

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 - State Party

Montenegro

### 1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

03/06/2006

### 1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
UNESCO National Commission
World Heritage property managers/coordinators

### 1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

- Ilija Lalosevic  
l'Institut régional pour la protection des monuments culturels de Kotor  
PhD, architect, conservator consultant

#### Comment

Ilija Lalosevic, PhD, architect, conservator consultant professor of the Faculty of Architecture, University of Montenegro Orahovac 25 85330 Kotor Montenegro Telephone: +382 32 331 089 fax: +382 20 269 317 E-mail: ilalos@t-com.me

### 1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

- Anastazija Miranovic  
Director  
Directorate for the protection of cultural heritage  
Domain: cultural

### 1.6 - Comments

## 2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

### 2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state	Not applicable
Local	Not applicable
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	

### 2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state	Not applicable
Local	Not applicable
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	

### 2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

### 2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the protection of cultural heritage.

### 2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the protection of natural heritage.

### 2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

### 2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

## 3. Tentative List

### 3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

Historical center of Cetinje / 2015 /
Venetian fortification system (serial nomination, with Italy and Croatia) / 2016 /

### 3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

ICOMOS thematic studies
Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region
Other global comparative analysis

### 3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Not applicable
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities / residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Local industries	Poor
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Poor
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator(s)	Fair

### 3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

No

### 3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

UNESCO National comission / Marija Raznatovic / general Secretary / Ministry of Culture, Cetinje, Njegoseva bb. / +382 69 334 720 / marija.raznatovic@mku.gov.me / cultural, natural /
--

**3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?**

Yes

**3.7 - Comments**

**4. Nominations**

**4.1 - Property**

Name	Date of submission	Status
Durmitor National Park	1979-04-03	inscribed
Durmitor National Park	2005-02-01	inscribed
Natural and Culturo-Historical Region of Kotor	1979-09-11	inscribed
Natural and Culturo-Historical Region of Kotor	2012-01-31	referred

**4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Not applicable
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities / residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Poor
Local industries	Poor
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator	Fair

**4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List**

Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	High benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	Some benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	High benefit
Improved presentation of sites	Some benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	High benefit
Increased funding	Limited benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	Limited benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	Some benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	Some benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	Some benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	

**4.4 - Comments**

**5. General Policy Development**

**5.1 - Legislation**

**Comment**

Law on the protection of cultural heritage, 2010., national level  
 Law on the protection of Natural and Culturo-Historical Region of Kotor, 2013, national level

**5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1**

--

**5.3 - Comment**

**5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?**

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?**

Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

**5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered**

**Comment**

Convention to the intangible heritage, 2003

**5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies**

There is limited coordination and integration.

**5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities**

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

**5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes**

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

**5.10 - Comments**

**6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

**6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?**

There is some cooperation between the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but improvements are possible.

**6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?**

In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

**6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?**

There is some capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties but significant deficiencies remain.

**6.5 - Comments**

**7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

**7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?**

There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

**7.2 - Research projects**

- 

**7.3 - Comments**

**8. Financial Status and Human Resources**

**8.1 - Sources of funding**

National government funds	Minor source of sustained funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of sustained funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Not applicable
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Minor source of fixed term funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Minor source of fixed term funding
NGOs (international and / or national)	Minor source of fixed term funding
Private sector funds	Minor source of fixed term funding
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	

**8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage**

No

**8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage**

No

**8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.

**8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and natural heritage.

**8.6 - Comments**

**9. Training**

**9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs**

- Faculty of Architecture / national / cultural master degree on the protection of building heritage

**9.2 - Training needs**

Conservation	Medium priority
Education	High priority
Promotion	Low priority
Interpretation	Medium priority
Administration	Low priority
Visitor management	Medium priority
Community outreach	Medium priority
Risk preparedness	High priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium priority
Other	

**9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?**

There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

**9.4 - Comments**

**10. International Cooperation**

**10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties**

Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars

**10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others**

Yes

**10.3 - Comments**

**11. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion**

**11.1.1 - Publications**

Information
-------------

Awareness Raising
Education
National
Local

**11.1.2 - Films / TV**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
National

**11.1.3 - Media campaigns**

Not applicable
----------------

**11.1.4 - Internet**

Information
Education
International
National
Local

**11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals**

Awareness Raising
Education
National

**11.1.6 - World Heritage Day**

Awareness Raising
International

**11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre**

Information
Education
International

**11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)**

--

**11.1.9 - Comments**

**11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders**

There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

**11.2.2 - Level of general awareness**

Private Sector	Poor
Youth	Fair
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
General public	Fair

**11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?**

The State Party participates in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

**11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities**

Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i>	Never
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Occasionally
Youth Forums	Occasionally
Skills-training courses for students	Never
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Often
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	
Other (comment below)	

**11.2.5 - Comments**

**12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions**

**12.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention**

**12.1.1 - Identification of heritage**

<b>Identification of heritage</b>
Inventories capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

**12.1.2 - National Inventories**

<b>National Inventories</b>
National : Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state : Not applicable
National : Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state : Not applicable

**12.1.3 - Tentative List**

<b>Tentative List</b>
Yes

**12.1.4 - Legal framework**

<b>Legal framework</b>
Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

**12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies**

<b>Implementation of international conventions within national policies</b>
---

**12.1.6 - Communities**

<b>Communities</b>
Local communities / residents: Fair
Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Fair
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Fair
Local communities / residents: Fair

<b>Communities</b>
Indigenous peoples: Fair
Landowners: Poor

**12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning**

<b>Larger-scale planning</b>
There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

**12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

<b>Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation</b>
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

<b>Scientific and Technical Studies and Research</b>
There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

**12.1.10 - Financial status**

<b>Financial status</b>
The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.

**12.1.11 - Human resources**

<b>Human resources</b>
Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and natural heritage.

**12.1.12 - Training**

<b>Training</b>
Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.

**12.1.13 - International cooperation**

<b>International cooperation</b>
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars

**12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building**

<b>Education, Information and awareness building</b>
Private Sector : Poor
Youth : Fair
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Good

**12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).**

**12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)**

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

2 Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage					
	Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?
2.3	Inventories capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.				
5 General Policy Development					
5.7	There is limited coordination or integration of different legal instruments for the development of heritage conservation, protection and presentation policies.				
9 Training					
9.3	Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.				

**12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention**

**13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?**

Yes

**13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:**

**13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire**

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM	No support
ICOMOS national / regional	No support
IUCN national / regional	No support

**13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Not all required information was accessible

**13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Good
Advisory Bodies	Fair
State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair

**13.6 - Comments**

**14. Thank you**