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## Foreword

The Education for All Campaign and the Millennium Development Goals have focused the world's attention on ensuring that no child is excluded from receiving a primary education. However, despite global efforts to promote universal primary education, there were still 115 million children of primary school age out of school in 2001/02. Globally, 61.6 million girls of primary school age were not in school, accounting for $53 \%$ of the total number. The greatest absolute numbers of out-of-school children were found in subSaharan Africa (45 million) and South Asia (42 million).

This report seeks to assess better the magnitude of the problem and monitor progress towards the 2015 education targets. The need to produce a single, authoritative figure on out-of-school children was an important starting point for this joint UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) and UNICEF effort. The new estimates presented in this report address deficiencies in both the methods and data used previously. But, it also seeks to go much further. By recognising the need to critically review existing data at the national level and to confront or integrate data from different sources for more robust results, it is hoped that this report will act as a catalyst for a productive dialogue to improve the quality of national and international education measures.

Indeed efforts are needed in order to improve the way that children out of school are counted, but they are also needed to provide a more detailed picture of these children. The global count is important for monitoring progress. Yet at the same time, it is essential to view the child and household as units of analysis in order to reassess or formulate new national policies which will lead to change at the community level. This perspective requires a range of data and indicators.

While this report pays great attention to numbers of out-of-school children, it is clearly not enough to simply enrol children into school but to ensure that they complete primary schooling equipped with a comprehensive set of basic literacy and numeracy skills. Thus, measures of out-of-school children need to be studied alongside measures of education quality and learning outcomes.

It is essential to better understand the reason why children are not in school in the first place. In many countries, even those with high out-of-school rates, many of these children have had some contact with schooling. For example, in Malawi $91 \%$ of children have been in school at some time, but only $31 \%$ reach grade 5 . The report estimates that globally, one out of three children who are currently out of school will or have already received some primary education. In other words, the education system often connects with the child but not at the intended age or for the intended duration.

It is therefore imperative to focus policy attention on the key education transition points (entry, progression and completion) within the context of stages of child development. In particular, the report highlights the problem of children who start school late for their age. This not only causes learning difficulties for pupils but also organisational difficulties for teachers in the classroom. In the end, it appears that overage pupils, especially girls, are more likely to leave primary school before completion.


Cream Wright Chief of Education, UNICEF

The report also illustrates the potential of household survey data for providing greater insights about the out-of-school population and the demand for education. There are great gulfs between the relatively well-off and the poor, between those living in urban and rural areas, and between girls and boys. Together the interaction of gender, rural under-development and poverty combine to keep some children out of school. The report also raises important questions about what keeps girls out of school - when is it gender and when is it poverty?

The joint estimates presented here serve as a new baseline number for out-of-school children. For the future, we must build on this baseline to measure trends over time. Further work is needed to present annual updates of the estimates, or even retrospective results, and to construct a methodology to project change on the basis of survey data. The UNESCO Institute for Statistics and UNICEF remain committed to moving this measurement process ahead in order to better inform progress towards the goal of education for all.


Michael Millward
Director a.i., UNESCO Institute for Statistics
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## How many primary school-age children are excluded from education?

### 1.1 Introduction

Education brings wide-ranging benefits to both individuals and societies. It is considered so important to individual development that the right to primary education is legally guaranteed in most countries of the world. Moreover, international human rights conventions also recognise the right to education. This right has been established by a succession of UN Conventions, from the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948) to the Convention on the Rights of the Child (1989), which acquired the status of international law in 1990. According to Article 28 of the Convention, governments have the responsibility of making primary education compulsory and available free to all.

Education is also recognised as crucial to human development. Indeed the Education for All (EFA) movement and the Millennium Development Goals (MDG) have led to greater attention paid to educational participation and completion. Universal primary education is Goal 2 of both EFA (Jomtien, 1990; reaffirmed in Dakar, 2000) and the MDGs, adopted by UN Member States in 2000 (UNESCO, 2000; UN, 2003).

In the context of international goals and commitments, the number of out-of-school children is one of the most frequently cited education statistics. It is therefore crucial that, not only an appropriate definition and methodology are used, but that there is a good understanding of the results, their interpretation and limitations. It is important to clearly recognise that the final goal is not only to get children in school but to ensure schooling results in good learning outcomes.

The main purpose of this report is to provide joint global and regional estimates of primary school-age children who are out of school. Until now, international organizations have released varying figures based upon various data and methodologies. This report seeks to avoid this confusion by working jointly on global and regional results based upon a range of data sources. Table A1 in Annex 2 presents national results from various data sources to enable the reader to better evaluate the estimates for a specific country.

This publication draws upon the following data sources: traditional education statistics collected directly from Member States by the UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS), hence referred to as administrative data; household survey data collected through the Multiple Cluster Indicators Survey (MICS) conducted by national partners and UNICEF; and the Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) implemented by national partners and ORC Macro.

This chapter is divided into three sections. It begins with a brief introduction of the new methodology developed jointly by UNICEF and the UIS. The methodology provides an improved definition of out-of-school children and uses complementary data from different sources in order to improve the accuracy of the estimates.

The second section presents the results for the 2001/02 school year. It presents the global and regional estimates for the number of out-of-school children, followed by global and regional results by gender and estimates for individual countries.

The third section sets out a framework for distinguishing groups of children who are out of school, e.g. those who never participate in school and those who participate only in part of the primary education cycle.

### 1.2 A new approach to estimate the number of out-of-school children

## Defining out of school

The various global figures on out-of-school children published in recent years have differed not only with respect to their

A robust and transparent definition is critical to counting out-of-school children. results but also in terms of definitions (see Box 1.1). Thus, a robust and transparent definition is crucial to achieve coherent and well-understood statistics. In order to count out-of-school children, five components should be clearly defined:

- What levels of education (e.g. pre-primary, primary, secondary) constitute school?
- What is primary education?
- What is the school-age population to be considered?
- How is 'in school' defined?
- How is 'in school' measured?

The definitions employed for each of these components are outlined in this section.

## Box 1.1. Estimates of out-of-school children

The number of out-of-school children reported by UNESCO and UNICEF has often differed substantially. In 2004, UNESCO published the figure of 104 million out-of-school children in its Education for All Global Monitoring Report. In the same year, UNICEF published the figure of 121 million in its flagship report, The State of the World's Children. The new joint estimate presented in this report is different - 115 million children are out of school.

What explains the differences among these measures? For the 2004 estimates, both organizations used the same definition of schoolage population and the same population data. But they used different sources for education data. UNESCO estimates were based solely on administrative data. UNICEF used as a first choice administrative data - but complemented them with data from household surveys - especially where enrolment data were less recent than survey data or were considered unreliable.

The joint estimate presented in this report differs from both of these previous estimates in two ways.

First, it uses a revised set of definitions and methodologies. Previously, all children of eligible age who were not enrolled in primary school even if they were enrolled in secondary school were counted as out of school. The new methodology considers primary-age pupils who are already in secondary education as participating in school. As a result, about 11 million fewer children were counted as out of school. This change also explains why the new joint estimate is lower than previous UNICEF estimates.

Second, the joint estimate combines administrative data and household survey data in order to obtain a more accurate result. For countries where the differences between the two sources had a significant impact on the global estimate, a joint review committee evaluated the data sources and decided which to rely upon. In addition, national information about data was consulted where available. This approach led to a different result than previous UNICEF and UNESCO estimates. It added more than 20 million out-of-school children to the UNESCO global estimate.

## Definition, Part 1: Coverage of different levels of education

Children of primary school age are counted as being in school when they are participating either in primary or secondary education. But primary schoolage children in pre-primary education are counted as out of school for several reasons. Pre-primary education cannot always be considered as appropriate for children of primary school age. Enrolment data on pre-primary education by age, which are needed to identify primary school-age children, are missing for too many countries to reach a global estimate. However, pre-primary attendance is of a different quality than no attendance, and so this must be taken into account separately when interpreting figures on the number of out-of-school children, especially at the national level.

In the past, the number of out-of-school children had been calculated exclusively by participation in primary education. This means that primary school-age
children who were attending levels of education other than primary were counted as out of school (see Box 1.1). However, a substantial number of primary school-age children - some 11 million globally attend either at a lower or higher level of education. The availability of data disaggregated by single year of age for secondary education allows for more precise estimates.

Primary school-age children who attend either primary or secondary education are considered to be in school. Those in non-formal education are typically counted as out of school, except when it is recognised as fully equivalent to formal primary education. Primary school-age children who attend pre-primary education are considered to be out of school, although it is recommended to report this separately from the total number of children out of school.

## Definition, Part 2: Primary education and the primary school-age population

The concept of out-of-school children implies that there is a group of children that should be in school but is not. This group is recognised both nationally and internationally as primary school-age children. This provides the basis for determining which children are excluded from education. Every country has its own definition of primary education that needs to be translated into a comparable standard in order to assess progress towards international goals. For the purpose of international comparisons, primary education is defined by the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED 1997). Countries map their national education systems, in co-operation with international agencies, according to the levels of education defined by ISCED. This classification should primarily be based on educational content, although it is not possible to directly assess and compare. Primary education is characterised by the introductory and systematic provision of instruction in reading, writing and mathematics. The distinction between the end of primary and the beginning of lower secondary education can be blurred. ISCED provides some distinguishing criteria: for example, primary level programmes are organised in units or projects rather than by subjects. Lower secondary education begins instruction by subject by teachers with more formal qualifications who conduct classes in their fields of specialisation. Lower secondary education also calls for the full implementation of basic learning skills.

ISCED assumes that the duration needed for the primary level content is six years. However, duration and starting age of educational programmes serve only as subsidiary criteria for the classification. The implementation of ISCED leads to a cross-nationally comparable classification, although the age range for the programmes can differ by country (see Figure 1.1).

## In order to define the world's school-age population, crossnational comparisons must refer to ISCED.

In order to define the world's school-age population, crossnational comparisons must refer to ISCED. Cross-national statistics on out-of-school children are not based on a single age group standardised across countries, such as all children aged 6 to 11 years. Children in some countries start primary education at age 6 , while others start at age 5,7 or 8 elsewhere. Thus, a six-year-old child could
be considered out of school in one country and too young to start school in another. This also applies for children beyond the ending age of primary education, which would be between 9 and 13 years of age, depending on the educational system.

The national primary school-age population comprises all children of primary school age in the country - according to ISCED definitions. The global primary school-age population is the total of all national primary school-age populations.

## Definition, Part 3: Out of school and participation in school

The joint estimate of the number of children out of school considers the whole school year and not a specific point in time. Children are considered to be out of school if they had no exposure to school during the school year in question. All other children are considered to be participating in school if they attended at any point during the reference period, no matter to what extent they were absent or whether they later dropped out. To avoid confusion with the concepts of enrolment and attendance, this report uses the term participation to denote being in school.

## Applying the definition of participation with enrolment and attendance data

How can this definition of in school or participation lead to comparable results when applied to administrative and household survey data? Enrolment data provide the number of children enrolled or registered in school. This is measured differently across countries. Definitions used for international data collections emphasise that the unit of measurement are pupils enrolled, meaning that children registered more than once should be counted only once and that registration is linked to a pupil. ${ }^{1}$ Enrolment data potentially differ from the definition above in three ways. They can:

- overstate participation by counting registered children who never attend school;
- underestimate participation by missing children who attend school without being registered; and
- underestimate participation when enrolment is counted at the beginning of the school year while some children register later in the school year.

[^0]Household surveys allow the estimation of school attendance in two ways: current attendance², the most commonly used estimate, and attendance at some point during the school year, which is the estimate used for this methodology. The latter is based on the parents' or guardians' report as to whether the child is currently at school or, if not, whether the child attended school at some time during the school year. If the answer to either question is "yes", the child is considered to have attended in the reference school year, even if currently absent or out of school. This estimate could be considered to be inter-changeable with current attendance.

Various types of errors and biases are inherent in administrative and/or survey data, such as sampling error, differences in how administrative data are collected across countries, etc. (Sampling errors and confidence intervals are provided in Table A16 of Annex 2.) In addition, the methodology and implementation of data collection for either data source is not fully internationally standardised. Therefore, the errors associated with the data are country-specific and linked to the type of data. The joint methodology assumes that the variances arising from conceptual differences between the basic definition and its implementation are negligible compared to that from errors in cross-country comparison inherent in the data sources. In other words, errors in comparing across countries using one data source are likely to be more substantial than errors introduced by a mix of data sources.

Annex 1, Section 1.3 further discusses potential reasons for differences between results from the two data sources. They seem to be linked to problems associated with the measurement of pupils' ages rather than inconsistencies in the count of pupils.

Figure 1.1. Distribution of population and countries by starting age and duration of primary education, 2001/02

Starting age


[^1][^2]The age range used for the calculation of indicators is defined at the national level, assuming that the starting age, duration and ending age of primary education is identical across the country. This may mask differences among regions or districts within countries. Some children who are not of primary school age according to regional regulations may be counted within the national primary school-age population and thus be incorrectly considered as out of school. Sub-regional differences in primary education structures exist in large, highly-populated countries, such as India and China, but also in smaller ones, including Bangladesh, Bolivia, Cameroon, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Haiti, Honduras, Liberia, Nicaragua, Slovakia, Slovenia, and Trinidad and Tobago.

## Calculating the number of primary schoolage children out of school

First, the number of out-of-school children is calculated for each country. Wherever possible, the calculation is made from both data sources. The method of calculation used depends on the data source.

- With administrative data, the number of out-of-school children is calculated as the
difference between the total number of children in the primary school-age population and the number of those children reported as enrolled in either primary or secondary education. (Total population of primary school-age children - number of primary school-age children enrolled in primary or secondary education $=$ number of out-ofschool children.)
- With household survey data, the percentage of out-of-school children in the sampled school-age population is calculated. Then, the percentage is applied to the national number of children of primary school age.
- In both cases, the primary school-age population is based on data provided by the UN Population Division (UNPD).

The second step is to decide which data result should be selected for the global estimate. Annex 1 provides a detailed description of how the global and regional estimates were derived from the different data sources. It describes how the data and metadata were evaluated to combine the two data sources to reach a single estimate.

## Box 1.2. Defining primary education

Although cross-national data on primary education are classified according to ISCED, the differences in starting and ending ages and the duration of programmes remain. Figure 1.1 draws a basic global outline of starting ages and duration of primary school based on data for the 2001/02 school year. The top chart shows that in the majority of countries primary education starts at age 6 (119 countries) or 7 (47 countries). These countries are home to $61 \%$ and $34 \%$, respectively, of the world's children in this age group. The data thus show that $90 \%$ of countries make ages 6 and 7 the official starting ages for primary school and $95 \%$ of children live in these countries.

The global picture is more varied with respect to the duration of the primary cycle. As the bottom chart indicates, primary education ranges from three to eight years in duration. However, in 114 countries primary education lasts for six years. Another 29 countries have four-year programmes, 22 countries have seven-year programmes, and 19 countries have five-year cycles. Additionally, half of the global population aged 6 to 11 live in countries with fiveyear programmes. Slightly more than one-third of this age group is found in countries with six-year primary programmes. This is mainly due to the classification of primary education in China and India.

### 1.3 The global and regional estimates of primary school-age children who are out of school

## Global estimates

The number of out-of-school children can be expressed in several ways. This section draws largely on two indicators: the absolute number and rate of out-of-school children. The rate is the total number of out-of-school children as a percentage of all primary school-age children. It is useful for comparisons across countries and regions of different population size. Chapter 2 explores the rate of out-of-school children in relation to other

Figure 1.2. Number of primary school-age children out of school by sex and region, 2001/02
factors, such as place of residence and household wealth.

A total of 115 million primary school-age children were found to be out of school in the year 2001/02 as a result of the joint methodology.

Figure 1.2 presents the total number of out-ofschool children by region, based on the joint methodology. The greatest numbers of out-ofschool children ( 42 million) live in South Asia, followed by West and Central Africa with 24 million, and Eastern and Southern Africa with 21 million. Together these three regions account for threequarters of the global total (see Figure 1.3).


[^3]Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Table 1.1.
Figure 1.3. Distribution of out-of-school children of primary school age by region, 2001/02


Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Table 1.1.

Expressed in another way, 18\% - or almost one in five - of the world's 650 million children of primary school age are not in school. Clearly, there are some widely different regional patterns behind this global average (see Figure 1.4). Almost half of the children in West and Central Africa are out of school, and more than one-third in Eastern and Southern Africa. In South Asia, this proportion exceeds one-quarter. On the contrary, not more than $6 \%$ of children are out of school in East Asia and the Pacific, Latin America and the Caribbean, in addition to industrialised countries.

Almost one in five (18\%) of all primary school-age children in the world are not in school.

Figure 1.4. Primary school-age children out of school by region, 2001/02


Note: Regional results are weighted by the size of the school-age population.
Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Table 1.1.

TABLE 1.1. PRIMARY SCHOOL-AGE CHILDREN OUT OF SCHOOL BY REGION, $2001 / 02$

| Country or territory | School-age population (thousands) |  |  | Percentage of all children of primary school age |  |  |  |  |  | Absolute number of children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | In school |  |  | Out of school |  |  | Out of school (thousands) |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | \% Female |
| Central and Eastern Europe / CIS | 24,998 | 12,751 | 12,247 | 88.3 | 89.5 | 87.1 | 11.7 | 10.5 | 12.9 | 2,922 | 1,339 | 1,583 | 54.2 |
| Middle East and North Africa | 47,116 | 24,077 | 23,039 | 81.3 | 84.6 | 77.9 | 18.7 | 15.4 | 22.1 | 8,797 | 3,705 | 5,092 | 57.9 |
| Eastern and Southern Africa | 55,706 | 27,919 | 27,787 | 61.5 | 62.2 | 60.9 | 38.5 | 37.8 | 39.1 | 21,421 | 10,566 | 10,855 | 50.7 |
| West and Central Africa | 53,061 | 26,771 | 26,289 | 54.7 | 58.7 | 50.7 | 45.3 | 41.3 | 49.3 | 24,024 | 11,052 | 12,972 | 54.0 |
| South Asia | 162,720 | 84,025 | 78,694 | 74.0 | 77.7 | 70.1 | 26.0 | 22.3 | 29.9 | 42,294 | 18,742 | 23,552 | 55.7 |
| East Asia and the Pacific | 176,287 | 91,651 | 84,636 | 94.3 | 94.4 | 94.2 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 10,029 | 5,158 | 4,870 | 48.6 |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 58,064 | 29,565 | 28,499 | 94.3 | 93.9 | 94.7 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 3,286 | 1,789 | 1,497 | 45.6 |
| Industrialised countries | 70,595 | 36,247 | 34,348 | 96.3 | 96.0 | 96.6 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 2,602 | 1,433 | 1,169 | 44.9 |
| World | 648,545 | 333,006 | 315,539 | 82.2 | 83.8 | 80.5 | 17.8 | 16.2 | 19.5 | 115,375 | 53,784 | 61,590 | 53.4 |
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## Gender

Countries made international commitments towards eliminating gender disparities in primary education by 2005. Gender parity in primary education is reflected in the fifth goal of the Dakar Framework for Action 2000 and the third Millennium Development Goal. There are many countries still far from reaching this goal as shown

Globally, 61.6 million girls of primary school age are not in school, accounting for 53\% of the total number of children out of school.
by the following three indicators: absolute numbers; the share of primary school-age girls among the total number of out-of-school children; and finally, differences in out-of-school rates among girls and boys.

In absolute terms, 61.6 million girls of primary school age around the world are not in school, compared to 53.8 million boys. In other words, girls account for $53 \%$ of the world's out of school children. While $16 \%$ of the world's primary schoolage boys are out of school, the figure is higher for girls at $20 \%$. In short, one in five eligible girls does not go to school.

Figure 1.5 shows the share of girls among out-ofschool children which should be interpreted in concert with Figure 1.4, which presents rates of

Figure 1.5. Distribution of primary school-age children out of school by sex and region, 2001/02


In East Asia and the Pacific, Latin America and the Caribbean and the industrialised countries, a slight majority of out-of-school children are male.

Although gender differences are substantial in some regions, gender is far from being the most important factor globally to deter children from attending school. Chapter 2 draws on micro-level data to better identify the characteristics of out-of-school children, including a more detailed discussion of gender differences.

[^5]It is important to note that global and regional results mask high gender disparities in individual countries. Figure 1.6 presents countries with the highest gender gaps, e.g. where girls or boys are at a disadvantage. For example, there are twice as many girls as boys out of school in Equatorial Guinea and Grenada. In Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Iraq, Liberia, Morocco, Turkey and Yemen, there are three to four girls out of school for every two boys. The fact that India has the world's largest numbers of out-of-school and primary school eligible
children has an enormous impact on the global levels of gender disparity.

As expected, a few countries show gender disparities that are weighted against boys (see second chart of Figure 1.6). There are 1.5 boys - or more - for every girl who is out of school in the Bahamas, Brazil, Lesotho, Mongolia, the Netherlands Antilles, Philippines and Saint Kitts and Nevis. Typically, these countries also have lower rates of children out of school.

Figure 1.6. Gender disparity among primary school-age children out of school by country, 2001/02
Countries with more girls out of school


Countries with more boys out of school


Note: 1) Results based on household surveys.
2) Data refer to a different school year. See source table.

The gender parity index (GPI) expresses the number of girls divided by the number of boys out of school. The top chart presents the GPI, the bottom chart the reciprocal value of the GPI, so as to put disadvantages for each gender on a comparable scale.

The chart presents countries with a GPI greater than 1.2 or the reciprocal value above 1.2. Countries with less than $5 \%$ of their children out of school are excluded.

## Regional profiles

The following section presents data on out-of-school children by region and for individual countries. Wherever data are available, the report distinguishes between the number of primary school-age children completely out of school and those still in preprimary education. This was possible for about half of the countries, which were able to provide data by age for pre-primary school participation.

## South Asia

South Asia is home to one-quarter of the world's primary school-age population. It is also the region with the highest absolute number of out-of-school children: 42 million of the 162 million school-age

More than one-third of out-of-school children live in South Asia. children in the region (or $26 \%$ ) were out of school in 2001/02. These boys and girls account for $36 \%$ of the world's out-of-school children. In relative terms, on average one out of four school-age children in the region did not attend school in the reference year (see Figures 1.2-1.4).

The highest share in South Asia is found in Pakistan, where more than 8 million of almost 20 million school-age children (or $40 \%$ ) are out of school. Nepal has the second-highest (34\%) number of out-of-school children in the region. Figure 1.8 presents these proportions in countries for which data are available. It excludes countries with fewer than 5\% of children not participating in school.

Not surprisingly, India - the second most populous country in the world - has the highest absolute number of out-of-school children. According to the MICS 2000 survey, almost 27 million school-age children in India do not attend school, or one out of four. India alone accounts for $23 \%$ of the global total. In Bangladesh, one out of five or 3.7 million primary school-age children are out of school.

Two of the region's six countries with data available show near-universal primary school participation. Fewer than 5\% of children are out of school in Sri Lanka and the Maldives (see Figure 1.7).
Figure 1.7. Primary school-age children out of school by country, 2001/02


[^6]Figure 1.8. Out-of-school children of primary school age in countries with rates above 5\%, 2001/02


Note: 1) Results based on household surveys.
2) Data refer to a year different from 2001/02. For the exact year, see Statistical Table A1.

Countries with less than 5\% of out-of-school children are not displayed in the chart.
Data on participation in pre-primary are presented for countries with available data. Otherwise it is included in the total of out-of-school children. See Statistical Table A1 for the availability of data on pre-primary education.

Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Statistical Table A1.

## West and Central Africa

West and Central Africa has the highest prevalence of primary school-age children out of school. Although the region's primary school-age

Almost every second
child of primary school age in West and Central Africa is out of school. population accounts for just 8\% of the global total, the region has $20 \%$ of the world's out-of-school children - the second highest share after South Asia. In absolute terms, 24 million out-of-school children live in the region. The proportion in the region is $45 \%$ - or almost every second child (see Figures 1.2-1.4).

In a number of countries, the majority of school-age children are not in school, reaching as high as twothirds of the relevant age

In some countries, two-thirds of schoolage children are not in school. group. As Figure 1.8 shows, in Burkina Faso, the Central African Republic, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Guinea-Bissau, Mali, Niger and Sierra Leone, the share of school-age children not in school ranges from 50\% to 66\%.

Virtually no country in this region is close to universal primary education. The only exception is Sao Tome and Principe, the small island republic in the Gulf of Guinea, where administrative data show a participation rate of more than 95\% (however, rates based on household survey data are much lower; see Statistical Table A1).

## Eastern and Southern Africa

More than one-third of all primary school-age children in Eastern and Southern Africa are out of school.

Eastern and Southern Africa has the thirdlargest population of out-of-school children in the world - 21 million in all. In terms of the rate of children out of school, the region is second after West and Central Africa. More than one-third of all
primary children in the region are not in school (see Figures 1.2-1.4).

Somalia has the dismal distinction of having the world's highest proportion of primary school-age children not in school. Here, 1.6 million out of 1.8 million eligible children - nine out of ten girls and boys - are unable to access education. This is the result of years of factional conflict and the lack of a national government. Out-of-school rates exceed 50\% in the neighbouring countries of Eritrea and

Nine out of ten children are out of school in Somalia, the highest national rate in the world. Ethiopia, which have also experienced armed conflict. Finally, Burundi, Comoros, Mozambique and Tanzania have out-of-school rates greater than 40\% (see Figure 1.8).

The effect of pre-primary school attendance varies widely in sub-Saharan Africa. In Angola, more than $10 \%$ of primary school-age children are still participating in pre-primary education (see Figure 1.8). In the Seychelles, over $95 \%$ of children considered to be out of school are enrolled in preprimary education.

## Middle East and North Africa

The Middle East and North Africa region is home to 8.8 million out-of-school children, or $20 \%$ of all primary school-age children in the region (see Figures 1.2-1.4). There is, however, marked variation among countries. The highest proportions of out-of-school children are found in Djibouti and Sudan, with $65 \%$ and $51 \%$, respectively. They are followed by Yemen and Saudi Arabia, with more than 40\% each. On the other hand, the proportion is less than $10 \%$ in nine countries, e.g. Algeria, Egypt, Libya and Syria. Almost all countries in the region report very low rates of primary school-age children who are still in pre-primary education (see Figure 1.8).

## East Asia and the Pacific

Participation rates are generally high in East Asia and the Pacific. China is the most populous country in the region - with more than 60\% of the region's children - and it has a high participation rate (96\%) for primary school. Eleven other countries also report that more than $95 \%$ of all primary schoolage children are in school (see Figure 1.7). However, there are several exceptions, such as Cambodia and Papua New Guinea, where some 30\% of primary school-age children are out of school (see Figure 1.8).

## Latin America and the Caribbean

In Latin America and the Caribbean, 95\% of all children are participating in education.

In Latin America and the Caribbean, only $5 \%$ of all primary school-age children, about 3 million, are not in school. About half of the countries in the region report more than 95\% of all children in school (see Figures 1.2-1.4).

Haiti is the notable exception: almost every second child of primary school age is not in school, which amounts to about 570,000 children. It has the second-largest out-of-school population in the region - even after Brazil, which has 10 times more children.

There are several countries in the region that report more than $10 \%$ of primary school-age children not in school: Colombia, Grenada, Guatemala, Honduras, the Netherlands Antilles, Nicaragua and Saint Kitts and Nevis. However, in a number of countries, e.g. Brazil, British Virgin Islands, and Costa Rica, a substantial proportion of these children are in fact participating in pre-primary education (see Figure 1.8).

## Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States

Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States is the region with the smallest population of primary school-age children - 25 million. Nonetheless, almost 3 million are not in school. At a rate of $11 \%$, the share is twice that of Latin America and the Caribbean, as well as that of industrialised countries (see Figures 1.2-1.4). This demonstrates that the high participation rates typical under Soviet and communist rule have not
been maintained in some countries. Countries with the highest proportion - more than $15 \%$ - of primary school-age children not in school include Azerbaijan, the Republic of Moldova, Serbia and Montenegro, as well as Uzbekistan (see Figure 1.8).

In terms of absolute numbers, most of the region's out-of-school children live in its two most populous countries, the Russian Federation ( 0.5 million) and Turkey ( 1 million). With exclusion rates of $12 \%$ and $11 \%$, respectively, both countries are close to the regional average.

Four countries in the region report participation rates greater than 95\%: Albania, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Kazakhstan and Tajikistan. In Belarus and the Republic of Moldova, most of the primary school-age children considered to be out of school are participating in pre-primary education.

## Industrialised countries

Not surprisingly, the lowest proportions of children not in school - less than 4\% - can be found in the industrialised countries, totaling 2.6 million children. Of the 36 countries for which data are available, 30 countries report that more than 95\% of the primary school-age cohort are participating in primary or secondary education (see Figures 1.21.4).

However, exceptions do exist. In several countries, many children who start school late attend preprimary education though they are of primary school starting age. Yet, for many industrialised countries, no reliable data exist on the exact enrolment rates for the first year of primary school age (see Annex 1, Section A for further details).

But, not all children out of school in these well-off countries are in pre-primary education. In Latvia, $10 \%$ of the primary-age cohort does not attend either pre-primary or primary school. The United States also has a relatively high share of primary school-age children not attending either primary or pre-primary education (4.3\%). There are several possible explanations: first, that children start primary school late, and second, that they participate in home-schooling as in the United States. But even these factors do not fully explain the total number of out-of-school children in those countries.

### 1.4 A framework for classifying out-ofschool children

A typology of out-of-school children helps to distinguish the different types of out-of-school status. The framework distinguishes amongst groups in terms of policies aimed at reducing the number of out-of-school children. Different policies are needed in order to provide access to those excluded from the school system, to ensure that children start school in time, or to ensure that they complete a full cycle of primary education.

## Out-of-school children <br> can be grouped into those who have not yet entered school and those who have dropped out.

Out-of-school children of primary school age fall into two main groups with respect to their exposure to education. The first group consists of children who have yet to start school. The second group comprises children who have dropped out before reaching the theoretical completion age for primary school.

The first group can be broken down further in terms of the probability of future school participation. There is a good chance that many of these children, especially those at younger ages, will start school at some point in the future. Some, however, will never begin schooling. Examining rates of school participation for older primary school-age children allows one to judge the proportion of young out-ofschool children that may be expected to enter primary school late.

By way of illustration, Figures 1.9 and 1.10 highlight the age profiles of out-of-school children for selected countries. The graphs show how the relative size of each of the three types of out-of-school children differs across countries.

Figure 1.9. Out-of-school children by age and school exposure in Zambia, 2001/02


Figure 1.9 presents the case of Zambia. As many as $61 \%$ of 7 -year-olds and $44 \%$ of 8 -year-olds had yet to attend school. This "never attended" group is much smaller among older children. The proportion of children who have never been to school drops to below $10 \%$ by the age of 15 , which suggests Some out-of-school children will enter school late, others will never benefit. that a considerable number of those 7 - and 8 -year-olds who were out of school could be expected to start school later. In fact, given the participation rates for older children, the probability that an out-of-school 7 -year-old in Zambia will eventually start school is six to one. On the other hand, $23 \%$ of 13 -year-olds, the theoretical age for completing primary school, are out of school. Half were never in school and the other half dropped out.

The Zambian situation can be analysed from two perspectives. The longitudinal perspective looks at children born in the same year and analyses the exposure of that group over the duration of their years of primary school age. Given the data available, the longitudinal perspective reflects a synthetic cohort, which is based on the assumption that the participation rates observed in the survey year remain unchanged over time. Another perspective takes a "snapshot" of the whole primary school-age group at one point in time.

From the longitudinal perspective, there appears to be a core group (12\%) of children who never attend school between the ages of 7 to 13 . They grow up without any formal education. Another $49 \%$ of an age cohort starts education late. Finally, 11\% appear to drop out before they reach the theoretical primary completion age.

A "snapshot" of primary school-age children in Zambia represents the average participation rate over the primary school ages. It shows that in 2001/02, 32\% of primary school-age children were out of school. Within this group, 38\% can be expected to never go to school, 24\% already dropped out, and another 38\% can be expected to enter school in years to come.

A comparison of age profiles across countries, as presented in Figure 1.10, helps to identify and illustrate different patterns of exclusion from education.

Figure 1.10. Out-of-school children by age and school exposure in selected countries

systems. It is worth noting that, in most countries, the majority of children who drop out do so after they have passed the end of primary school age. This does not mean, however, that they have completed primary school (see Box 1.3).

It is important to note that no single pattern of exclusion predominates in countries with high numbers of out-of-school children. All three out-ofschool types - late entry, early dropout and never attending - contribute to the total number.

## Box 1.3. At which age do children drop out from school?

Staying in school until the end of primary school age is not the same as completing primary education. Due to late entry and/or grade repetition, a child may reach the expected graduation age without having completed primary school. Indeed, pupils who are overage for their grade have been shown to be at greater risk of dropping out later (Wils, 2004).

Figure 1.11 presents data for pupils who did not complete primary education in selected countries. For each country, it presents the dropouts by age group - whether they were of primary school age when they left school or whether they were older. The graph shows that the majority of these children
were older than the typical ending age for primary school. Indeed, in 9 out of the 15 countries presented, the majority of children left school when they were already three or more years older than the expected ending age for primary education.

These findings emphasise that focusing only on the primary school-age group can mask the real scale of dropout rates. Nevertheless, the high proportion of overage dropouts indicates the importance of children starting school in time and moving smoothly through the system. Indicators that track children by age are needed to monitor these aspects of education systems (see Langsten and Hassan, forthcoming).

Figure 1.11. Children leaving school without completing primary education by age range


## Global patterns

Of the world's 115 million children who are out of school, what share can be expected to enter school late, to have dropped out or to never attend school? The typology can be applied at the global level, although it should be noted that this approach provides only a rough indicator of the distribution of out-of-school children. For further details on the calculation of this indicator, see Annex 1.

Figure 1.12 shows that two out of three children who were not in school in 2001/02 will probably never attend during their primary school-age years. In other words, the vast majority of these children are completely excluded from education.

On the other hand, one out of three children who are currently out of school will or have already received some primary education. In other words, the education system connects with the child but not at the intended age or for the intended duration.

Late-starters account for 29\% of the total number of out-of-school children. Children who drop out make up just 6\%. However, it should be emphasised that leaving school without completing a full cycle
of primary education is still problematic. Yet, because this occurs mainly among older ages, it is not fully captured by statistics on primary school-age children.

Figure 1.12 shows the variation in the different types of out-ofschool children by region. In Africa, South Asia, and Central and East Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS),

Two-thirds of the world's out-ofschool children grow up without any education. children who are out of school will most likely never attend - although the phenomena of late entry or early dropout should not be ignored. The picture is different in East Asia and the Pacific, Latin America and the Caribbean and the industrialised countries. Here out-of-school children can typically be expected to enter school late. Less than one-quarter of these children are completely excluded from education.

The following charts take a different perspective by looking at cohorts of children born in the same year. Figure 1.13 shows the percentage of children of official school entry age who are not in school. They are further disaggregated according to the likelihood that they will enter school in the future. Globally, 28\% of all children at official school-entry age are not in school. This indicates that one out of four children are out of school for one

Globally, more than one in four children are out of school during one or more years of primary school age. One in ten will never attend primary school. or more years of their primary school-age span. Eventually, most of them attend school for more than one year. Nevertheless, $12 \%$ of children will never attend school - or one in 10 children will grow up excluded from education.

In West and Central Africa, as well as in Eastern and Southern Africa, almost 60\% of all children at official school-entry age are not in school. Most of them will never attend. The same is true in South Asia, where $36 \%$ of children at entry age are not in school. The picture is quite different in East Asia and the Pacific, Latin America and the Caribbean and the industrialised countries. Here, there is also a significant proportion of children at entry age who are not in school (14\%), but almost all of them can be expected to start later.

Figure 1.13. Children of official school-entry age not in school by expected participation and region, 2001/02


Figure 1.14 shows the percentage of children who will likely never attend school by country. High levels of exclusion are found mainly in countries of sub-Saharan Africa and to a lesser extent in South and East Asia. Every second child in Burkina Faso, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Mali, Sierra Leone and Somalia will grow up without any education if current trends continue. Every third child will grow up without going to school in Burundi, Central African Republic, Comoros, Guinea-Bissau, Niger and the United Republic of Tanzania. Outside Africa, such high levels are found only in Pakistan and Saudi Arabia.

With few exceptions, all other countries with exclusion rates greater than $10 \%$ are also found in Africa or in South and East Asia, notably Nepal (17\%), India (15\%), Bangladesh (14\%), Lao PDR (13\%) and Cambodia (12\%).The only exceptions, where data are available, are Haiti (19\%) and Papua New Guinea (13\%).

Figure 1.15 presents the proportion of out-of-school children of the official school-entry age who are expected to enter later. The figure shows that delayed entry is widespread across all regions. Indeed, in East Asia and the Pacific, the Americas and Europe, it is the single-most important factor keeping children out of school. It is estimated that more than $50 \%$ of children will enter at least one year later than the primary school-entry age in 13 countries or more, including: Botswana, Gabon, Liberia, Namibia, and Uganda. Most notable are countries that have high rates of late entry and never entering, such as Cambodia, Haiti, Senegal and Uganda.

As mentioned earlier, some out-of-school children who will enter primary school late are actually still in pre-primary education. This is the case for more than $5 \%$ of the primary school-age children in Liberia, St. Kitts and Nevis, and Thailand.


Figure 1.14. Percentage of a single-year age cohort expected to never attend school, 2001/02
Figure 1.15. Percentage of a single-year age cohort expected to enter school delayed, 2001/02
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## CHAPTER 2 Assessing the characteristics of out-of-school children

### 2.1 Introduction

This report presents a new global total for the number of out-ofschool children - 115 million. This figure is the outcome of a joint calculation by UNESCO and UNICEF, as described in detail in Chapter 1. It sets a new common baseline for the number of children who are of primary school age but who are not in primary or secondary school. The collaboration by these two lead agencies has helped to establish more authoritative estimates, not only on the global total of out-of-school children, but on their distribution by region and country as well.

This chapter looks at these numbers from the perspective of the child. We know how many out-of-school children there are and where they are; now we ask, who are they? The aim of this chapter is to add a more detailed portrait of out-of-school children by presenting the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of these children, as well as their parents and households. This information is vital for effective policy development and appropriate programmes or interventions. Specifically, this chapter looks at out-of-school children by sex, mother's education, household wealth and place of residence (urban/rural). Age is presented as a cross-cutting variable. The analysis explores whether and how much each characteristic influences the number of out-of-school children.

It should be noted that, in contrast to Chapter 1, the analysis in this chapter is based on data from household surveys and does not include the group of industrialised countries. The data, available for 80 countries, were collected between 1996 and 2003 as part of the Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS), conducted by UNICEF, and the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS), conducted by ORC Macro with funding from USAID. Results are presented for each country and globally for the following regions:

Overall, these 80 countries reflect $59 \%$ of the world's primary school-age population. In Eastern and Southern Africa, West and Central Africa, and South Asia, more than $96 \%$ of children are covered by the surveys. In other regions, the coverage ranges between 39\% and 59\%. Although the group of industrialised countries is not included in the surveys, they cover the countries where $83 \%$ of out-of-school children live. Estimates presented are weighted averages of available country data.

Central and Eastern Europe, Commonwealth of Independent States (CEE/CIS): 9/20 countries or territories

Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Moldova, Tajikistan, Turkey, Uzbekistan
Middle East and North Africa: 7/20 countries or territories
Algeria, Bahrain, Egypt, Iraq, Lebanon, Sudan, Yemen
Eastern and Southern Africa: 20/22 countries or territories
Angola, Botswana, Burundi, Comoros, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Kenya, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Mozambique, Namibia, Rwanda, Somalia, South Africa, Swaziland, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe

West and Central Africa: 21/24 countries or territories
Benin, Burkina Faso, Central African Republic, Cameroon, Chad, Congo (Democratic Republic), Côte d'Ivoire, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Togo

South Asia: 4/8 countries or territories
Bangladesh, India, Nepal, Pakistan
East Asia and the Pacific: 7/30 countries or territories
Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Mongolia, Myanmar, Philippines, Viet Nam

Latin America and the Caribbean: 12/41 countries or territories
Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Dominican Republic, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Nicaragua, Peru, Suriname, Trinidad and Tobago, Venezuela

### 2.2 Demographic and socio-economic determinants

Based on the available household survey data, it is estimated that $26 \%$ of primary school-age children in these 80 countries were out of school (Figure 2.1). The data from household surveys also indicate that, in relation to the existing primary school population in each region, the highest proportions of children out of school are observed in Africa, South Asia and the Middle East and North Africa. These estimates are similar to the global estimates by region presented in Chapter 1. In 14 African countries, survey data show that one-half or more of primary school-age children are not attending school: Somalia (89\%), Burkina Faso (72\%), Niger (70\%), Comoros and Ethiopia (69\%), Mali and Chad (61\%), Guinea ( $60 \%$ ), Guinea-Bissau and Sierra Leone (59\%), Central African Republic (57\%), Mauritania (56\%), Burundi (55\%) and Senegal (52\%) (see Statistical Table A3).

However, since the population of primary schoolage children differs by region, we also find that $44 \%$ of out-of-school children live in Africa ( $23 \%$ in West and Central and $21 \%$ in Eastern and

India, Pakistan, Nigeria and Ethiopia have the greatest numbers of out-of-school children. Southern), followed by South Asia with $40 \%$. At the country level, India, Pakistan, Nigeria and Ethiopia have the greatest numbers of children out of school.

Figure 2.2 provides a profile of the global out-ofschool population for the 80 survey countries by sex, mother's education, household wealth, and place of residence. It shows that in these countries:

- A greater share of girls is out of school - $28 \%$ compared to $24 \%$ of boys.
- More than twice as many children whose mothers have no education are out of school $36 \%$ compared to $16 \%$ for children of mothers with some education.
- More than three times as many children from the poorest $20 \%$ of households are out of school compared to those from the richest 20\% of households - $38 \%$ compared to $12 \%$.
- A much greater share of children who live in rural areas are out of school than those who live in urban areas - $30 \%$ compared to $18 \%$.

Often, these factors interact with each other. The multi-variate analysis presented in Section 2.3 attempts to identify the net effects and relative significance of each factor.

Figure 2.1 Primary school-age children out of school by region based on household survey data, 1996-2003


Note: Based on surveys conducted in 80 countries.
Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Statistical Table A3

Figure 2.2. Primary school-age children out of school by background characteristics, 1996-2003


## Age

The age of a child is one of the most important variables to be considered when analysing patterns of school (non-)attendance. More specifically, as raised in Chapter 1, it matters whether children start school at the prescribed entry age and, thereafter, whether they are in the appropriate grade for their age. When children start late or repeat grades, it increases the likelihood that they will drop out before completion. The analysis considers the effect of age as part of case studies in four countries: India, Indonesia, Mali and Nigeria. Each case study looks at one of the four key determinants - sex, mother's education, household wealth, place of residence - through the lens of age. It is important to note that the analysis draws on data for the entire school-age range collected by the individual surveys. For India, the data cover school attendance for household members aged 5 to 14 years; for Indonesia, Mali and Nigeria, for household members aged 5 to 24 years.

For the purpose of the analysis, the children are divided into three distinct groups:

- The first group covers children who are currently attending school, regardless of level (e.g. primary, secondary or tertiary education).
- The second group covers children who have never attended formal education. However, this may include children who are of school age but attend pre-primary programmes or nonstandard forms of education.
- The third group covers children who have attended primary, secondary or tertiary education in the past but have since left school.

The second and third groups together comprise the share of school-age children who are out of school.

The countries in the case studies represent a wide range of primary school-age participation levels, from $39 \%$ in Mali to $94 \%$ in Indonesia. Nigeria and India present intermediate values at $62 \%$ and $77 \%$, respectively. It is important to note that most of the
remaining children have never gone to school and, therefore, the dropout rates for school are relatively low in all four countries.

However, patterns become more complex when viewed from a broader age perspective. Leaving school is much more pronounced at the secondary level. In Indonesia, the dropout rate is particularly high - $38 \%$ of secondary school-age youth leave school. Clearly, the factors that produce a very high rate of participation at the

38\% of secondary school-age children drop out of school in Indonesia. primary level (94\%) are no longer present at the secondary school level, where participation falls to $61 \%$. What is hindering the transition from primary to secondary school? And what must change to keep those at the secondary level in school? Furthermore, it is important to look at secondary school-age children who are still in primary school. For example, in Mali, more than one-half of the children of secondary school age are still in primary school. What are their prospects and what can be done to ensure that they stay in school and progress to secondary education?

## Sex

Household survey data for the 80 countries indicate that for every 100 boys of primary school age who are not in school, there are 117 girls out of school (Figure 2.3). Exclusion of primary school-age girls is particularly marked in the Middle East and North Africa ( 134 girls to 100 boys); South

Exclusion of primary school-age girls is marked in the Middle East and North Africa, South Asia, and West and Central Africa. Asia (129:100); and West and Central Africa (118:100); and in individual countries like Yemen (184:100), Iraq (176:100), India and Benin (136:100), Nepal (135:100), Egypt (131:100), Pakistan (129:100) and Togo (126:100).

On the other hand, the out-of-school ratio in Latin America and the Caribbean is 96 girls to 100 boys. Nonetheless, some countries in the region still have high rates of exclusion of girls - Bolivia, Guatemala and Peru all have ratios greater than 120:100 (see Statistical Table A3).

Figure 2.3. Children out of school by sex and region, 1996-2003


Figure 2.4. Distribution of primary school-age out-of-school children by sex and region, 1996-2003


## Box 2.1. Case study - Sex, age and exclusion in India

In India, the official age range for primary school is 6 to 10 years, and 11 to 17 years for secondary school. As Figure 2.5 shows, there are some children younger than 6 years who attend primary school and a much larger number who are still in primary school at older ages (11 to 14 years). The main
factors for the latter are late entry into school and grade repetition. Figure 2.6 shows the substantial share of children aged 8 years and older who attend a grade lower than prescribed for their age. These pupils fall into the overage category.

Figure 2.5. School attendance by sex and age in India, 2000


Figure 2.6. Overage and underage children by sex and age in India, 2000


Note: Official primary school ages: 6 to 10 years; official secondary school ages: 11 to 17 years.
Source: MICS, 2000.

| Table 2.1. School attendance by sex and ace in India, 2000 |
| :--- |
| Age group |

Note: A more complete description of the patterns of school attendance in India, Indonesia, Mali and Nigeria is included in Statistical Tables A10 to A13.
Source: MICS, 2000.

As expected, the dropout rate is much higher at secondary school ages ( $11 \%$ ) than at primary school ages (less than 2\%). As Figure 2.5 indicates and Table 2.1 confirms, girls at older ages are slightly more likely to drop out of school than boys (12\% compared to $10 \%$ ).

## Place of residence

Place of residence (i.e. urban or rural location) also influences the likelihood that a child will be out of school. The proportion of children out of school is greater in rural areas; in fact, almost double that observed in urban areas, $30 \%$ compared to $18 \%$. Since most children of primary school age in developing countries live in rural areas, it is not surprising that $82 \%$ of out-of-school children live in rural areas (see Figure 2.7).
(84\%) and West and Central Africa ( $81 \%$ ), more than 8 in 10 children who are out of school live in rural households (see Figure 2.8). This compares to $60 \%$ in the Latin America and Caribbean region. In some countries, the share of the rural out-of-school population is very high: Ethiopia (96\%), Burkina Faso (95\%), Malawi (94\%), India and Bangladesh (84\%), and Pakistan ( $81 \%$ ). These high levels are shaped by very large population sizes (e.g. India) or very low levels of school attendance (e.g. Burkina Faso and Ethiopia) (see Statistical Table A4).

As concluded later in this chapter, the net effect of

More than 8 in 10 out-of-school children live in rural households in Eastern and Southern Africa, South Asia, and West and Central Africa. place of residence on the probability of a child being out of school is significant for only a limited number of countries once other socio-economic and demographic variables are taken into consideration.

## 82\% of out-ofschool children live in rural areas.

In all regions, except CEE/CIS, the share of children out of school is 1.5 to almost 2 times higher among children living in rural households than their urban counterparts. In 24 countries, the ratio is substantially greater than 2 , e.g. Ethiopia (3.2), Eritrea (3.0), Burkina Faso and Nicaragua (2.9), Iraq (2.7), and (at 2.5) Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Lao PDR and Malawi (see Statistical Table A3).

In three regions, Eastern and Southern Africa (87\%), South Asia

Figure 2.7. Primary school-age children out of school by place of residence and region, 1996-2003


Note: Based on household surveys conducted in 80 countries.
Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Statistical Table A3.

Figure 2.8. Distribution of primary school-age children out of school by place of residence and region, 1996-2003


Note: Based on household surveys conducted in 80 countries.
Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Statistical Table A4.

## Box 2.2. Case study - Place of residence, age and exclusion in Indonesia

The primary school cycle in Indonesia covers children aged 7 to 12 years, while secondary school covers children aged 13 to 18 years. In urban and rural areas, the education system reaches both populations, with only a small percentage of children of primary and secondary school ages who have never been in school (see Figure 2.9). It is also notable that, although almost all children in Indonesia attend school at some time, the proportion that leaves school increases greatly
during the secondary school ages. It appears that rural children are more likely to drop out, with 48\% of secondary school-age children leaving school, compared to $30 \%$ in urban areas (see Table 2.2).

A significant proportion of children attending school are in a lower grade than prescribed for their age (see overage bars in Figure 2.10) and, again, this phenomenon is more common among children of primary school age living in rural areas.

Figure 2.9. School attendance by place of residence in Indonesia, 2002/03


Figure 2.10. Overage and underage children and youth by place of residence in Indonesia, 2002/03


Note: Official primary school ages: 7 to 12 years; official secondary school ages: 13 to 18 years.
Source: DHS, 2002/03

Both dropout and repetition rates appear to be important and biased
towards less-favoured populations. A more detailed analysis of these two

Table 2.2. School attendance by age and place of residence in Indonesia, 2002/03

| Age group | Place of residence | School-age children (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre-primary non-standard | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary | Left school | Never in school | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { school } \end{gathered}$ | Out of school |
| Primary school age | Urban | 0.0 | 86.7 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 96.0 | 4.0 |
|  | Rural | 0.2 | 87.9 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 93.2 | 6.8 |
| Secondary school age | Urban | 0.0 | 4.1 | 64.0 | 1.9 | 29.6 | 0.5 | 70.0 | 30.0 |
|  | Rural | 0.0 | 7.9 | 43.9 | 0.2 | 46.6 | 1.5 | 51.9 | 48.1 |

Note: A more complete description of the patterns of school attendance in India, Indonesia, Mali and Nigeria is included in Statistical Tables A10 to A13.
Source: DHS, 2002/03.
issues is needed to better understand their determinants, as well as appropriate policies and interventions. Indeed, dropout rates are higher in rural areas, among the poorest $20 \%$ of the population and among households where mothers have no education.

## Household wealth

A measure of household wealth, used here as a proxy for poverty, is constructed with data on household assets that were collected in the MICS and DHS surveys. Filmer and Pritchett (2001) have shown that an asset-based indicator of household wealth can represent an alternative to those based on household income and expenditures. The wealth index classifies households and individuals in quintiles, making it possible to compare groups living in poverty in more than one way. For the purpose of this analysis, comparisons are made between the poorest and richest $20 \%$ of households in which children live and between the poorest $60 \%$ and the richest $40 \%$.

Household survey data show that children of primary school age who live in the poorest $20 \%$ of households are three times more likely to be out of school than children living in the richest 20\% (see
Figure 2.11). Behind these figures are a range of regional and country values. The greatest disparities are found in both the Middle East and North Africa region and Latin America and the Caribbean region, where children in the poorest quintile are 4.5 times

Primary school-age children who live in the poorest 20\% of households are three times more likely to be out of school than children in the richest 20\%. more likely to be out of school than those in the wealthiest quintile. Countries in the CEE/CIS region, on the other hand, show much lower levels of disparity ( 1.6 times).

Figure 2.11. Primary school-age children out of school by household wealth and region, 1996-2003


Note: Based on household surveys conducted in 80 countries. Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Statistical Table A3.

Figure 2.12. Distribution of children out of school by household wealth and region, 1996-2003


Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Statistical Table A4.

