

**1. World Heritage Property Data**

**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**  
Old Bridge Area of the Old City of Mostar

**1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**  
**State(s) Party(ies)**

- Bosnia and Herzegovina

**Type of Property**

cultural

**Identification Number**

946rev

**Year of inscription on the World Heritage List**

2005

**1.3 - Geographic Information Table**

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Old Bridge Area of the Old City of Mostar	43.348 / 17.811	7.6	47.6	55.2	2005
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>7.6</b>	<b>47.6</b>	<b>55.2</b>	

**1.4 - Map(s)**

Title	Date	Link to source
The Old City of Mostar – map of inscribed property	15/07/2005	

**1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property**

- Azra Hadzic  
Institute for the Protection of Monuments at the Federal Ministry for Culture and Sport  
Expert Adviser for architectural and archaeological heritage  
Operational-Technical

**Comment**

New responsible person for this are Robert Stergar , Expert Adviser for architectural and archaeological heritage and Bernarda Teklic archaeological associate , contact details are : Operational-Technical Maka Dizdara 2 71 000 Sarajevo Bosnia and Herzegovina Telephone: +387 33 25 4 131 Fax: 387 33 254 181 e-mail : robert.stergar@gmail.com

**1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency**

- Azra Hadzic  
Institute for the Protection of Monuments at the Federal Ministry for Culture and Sport  
Expert Adviser for architectural and archaeological heritage  
Operational-Technical

**Comment**

New responsible person for this is Robert Stergar , Expert Adviser for architectural and archaeological heritage , contact details are : Expert Adviser for architectural and archaeological heritage Operational-Technical Maka Dizdara 2 71 000 Sarajevo Bosnia and Herzegovina Telephone: +387 33 25 4 131 Fax: 387 33 254 181 e-mail : robert.stergar@gmail.com

**1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**

**1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**

**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

**Comment**

At its 38th session (Doha, June 2014), the World Heritage Committee has adopted the retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value, agreed upon by FBiH authorities and the Advisory Bodies, for the following World Heritage property: Old Bridge Area of the Old City of Mostar.

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

(vi)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**3. Factors Affecting the Property**

**3.14. Other factor(s)**

**3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin		
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>							
3.3.1	Water infrastructure							
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities							
3.3.4	Localised utilities							
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>							
3.5.1	Fishing/collecting aquatic resources							
3.5.2	Aquaculture							
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>							
3.9.1	Illegal activities							
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage							
3.9.4	War							
3.9.5	Terrorism							
3.9.6	Civil unrest							
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>							
3.10.1	Storms							
3.10.2	Flooding							
3.10.3	Drought							
3.10.4	Desertification							
3.10.5	Changes to oceanic waters							
3.10.6	Temperature change							
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts							
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>							
3.11.2	Earthquake							
3.11.3	Tsunami/tidal wave							
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition							
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)							
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>							
3.12.1	Translocated species							
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species							

	Name	Impact				Origin	
3.12.3	Invasive / alien freshwater species						
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>						
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3	Management activities						
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	illegal activities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.9.4	War	localised	one off or rare	significant	high capacity	increasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

All the factors that might affect the property can be managed and kept under control with effective management response.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Ownership of properties within the nominated area is varied – government bodies, religious communities, and private individuals and institutions.

Historic Mostar is protected by the 1985 Law on the Protection and Use of the Cultural, Historical, and Natural Heritage of Bosnia and Herzegovina, the 1996 Interim Statutes of the Town of Mostar, and the 1998 Law on Waters. In addition since 1998, the Mostar Municipal Council promulgated a series of decisions relating to the rehabilitation and conservation of buildings in the protected zone of the town and the prohibition of any non-authorized interventions. One of these decisions is the decision on adoption of the Master Plan

of Mostar in 2001 (this plan is an integral part of the Management Plan of the World Heritage property). Pursuant to Article V para. 4 Annex 8 of the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina (Official Gazette of Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina no. 2/02, 27/02 and 6/04) and Article 39 para. 1 of the Rules of Procedure of the Commission to Preserve National Monuments (7 July 2004) the Historic Urban Area of Mostar as a National Monument of Bosnia and Herzegovina was designated.

A national monument is an asset or property that the Commission to Preserve National Monuments may have designated as a national monument, as well as assets or properties registered on the List or on the Provisional List of National Monuments of Bosnia and Herzegovina. National monuments benefit from the highest degree of legal protection.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

## 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

### 4.3.1 - Management System

At the state level, overall supervision is exercised by the Commission to preserve National Monuments of Bosnia and Herzegovina, and through the Ministry of Spatial Planning of Federation Bosnia and Herzegovina at the entity level, the Ministry of Culture and Sports of Federation Bosnia and

Herzegovina and its Institute for Protection of Monuments of Federation Bosnia and Herzegovina.

- The Commission to Preserve National Monuments is an institution of the state of Bosnia and Herzegovina established pursuant to Annex 8 of the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina and the Decision of the Presidency of Bosnia and Herzegovina responsible for the issuing decisions designating movable and immovable property as National Monuments applying the Criteria on the Designation of National Monuments (Official Gazette of B-H no. 33/02).


- The Government of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina is responsible for ensuring and providing the legal, scientific, technical, administrative and financial measures necessary to protect, conserve, display and rehabilitate National Monuments. The Government of the Federation is responsible for ensuring that a program is drawn up for the protection of the historic centre of Mostar, and for providing the resources for creating and implementing the necessary executive regional planning documentation for the rehabilitation of the historic centre of Mostar.

- On the level of Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, the Ministry of Physical Planning and Environment is responsible for implementation of legislative protective measures in cooperation with the Ministry of Culture and Sports (Institute for Protection of Monuments of the Federation Bosnia and Herzegovina, who is responsible for insurance of required scientific and technical standards)

- At the city level, the Agency is acting on behalf the City of Mostar.

Direct responsibility at the local level is managed by the City of Mostar through the Old City Agency of Mostar ( Agencija Stari Grad) defined by the Management Plan of 2005 and this body, responsible for implementation of legislative and protective measures, closely collaborates with the department for Urbanism and Spatial Planning and the City of Mostar.

#### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
The Old city of Mostar Management Plan	N/A	Available	01/01/2005	

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

#### 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

#### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

#### 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Good

#### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities directly **participate** in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

#### 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly participate in **all relevant** decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

#### 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

#### 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training


#### 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

### 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

#### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	20%
Governmental (National / Federal)	20%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	40%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	10%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	10%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Establishment of a monitoring system for the Old Bridge of Mostar	2009	29960.00	
<b>Total</b>		29960	

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Good
-------------------------	------

Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Excellent
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only partly meets the needs and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an important influence on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is excellent presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

**4.7. Visitor Management**

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Three years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Four years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Five years ago	Major Increase (100%+)

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is excellent co-operation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

**4.8. Monitoring**

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Excellent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Excellent

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **underway**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>					
<b>3.9.1</b>	<b>Illegal activities</b>	According to the management plan and the regulation plan and legislation.	By applying the criminal law and charging penalties, these illegal activities can be reduced.	Agency Stari Grad is in -charge for monitoring.	In timeframe 1-5 years these activities can be reduced.	As stated , agency responsible for the site is Stari grad agency. With co-operation between responsible agency and representatives of law these activities can be reduced .
<b>3.9.4</b>	<b>War</b>	Under war activities in the time-frame 1991-1995 lot of cultural and historical monuments have been destroyed and damaged , one of them beeing Old Bridge of the Old city of Mostar.	Bridge has been restored 10 years ago.	Institution for protection of monuments of Federation of BiH is responsible for monitoring of this area.	Bridge is renewed 10 years ago.	Agency responsible is Stari grad agency from Mostar under monitoring of Institution for protection of monuments of Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina. Old Bridge in Mostar after restoration regained its value and became a symbol and part of identity of the city of Mostar and beyond.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>4.4.3</b>	<b>The budget is inadequate for management needs</b>	Financial and expert human resources need to improved in future.	Time -frame 1-5 years should be needed to make these changes.	Agency of Stari grad, Mostar together with governmental federal and local bodies should make these improvements.	Because of lack of budget certain activities are limited and this should be improved in future.	
<b>4.4.4</b>	<b>Existing sources of funding are not secure</b>	Existing sources of funding should be permanent and long-term secure.	Time-frame needed for these actions is hard to estimate because of the state and local budget.	Agency Stari grad , together with local and federal governmental bodies should be responsible in securing necessary funding.	Because of insecure sources of funding future planing of activities involving this area is very limited.	

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	No impact

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

All required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

**• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: At its 38th session (Doha, June 2014), the World Heritage Committee has adopted the retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value, agreed upon by FBiH authorities and the Advisory Bodies, for the following World Heritage property: Old Bridge Area of the Old City of Mostar.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**