

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

> Organisation des Nations Unies pour l'éducation, la science et la culture

Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Educación, la Ciencia y la Cultura

Организация Объединенных Наций по вопросам образования, науки и культуры

منظمة الأمم المتحدة للتربية والعلم والثقافة

> 联合国教育、· 科学及文化组织 .

## 7 COM

CLT-12/7.COM/CONF.201/2 Paris, 7 November 2012 Original: English

SECOND PROTOCOL TO THE HAGUE CONVENTION OF 1954 FOR THE PROTECTION OF CULTURAL PROPERTY IN THE EVENT OF ARMED CONFLICT

# COMMITTEE FOR THE PROTECTION OF CULTURAL PROPERTY IN THE EVENT OF ARMED CONFLICT

Seventh meeting UNESCO Headquarters, Paris 20 to 21 December 2012

<u>Item 4 of the Provisional Agenda</u>: Improvement of the Enhanced Protection Request Form

- 1. The sixth meeting of the Committee for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict (UNESCO Headquarters, 14 - 15 December 2011) considered, among other items, the proposal to make formal modifications to the 'Enhanced Protection Request Form' in order to render it more user-friendly. The Committee requested the Secretariat to approach those Parties that have applied for the granting of enhanced protection to ask them to share their experiences.
- 2. In pursuance of this recommendation, the Secretariat contacted on 1 February 2012, the Permanent Delegations of Azerbaijan, Cyprus, the Dominican Republic, Italy and Lithuania and asked them to provide the Secretariat with their experiences concerning the form. A reminder was sent on 16 March 2012.
- 3. On 24 April 2012, the Secretariat received Italy's proposed modifications based on their experiences applying for enhanced protection status for Castel del Monte. The Italian proposal had already been submitted to the sixth meeting of the Committee.
- 4. The Bureau of the Committee, which met at UNESCO Headquarters on 7 and 8 June 2012, deemed it necessary to extend such consultation to all Parties to the Second Protocol and thus requested the Secretariat to approach those Parties.
- 5. Consequently, the Secretariat contacted on 18 June 2012, the Permanent Delegations of all the Parties to the Second Protocol and asked them to provide the Secretariat with their comments by 31 July 2012.
- 6. As 31 July 2012, the Secretariat received additional replies from the following six Parties: Azerbaijan, Germany, Greece, Japan, Lithuania and Slovakia. Of these six Parties, Lithuania and Slovakia did not provide any comments in their responses; Germany expressly stated that the form is user-friendly and, thus, does not require any modifications; Azerbaijan, Greece and Japan suggested certain modifications.
- 7. The Secretariat has taken into account these comments when preparing the modifications which were submitted to the Bureau during its September 2012 meeting.
- 8. In addition to the comments made by Parties prior to the Bureau meeting, the revised form submitted in Annex also contains the comments made by the Bureau during its September meeting. All the proposed modifications are included in bold and italics.
- 9. The Committee may wish to adopt the following decision:

#### **DRAFT DECISION 7.COM 2**

The Committee.

- 1. <u>Recalling</u> its recommendation at its sixth meeting which, among other things, 'requested the Secretariat to approach those Parties who applied for the granting of enhanced protection asking them to share their experiences' regarding the Enhanced Protection Request Form,
- 2. Recalling also the decision of the Bureau at its meeting held in June 2012 to extend such consultation to all Parties to the Second Protocol,
- 3. <u>Having considered</u> document CLT-12/7.COM/CONF.201/2 concerning the improvement of the "Enhanced Protection Request Form",
- 4. <u>Thanking</u> the concerned Parties for providing the Secretariat with the proposed modifications thereof,
- 5. Thanking the Secretariat for its work,
- 6. <u>Approves</u> the modified version of the form as contained in Annex 1.

#### Annex 1

### **ENHANCED PROTECTION REQUEST FORM**

1. PARTY:  Submission prepared by:  Institution:  Name:  Fax:  Address:  Telephone:  3. REQUIREMENTS CONSIDERED BY THE COMMITTEE:  3. A IDENTIFICATION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY (please include photos maps if available):  Name of the Cultural Property:  State, Province or Region Located or Stored:  UTM coordinates of the approximate central point and a list of UTM coordinates indicathe course of the property boundary, if applicable:  Area of the cultural property (ha):  3. B DESCRIPTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:  3. C PROTECTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:			
Institution:  Name:  Fax:  Address:  Telephone:  3. REQUIREMENTS CONSIDERED BY THE COMMITTEE:  3. A IDENTIFICATION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY (please include photos maps if available):  Name of the Cultural Property:  State, Province or Region Located or Stored:  UTM coordinates of the approximate central point and a list of UTM coordinates indicathe course of the property boundary, if applicable:  Area of the cultural property (ha):  3. B DESCRIPTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:  3. C PROTECTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:	1. PARTY:		2. DATE OF SUBMISSION:
Name: Fax: Address: Telephone:  3. REQUIREMENTS CONSIDERED BY THE COMMITTEE:  3. A IDENTIFICATION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY (please include photos maps-if-available):  Name of the Cultural Property:  State, Province or Region Located or Stored:  UTM coordinates of the approximate central point and a list of UTM coordinates indicathe course of the property boundary, if applicable:  Area of the cultural property (ha):  3. B DESCRIPTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:  3. C PROTECTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:	Submissio	n prepared by:	
Address:  Telephone:  3. REQUIREMENTS CONSIDERED BY THE COMMITTEE:  3. A IDENTIFICATION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY (please include photos maps-if-available):  Name of the Cultural Property:  State, Province or Region Located or Stored:  UTM coordinates of the approximate central point and a list of UTM coordinates indicathe course of the property boundary, if applicable:  Area of the cultural property (ha):  3. B DESCRIPTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:  3. C PROTECTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:	Institution:		E-mail:
3. A IDENTIFICATION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY (please include photos maps if available):  Name of the Cultural Property:  State, Province or Region Located or Stored:  UTM coordinates of the approximate central point and a list of UTM coordinates indica the course of the property boundary, if applicable:  Area of the cultural property (ha):  3. B DESCRIPTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:  3. C PROTECTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:	Name:		Fax:
3. A IDENTIFICATION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY (please include photos maps if available):  Name of the Cultural Property:  State, Province or Region Located or Stored:  UTM coordinates of the approximate central point and a list of UTM coordinates indicathe course of the property boundary, if applicable:  Area of the cultural property (ha):  3. B DESCRIPTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:  3. C PROTECTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:	Address:		Telephone:
Mame of the Cultural Property:  State, Province or Region Located or Stored:  UTM coordinates of the approximate central point and a list of UTM coordinates indicathe course of the property boundary, if applicable:  Area of the cultural property (ha):  3. B DESCRIPTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:  3. C PROTECTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:	3. REQU	JIREMENTS CONSIDERED E	BY THE COMMITTEE:1
State, Province or Region Located or Stored:  UTM coordinates of the approximate central point and a list of UTM coordinates indicathe course of the property boundary, if applicable:  Area of the cultural property (ha):  3. B DESCRIPTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:  3. C PROTECTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:	3. A		CULTURAL PROPERTY (please include photos an
UTM coordinates of the approximate central point and a list of UTM coordinates indicathe course of the property boundary, if applicable:  Area of the cultural property (ha):  3. B DESCRIPTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:  3. C PROTECTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:	Name of the	he Cultural Property :	
3. C PROTECTION OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:	the course	of the property boundary, it	
	3. B	DESCRIPTION OF THE CU	LTURAL PROPERTY:
3. D USE OF THE CULTURAL PROPERTY:	3. C	PROTECTION OF THE CUI	LTURAL PROPERTY:
	3. D	USE OF THE CULTURAL P	PROPERTY:

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm I}$  More detailed information is contained in paragraphs 54 - 62 of the Guidelines.

	3. E	INFORMATION REGARDING RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITY/IES: (such as the authority/ies responsible for the measures referred to in Articles 5, 10(b), and 10(c) of the Second Protocol)	
	3.F	F JUSTIFICATION FOR ENHANCED PROTECTION:	
		Applicants are requested to justify that the following criteria are fully met:	
	The	cultural property:	
	i)	is of the greatest importance for humanity (Article 10(a) of the Second Protocol);	
	ii)	is protected by adequate domestic legal and administrative measures recognising its exceptional cultural and historic value and ensuring the highest level of protection (Article 10(b) of the Second Protocol). A copy of the list required by paragraph 58 of the Guidelines is attached.	
	iii)	is not used for military purposes or to shield military sites. A copy of the non-military use declaration certifying such situation is attached (Article 10(c) of the Second Protocol).	
		es is/are also invited to provide information concerning relevant measures der other UNESCO standard-setting instruments and Programmes, if applicable.	
Signa	ature by	the Party's competent authority:	
	Full n	ame	
	Title		
	 Date		

#### **MODEL**

#### Non-military use declaration

	,
	nformity with Article 10 of the Second Protocol, (the ction was requested) will not be used for military
purposes or to shield military sites.	<del></del> ,
, . <b>,</b>	
	(Signature of the representative of the national authority which has been authorized by the State concerned as competent for this matter)
	Name:
	Title:
	Data: