept of authenticity of technological properties that are still in use. The legal protection in place is adequate. The management system of the property is satisfactory, though a reinforcement of the presentation to the public of the founding heritage aspects of the property is desirable.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion ii: Pioneering work of modern engineering and architectural structures that exhibits the important interchange of human values on innovative technical developments in the early 20th century; excellent example of a harmonious relationship between human interaction and natural beauty. Criterion iv: outstanding example of a technological ensemble

which illustrates the zenith of the golden age of mountain railways.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

(New) legal safety regulations which can have an impact on historic features of the railway.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development							
3.1.1	Housing		⊖		🚩		🌿	
3.1.3	Industrial areas		⊖		🚩		🌿	
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	⊕	⊖		🚩		🌿	
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕		🚩	🚩	🌿	🌿	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	⊕	⊖	🚩	🚩	🌿	🌿	
3.3	Services Infrastructures							
3.3.1	Water infrastructure		⊖		🚩		🌿	
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	⊕	⊖		🚩		🌿	
3.3.5	Major linear utilities		⊖		🚩		🌿	
3.5	Biological resource use/modification							
3.5.3	Land conversion		⊖		🚩		🌿	
3.6	Physical resource extraction							
3.6.2	Quarrying		⊖		🚩		🌿	
3.6.4	Water (extraction)		⊖		🚩		🌿	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
3.7.3	Temperature		⊖	🚩			🌿	
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)		⊖	🚩			🌿	
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	⊕	⊖		🚩	🌿	🌿	
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events							
3.10.2	Flooding		⊖		🚩		🌿	
3.10.6	Temperature change		⊖	🚩	🚩		🌿	
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events							
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide		⊖	🚩	🚩		🌿	
Legend	🚩 Current	🚩 Potential	⊖ Negative	⊕ Positive	🌿 Inside	🌿 Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.3	Temperature	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6	Temperature change	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The railway facilities are governed by federal legislation (Article 87 of the Constitution, Law of 20 December 1957). All changes to railway infrastructure are subject to planning approval under federal law. The nominated property is also covered by national heritage protection provisions (Article 78 of the Constitution, Law of 1st July 1966). These texts set out the competency of federal and cantonal bodies for the examination of land use projects, consultations and application authorizations. They also cover the protection of the natural heritage (decree of 10 August 1977).

The management of territorial space is subject to the federal constitution (Article 75, Law of 22 June 1979). It requires each canton to comply with a Cantonal Structure Plan and Land-use Planning document whose detailed implementation and

building permit procedures are handled by the municipal authorities.

The Structure Plan of the Canton Graubünden is a land use and prospective tool that will play an important role in the long-term management of the nominated property (See: Management). It manages requirements that are specific to the property (compulsory submission of projects, high level of architectural requirements that are controlled, and possible financial aid for owners) and to the buffer zones (compulsory submission of projects, harmonization of constructions in order to respect landscapes and cultural values).

For the Italian part, the main national and regional protection and management laws apply. In practice, the nominated property is essentially controlled by the general regulatory plan for the Commune of Tirano.

Buffer zones: The protection of the cultural landscapes in the Primary Buffer Zone will be immediately officially recorded in the Cantonal Structure Plan if the property is inscribed on the List. Its protection regime is identical to that of the nominated property.

The Near Buffer Zone depends on the land use laws and regulations linked to town planning and economic activities, whose overall management is handled through the Cantonal Structure Plan and by the municipal authorities concerned. The legal protection of the Distant Buffer Zone depends on the various federal, cantonal and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of nature and the environment. Its overall management is handled through the Cantonal Structure Plan.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

An international association (Swiss-Italy) for the management of the site is in charge of general coordination. It includes representatives of the various national, regional and local bodies, and of the Railway Company. These bodies guarantee the financial resources and their involvement in the plans and programmes. The Swiss federal bodies concerned are the Offices (transport, culture, environment, statistics), and the Technical Appraisal Commissions (preservation of monument, protection of nature and cultural heritage). The other protagonists in the field are:

- The Rhaetian railway company,
- The offices of the Canton Graubünden concerned (land management, nature and environment, agriculture, protection of monuments).
- The municipal authorities.
- The cultural and environmental associations.
- The national and local tourism organisations.

A series of consultation procedures leads to the devising and implementation of legal measures, plans and protection regulations, between the federal level, the canton, the communes and citizens. All the plans, programmes and projects can be consulted by ordinary citizens.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Poor

Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Poor

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	80%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	20%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Comment to 4.4.1: Costs related to conservation based on participation in the financing of railway infrastructure. The traffic revenues are used for the part-financing of the transport services. These costs and their financing are not shown in 4.4.1.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	95%
Seasonal	5%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	99%
Volunteer	1%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair

Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Comment to 4.4.10/11: At the Rhaetian Railway, there are several functions that are involved in the management of world heritage site. These employees are hired in the scope of employment by 100%. In addition to the management of the world heritage object, their activities include also other tasks.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Comment to 4.5.2.4: Rhaetian Railway is having detailed inventories of its structures carried out which will cover the entire railway network (inventories abouts bridges, tunnels, protective structures, retaining walls, trackways and electrical installations). The results will provide the basis for identification of the rehabilitation measures required and for updating investment plan.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no need** for an education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **not influenced** education, information or awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Poor

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Transportation services
Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

The Rhaetian Railway raises the number of its passengers on the Albula and Bernina line. The tourist destinations capture statistically the overnight stays. The Railway Museum Albula in Bergün published their number of visitors.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Comment to 4.7.1: Assessment on the basis of the number of passengers; 2010: 100 years Bernina line Comment to 4.7.6.5: Fee collected = travel tickets

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Comment to 4.8.4.3: Examples for the Recommendation that the States Parties consider a significant reinforcement in the presentation to the public of the heritage, historical, social and environmental values of the Rhaetian Railway in the Albula/Bernina Landscapes: Railway Museum was 2012 opened in Bergün. Various books have been published.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	ii, iv: The upkeep of the railway traffic needs ongoing investments and adaptations namely on the railway infrastructure.	Ongoing maintenance and rehabilitation work in cooperation with the heritage services and experts within the management structure.	periodic monitoring of state of conservation of the infrastructure	ongoing	Rhaetian Railway, Federal Office of Culture, cantonal heritage services	The process of maintenance and rehabilitation work is established and has proven its validity.
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.3	Temperature	ii, iv: technical parts in higher alpine situations are exposed to big differences of outdoor temperature.	Ongoing maintenance and rehabilitation of affected parts	periodic monitoring	ongoing	Rhaetian Railway (lead), heritage services.	-
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	ii, iv: Infiltrations of water leads - without maintenance work - to the destruction of stone infrastructure (viaducs, tunnels, bridges).	ongoing rehabilitation of affected infrastructural elements.	periodic monitoring	ongoing	Rhaetian Railway (lead), heritage services	-
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.6	Temperature change	ii, iv: destruction and disintegration of infrastructural elements due to extreme low temperatures and other climate conditions in the high alpine region.	Installation of protective structures, elaboration of a natural danger risks cataster	Recording of all incidents in the natural risk map.	ongoing	Cantonal office for forest and natural dangers (lead), Rhaetian Railway, heritage services	-

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	No impact

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise