

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Pilgrimage Church of St John of Nepomuk at Zelená Hora

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Czech Republic

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

690

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1994



1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Pilgrimage Church of St John of Nepomuk at Zelená Hora	49.58 / 15.942	0.64	627.9	628.54	1994
Total (ha)		0.64	627.9	628.54	

Comment

Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 15° 56, 516´ E / 49° 34, 819´ N

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Pilgrimage Church of St John of Nepomuk at Zelená Hora, scale 1:1,000	30/11/2007	
Pilgrimage Church of St John of Nepomuk at Zelená Hora, scale 1:10,000	30/11/2007	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Michal Benes
Ministry of Culture
Deputy Director General, Section for Cultural Policy and European Funds, Head of Unit for UNESCO

Comment

Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic, Dita Limová
Departement of International Relations, UNESCO Division
Maltézské nám. 1 118 01 Praha 1 Czech Republic Telephone:
+420 257 085 371 Fax: +420 725 001 305 Email:
dita.limova@mkcr.cz National focal point: National Heritage
Institute, General Directorate, Jitka Vlčková Valdštejnské nám.
3/162 118 01 Praha 1 - Malá Strana Czech Republic
Telephone: +420 257 010 115 Fax: +420 257 010 149 E mail:
vlckova.jitka@npu.cz

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Gabriela Kaščíková
National Heritage Institute, Regional Office in Telč
Responsible for annual reporting

Comment

An employee of the National Heritage Institute/NHI is responsible for the periodic reporting. Contact changes -

address: Hradecká 6, 588 56 Telč, email:
kascakova.gabriela@npu.cz. It is the property manager who is the de facto site manager. Currently, there is a vacancy, charged to the property management within the NHI: Lenka Kubizňáková, Tel.: +420 724 758 993, Email: kubiznakova.lenka@npu.cz.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. www.zdarns.cz

Comment

www.zelena-hora.eu

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Protocol to the Hague Convention of 1954 for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Second Protocol to the Hague Convention; Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe; Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage; European Convention on the Protection of the Archeological Heritage; European Landscape Convention

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(iv) symbolism of the site, use of symbols in architecture, authentic architectural form, mastery in the detail and in the whole design of the building, masterpiece to honour the cult of St. John of Nepomuk, evidence of a specific and unique architectural style (synthesis of Gothic traditions and Baroque principles), exterior and interior decoration

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact					Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing		-		4	1	
3.1.2	Commercial development		-		4	1	
3.1.3	Industrial areas		-		4	1	
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	+		4		1	
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	+			4	1	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	+		4		1	
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure		-		4	1	
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities		-		4	1	
3.3.4	Localised utilities		-		4	1	
3.3.5	Major linear utilities		-		4	1	
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.3	Surface water pollution		-		4	1	
3.4.4	Air pollution		-		4	1	
3.4.6	Input of excess energy	+		4		1	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind		-		4	1	
3.7.2	Relative humidity		-	4		1	
3.7.3	Temperature		-		4	1	
3.7.5	Dust		-	4		1	
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)		-		4	1	
3.7.7	Pests		-		4	1	
3.7.8	Micro-organisms		-		4	1	
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	+		4		1	
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	+		4		1	
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system		-		4	1	
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation		-		4	1	
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1	Illegal activities		-		4	1	
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage		-		4	1	
3.9.6	Civil unrest		-		4	1	
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1	Storms		-	4		1	
3.10.6	Temperature change		-		4	1	
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts		-		4	1	
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.2	Earthquake		-		4	1	
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide		-		4	1	
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition		-		4	1	

	Name	Impact				Origin	
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)						
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species						
3.13	Management and institutional factors						
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3	Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	extensive	on-going	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.5	Dust	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

- Law No.20/1987 Coll., on the state monuments care that codifies the care for cultural monuments and protected conservation territories
- The estate was declared a national cultural monument by decree of the government of the Czech Republic in 1995. At present its protection is ensured by decree of the government No. 336/2002 Coll., on the declaration and cancellation of some cultural monuments national cultural monuments
- The buffer zone of the estate was declared by the District Authority in Žďár nad Sázavou ref. No. Kult/577/93/Odv of June 27, 1993
- The layout plan of the settlement unit of Žďár nad Sázavou was approved by the authorities of the town in 2003

The draft of a new Law on National Heritage Conservation which should replace the existing Act No. 20 of 1987 Coll. has been finalized by the Czech Ministry of Culture. This proposal takes in account the World Heritage and defines principles and mechanisms in relation to it.

On 20 July 2011 the government of the Czech Republic approved the "Concept of monument care in the Czech Republic for 2011-2016". The concept is based on a joint general conceptual material of the resort called "State Culture Policy", and it also follows up on conceptual materials about protection of other elements of cultural heritage, i.e. the "Concept of more effective care of movable cultural heritage in the Czech Republic for 2010-2014", which was acknowledged by the government of the Czech Republic on 1 December 2010, and the "Concept of more effective care of traditional folk culture in the Czech Republic for 2011 – 2015", which was approved by the government of the Czech Republic on 5 January 2011.

The material is divided into two main parts. Firstly, it analyzes outputs of monument care in the previous years and in the second part it proposes relevant precautions. The proposal part stresses mainly the necessity for legislative changes in the monument care domain, putting great emphasis on improving the descriptive qualities of the Central Register of Cultural Monuments established by the law and simplifying and improving the quality of performance of the public administration in monument care sector. The concept reflects the current trends of electronization of state administration and digitization of analogue data, including documentation registers.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

• Question 6.02

Law No.20/1987 Coll., on the state monuments care that codifies the care for cultural monuments and protected conservation territories.

The estate was declared a national cultural monument by decree of the government of the Czech Republic in 1995. At present its protection is ensured by decree of the government No. 336/2002 Coll., on the declaration and cancellation of some cultural monuments national cultural monuments.

The buffer zone of the estate was declared by the District Authority in Žďár nad Sázavou ref.No. Kult/577/93/Odv of June 27, 1993.

The layout plan of the settlement unit of Žďár nad Sázavou was approved by the authorities of the town in 2003.

Comment

Decree of the Ministry of Culture No. 420/2008 Coll. stipulating the essential elements and content of the heritage reservation and heritage zone protection plans; Act No. 183/2006 Coll., on Land Use Planning and on the Building Procedure Code; Decree of the Ministry of Regional Development No. 500/2006 Coll., on supporting analytical zoning data, zoning documentation and zoning records

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

- A steering group will be established at the end of 2005
- Site manager: responsibilities have been added to an existing job
- Levels of public authority who are primarily involved with the management of the site: national, regional, local

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

- **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group: The steering group will be established by the end of 2005.
- **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Other effective management system

The estate is the property of the state and direct management is performed by the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites, territorial professional place of work in Brno.

The services of guides and sale of souvenirs are ensured by the Information and Tourist Centre of the publicity department of Žďár Machine Works on the basis of the contract with the owner of the monument.

The performance of the state monuments care see para 06.04.

Comment

There is no site manager. Currently, there is a vacancy. The Regional Historic Sites Management of the National Heritage Institute in České Budějovice performs the role of site

manager. Charged to property management: Lenka Kubizňáková, Tel.: +420 724 758 993, Email: kubiznakova.lenka@npu.cz.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Management Plan, 2010-2011

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property,

buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

There are no significant changes in the legal status and management arrangements for the World Heritage property.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	90%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	10%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

The World Heritage property has not received any Assistance from the World Heritage Fund.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The earnings of the church are redistributed within the NHI's building management system and a portion is used to support other valuable heritage.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	50%
Seasonal	50%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Not applicable
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Not applicable
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

REST ART M. MÜLLEROVÁ s.r.o. Restoration survey of the Church of St John of Nepomuk at Zelena hora. 2010. VESELÁ, M.; ŠANDEROVÁ, J.; VÍTKOVÁ, M. The Church of St John of Nepomuk at Zelena hora. 2011, 248 pp. Digital surveying of the church from 2008 - A CD exists; a precise title is not available.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Excellent

Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Visitor rules and opening hours are available. For this type of property, it is adequate.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Average

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	(iv) mastery in the detail and in the whole design of the building; exterior and interior decoration	dehumidifying of the pilgrimage church premises	regular monitoring throughout the year	until 2016	National Heritage Institute no comments
3.7.5	Dust	(iv) mastery in the detail and in the whole design of the building; exterior and interior decoration	cleaning and repairs	regular monitoring throughout the year	continuous operation	National Heritage Institute no comments
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	(iv) mastery in the detail and in the whole design of the building; exterior and interior decoration; authentic architectural form	extensive repairs after a 2008 storm	extensive monitoring over the course of repairs	It can not be limited in terms of time.	National Heritage Institute It is impossible to prevent the impact of this natural phenomenon due to the location of the pilgrimage church.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.8	Local communities have no input into management decisions	to include into the updated version of the Management Plan the greater degree of participation of local residents in the decision-making process	until 2016	National Heritage Institute, the Municipality of Žďár nad Sázavou	Local residents receive updated information about local events. However, the system does not offer them an ideal chance to participate in the decision-making process.	
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	to include into the updated version of the Management Plan a more prominent cooperation with industry in relation to management	until 2016	the Municipality of Žďár nad Sázavou	no comments	
4.7 Visitor Management						
4.7.4	Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved	providing facilities for visitors	until 2016	National Heritage Institute, the Municipality of Žďár nad Sázavou	It is dependent on whether Zelená hora will be handed back to the Church in the process of restitution. The new owner will then make decisions as to the future use of the property.	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

- **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 15° 56, 516´ E / 49° 34, 819´ N

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise