

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Bosnia and Herzegovina

1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

12/07/1993

1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
UNESCO National Commission
World Heritage property managers/coordinators
ICOMOS International
ICOMOS national / regional
External experts
Donors
Others

1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

- Azra Hadzic
Institute for the Protection of Monuments at the Federal Ministry for Culture and Sport
Expert Adviser for architectural and archaeological heritage
Operational-Technical
- Milijana Okilj
Institute for Protection of Cultural-Historical and Natural Heritage of Republika Srpska
Head of Department for Cultural-Historical Heritage

1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

- Sredoje Novic
President
State Commission of Bosnia and Herzegovina for UNESCO
Domain: cultural, natural
- -
-
Commission for Preservation of National Monuments of B&H
Domain: cultural

1.6 - Comments

The National Commission of Bosnia and Herzegovina for cooperation with UNESCO is the only authorized body that carries out administration activities and communication with UNESCO and the identification and appointment of associates and partners for cooperation with UNESCO

2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	Process completed or continually updated

Local	Not applicable
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process commenced
Regional / provincial / state	Process completed or continually updated
Local	Process commenced
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.

2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

3. Tentative List

3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

Serial nomination (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Montenegro, Serbia), Stećci medieval tombstones / 2015 /
Necropolis with medieval tombstones-stećak / 2011 /

3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

ICOMOS thematic studies
IUCN thematic studies
Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region
UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List

3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Local industries	Fair
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants / experts	Fair
Site manager / coordinator(s)	Fair

3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

Yes

3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

Yes

3.7 - Comments

The collaboration between all stakeholders is good organized

4. Nominations

4.1 - Property

Name	Date of submission	Status
Sarajevo	1985-12-31	deferred
Sarajevo - Unique symbol of universal multicultural and continual open city	1998-07-15	not inscribed
The Old City of Mostar	1998-07-15	deferred
Old Bridge Area of the Old City of Mostar	2005-01-27	inscribed
Mehmed Paša Sokolović Bridge in Višegrad	2006-01-31	inscribed
Historical and Natural ensemble of Jajce	2007-01-31	Incomplete
Cultural property of the historic town of Jajce	2007-10-11	withdrawn

4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities / residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Fair
Local industries	Fair
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Poor
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator	Good

4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	Some benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	High benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	Some benefit

Section I-Bosnia and Herzegovina

Improved presentation of sites	Some benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	Some benefit
Increased funding	Limited benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	Limited benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	Some benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	Some benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	Some benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	Not applicable

4.4 - Comments

5. General Policy Development

5.1 - Legislation

Comment

-Law on the Implementation of the Decisions of the Commission to Preserve National Monuments, 2002 -Law on Cultural Property (Official Gazette of the Republika Srpska no. 11/95 and 103/08) -In the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina: Law on the Protection and Preservation of Cultural, Historical and Natural Heritage of the 1985, amended in 1987, 1993 and 1994

5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1

5.3 - Comment

5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?

Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered

Comment

International conventions that have been ratified by Bosnia and Herzegovina: - The European Cultural Convention (Council of Europe, Paris, 1954.), - European Convention on the Protection of Archaeological Heritage (Council of Europe, London, in 1969.) - Convention on the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe (Council of Europe, Granada, in 1985.) - Convention on the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage (UNESCO, Paris, in 1972).. -

5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies

There is adequate coordination and integration.

5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

5.10 - Comments

Implementation of policies could be improved

6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?

There is some cooperation between the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but improvements are possible.

6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?

In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is adequate capacity within services to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.

6.5 - Comments

7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?

There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

7.2 - Research projects

- Heritage Impact Assessment Report Building complex "Kamengrad" ("Andrićgrad") in the surroundings of Mehmed paša Sokolović's Bridge in Višegrad / 2011 regional cultural n/a

At the request of the ICOMOS (20th October 2011.) the document was forwarded

- Heritage Impact Assessment Report Administrative building of "Hydroelectric plants on Drina" in the buffer zone of Mehmed paša Sokolović's Bridge in Višegrad / 2011 regional cultural

n/a

At the request of the ICOMOS (20th October 2011.) the document was forwarded

7.3 - Comments

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Sources of funding

National government funds	Minor source of sustained funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of sustained funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Minor source of sustained funding
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Major source of fixed term funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Major source of fixed term funding
NGOs (international and / or national)	Minor source of sustained funding
Private sector funds	Minor source of sustained funding
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	Not applicable

8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage

Yes

8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage

Yes

8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

8.6 - Comments

9. Training

9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs

-

9.2 - Training needs

Conservation	Medium priority
Education	Medium priority
Promotion	Medium priority
Interpretation	Medium priority
Administration	Low priority
Visitor management	Medium priority
Community outreach	Low priority
Risk preparedness	Low priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low priority
Other	Not applicable

9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?

There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

9.4 - Comments

10. International Cooperation

10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties

Participation in other UN programmes
Financial support
Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage
Participation in foundations for international cooperation
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others

No

10.3 - Comments

The representative of Bosnia and Herzegovina have been involved in UNESCO Participation Programme Project-Monitoring of World Heritage Sites, Skocjanske Jame, Slovenia, 2013

11. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion

11.1.1 - Publications

Information
National
Regional
Local

11.1.2 - Films / TV

National
Regional
Local

11.1.3 - Media campaigns

National
Regional
Local

11.1.4 - Internet

Information
International
National
Regional
Local

11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals

Not applicable

11.1.6 - World Heritage Day

Information
Awareness Raising
National
Regional
Local

11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre

National
Regional

11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)

Not applicable

11.1.9 - Comments

Information about World Heritage and Tentative List are on the - <http://unescobih.mcp.gov.ba/spomenici/Default.aspx?id=14230>

11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders

There are strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

11.2.2 - Level of general awareness

Private Sector	Poor
Youth	Fair
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Decision makers and public officials	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
General public	Fair

11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's *World Heritage in Young Hands programme* but intends to do so.

11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities

Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i>	Never
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Occasionally
Youth Forums	Occasionally
Skills-training courses for students	Occasionally
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Often
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Occasionally
Other (comment below)	Never

11.2.5 - Comments

Organization of art works exhibitions (art works made by students of primary schools located in Municipality of Višegrad) "On the shores of childhood" in the Days of European heritage with the theme of cultural-historical and natural heritage of the Municipality of Višegrad organized by Republic Institute for Protection of Cultural-Historical and Natural Heritage of Republika Srpska

12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

12.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

12.1.1 - Identification of heritage

Identification of heritage
Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

12.1.2 - National Inventories

National Inventories
National : Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated
National : Process commenced
Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated

12.1.3 - Tentative List

Tentative List
Yes

12.1.4 - Legal framework

Legal framework
Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies

Implementation of international conventions within national policies

12.1.6 - Communities

Communities
Local communities / residents: Fair
Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Fair
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Fair
Local communities / residents: Fair
Indigenous peoples: Poor
Landowners: Fair

12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning

Larger-scale planning
There are policies that are effectively implemented.

12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation
Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

Scientific and Technical Studies and Research
There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

12.1.10 - Financial status

Financial status
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

12.1.11 - Human resources

Human resources
Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

12.1.12 - Training

Training
There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

12.1.13 - International cooperation

International cooperation
Participation in other UN programmes
Financial support
Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage
Participation in foundations for international cooperation
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building

Education, Information and awareness building
Private Sector : Poor
Youth : Fair
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Good

12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).

12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Organisations of workshops, training, lectures, exhibitions etc. for students, local residents. Improved collaborations between media (TV...) and heritage authorities.

13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Yes

13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:

13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM	Fair
ICOMOS national / regional	Poor
IUCN national / regional	Not applicable

13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most required information was accessible

13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Good
Advisory Bodies	Fair
State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Not applicable

13.6 - Comments

14. Thank you