However, in every region there are countries where the gap between rich and poor is great. Poorer primary school-age children are far more likely to be out of school than the richer children in Nicaragua, Peru, Suriname and Venezuela (6 times or more) in Latin America and the Caribbean; Indonesia (5.6) in East Asia and the Pacific; Cameroon (5) in West and Central Africa; Kazakhstan and the Republic of Moldova (5 or more) in CEE/CIS; Eritrea, Madagascar and Zambia (4.9 and more) in Eastern and Southern Africa; Algeria, Bahrain and Sudan (4.9 or more) in the

84\% of out-of-school children in Latin America and the Caribbean come from the poorest 60\% of households. Middle East and North Africa; and India (3.4) in South Asia (see Statistical Table A3).

Each wealth quintile makes an unequal contribution to the overall number of out-ofschool children. The poorest $60 \%$ of households are home to $77 \%$ of out-of-school children in the countries surveyed. At the regional level, in Latin

America and the Caribbean the poorest 60\% of households account for $84 \%$ of out-of-school children, followed by the Middle East and North Africa and the East Asia and Pacific regions (both at 80\%) (see Figure 2.12).

At the country level, in Cameroon, Eritrea, Indonesia, Iraq, Nicaragua, Sudan (north), Suriname and Venezuela, the proportion of out-of-school children is highest in the poorest $60 \%$ of households. For example, $90 \%$ of the total number of out-of-school children in Eritrea, Nicaragua and Venezuela come from the poorest $60 \%$ of households, although the overall total of out-ofschool children varies widely across the three countries - 7\% in Venezuela, 20\% in Nicaragua, and $37 \%$ in Eritrea. Beyond this group of countries with large differences, all other countries surveyed - with the exception of Trinidad and Tobago - show that the poorest $60 \%$ of households account for significantly more than $60 \%$ of out-of-school children (see Statistical Table A4).

## Box 2.3. Case study - Poverty, age and exclusion in Mali

In Mali, 60\% of primary school-age children are not only out of school but have never been in school. Only 29\% of secondary school-age children participate in school (16\% in primary and 13\% in secondary education). Children from the poorest $20 \%$ of households rarely participate in the education system. Only 26\% of primary school-age children attend primary school (see Figure 2.13),
and $13 \%$ of secondary school-age children also attend primary school (see Statistical Table A8). Yet, a significant proportion of the children in the richest $20 \%$ of households are also out of school, either because they have never been in school or because they have dropped out. The richest quintile includes a high proportion of children attending a lower grade for their age (see overage in Figure 2.14).

Figure 2.13. School attendance by household wealth in Mali, 2001


Figure 2.14. Overage and underage children by household wealth in Mali, 2001


[^8]The proportion of children who leave school increases after age 9 among children in the poorest $20 \%$ of the population. This increase contributes substantially to the overall number of children out of school. There is a substantial difference in terms of participation rates in secondary education ( $38 \%$ ) from the richest households and only $2 \%$ from the poorest (see Table 2.3).

In contrast with the other three case studies, Mali faces a more fundamental challenge since only a small proportion of the population currently attends

Source: DHS, 2001

Table 2.3. School attendance by age and household wealth in Mali, 2001

| Age group | Household wealth quintile | School-age children (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre-primary non-standard | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary | Left school | Never in school | $\begin{gathered} \ln \\ \text { school } \end{gathered}$ | Out of school |
| Primary school age | Richest | 0.0 | 70.6 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 24.6 | 74.1 | 25.9 |
|  | Poorest | 0.0 | 26.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 72.0 | 26.0 | 74.0 |
| Secondary school age | Richest | 0.0 | 18.4 | 34.6 | 0.4 | 9.3 | 37.3 | 53.4 | 46.6 |
|  | Poorest | 0.0 | 12.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 79.1 | 14.0 | 86.0 |

Note: More complete descriptions of the patterns of school attendance in India, Indonesia, Mali and Nigeria are included in Statistical Tables A10 to A13.

## Mother's education

The educational level of a child's parent is often related to the child's own participation in schooling. The surveys ask whether mothers ever attended school and, if yes, the highest grade and level attained. As presented earlier, the data indicate that, overall, $26 \%$ of children of primary school age are identified as out of school; the same surveys

> Primary school-age children with a mother with no education are two times more likely to be out of school than children with a mother with some education. identify $36 \%$ of mothers as having "no education". The mothers of children of primary school age who have never completed at least one year of education are classified as "mothers with no education". Primary school-age children whose mothers have no education are more than two times as likely to be out of school as children of mothers with some education (see Statistical Table A3).

In West and Central Africa, more than half (54\%) of the children of mothers with no education are out of school. In relative terms, greater disparities are found in South Asia and Latin America and the Caribbean, where children of mothers with no education are at least 2.5 times more likely to be out of school than those whose mothers have some education (see Figure 2.15). In eight countries, the gap widens to threefold 54\% of children whose mothers have no education are out of school in West and Central Africa. and greater: Venezuela
approach that responds not only to the needs of the population (including marginalised groups) but also to the limitations imposed by current available resources. It may also require a greater involvement of the affected communities to enhance participation and accountability.
school. This is due to lack of access to school or to other factors restricting the ability of the population to enrol and attend primary and secondary school. Dropout and overage rates reflect late entry into school, as well as high repetition rates. Policies and interventions require a comprehensive
(3.7), Guyana (3.4), Eritrea (3.4), India (3.3), and Guinea-Bissau (3.0). In Viet Nam, the Philippines, Iraq and Mongolia, the ratio is 2.8 (for other countries, see Statistical Table A3).

Figure 2.15. Primary school-age children out of school by mother's education and region, 1996-2003
$\square$ Mother has some formal education $\square$ Mother has no formal education


Note: Based on household surveys conducted in 80 countries.
Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Statistical Table A3.
The relative importance of maternal educational attainment depends upon the proportion of mothers with no education as well as the number of their children who are

44\% of primary schoolage children in West and Central Africa are out of school, of which $81 \%$ have a mother with no education. out of school. Of all primary school-age children, 52\% have mothers without any education. However, 75\% of out-of-school children have mothers with no education (see Statistical Table A4 and

Figure 2.16). The CEE/CIS region is, once again, an exception: almost all mothers have some education (98\%), and thus, virtually all out-of-school children (99\%) have mothers with education. At the other extreme, in West and Central Africa 44\% of primary school-age children are out of school, and $81 \%$ of these children live with mothers with no education. A similar situation is observed in the Middle East and North Africa and South Asia. In Latin America and the Caribbean, almost 8 out of 10 mothers of primary school-age children have some education but are the parents of almost one-half of the out-of-school children in the region.

Figure 2.16. Distribution of out-of-school children by mother's education and region, 1996-2003


Note: Based on household surveys conducted in 80 countries. Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Statistical Table A4.

In some of the countries mentioned earlier Cameroon, India, Iraq, Nicaragua, Peru, Suriname, and Venezuela - there are 20 percentage points or more between the proportion of school-age children who have mothers with no education and their relative share of the total out-of-school number. Thus, in India, where $23 \%$ of primary school-age children are out of school, $86 \%$ have mothers with no education. A much smaller share ( $65 \%$ ) of all children of primary school age have mothers with no education (see Statistical Table A4).

Country-level data show varying degrees of disparity in the proportion of out-of-school children by mother's education and these tend to increase at higher levels of schooling. It is going to take much greater efforts in Latin America and the Caribbean, for example, to reduce the disparities among those countries with relatively low 23\% of primary school-age children in India are out of school, of which $86 \%$ have a mother with no education. proportions of children out of school. In contrast, West and Central African countries need not only to reduce the existing disparities but also to increase levels of school participation.

## Box 2.4. Case study - Mother's education, age and exclusion in Nigeria

More than $60 \%$ of primary school-age children attend school in Nigeria although many are in grades much lower than prescribed for their age (Statistical Table A9 and Figure 2.17). Almost twothirds of children of secondary school age are in school, yet one-half of them are still attending
primary school and two-thirds are overage for their grade. It can be noted that the overage phenomenon cuts across socio-economic groups. Children are in lower grades for their age when they start school late and/or repeat grades.

Figure 2.17. Overage and underage children and youth by sex in Nigeria, 2003


As shown, children whose mothers have attained some education tend to participate more in school, both at primary and secondary levels - and Nigeria is no exception (see Statistical Table A13). Among primary school-age children, more than $80 \%$ who have a mother with some education are in school, compared to only $46 \%$ among children whose mother has no education. For children of secondary school age, the corresponding values are $94 \%$ and $61 \%$. However, around one-half of the children in both groups still attend primary school, leaving only $46 \%$ of children of mothers with some formal education and $10 \%$ of children of mothers with no
education attending secondary education (Figures 2.18 and 2.19).

Almost all of the children in Nigeria who are older than primary school age but not in primary school have never been in school. Less than $1 \%$ of the out-of-school population has dropped out. This is remarkable given the high proportion of children who are in lower grades for their age, because being overage can lead to early school leaving. At the same time, some of the children who have never been in school may still start school. Only 8\% of the children of secondary school age drop out, while $23 \%$ have never attended school.

Figure 2.18. School attendance by mother's education in Nigeria, 2003


Figure 2.19. Overage and underage children by mother's education in Nigeria, 2003


The findings make it clear that addressing the overage problem requires that a greater share of children start school at the official entry age and that efforts be made to reduce existing repetition rates, which relies on increasing school readiness for primary education (see Table 2.4).

Table 2.4. School attendance by age and mother's education level in Nigeria, 2003

| Age group | Mother's education level | School-age children (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre-primary non-standard | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary | Left school | Never in school | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { school } \end{gathered}$ | Out of school |
| Primary school age | Mother ed. | 7.1 | 78.6 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 17.8 | 81.4 | 18.6 |
|  | Mother no ed. | 2.4 | 45.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 53.4 | 45.9 | 54.1 |
| Secondary school age | Mother ed. | 0.2 | 48.5 | 45.7 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 94.2 | 5.8 |
|  | Mother no ed. | 0.0 | 50.4 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 35.1 | 60.9 | 39.1 |

Note: A more complete description of the patterns of school attendance in India, Indonesia, Mali and Nigeria is included in Statistical Tables A10 to A13.

Source: DHS, 2003

## Multivariate analysis

A multivariate regression analysis was used to identify the net effects on the likelihood of school attendance for each of the five variables. In the model, the dependent variable is current school attendance, and the independent variables are age, sex, mother's education, household wealth and place of residence. The model was tested with a logistic regression and the results are summarised in Table 2.5. Complete results for all countries are provided in Statistical Table A5, notably:

- Household wealth, mother's education and child's age are significant and positive in 64 of the 68 countries, confirming the descriptive results presented in this chapter.
- Age did not significantly affect a child's probability of being out of school in Burkina Faso, Indonesia, Iraq and Kazakhstan.
- Similarly, low household wealth was not a significant factor in school attendance in three CIS countries (Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan and Tajikistan). This result can also be explained by the fact that these three countries have out-ofschool rates far below the average for the 80 countries included in this analysis ( $9 \%, 2 \%$ and $19 \%$ respectively).
- Finally, mother's education was not significantly associated with the probability of being out of school in Armenia, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan - largely because almost all mothers in these CIS countries have at least some education.

Interestingly, sex was not significantly associated with the probability of being out of school in 29 of the 68 countries ( $43 \%$ ) for which data were analysed.

| Backround variables | Number of countries | Odds ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} >1 \text { and } \\ \text { significant* } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} <1 \text { and } \\ \text { significant* } \end{gathered}$ | Not significant |
| Age | 68 | 64 | - | 4 |
| Male | 68 | 30 | 9 | 29 |
| Urban | 68 | 24 | 7 | 37 |
| Richest wealth quintile | 68 | 64 | 1 | 3 |
| Mother has formal education | 68 | 63 | - | 5 |

Note: * Significant at 5\% level minimum.
Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Statistical Table A5

### 2.3 Profiles of children in and out of school

The purpose of this section is to provide a more detailed description of the characteristics of children out of school using survey data for India, Indonesia, Mali and Nigeria. By identifying the characteristics of children out of school in as much detail as possible, this report hopes to point the way to valuable information for policy-makers working to achieve the goal of universal primary education.

The four case studies are:

- India: This country has the largest primary school-age population in the world and also the largest number of children out of school.
- Indonesia: The world's fourth-most populous country reports that nearly all children attend school at some point.
- Mali: A country with a low attendance rate that reflects the situation in many African countries.
- Nigeria: Africa's most populous country and ninth-largest in the world, it has many out-ofschool children and overage children in school.

The three groups of children are identified as:

- Children of primary school age: The baseline reference group.
- Children in school: A comparison between this group and the out-of-school group can highlight key differences.
- Children out of school: Their characteristics are of great interest for policy-makers.


## The analytical template

For each country, school attendance patterns are explained by dividing the three groups of children into smaller groups according to their characteristics and those of their households. The level of disaggregation depends on which data were collected in each country: for example, data on child labour were collected in India and Mali, but not in Indonesia and Nigeria. Thus, labour-market participation can be examined as a factor in school attendance in two of the case countries but not in the other two.

The descriptive analysis is combined with a regression analysis. In the regression models, school attendance is explained with a set of variables that describe the child and the household, again depending on the availability of data within each country. The results show which factors increase or decrease the likelihood of school attendance. They also serve as a test of statistical significance for disparities that are observed at the descriptive level.

## Box 2.5. Regression analysis of school attendance

The regression analysis determines whether individual and household characteristics have a statistically significant effect on school attendance, whether this effect is positive or negative, and its magnitude. In the model, school attendance (yes or no) is the dependent variable that is tested on a set of independent variables that varies from country to country. School attendance is explained with these variables, depending on which data were collected in the survey for each country:

- age of the child
- sex of the child
- place of residence (urban or rural)
- household wealth
- education of mother, father and household head
- sex of the household head
- orphan status of the child (one or both parents dead)
- religion of the household head (only India)
- membership of the household head in a scheduled caste or tribe (only India)
- native language of the survey respondent (only Nigeria)
- household composition (number of household members aged less
than 5 years, 5 to 59 years, 60 years or older)
- labour status of the child (only India and Mali)
- sub-national region or state

Except for age and number of household members, all variables are dichotomous and take the value 0 or 1 . The sample for each country consists of all primary school-age children in the survey data. The sample size ranges from 5,917 children in Nigeria to 70,466 in India.

The results of a probit regression for the four countries are provided in Statistical Tables A14 and A15. For each variable, the marginal effect is shown, i.e. the increase or decrease of the likelihood of school attendance, measured in percentage points, that follows a change from 0 to 1 in the independent variable. In the case of continuous variables (age, number of household members), the marginal effect is measured at the mean of the independent variable. Statistical significance is indicated at the $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ levels. As an example, the marginal effect 0.059 for the variable "male" in India means that boys are, on average, 5.9 percentage points more likely than girls to be in school.

## India

Data on Indian children of primary school age (6 to 10 years) who are in school or out of school are presented in Statistical Table A10. The table shows the distribution of these children according to age and sex, characteristics of parents and household head, place of residence, religion and caste or tribe of the household head, household wealth, child labour status, and sub-national region. The regression results for a sample of 70,466 children are shown in Statistical Table A14. The average attendance rate in the sample is $82.5 \%$.

## Age

Statistical Table A10 shows that 6-year-old children are more likely to be out of school than in, a sign of late entry into the education system. The regression results in Statistical Table A14 confirm that the likelihood of school attendance increases with age.

## Sex

Of all children of primary school age in the sample, $49 \%$ are girls and $51 \%$ are boys. By comparison, only $46 \%$ of pupils are female and $59 \%$ of out-of-

In India, the Iikelihood of boys to be in school is 5.9 percentage points higher than for girls. school children are girls. The regression confirms that these differences are statistically significant: the likelihood of boys to be in school is 5.9 percentage points above that of girls at the same age. These numbers demonstrate the degree to which girls are disadvantaged in Indian society.

## Place of residence

The shares of urban and rural children in the sample overall are $23 \%$ and $77 \%$, respectively. Children out of school are disproportionately from rural households: their share is $87 \%$. However, in a surprising result, the regression analysis shows that rural children are as likely to be in school as urban children, all other variables being equal. The disparity in school attendance between urban and rural areas that is observed at the descriptive level can be explained by other factors, such as household wealth or the parents' education.

## Household wealth

Household wealth is a strong determinant of whether or not children go to school and for how
long. Children from the poorest 20\% of all households represent $23 \%$ of all primary schoolage children in the sample. However, they make up 37\% of out-of-school children. As household wealth increases, there is a clear positive association with school attendance.

## 15\% of all primary

 school-age children live in the richest quintile in India and represent only 3.6\% of out-ofschool children. Children from the richest quintile represent 15\% of all primary school-age children but only $3.6 \%$ of out-of-school children.The regression confirms the positive effect of household wealth on school attendance. This effect can be observed at all levels of wealth above the bottom 20\% of households. Among children from the richest households, the probability of school attendance is increased by 7.7 percentage points, compared to the poorest quintile.

## Orphans

Among children in the sample, 2\% have lost their mother and $2.9 \%$ their father. Among children out of school, $3.3 \%$ have no mother and 3.8\% no father. There is not much variation depending on presence of the mother or father in the household, although children out of school live more frequently in a household without their mother. The regression shows that having lost one or both parents lowers the likelihood of school attendance by 3.6 percentage points.

## Parental education

The educational attainment of the parents and the household head, measured by the highest level of school attended, has a substantial effect on the out-of-school status of children. In the sample, 67\% of all primary school-age children have mothers without any formal education (primary, secondary or tertiary). In contrast, 93\% of out-of-school children have mothers without formal education.

The pattern relating to the

93\% of out-ofschool children in the sample have a mother without formal education. education of fathers and household heads is similar. If the father or household head has formal education, children are more likely to be in school and the likelihood increases with the level of education of the parents or household head. Literacy data support these results: most children who are out of school have parents who report that they are illiterate, which means in the
case of India that they are unable to read and write a simple sentence. This demonstrates the intergenerational effect of education: parents who have

An educated mother
has a greater effect on school attendance than an educated father. attended school are more likely to send their own children to school.

The regression for India confirms these findings. Formal education of the parents and the household head increases the likelihood of the child's school attendance. An educated mother has a greater positive effect on school attendance than an educated father or household head. The marginal effect of 7.3 points for mothers with a primary education indicates that the investment in girls' education carries positive effects into the future because formally educated mothers are more likely to send their own children to school.

## Other characteristics of parents or household head

The sex of the household head also affects school attendance. If the household head is male, the children are somewhat more at risk of not being in school. This finding is supported by the regression analysis.

The religion of the household head appears to have a small effect on school attendance

The religion of the household head has no significant effect on a child's school attendance in India. when considered on its own (Statistical Table A10); however, this conclusion is not supported by the multivariate regression results. Whether the household head is Hindu, Muslim or adheres to another religion, it has no statistically significant effect on a child's school attendance.

Membership in a scheduled caste or tribe is negatively correlated with school attendance. ${ }^{3}$ In the survey sample, $20 \%$ of all primary school-age children were in households whose head belongs to a scheduled caste. However, these children make up $26 \%$ of children out of school. Children from scheduled tribes are even less likely to be in school.

This group constitutes $8.5 \%$ of all primary school-age children but $14 \%$ of children out of school. The regression analysis confirms a decreased likelihood of school attendance for members of a scheduled tribe. In contrast, no statistically significant difference in school attendance could be observed for children from scheduled castes, relative to the remaining population, which means that their lower participation in the education system may be explained by other factors, such as poverty.

## Household composition

Children from households with elderly members (60 years or older) are more likely to attend school although the effect is small. The explanation could be that elderly household members perform tasks in the household, which in turn gives children more time for school-related activities. It is also possible that these households have stronger family ties and an environment which encourages children to attend school. In contrast, having more young members (below 5 years of age) in the household lowers the school attendance rate of children between 6 and 10 years, according to the regression results.

## Child labour

The MICS survey in India collected data on economic activity and domestic work performed by children. Those involved in child labour are much less likely to be in school than children who do not work. ${ }^{4}$ Of all primary school-age children

21\% of out-ofschool children work in India. surveyed, $10 \%$ were engaged in labour, which means that they are economically active or do household work for 28 or more hours per week. Among out-of-school children, $21 \%$ work.

The magnitude of the marginal effect of child labour on school attendance is substantial ( $16.9 \%$ ). Thus, there is a trade-off between child labour and school attendance, two activities that compete for a child's time. The competition for children's resources between immediate economic gains and longerterm individual and social development is notable in India and in many other countries.

[^9]
## Sub-national districts

In analysing the distribution of children and school status among India's 35 states and union territories, the most striking result is that the state of Bihar accounts for only $13 \%$ of children in the sample, but 26\% of all Indian children out of school. Orissa, Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh also have a high share of children out of school relative to their total share of the primary school-age population. In contrast, Kerala, which accounts for $22 \%$ of all children in the sample, has virtually no children who are out of school.

A regression analysis was conducted that set Uttar Pradesh, the largest state in the Indian union, as the reference state. States with fewer than 100 data observations were combined in a category called "small states". Compared to Uttar Pradesh, children in several other states are more likely to be in school. The biggest positive marginal effects were found for Tamil Nadu (one of the most industrialised states; marginal effect 10.1\%), Himachal Pradesh ( $8.9 \%$ ), and Kerala (a state which emphasises social welfare policies; $8.7 \%$ ). In contrast, the only state with a negative and statistically significant marginal effect is Bihar. Children in Bihar are $9.1 \%$ less likely to attend school than children from Uttar Pradesh, which may be a symptom of an education system in crisis. In summary, those facing a higher risk of being out of school are girls, orphans, child labourers, children living in households without formally educated parents or household heads, children in maleheaded households, children from poorer households, members of scheduled tribes, and children from Bihar.

## Indonesia

As reported earlier, Indonesia has very high primary attendance rates. The present analysis aims to shed light on how the "last five percent" may be reached by the educational system. It should be noted that, compared to the MICS survey in India, the DHS survey data in Indonesia provide fewer details. For example, no data on literacy, religion or labour status of children were collected.

Statistical Table A11 shows descriptive statistics for primary school-age children, in and out of school. The regression results for Indonesia are shown in

Statistical Table A14. The total sample consists of 19,305 children between the ages of 7 and 12 years, with an average attendance rate of $95 \%$.

## Age

Children aged 7 or 12 years are more likely to be out of school, but according to the regression results, age has no statistically significant effect on school attendance. This means that all children of primary school age are equally likely to be in school.

Sex
In the overall sample, 48\% of primary school-age children who are out of school are female and $52 \%$ are male. In contrast to most other countries surveyed, boys in Indonesia appear to experience a gender disadvantage and account for a higher share of children who are out of school (57\%). However, the regression analysis shows this disparity to be statistically insignificant, meaning that differences in school attendance are not due to the sex of the child.

## Place of residence

Similar to most other countries

## Boys in Indonesia experience gender disadvantage and account for $57 \%$ of children out of school.

 surveyed, children living in rural areas of Indonesia are more likely to be out of school than those from urban areas (see Figure 2.9.) The regression analysis does not lend support to this pattern as no statistically significant difference in school attendance between urban and rural areas is observed.
## Household wealth

Household wealth in Indonesia has a strong impact on school attendance. Children from the poorest quintile of all households are more likely to be out of school. Overall, children from the top three quintiles ( $60 \%$ ) of all households are less likely to be out of school than those from the bottom two quintiles ( $40 \%$ ). This pattern is confirmed by the regression analysis. Compared to children from the poorest quintile of households, those living in the upper four quintiles have higher attendance rates. In the top three quintiles, the school attendance rate is 3 to 4 percentage points higher than in the lowest quintile.

## Orphans

Orphans, i.e. children without a mother or father or whose parents live in another household, are more likely to be out of school than other children. The regression confirms that orphans have an increased likelihood of being out of school compared to children with at least one living parent.

## Parental education

The education of the parents and the household head is another determinant of school attendance.

24\% of Indonesian children who are out of school have a mother with no education. In the sample, 9.6\% of primary school-age children have a mother without formal education. However, 24\% of children out of school have a mother with no formal education. The education of the father or the household head has a similar effect on a child's school attendance.

The regression analysis confirms the findings from the descriptive analysis. If a mother has attended primary or secondary school, her children are more likely to be in school than those of a mother without formal education. Compared to India, the effect of the mother's education is small, with a marginal effect of 1.5 percentage points for primary education and 2.8 percentage points for secondary education. The education of the father appears to have no effect on the likelihood of a child attending school.

If the household head has at least primary education, children are more likely to be in school. If the household head has secondary or tertiary education, the same effect is observed.

Other characteristics of parents or household head
In contrast to India, the gender of the household head has no effect on school attendance in Indonesia.

## Household composition

Household composition has the same effect on school attendance as in India. A household with a higher number of children under age 5 reduces the likelihood that older siblings attend school, perhaps because they are charged with childcare or other domestic tasks. On the other hand, in a household with a higher number of household members over the age of 60, the likelihood that children attend
school is increased, indicating that elders in an intergenerational household may take up domestic tasks that might otherwise be carried out by school-age children.

## Sub-national districts

A sub-national analysis shows that residents of Bali

The likelihood of a child attending school
is decreased with a
higher number of children under age 5 in the household. and Nusa Tenggara, Kalimantan, and Sulawesi are at a disadvantage compared to residents of Sumatra and Java. The former are more likely to be out of school and to have never attended school, but the effect is smaller than those of household wealth and parental education. The regression results confirm that, compared to other regions of Indonesia, children living on Sulawesi have significantly lower attendance rates.

In summary, there is an increased probability of being out of school for children with a mother or household head without a formal education, children in households with a relatively large number of young children, children from the poorest part of the population, orphans, and children from Sulawesi.

## Mali

Data on out-of-school children from a DHS survey in Mali are presented in Statistical Table A12. The regression results are listed in Statistical Table A15. The Mali survey sample covered 12,184 children of official primary school age ( 7 to 12 years) with an average attendance rate of $39 \%$. The analysis covers the same variables as in Indonesia, with the addition of child labour.

## Age

As in India, older children are more likely to be in school in Mali, which is a sign of late entry into the education system.

Sex
In the overall sample, 51\% of school-age children are female and $49 \%$ are male. Girls are more likely to be disadvantaged: they account for $56 \%$ of out-ofschool children. The regression results confirm that boys have

In Mali, girls account for 56\% of children out of school. a much higher attendance rate. The likelihood of attending school for boys is 14.3 percentage points higher than for girls.

## Place of residence

Rural children are more likely to be out of school than those from urban areas. In the survey sample, $77 \%$ of all children live in rural areas but they account for $87 \%$ of those out of school. In India and Indonesia, the disparities between urban and rural areas receded when other factors were taken into account in the regression analysis. In Mali, however, the rural disadvantage remains, which means it exists independent from household wealth or other determinants of school attendance. Urban children are 10.2 percentage points more likely to be in school than rural children.

## Household wealth

Household wealth has a strong impact on school attendance in Mali. Children from the lower three quintiles ( $60 \%$ ) of households are more likely to be out of school than children from the richest household quintile.

The regression analysis yields a much larger effect of household wealth on school attendance than in India or Indonesia. This is a symptom of the inequality in the wealth distribution. Children from the bottom two quintiles ( $40 \%$ ) of the population have the same likelihood of being out of school. In contrast, children from the richest quintile are 23 percentage points more likely to be in school than those from the poorest quintile.

## Orphans

In Mali, orphans are not more likely to be out of school, which distinguishes this country from India and Indonesia.

## Parental education

The educational attainment of the parents and the household head has a significant effect on the school attendance of children. Most children aged 7 to 12 years in Mali (86\%) have a mother with no formal education. Among children out of school, 93\% have a mother with no formal education. The effect of educational attainment of the father or the household head is similar.

The role of parental educational attainment is reflected in the regression analysis. Compared to children of a mother with no education, those whose mother had attended primary school are 12.3 percentage points more likely to be in school. If the mother has secondary or tertiary education, the likelihood of school attendance is increased by a staggering 42.7 percentage points. By contrast, the
effects from a father's educational attainment are observable only at the secondary education level and it is still lower than the impact of the mother's educational attainment. A household head with formal education also has a strong positive effect on school attendance.

## Other characteristics of parents or household head

The gender of the household head has no effect on a child's likelihood to attend school.

## Household composition

The composition of the household does not appear to be a significant determinant of school attendance.

## Child labour

The DHS survey in Mali collected data on working children. The definition of child labour is the same as that used in the India MICS survey. In the case of Mali, two in five primary school-age children (39\%) are engaged in child labour. Among children out of school, $45 \%$ are involved in child labour. The marginal effect of child labour on the likelihood of school attendance is -11.6 , meaning that working children are almost 12 percentage points less likely to be in school than their non-working peers.

## Sub-national districts

An analysis of school attendance by the eight administrative regions in Mali shows that children in the Kayes, Segou, Mopti and Tombouctou regions appear more likely to be out of school than children in other regions (see Statistical Table A12). In the regression analysis, school attendance in Sikasso, which has the sample's largest number of observations, is compared with the remaining regions. Children from Koulikoro are found to have higher attendance rates, whereas children from Tombouctou and Mopti are less likely to be in school.

In summary, there is an increased probability of being out of school for younger children, girls, child labourers, children with parents or household heads without formal education, children from the poorest two- to three-fifths of the population, rural residents, and children living in certain

45\% of out-of-school children in Mali are involved in child labour.

## Nigeria

Nigeria is the most populous country in Africa and one of its most diverse. The DHS survey in Nigeria provides similar data to the Indonesia and Mali surveys, with additional information on the respondent's native language but without data on child labour. The official primary school age is between 6 to 11 years (see Statistical Table A13). The sample for the regression analysis contains 5,917 primary school-age children, with an average attendance rate of $66.3 \%$. The results of the regression are shown in Statistical Table A15.

## Age

In Nigeria, children aged 6 to 7 years are more likely to be out of school. The regression confirms that the likelihood of primary school attendance increases with age, which is a sign of delayed entry.

## Sex

In the overall sample, $49 \%$ of children are female and $51 \%$ are male. However, girls account for $56 \%$

In Nigeria, girls account for 56\% of out-of-school children. of children out of school. Compared to girls, boys have a higher likelihood of school attendance with a marginal effect of 12.0 percentage points. Girls are clearly at a disadvantage in Nigeria when it comes to primary school attendance.

## Place of residence

Rural children are more likely to be out of school or to have never attended than those from urban areas. In Nigeria, 68\% of primary school-age children live in rural areas, but these children account for $80 \%$ of those who are out of school. However, this disparity disappears once other factors are considered. The regression analysis finds that there is no statistically significant difference in school attendance based solely on place of residence.

## Household wealth

Higher levels of household wealth are associated with an increased probability of school attendance. Children from the poorest two quintiles of households are more likely to be out of school, as Statistical Table A13 shows. The regression analysis
supports this finding: children from the poorest quintile of Nigerian households have a significantly lower likelihood of attending school than children from the remaining $80 \%$ of households. Compared to the poorest quintile, the likelihood of school attendance is 25.6 percentage points higher for children from the richest quintile.

## Orphans

There is no difference in school attendance between orphans and children who have at least one living parent. Living apart from one's parents also appears to have a limited effect on school attendance, which may be an indicator of the strong role of the extended family in Nigeria.

## Parental education

The educational attainment of the parents and the household head is a strong determinant of school attendance. Of all children of primary school age, $57 \%$ have a mother without formal education. However, $86 \%$ of children out of school have a mother that lacks formal education. The results for educational attainment of the father or household head are similar. If the parents or the household head report that they are literate, children have an increased likelihood of being in school.

The regression analysis shows that the likelihood of school attendance rises by 6.7 percentage points when the mother has primary education and by 13.7 points when the mother has secondary or tertiary education. The respective values for the father's education are 10.0 points and 10.7 points. Nigeria thus differs from India, Indonesia and Mali, where the mother's education has a stronger positive effect on school attendance than the father's education. An effect of the educational level of the household head is observed only when the level is secondary or higher. In that case, children are 9.9 percentage points more likely to be in school than children from households headed by persons with no education.

## Other characteristics of parents or household head

Being in a female-headed household has a positive effect on school attendance. In the sample, 12\% of children live in a household headed by a woman, but only $5.9 \%$ of children out of school live with a female household head. The regression confirms that children in male-headed households are

Nigerian children in female-headed households are less likely to be out of school.
significantly less likely to be in school than children from female-headed households. This is also observed in India but with a smaller marginal effect than in Nigeria.

In Nigeria, the survey collected data on the native language of the survey respondent, which can be used to examine differences between ethnic groups. Almost one in three children in the survey sample ( $31 \%$ ) live in households where Hausa is the native language. The Hausa people live in the predominantly Muslim northern part of Nigeria. However, these children account for more than onehalf of the children (54\%) out of school. The regression finds that children from Hausa households are 9.8 percentage points less likely to attend school than children from households where other languages predominate. In contrast, Children living in households where Yoruba and Igbo are spoken have higher attendance rates.

## Household composition

The age composition of the household has no effect on school attendance in Nigeria.

## Sub-national districts

The DHS survey in Nigeria was not designed to be representative at the state level. Instead results are available for six larger geographic regions. Data in Statistical Table A13 and in the regression output in Statistical Table A15 show that children in the North East and North West regions are more likely to be out of school than those in other regions. This matches the results by native language of the survey respondent.

However, some caution should be exercised when interpreting this result. In the northern part of Nigeria, more children are out of school than in the south. But, due to limitations in the data, it is not possible to establish whether children in the north are entirely out of school or whether they attend Islamic schools, which are not counted as formal education in the context of this study.

In summary, there is an increased probability of being out of school for younger children, girls, children with parents or household head without formal education, children from male-headed households, children from poor households, and children living in northern Nigeria.

## Conclusion

The preceding analysis described the situation in four countries that differ widely in their geography, demographics, economies and systems of education. Some determinants of school attendance are specific to individual countries, but other characteristics of out-of-school children are common to India, Indonesia, Mali and Nigeria.

Household wealth is always strongly related to school attendance, with children from the poorest households more likely to be out of school than the rest of the population. This underlines the importance of poverty reduction within policies with the goal of education for all. Child labour, commonly a symptom of poverty in a household, is a related phenomenon that interferes with primary school attendance, progression and completion.

The strong effect of the parents' or household head's level of education illustrates the intergenerational effects of investment in education. The effect is particularly pronounced for children of educated mothers, which emphasises the importance of educating girls. Girls are not always disadvantaged but gender gaps remain.

## Intergenerational effects

 of investment in education are proven by the strong effects of the parents' level of education on the child's attendance in school.The effect of other factors on school attendance having lost one or both parents, living in a maleheaded household, the number and age of other household members - varies from country to country. In spite of shared characteristics among out-of-school children, policies and interventions must be tailored to nationally-specific contexts.

## Postscript: Next steps and a measurement agenda

In order to monitor changes in the number of out-of-school children, reliable time series of the number of out-of-school children are needed. Global and regional estimates are required on an annual basis, not only for the future, but also for past years.

However, household-based measures of school participation from sample surveys are collected on an infrequent basis, typically every three to five years. This is a major issue since the UIS/UNICEF joint methodology survey-based estimates may have a significant impact on regional and global estimates. A strong research programme is needed to identify approaches that might be taken. The statistical properties of the possible approaches need to be evaluated - perhaps through a combination of statistical theory and data analysis. Given the pattern of data availability for administrative and household surveys, some sort of composite approach may be needed for estimating time series and producing estimates for the most recent year.

Yet, developing new methodologies to produce time series data based on a combination of different data sources will not be sufficient to target the problem of inconsistent data sources and estimates. A strategy to develop a better understanding of data quality issues and how to resolve them is needed. This will have an important impact not only on estimates of out-of-school children but also on other education statistics based on administrative data.

A strategy must lead to a better understanding of systematic bias, as well as help to identify country-specific problems related to either data source. Therefore the work must have two directions.

First, it must include a theoretical framework for assessing the impact of systematic errors in the components of each measure. This will lead to a better understanding of conceptual differences between enrolment and attendance data and the impact of the timing of surveys and data collections.

Second, further analysis of national data should be undertaken when the two estimates are inconsistent and this should focus on the quality of the estimates and the underlying data, such as population estimates. Analysis should be undertaken especially to explore the quality of age breakdowns of administrative enrolment counts to identify the wrongful inclusion of children who are not of primary age. This work should focus on countries having the biggest impact on global and regional estimates. Yet, the review of country cases should be used to build up a portfolio of 'case histories' that should be reviewed periodically to ensure that generally emerging lessons are applied to all countries.

For the longer term, the strategy is to work with more countries to develop their administrative systems so they can provide annual information conforming to the concepts and definitions of international data collections. In addition, independent household-based measures of school participation should also be further developed, even in countries with reliable administrative systems. Household surveys allow for the assessment of administrative data, but more importantly, they provide a perspective on children who are out of school that administrative data do not reflect.
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# ANNEX 1. The methodology for global and regional estimates 

## A. Methodology for the calculation of primary schoolage children out of school

## Calculation based on administrative data

The number of out-of-school children can be considered the residual between the number of pupils in a given age range and the number of children in the same age range.

Let $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}$ be the starting age of primary education and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{c}}$ the last age year of primary education in country c. Further let Pop(age1,age2) $c_{, g}$ be the population of gender $g$ in the age range from age1 to age2 in c.

The primary school-age population is calculated as:

$$
\text { SchoolPop }_{c, M+F}=\operatorname{Pop}\left(s_{c}, e_{c}\right)_{c, M+F}
$$

Let Pupil(age1,age2) $)_{c, g, 1}$ be the number of pupils of gender $g$ aged age 1 to age2 at level of education $I$. The number of pupils of primary school age in primary (ISCED 1) or secondary education is (ISCED2 and 3) is:

$$
\text { Pupil }\left(s_{c}, e_{c}\right)_{c, M+F, I \text { ICED 123 }}=\operatorname{Pupil}\left(s_{c}, \mathrm{e}_{c}\right)_{c, M+F, I I C E D 1}+\operatorname{Pupil}\left(s_{c}, e_{c}\right)_{c, M+F, I S C E D 23}
$$

The rate of children, boys and girls, out of primary school is:

$$
\text { ROOPS }_{C, M+F}=1-\left(\text { Pupil }\left(s_{c}, e_{c}\right)_{c, M+F, I S C E D 123} / \text { SchoolPop }_{C, M+F}\right)
$$

The rate of children, boys and girls, out of primary school but in pre-primary education (ISCED 0) is:

$$
\text { RPPS }_{c, M+F}=\left(\operatorname{Pupil}\left(s_{c}, e_{c}\right)_{c, M+F, I S C E D O} / \text { SchoolPop }_{c, M+F}\right)
$$

Before calculating the total number of out-of-school children, the underlying data may need to be adjusted. Using administrative data, the number of out-of-school children is based on two different data sources. The number of students is obtained from the UIS Annual Education Survey and the population size is taken from the database of the UN Population Division (UNPD). The data from the two sources do not match perfectly, e.g. the reference date for the age and the reference period for the data are not identical - population data typically use an end of year or beginning of year reference, while for many countries enrolment data refer to the beginning of the school year (e.g. early September). This can lead to mismatches between the two sources and it can even mean that, for countries with high participation rates, the total number of primary school-age pupils in pre-primary to secondary education combined exceeds the number of children for that age group as reported by the UNPD population data.

If the participation rate of children exceeds $100 \%$ for either girls or boys, the rates for both sexes and the total are adjusted. The rate for the total and its components by sex will be below $100 \%$. In other words, if:

Pupil $\left(s_{c}, e_{c}\right)_{c}$, Male,ISCED0123 $>$ SchoolPop $_{c}$, Male
or Pupil $\left(s_{c}, e_{c}\right)_{c}$, Female,ISCED0123 $>$ SchoolPop $_{c}$, Female
then the following adjustment factor is calculated:
$\operatorname{Adj}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ maximum of $\left[\operatorname{Pupil}\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)_{c, \text { Male,ISCED0123 }}\right.$
$/ S_{c h o o l P o p_{c, ~ M a l e ~}}$ and Pupil( $\left.s_{c}, e_{c}\right)_{c, \text { Female,ISCED0123 }}$
/SchoolPop ${ }_{c, \text {, Female }}$ ]
The factor is applied to both sexes and the total for all rates is calculated as:

```
    ROOPS \(_{c, M+F}=1-\left(\operatorname{Pupil}\left(s_{c}, e_{c}\right)_{c, ~ M+F, I S C E D 123}\right.\)
\(/\) SchoolPop \(_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}}\) )/Adjc
    RPPS \(_{c, M+\mathrm{F}}=\left(\right.\) Pupil \(\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}, I \mathrm{SCEDO}}\)
/ SchoolPop \({ }_{C, M+F}\) )/Adjc
```

Following the adjustment, the number of children out of school is calculated as:

$$
\text { NOOPS }_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}}=\text { ROOPS }_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}} * \text { SchoolPop }_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}}
$$

It should be noted that the number of pupils based on administrative data can be subject to error. As well, the population count of primary school-age children is subject to uncertainty which increases during the period between censuses. The annual estimates are generally projections from the most recent census data subject to expected changes in fertility, mortality and migration. Given that censuses are conducted about every 10 years, these initial assumptions about fertility, mortality and migration rates can have a significant impact on the population estimates for primary school-age children over the course of time.

Another source of error results from the estimation of population data for single years of age. For example, UNPD splits population data by five-year age groups into single-year age groups using the Sprague method. The magnitude of uncertainty related to population estimates is reflected by comparing the size of the estimated school-age population for the year 2001 using two (2000 and the 2002) revisions of the United Nations World Population Prospects. For the median country, the school-age population changed by $1 \%$. For one out of four countries, the differences were more than $3 \%$.

This relatively small error associated with the population data can have a great impact on the out-of-school children estimate, as shown in the following example.

The estimate of out-of-school children based on administrative data is the difference between the population estimate ( X ) and the enrolled pupil count (Y). Relatively small differences between two large, independently derived numbers can be notoriously uncertain in relation to the figure being estimated. Even if one assumed that the two large numbers were not biased but simply subject to random error, the variance of the difference may be relatively large [V(X$\mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{X})+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{Y})$ under independent errors]. For example, suppose a country has 1 million primary school-age children and 800,000 children in school, then the out-of-school count would be 200,000, or $20 \%$. If both large numbers are plus or minus 20,000 (i.e. standard error $=10,000$ ), the standard error of the difference is 15,000 and a $95 \%$ confidence interval is 200,000 plus or minus 30,000 .

Another source of error results from differences between the month in which the school year starts (or the month in which age cut-offs for school entry apply) and the reference month to which the age of pupils refers. This error typically leads to an overstatement of the number of out-of-school children and late entrants.

For example, children in Germany are expected to start primary school in the year in which they have reached the age of 6 years on or before 1 July. Enrolment numbers are counted at the beginning of the school year, in early October, but the ages of pupils are reported as of the end of the year (31 December). Approximately 50 per cent of children who were 6-years-old on 31 December were only aged 5 on the cutoff date of 1 July and therefore were not required to enroll in primary school that year. These children are therefore wrongly classified as being out of school. However, the data collection instrument jointly used by UNESCO, OECD and EUROSTAT for the collection of education statistics (UOE) requires that countries report student ages as of 31 December and not in relation to an enrolment cut-off or the beginning of the school year. The same instructions apply to countries participating in the UIS World Education Indicators Project (WEI). Data for countries implementing the UOENWEI data collection according to the international definitions are potentially affected by this error. For this reason, data for are Austria, Chile, Czech Republic, Germany, Latvia, Slovakia and Uruguay not presented in this report.

## Calculation based on household survey data

The number of children out of school is the share of out-of-school children of primary school age based on a nationally-representative sample survey, multiplied by the absolute population of primary school age in a country. The questions used to identify the share of children out of school depend on the household survey.

MICS surveys contain an education module with the following questions for all children aged 5 to 17 years:

1. Is (NAME) currently attending school?
2. [If no to question 1] Did (NAME) attend school at any time during the current school year?
3. [If yes to question 1 or 2] Which level and grade is/was (NAME) attending?

Children are counted as being in school if (a) the response to questions 1 or 2 is 'yes'; and (b) the level of school attended is primary or subsequent levels. In different phases of the DHS, various education questions have been used. Recent DHS surveys provide the same information as the MICS, including whether the child attended school at any time during the school year. For earlier surveys, children in school can be identified from the responses to the following questions:

1. Has (NAME) ever been to school?
2. [If yes to question 1] What is the highest level of school (NAME) attended? What is the highest grade (NAME) completed at that level?
3. [If yes to question 1] Is (NAME) still in school?

Of the countries for which DHS data were used in producing the UIS/UNICEF global estimate, Bangladesh used the earlier survey module. In this case, the number of out-of-school children may be overestimated by temporary absenteeism and dropouts.

Usually the respondent is asked to respond to the first and second questions for all household members aged 5 years or older, and the third question for all household members aged 5 to 24 years. Children are counted as being in school if (a) the answer to questions 1 and 3 is 'yes'; and (b) the highest level of school attended is primary or a subsequent level.

For the purpose of this study, missing values for any education variable, in both MICS and DHS datasets,
are imputed as if the child is not attending school. If observations with missing values are excluded rather than imputed in this way, the share of children out of school would decrease.

The calculation of the number of children out of school is similar to the method of calculation used for administrative data. Let $s_{c}$ be the starting age of primary education and $e_{c}$ the exit age from primary education in country c. Further let Pop(age1, age2) $)_{, ~ g}$ be the population of gender $g$ in the age range from age 1 to age2 in country $c$. The primary school-age population in country $c$ is then:

$$
\text { SchoolPop }_{c, M+F}=\operatorname{Pop}\left(s_{c}, e_{c}\right)_{c, g}
$$

The sample from the household survey contains a group of children of primary school age. This group shall be called SurveyPop $\left(s_{c}, e_{c}\right)_{c, M+F}$. Children in school are a sub-sample of this group that can be identified with the responses to the survey questions. The number of pupils of primary school age in primary (ISCED 1) or secondary education (ISCED2 and 3) in the survey data is then SurveyPupil $\left(s_{c}, e_{c}\right)_{c}, M+F_{I} /$ ICEDO123 $^{2}$. The rate of children out of primary school in the sample is:

$$
\text { SurveyROOPS }_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}}=
$$

1 - (SurveyPupil $\left(s_{c}, e_{c}\right)_{c, ~ M+F, I S C E D 0123 ~}$
$/$ SurveyPop $\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)_{c, M+F}$
By definition, this rate cannot exceed $100 \%$, and in contrast to the calculation based on administrative data, no adjustment is necessary. The rate of children out of school is applied to the primary school-age population in country c to yield the absolute number of children out of school. The primary school-age population for the reference year is based on UNPD data.

$$
\text { NOOPS }_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}}=\text { SurveyROOPS }_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}} * \text { SchoolPop }_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}}
$$

It should be noted that household surveys are subject to sampling and non-sampling errors. The sampling errors for the surveys used in this report are provided in Statistical Table A16. Standard errors range from below 0.3 percentage points to more than 2 percentage points in Cameroon, Chad, Gambia, Mozambique, Nepal, Nigeria and Suriname. The difference between the lower and upper boundary of the $95 \%$ confidence interval is 1 to 10 percentage points, with a median of 4.5 percentage points.

## B. Methodology for the combination of administrative and household survey data

The overall goal of the joint UIS/UNICEF estimate is to produce a global statistic that reflects the best information available on primary school participation. The global and regional estimates are based on administrative data from the UIS survey and are also informed by data from MICS and DHS surveys.

The calculation of global and regional averages is conducted in two steps:

1) A set of general rules is applied to the countryspecific data in order to calculate the joint estimate:

- use UIS data when no MICS/DHS data are available;
- use MICS/DHS data when no publishable UIS data are available;
- use UIS data when the participation rate based on UIS data is not more than $5 \%$ points higher than that based on MICS/DHS.

Although the joint methodology needs further development in order to allow the production of time series, preference is given to administrative data which are available on an annual basis. This facilitates the regular update of the out-of-school children estimate and the calculation of reliable trend data.
2) After applying this set of rules, some countries are left for which administrative and household survey data show substantially different results. For each country the absolute number of the difference in out-of-school children between the two sources is calculated. The following data review aims to reduce the sum of the absolute difference between the data sources below a given threshold. It was set as a target that the sum of the differences between the data sources caused by countries with different results (and not included in the process of joint data review by the organizations) must not exceed 3\% of the presented global number of out-of-school children, and it must in any region either be below 250,000 or not exceed $4 \%$. This range was set based upon the uncertainty relating to the two measures.

In order to ensure greater coherence between data sources, the countries contributing most to the difference in absolute terms were selected for a joint data review by UIS and UNICEF. The selection for the special data review was guided by the impact of the country's result on the global or regional estimates and is not based on a judgement of quality of the underlying data. An extensive review of all data, although desirable, goes beyond the scope of this report. The review of the data sources for selected countries is based on different data sources and a literature review using other national and international documentation and survey results.

In order to produce the global estimate, data for Bangladesh, Benin, Cambodia, Egypt, Ethiopia, India, Iraq, Philippines, Viet Nam and Yemen were examined. Additionally data for Chad, Guatemala, Guinea, Nepal and Togo were reviewed in order to reach regional targets. The UIS also collected information relevant for the calculation of out-of-school children based on other sources. Based on these reviews, a decision was taken to use the UIS result, the MICS/DHS result, or to produce a new estimate.

## Bangladesh

Household survey data and administrative data appear to be coherent with respect to the number of pupils for all ages combined. However, differences between net enrolment and net attendance rates are caused by dissimilarities in the age distribution of pupils. The age distribution of primary students reflected by the administrative data is based on a national estimate of primary pupils in secondary schools (BANBEIS, 2002). The estimate was judged not to be representative of the whole primary sector. Three household surveys confirm the range of net attendance rates reflected by the DHS 1999/00 data (MICS 2003, Campaign for Popular Education, 2002; BIDS, 1998).

Conclusion: DHS (1999/00) were used for the joint estimate. Applying the DHS (1999/00) age distribution to administrative data was not considered appropriate.

## India

The age distribution for the administrative data is reported on the basis of data available from primary schools in 193 districts from the District Information System (DISE). The age distribution was applied to the national level (593 districts). It should be noted that the 193 districts are not a representative sample but are those with available data. Furthermore, a number of primary education programmes are not covered by DISE, such as the Education Guarantee Scheme (EGS) programmes. It is assumed that the pupils in those programmes have the same age distribution as observed by DISE.

The UIS/UNICEF joint review considered the coverage of the DISE age data as too low (193 out of 593 districts) to be reliable. The authors of a DISE analytical report stated that the age data were not reliable enough to calculate net enrolment rates (Mehta, 2004). Administrative data show that the participation rate for children aged 6 years is $99.2 \%$ and that pupils begin to drop out of school from age 7. This picture is in contrast to other information sources and survey data.

MICS and DHS data show lower levels of participation which is verified for two regions (Uttar Pradesh and Bihar) on the basis of the World Bank's Living Standards Measurement Study carried out in 1997/98 (World Bank).

Conclusion: National sources suggest that the age data available from estimated administrative data are not sufficiently reliable to produce age-based indicators. MICS (2000) data are used for the global estimate.

## Ethiopia

According to administrative data, the number of primary pupils grew substantially since 1998 (a 40\% increase). The age distribution of the administrative data provided in 2001 was identical to the age distribution in 1998 based on calculations from the UIS database. This does not appear to take into account the changes due to increased enrolments. Therefore the UIS/UNICEF review team had concerns it might overstate the enrolment of primary age. The total number of students was judged as reliable.

Conclusion: Administrative data were used for the total number of pupils. The age distribution
obtained from DHS (2000) was used to re-estimate the distribution of pupils by age.

## Philippines

The MICS (1999) survey was conducted late in the school year, creating potential problems in terms of calculating out-of-school figures. By adjusting the ages of children by one year, the adjusted net attendance rate is more consistent with results from administrative data. The national report on MICS uses the age range 7 to 12 years and not the official primary school age according to ISCED (NSO-MICS, 1999).

Conclusion: Administrative data were used for the global estimate.

## Benin

The most recent administrative data available are considerably older than the most recent household survey data. The age distribution used to calculate indicators is of uncertain quality. Newly-received administrative data could not be verified before this report was finalised. However, they show a very high level of participation (NER=93\%, some participation rates for specific age groups exceed 100\%).

Conclusion: Until recent administrative data are validated, the more recent DHS (2001) results were used for the global estimation. These data may need to be revaluated when more recent administrative data have been reviewed.

## Cambodia

Age-specific enrolment rates based on administrative data reported by Cambodia often exceed $100 \%$. Because of this, the data published by the UIS have been adjusted by the nationallypublished net enrolment rates. The quality of these adjustments is difficult to judge.

Conclusion: DHS (2000) data were used for the global estimate.

## Egypt

The difference between the attendance rates from DHS (2000) and administrative data for the same school year is 7\%. This difference can be attributed almost entirely to the differences in enrolment rates for 6-year-olds (age at grade 1). The difference
is partly explained by the timing of the DHS interviews, which were conducted in the latter half of the school year. Therefore a number of 6 -yearolds counted as out-of-school children were actually 5 -year-olds at the beginning of the school year. The attendance rate for 7 - to 12 -year-olds based on DHS data is higher than the rate based on administrative data. The adjustment of the ages of in DHS data leads to results which are more consistent to those of the administrative data.

Conclusion: Administrative data were used for the global estimate.

## Iraq

No administrative data have been available since 1998. UIS data estimates were last available in 1999, the quality of which are difficult to judge.

Conclusion: Until more recent administrative data become available, the MICS (2000) data were used for the global estimate.

## Viet Nam

The interviews for the MICS (2000) were conducted between May and June 2000, during the end of the school year or over the school break. After adjustment for the ages of children by adding one year, the data sources appear more consistent.

Conclusion: Administrative data were used for the global estimate.

## Yemen

Administrative data for Yemen have shown a substantial increase in primary enrolments since 1997. However, administrative data for 2001/02 were deemed as unreliable. DHS data were used for the global estimate.

## Guinea

Administrative data for first-time entrants to primary education show rates substantially lower than those for net enrolment rates. In other words, the intake into the education system cannot explain the primary school-age participation rates. These inconsistencies are already present in national
statistics (net enrolment rate: 62\%; net intake rate: $23 \%$; gross intake rate: $51 \%$; (MEPU EC, 2002)). A possible explanation is that the age distribution is inaccurate and the high participation rates are due to repetition rather than intake.

Conclusion: DHS (1999) data, which were used, were more coherent with administrative data on primary school intake.

## Togo

Administrative data show that net enrolment rates by single year of age can exceed $100 \%$. The reported number of school-age boys enrolled in primary education exceeds the number of boys in the primary school-age population. The NER for boys is $100 \%$, while the NER for girls is as low as $81 \%$ to $86 \%$. The UIS/UNICEF review considered that problems with the population data were potential sources of error. Population data from other sources, such as estimates produced by the international programme of the U.S. Bureau of the Census, reflects a total population which is more than $10 \%$ higher. Results from the MICS (2000) are in line with earlier results from DHS (1998).

Conclusion: MICS (2000) data were used.

## Nepal

Administrative data are based on estimates, using national estimates of net enrolment rates. No observed administrative data by age are available after 1997. The estimate was considered unreliable since it shows the highest number of primary students at age 11 , which is older than the expected ending age of the primary education cycle.

Conclusion: MICS (2000) data were used for the global estimate.

## Chad and Guatemala

No evidence is available to judge the data sources. Both countries need further consideration in terms of updating the out-of-school children estimate.

Preliminary conclusion: MICS (2000) and DHS (1998/99) data were used for the global estimate.

## C. Administrative and household survey data: Why are they different?

The estimated numbers of primary school-age pupils based on administrative data and household survey data differ substantially in many countries. How can these differences between data sources be explained?

A common explanation stresses the difference between the concepts of enrolment (administrative data) and attendance (household survey data). Being enrolled in school is not necessarily the same as attending school. Children may be recorded in school enrolment records and yet not actually be attending school. ${ }^{5}$ Concerns have been also raised that enrolment rates can be overstated in education systems in order to meet goals or due to direct links to levels of financing and resources.

Given the assumption that children may be enrolled but not attending or that fictitious registrations are reported, it is of interest whether the number of pupils overall based on administrative data is systematically higher than that from household surveys. This appears to be the case when looking only at the primary school-age population. However,

Figure A1. Children in school: Administrative data compared to household survey data, primary school ages

a different picture emerges when a wider age band, including secondary school-age children, is used.

Figure A1 compares the percentage of children in school for the same reference year calculated from each data source. The horizontal axis shows the result obtained from household survey data and the vertical axis shows the result from administrative data. The chart on the left considers just the age range from primary school-age children. Among the 59 countries with comparable data, in 24 countries participation rates drop by

Household surveys tend to show a higher total number of pupils than administrative data, when considering a broader age group. five percentage points for the primary school-age group when household surveys are used instead of administrative data. The opposite is true for 10 countries.

Figure A2 compares the data for the age group 5 to 17 years. For 26 of the 59 countries, the results differ by more than five percentage points. However, the difference between sources is not systematic. There is a tendency for household survey data to cover a higher total number of children in school than administrative data when a wider age

Figure A2. Children in school: Administrative data compared to household survey data, 5 to 17-year-olds


Note: The reference year is the same for both sources. Data may, therefore, differ from the administrative data shown in Statistical Table A1. Countries with more than 10 points difference between the two sources are labelled.
Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Statistical Table A1, UNESCO Institute for Statistics database.

[^10]range is being considered ( 18 countries), while the opposite is true for only eight countries. Of the 24 countries which have higher participation rates for primary school-age children based on administrative data, only seven show the same pattern for the 5 to 17 -year-old age group.

## Administrative data tend to overestimate the share of pupils of primary school age

 of pupils. Figure A3 compares the percentage of pupils aged 5 to 17 years who are of primary school age by source of data. Administrative data tend to reflect a higher proportion of primary school-age children than household survey data ( 37 out of 59 countries). For example, in Bangladesh, the number of pupils aged 5 to 17 years based on household survey data is 28.5 million, compared to 27.2 million based on administrative data. However, using household survey data, only $49 \%$ of the 28.5 million are estimated to be of primary school age, compared to $60 \%$ of the 27.2 million based on administrative data. The number of pupils of primary school age according to administrative data, 16 million, exceeds the survey estimate by two million.Figure A3. Percentage of primary school-age pupils aged 5 to 17 years: Household survey and administrative data


[^11]
## D. Methodology to estimate the proportion of late entrants and dropouts

Chapter 1 provides a typology to classify children out of school according to their exposure to education. Out-of-school children are classified as:

- never attended school
- likely to attend in the following years; or - will never attend school;
- has attended school but dropped out.

The allocation of children who have never attended school to date into the two groups cannot be made on the basis of micro- or macrolevel data. It is based on the probability of children attending school when they are older and cannot be derived from the characteristics of individual children. Only the proportion of the children that will fall in each group can be estimated using an indication of participation rates by single year of age at the global and regional levels. Nevertheless, the calculation is carried out on a country by country basis before aggregating the results.

Figure A4 illustrates the calculation. In a first step, the single age with the lowest non-participation rate is identified. The proportion not participating at that age is used as a proxy for the rate of children who will never participate across all ages.

In a second step, the size of the group of out-ofschool children that is expected to participate in the coming years is estimated. It is assumed that all out-of-school children younger than the age with the lowest levels of non-participation have some chance to enter school in the coming years. The proportion is simply estimated as the proportion out of school minus the proportion estimated to never enter school.

In a last step, the proportion of drop-outs is estimated. The proportion of children dropped out is estimated as the proportion out of school minus the portion estimated as having never participated.

Figure A4. Estimates of the proportion of out-of-school populations by type


The following calculation formula is applied to countries separately. Regional and global estimates are calculated as the sum of country results.

Let $R_{\text {age }}$ be the rate of out-of-school children for each age. $R_{\text {min }}$ is the minimum of all $R_{\text {age. }}$. $A G E_{\text {min }}$ is the age for which $R_{\text {age }}$ is the minimum. $P O P_{\text {age }}$ is the population by single year of age.

The number of out-of-school children that is expected to never enter school is estimated as:

$$
\text { OOSC }_{\text {never }}=\operatorname{Sum}\left(R_{\min } * P_{\text {age }}\right) \text { for all ages }
$$

The number of out-of-school children that is expected to enter school in future years is estimated as:

$$
\text { OOSC }_{\text {late entry }}=\operatorname{Sum}\left(\left(R_{\text {age }}-R_{\min }\right) * \text { POP }_{\text {age }}\right) \text { for ages }<A G E_{\min }
$$

The number of out-of-school children that dropped out is estimated as:

$$
\text { OOSC }_{\text {drop-out }}=\operatorname{Sum}\left(\left(R_{\text {age }}-R_{\min }\right) * P O P_{\text {age }}\right) \text { for ages }>A G E_{\min }
$$

Inconsistencies between the population data and administrative enrolment data can lead to participation rates exceeding 100\%. In this case, non-participation rates ( $R_{\text {age }}$ ) are assumed to be zero. $A G E_{\text {min }}$ is the youngest age for which $R_{\text {age }}$ is zero.

ANNEX 2
Statistical Tables
table a1. PRIMARY SCHOOL-AGE CHILDREN IN AND OUT OF SCHOOL. ADMINISTRATIVE AND

| Country or territory | Education system |  |  | Source <br> for global and regional estimates | Enrolment data (administrative sources) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School year | Primary school age group | School-age population (thousands) |  | Children of primary school age (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | In school |  |  | In secondary education (included in "in school") |  |  | Out of school |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Central and Eastern Europe / Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albania | 2000/01 | 6-9 | 257 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 97.2 | 97.3 | 97.0 | - | - | - | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| Armenia | 2001/02 | 7-9 | 149 | Enrolment | 87.5 | 87.9 | 87.0 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 13.0 |
| Azerbaijan | 2001/02 | 6-9 | 723 | Enrolment | 79.9 | 80.6 | 79.2 | - | - | - | 20.1 | 19.4 | 20.8 |
| Belarus | 2001/02 | 6-9 | 464 | Enrolment | 93.2* | ... | ... | 1.0** | ... | ... | 6.8 | ... | ... |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 2001/02 | 6-9 | 193 | Attendance | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Bulgaria | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 352 | Enrolment | 92.3 | 92.9 | 91.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 8.2 |
| Croatia | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 202 | Enrolment | 94.7 | 95.1 | 94.3 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 5.7 |
| Georgia | 2001/02 | 6-9 | 276 | Enrolment | 90.7 | 90.9 | 90.5 | - | - | - | 9.3 | 9.1 | 9.5 |
| Kazakhstan | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 1,166 | Enrolment | 95.7 | 94.8 | 96.7 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 7.7 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 3.3 |
| Kyrgyzstan | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 454 | Enrolment | 90.0 | 91.7 | 88.4 | - | - | - | 10.0 | 8.3 | 11.6 |
| Moldova, Republic of | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 267 | Enrolment | 82.2 | 82.5 | 81.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 17.8 | 17.5 | 18.1 |
| Romania | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 1,049 | Enrolment | 91.6 | 91.9 | 91.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 8.4 | 8.1 | 8.7 |
| Russian Federation | 2001/02 | 7-9 | 4,883 | Enrolment | 88.6 | 88.0 | 89.2 | x | x | x | 11.4 | 12.0 | 10.8 |
| Serbia and Montenegro | 2000/01 | 7-10 | 386 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 76.2 * | 76.1* | 76.4* | 1.3** | 1.3** | 1.4** | 23.8 | 23.9 | 23.6 |
| Tajikistan | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 641 | Enrolment | 96.0* | 98.7* | 93.3* | 1.0** | 1.3** | 0.7** | 4.0 | 1.3 | 6.7 |
| The Former Yugoslav Rep. of Macedonia | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 123 | Enrolment | 97.5 | 97.0 | 98.0 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.0 |
| Turkey | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 8,692 | Enrolment | 87.9** | 91.0** | 84.8** | - | - | - | 12.1** | 9.0** | 15.2** |
| Turkmenistan | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 478 | Enrolment ${ }^{2}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... |
| Ukraine | 2001/02 | 7-9 | 1,754 | Enrolment | 90.2* | 90.3** | 90.1** | 2.7** | 2.7** | 2.8** | 9.8 | 9.7** | 9.9** |
| Uzbekistan | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 2,495 | Attendance | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle East and North Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Algeria | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 4,326 | Enrolment | 96.9 | 98.0 | 95.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 4.3 |
| Bahrain | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 83 | Enrolment | 92.2** | 91.9** | 92.6** | 1.2** | 1.2** | 1.3** | 7.8** | 8.1** | 7.4** |
| Djibouti | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 110 | Enrolment | 34.3** | 38.7** | 29.8** | 0.3** | 0.5** | 0.2** | 65.7** | 61.3** | 70.2** |
| Egypt | 2001/02 | 6-10 | 8,103 | Enrolment | 92.5** | 94.5** | 90.4** | 2.2 ** | 2.3** | 2.1** | 7.5** | 5.5** | 9.6** |
| Iran, Islamic Republic of | 2001/02 | 6-10 | 8,154 | Enrolment | 86.6* | ... | ... | x | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 13.4 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Iraq | 1999/00 | 6-11 | 3,683 | Attendance | 90.5 | 97.6 | 83.2 | - | - | - | 9.5 | 2.4 | 16.8 |
| Jordan | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 777 | Enrolment | 94.9 | 94.3 | 95.5 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 4.5 |
| Kuwait | 2001/02 | 6-9 | 158 | Enrolment | 88.9 | 89.1 | 88.7 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 11.3 |
| Lebanon | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 440 | Enrolment | 91.6** | 91.7** | 91.6** | x | x | x | 8.4** | 8.3** | 8.4** |
| Libyan Arab Jamahiriya | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 657 | Enrolment ${ }^{2}$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Morocco | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 3,764 | Enrolment | 88.5 | 91.7 | 85.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 11.5 | 8.3 | 14.8 |
| Oman | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 382 | Enrolment | 76.1 | 75.6 | 76.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 23.9 | 24.4 | 23.4 |
| Palestinian Autonomous Territories | 2001/02 | 6-9 | 386 | Enrolment | 98.6* | 98.2* | 99.0* | 4.0** | 3.9** | 4.1** | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| Qatar | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 61 | Enrolment | 98.8 | >99 | 98.0 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 1.2 | <1 | 2.0 |
| Saudi Arabia | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 3,442 | Enrolment | 59.6 | 62.1 | 57.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 40.4 | 37.9 | 43.0 |
| Sudan | 1999/00 | 6-11 | 4,710 | Enrolment | 48.9** | 53.3** | 44.4** | x | x | x | 51.1** | 46.7** | 55.6** |
| Syrian Arab Republic | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 2,602 | Enrolment | 97.4 | >99 | 94.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | - | 5.3 |
| Tunisia | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 1,188 | Enrolment | 97.8* | 98.0* | 97.7* | 1.0** | 0.9** | 1.1** | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| United Arab Emirates | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 310 | Enrolment | 83.9* | 84.9* | 82.7* | 3.0** | 3.0** | 3.0** | 16.1 | 15.1 | 17.3 |
| Yemen | 2000/01 | 6-11 | 3,337 | Attendance | 67.6** | ... | ... | x | ... | ... | 32.4** | ... | ... |
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| Enrolment data (administrative sources) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Attendance data (household surveys) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Country or territory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children of primary school age (\%) |  |  | Number of children |  |  |  | Survey | Year | Children of primary school age (\%) <br> Out of school |  |  | Number of children |  |  |  |  |
| In pre-primary education (included in"out of school") |  |  | Out of school (thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Out of school (thousands)3 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female \%Female |  |  |  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | \%Female |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Central and East Europe / Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) |  |  |  |  |
| ... | ... | ... | 7 | 4 | 4 | 50.9 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Albania |
| ... | ... | ... | 19 | 9 | 10 | 50.8 | DHS | 2000 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 43.3 | Armenia |
| 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 145 | 72 | 73 | 50.4 | MICS | 2000 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 66 | 34 | 32 | 48.3 | Azerbaijan |
| 5.7 | ... | ... | 31 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Belarus |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | MICS | 2000 | 13.8 | 12.7 | 14.9 | 27 | 13 | 14 | 52.4 | Bosnia and Herzegovina |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 27 | 13 | 14 | 52.2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Bulgaria |
| 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 52.7 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Croatia |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 26 | 13 | 13 | 49.8 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Georgia |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 50 | 31 | 19 | 38.4 | DHS | 1999 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 18 | 10 | 8 | 42.9 | Kazakhstan |
| 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 45 | 19 | 26 | 57.8 | DHS | 1997 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 23 | 11 | 12 | 51.2 | Kyrgyzstan |
| 10.9 | 11.2 | 10.6 | 47 | 24 | 24 | 49.8 | MICS | 2000 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 35.7 | Moldova, Republic of |
| ... | ... | ... | 88 | 44 | 44 | 50.4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | Romania |
| ... | ... | ... | 558 | 301 | 257 | 46.1 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | Russian Federation |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 92 | 47 | 44 | 48.4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | Serbia and Montenegro |
| 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 26 | 4 | 21 | 83.2 | MICS | 2000 | 19.3 | 20.0 | 18.5 | 124 | 65 | 58 | 47.3 | Tajikistan |
| 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 38.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | The Former Yugoslav Rep. of Macedonia |
| ... | ... | ... | 1,049** | 397** | 652** | 62.2** | DHS | 2003 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 12.4 | 1,007 | 477 | 530 | 52.6 | Turkey |
| ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | Turkmenistan |
| $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 172 | 87** | 85** | 49.2** | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | Ukraine |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | MICS | 2000 | 19.7 | 19.2 | 20.1 | 491 | 244 | 247 | 50.3 | Uzbekistan |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Middle East and North Africa |
| ... | ... | ... | 136 | 45 | 92 | 67.3 | MICS | 2000 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 282 | 136 | 146 | 51.7 | Algeria |
| 0.3** | 0.4** | 0.3** | 6** | 3** | 3** | 46.4** | MICS | 2000 | 13.7 | 14.0 | 13.4 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 47.8 | Bahrain |
| ... | ... | ... | 72** | 34** | 38** | 53.1** | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Djibouti |
| 0.2** | 0.2** | 0.2** | 608** | 226** | 382** | 62.8** | DHS | 2000 | 14.4 | 12.5 | 16.5 | 1,170 | 518 | 652 | 55.8 | Egypt |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1,095 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Iran, Islamic Republic of |
| $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 348 | 46 | 303 | 86.9 | MICS | 2000 | 22.2 | 16.2 | 28.5 | 818 | 304 | 514 | 62.9 | Iraq |
| $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 40 | 23 | 17 | 42.8 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Jordan |
| ... | ... | ... | 17 | 9 | 9 | 49.8 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | Kuwait |
| 0.8** | 0.9** | 0.7** | $37 * *$ | 19** | 18** | 49.1** | MICS | 2000 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 54.7 | Lebanon |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Libyan Arab Jamahiriya |
| 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 432 | 159 | 273 | 63.1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Morocco |
| - | - | - | 91 | 48 | 44 | 47.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | Oman |
| 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 34.2 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Palestinian Autonomous Territories |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | - | - | - | 77.8 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Qatar |
| ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 1,390 | 668 | 723 | 52.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | Saudi Arabia |
| ... | ... | ... | 2,405** | 1,120** | 1,285** | 53.4** | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Sudan |
| - | - | - | 67 | - | 67 | >90 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Syrian Arab Republic |
| ... | ... | ... | 26 | 12 | 14 | 52.9 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Tunisia |
| 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 50 | 24 | 26 | 52.5 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | United Arab Emirates |
| ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 1,081** | ... | ... | ... | DHS | 1997 | 45.4 | 32.2 | 59.3 | 1,515 | 549 | 966 | 63.8 | Yemen |
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| Country or territory | Education system |  |  | Source for global and regional estimates | Enrolment data (administrative sources) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School year | Primary <br> school age group | School-age population (thousands) |  | Children of primary school age (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | In school |  |  | In secondary education (included in "in school") |  |  | Out of school |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Eastern and Southern Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Angola | 1998/99 | 6-9 | 1,383 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 61.5* | 66.0* | 56.9* | x | x | x | 38.5 | 34.0 | 43.1 |
| Botswana | 2001/02 | 6-12 | 319 | Enrolment | 81.1 | 79.3 | 82.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 18.9 | 20.7 | 17.1 |
| Burundi | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 1,151 | Enrolment | 53.5** | 58.9** | 48.1** | - | - | - | 46.5** | 41.1** | 51.9** |
| Comoros | 1999/00 | 6-11 | 112 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 55.1** | 59.9** | 50.2** | x | x | x | 44.9** | 40.1** | 49.8** |
| Eritrea | 2001/02 | 7-11 | 546 | Enrolment | 42.9 | 46.1 | 39.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 57.1 | 53.9 | 60.4 |
| Ethiopia | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 11,285 | Attendance | 46.9 | 52.4 | 41.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 53.1 | 47.6 | 58.7 |
| Kenya | 2001/02 | 6-12 | 6,074 | Enrolment | 70.2** | 69.7** | 70.8** | 0.3** | 0.4** | 0.3** | 29.8** | 30.3** | 29.2** |
| Lesotho | 2001/02 | 6-12 | 334 | Enrolment | 84.7 | 81.4 | 88.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 15.3 | 18.6 | 12.0 |
| Madagascar | 2001/02 | 6-10 | 2,311 | Enrolment | 69.0 | 68.6 | 69.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 31.0 | 31.4 | 30.6 |
| Malawi | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 1,952 | Enrolment | 81.5** | 81.5** | 81.5** | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 18.5** | 18.5** | 18.5** |
| Mauritius | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 126 | Enrolment | >99 | 98.5 | >99 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 7.8 | <1 | 1.5 | - |
| Mozambique | 2001/02 | 6-10 | 2,585 | Enrolment | 59.7 | 63.5 | 56.0 | - | - | - | 40.3 | 36.5 | 44.0 |
| Namibia | 2001/02 | 6-12 | 376 | Enrolment | 78.4 | 75.9 | 80.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 21.6 | 24.1 | 19.1 |
| Rwanda | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 1,312 | Enrolment | 84.3* | 83.1* | 85.4* | x | x | x | 15.7 | 16.9 | 14.6 |
| Seychelles | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 8 | Enrolment | 94.8 | 94.9 | 94.7 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.3 |
| Somalia | 2001/02 | 6-12 | 1,772 | Attendance | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| South Africa | 2001/02 | 7-13 | 7,052 | Enrolment | 93.9* | 92.9* | 94.9* | x | x | x | 6.1 | 7.1 | 5.1 |
| Swaziland | 2001/02 | 6-12 | 211 | Enrolment | 77.0 | 76.6 | 77.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 23.0 | 23.4 | 22.6 |
| Tanzania, United Republic of | 2001/02 | 7-13 | 6,979 | Enrolment | 54.4* | 54.3* | 54.5* | x | x | x | 45.6 | 45.7 | 45.5 |
| Uganda | 2001/02 | 6-12 | 5,059 | Attendance | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Zambia | 2001/02 | 7-13 | 2,063 | Enrolment | 66.6** | 66.9** | 66.3** | 0.6** | 0.5** | 0.7** | 33.4** | 33.1** | 33.7** |
| Zimbabwe | 2002/03 | 6-12 | 2,555 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 80.5 | 80.0 | 81.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 19.5 | 20.0 | 19.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West and Central Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benin | 1999/00 | 6-11 | 1,070 | Attendance | 71.7** | 85.0** | 58.4** | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 28.3** | 15.0** | 41.6** |
| Burkina Faso | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 2,127 | Enrolment | 35.5** | 41.5** | 29.4** | x | x | x | 64.5** | 58.5** | 70.6** |
| Cameroon | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 2,570 | Attendance | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Cape Verde | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 73 | Enrolment | 98.9 | >99 | 98.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | <1 | 1.7 |
| Central African Republic | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 621 | Attendance | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... |
| Chad | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 1,385 | Enrolment | 58.3** | 69.8** | 46.8** | x | x | x | 41.7** | 30.2** | 53.2** |
| Congo | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 614 | Enrolment ${ }^{2}$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 2,635 | Enrolment | 63.8* | 73.5* | 54.0* | x | x | x | 36.2 | 26.5 | 46.0 |
| Democratic Republic of the Congo | 1998/99 | 6-11 | 8,108 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 34.8* | 35.7* | 33.8* | 0.2** | 0.3** | 0.1** | 65.2 | 64.3 | 66.2 |
| Equatorial Guinea | 2001/02 | 7-11 | 62 | Enrolment | 85.0* | 91.6* | 78.3* | x | x | x | 15.0 | 8.4 | 21.7 |
| Gabon | 2000/01 | 6-11 | 207 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 79.6** | 80.1** | 79.2** | x | x | x | 20.4** | 19.9** | 20.8** |
| Gambia | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 204 | Enrolment | 73.9** | 77.1** | 70.7** | 1.1** | 1.1** | 1.0** | 26.1** | 22.9** | 29.3** |
| Ghana | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 3,177 | Enrolment | 61.0* | 62.2* | 59.7* | 0.8** | 0.8** | 0.7** | 39.0 | 37.8 | 40.3 |
| Guinea | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 1,294 | Enrolment | 61.9 | 69.5 | 54.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 38.1 | 30.5 | 46.0 |
| Guinea-Bissau | 1999/00 | 7-12 | 215 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 45.4 | 53.1 | 37.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 54.6 | 46.9 | 62.3 |
| Liberia | 1999/00 | 6-11 | 471 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 69.9 | 78.6 | 61.1 | - | - | - | 30.1 | 21.4 | 38.9 |
| Mali | 1998/99 | 7-12 | 1,966 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 38.6** | 44.8** | 32.2** | x | x | x | 61.4** | 55.2** | 67.8** |
| Mauritania | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 434 | Enrolment | 66.7** | 68.2** | 65.2** | - | - | - | 33.3** | 31.8** | 34.8** |
| Niger | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 1,900 | Enrolment | 34.6 | 41.1 | 27.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 65.4 | 58.9 | 72.2 |
| Nigeria | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 20,093 | Attendance | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ |
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| Enrolment data (administrative sources) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Attendance data (household surveys) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Country or territory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children of primary school age (\%) |  |  | Number of children |  |  |  | Survey | Year | Children of primary <br> school age (\%)Out of school |  |  | Number of childrenOut of school (thousands)3 |  |  |  |  |
| In pre-primary education (included in"out of school") |  |  | Out of school (thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female \%Female |  |  |  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | \%Female |  |
| - | - | - | -** | -** | * -** | >90** | MICS | 2000 | 22.1 | 22.6 | 21.6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 48.7 | Sao Tome and Principe |
| ... | ... | ... | 661 | 305 | 356 | 53.8 | MICS | 2000 | 51.6 | 48.4 | 54.8 | 820 | 388 | 432 | 52.7 | Senegal |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | MICS | 2000 | 59.1 | 57.2 | 61.0 | 431 | 207 | 224 | 52.0 | Sierra Leone |
| - | - | - | 68 | - | 68 | >90 | MICS | 2000 | 36.6 | 32.3 | 40.9 | 288 | 128 | 160 | 55.7 | Togo |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | South Asia |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Afghanistan, Islamic Republic of |
| $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 1,813 | 1,040 | 772 | 42.6 | DHS | 1999/00 | 20.7 | 21.6 | 19.8 | 3,756 | 2,009 | 1,747 | 46.5 | Bangladesh |
| - | - | - | 66 | 31 | 35 | 52.6 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Bhutan |
| ... | ... | ... | 13,186* | 2,560 * | 10,626 * | 80.6 * | MICS | 2000 | 23.1 | 19.7 | 26.7 | 26,7621 | 11,798 | 14,964 | 55.9 | India |
| 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1 | - | - | 37.9 | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | . | ... | ... | ... | Maldives |
| ... | ... | ... | 832** | 358** | * 474** | 56.9** | MICS | 2000 | 33.8 | 28.9 | 39.0 | 1,049 | 465 | 584 | 55.7 | Nepal |
| $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 7,813** | 3,326** | * 4,487** | 57.4** | Survey | 2000/01 | 43.4 | 38.1 | 49.1 | 8,641 | 3,914 | 4,728 | 54.7 | Pakistan |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Sri Lanka |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | East Asia and the Pacific |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | Brunei Darussalam |
| 0.7** | 0.6** | 0.7** | 301** | 120** | * 181** | 60.1** | DHS | 2000 | 34.7 | 34.2 | 35.3 | 768 | 383 | 385 | 50.1 | Cambodia |
| $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 4,447** | 2,530** | * 1,917** | 43.1** | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | China |
| ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Cook Islands |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | Democratic People's Republic of Korea |
| - | - | - | -** | -** | * | - | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | Fiji |
| ... | ... | ... | 962 | 434 | 528 | 54.9 | DHS 2 | 2002/03 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 1,448 | 786 | 662 | 45.7 | Indonesia |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | Kiribati |
| ... | ... | ... | 128 | 53 | 75 | 58.8 | MICS | 2000 | 37.9 | 35.5 | 40.4 | 282 | 134 | 147 | 52.3 | Lao People's Democratic Republic |
| 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 51.9 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Macao, China |
| $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 153 | 80 | 73 | 47.7 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | Malaysia |
| ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | Marshall Islands |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | Micronesia (Federated States of) |
| ... | ... | ... | 24 | 15 | 10 | 39.5 | MICS | 2000 | 20.8 | 21.3 | 20.4 | 51 | 26 | 24 | 48.1 | Mongolia |
| ... | ... | ... | 968 | 492 | 477 | 49.2 | MICS | 2000 | 20.5 | 20.6 | 20.5 | 1,096 | 555 | 541 | 49.4 | Myanmar |
| ... | ... | ... | -** | -** | * -** | 46.0** | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | Nauru |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | >90 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Niue |
| - | - | - | -** | - | -** | >90** | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | Palau |
| ... | ... | ... | 231** | 104** | * 127** | 55.1** | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | Papua New Guinea |
| 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 747 | 446 | 301 | 40.3 | MICS | 1999 | 18.1 | 19.3 | 16.9 | 2,072 | 1,127 | 944 | 45.6 | Philippines |
| 3.0 | 2.5 | 3.4 | - | - | - | 51.2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Samoa |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | Singapore |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | Solomon Islands |
| 7.0** | 7.1** | 6.8** | 845** | 388** | * 457** | 54.1** | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Thailand |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | Timor-Leste |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Tokelau |
| 2.5 | 4.0 | 0.9 | - | - |  | 51.2 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Tonga |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | >90 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Tuvalu |
| 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 2 | 1 | - | 41.3 | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | Vanuatu |
| 0.2** | ... | ... | 532** | ... | ... | ... | MICS | 2000 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 1,117 | 549 | 568 | 50.9 | Viet Nam |

TABLE A1. PRIMARY SCHOOL-AGE CHILDREN IN AND OUT OF SCHOOL. ADMINISTRATIVE AND

| Country or territory | Education system |  |  | Source <br> for global and regional estimates | Enrolment data (administrative sources) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School year | Primary school age group | School-age population (thousands) |  | Children of primary school age (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | In school |  |  | In secondary education (included in "in school") |  |  | Out of school |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Latin America and the Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anguilla | 2001/02 | 5-11 | 1 | Enrolment | 97.8 | 97.1 | 98.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 2001/02 | 5-11 | ... | Enrolment ${ }^{2}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Argentina | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 4,098 | Enrolment | >99 | >99 | >99 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | <1 | - | <1 |
| Aruba | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 9 | Enrolment | 96.7 | 97.4 | 96.0 | - | - | 0.1 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 4.0 |
| Bahamas | 2001/02 | 5-10 | 37 | Enrolment | 92.9** | 90.9** | 95.1** | 6.6** | 5.7** | 7.5** | 7.1** | 9.1** | 4.9** |
| Barbados | 2001/02 | 5-10 | 22 | Enrolment | 97.7 | 97.4 | 97.9 | - | - | - | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.1 |
| Belize | 2000/01 | 5-10 | 38 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 97.9** | 97.5** | 98.2** | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.1** | 2.5** | 1.8** |
| Bermuda | 2001/02 | 5-10 | ... | Enrolment ${ }^{2}$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Bolivia | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 1,321 | Enrolment | 95.7* | 95.5* | 96.0* | x | x | x | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| Brazil | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 13,287 | Enrolment | 94.5 | 93.6 | 95.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 4.5 |
| British Virgin Islands | 2001/02 | 5-11 | 3 | Enrolment | 94.2* | 94.8* | 93.6* | 3.2** | 3.0** | 3.5** | 5.8 | 5.2 | 6.4 |
| Cayman Islands | 2001/02 | 5-10 | ... | Enrolment ${ }^{2}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Chile | 2002/03 | 6-11 | 1,754 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Colombia | 2001/02 | 6-10 | 4,684 | Enrolment | 89.4 | 89.7** | 89.1** | 2.7 | $2.5 * *$ | 2.8** | 10.6 | 10.3** | 10.9** |
| Costa Rica | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 510 | Enrolment | 90.8 | 90.0 | 91.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 9.2 | 10.0 | 8.5 |
| Cuba | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 969 | Enrolment | 97.1 | 97.4 | 96.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
| Dominica | 2001/02 | 5-11 | ... | Enrolment ${ }^{2}$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Dominican Republic | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 1,110 | Enrolment | 97.3 | 98.5 | 96.0 | 13.2 | 12.6 | 13.7 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 4.0 |
| Ecuador | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 1,696 | Enrolment | >99 | 98.9 | >99 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 | <1 | 1.1 | - |
| El Salvador | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 866 | Enrolment | 90.7 | 90.6 | 90.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 9.1 |
| Grenada | 2000/01 | 5-11 | 17 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 86.0** | 91.0** | 81.0** | x | x | x | 14.0** | 9.0** | 19.0** |
| Guatemala | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 1,913 | Enrolment | 85.0 | 86.9 | 82.9 | - | - | - | 15.0 | 13.1 | 17.1 |
| Guyana | 2000/01 | 6-11 | 90 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 98.1 | 98.5 | 97.7 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| Haiti | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 1,254 | Attendance | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Honduras | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 1,054 | Enrolment | 87.4** | 86.7** | 88.3** | - | - | - | 12.6** | 13.3** | 11.7** |
| Jamaica | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 328 | Enrolment | 95.9 | 95.7 | 96.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 3.9 |
| Mexico | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 13,452 | Enrolment | >99* | 98.3* | >99* | 2.1** | 2.0** | 2.3** | <1 | 1.7 | <1 |
| Montserrat | 1999/00 | 5-11 | ... | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Netherlands Antilles | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 22 | Enrolment | 88.9 | 86.6 | 91.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 11.1 | 13.4 | 8.7 |
| Nicaragua | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 829 | Enrolment | 86.7 | 86.0 | 87.4 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 13.3 | 14.0 | 12.6 |
| Panama | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 371 | Enrolment | >99* | >99* | >99* | 1.0** | 0.9** | 1.1** | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| Paraguay | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 864 | Enrolment | 92.0* | 91.6* | 92.3* | 0.5** | 0.4** | 0.5** | 8.0 | 8.4 | 7.7 |
| Peru | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 3,600 | Enrolment | >99 | >99 | >99 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | $<1$ | $<1$ | - |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 2000/01 | 5-11 | 6 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 86.2 | 81.5 | 91.5 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 13.8 | 18.5 | 8.5 |
| Saint Lucia | 2001/02 | 5-11 | 22 | Enrolment | 99.0** | >99** | 98.4** | 0.9** | 0.6** | 1.2** | 1.0** | $<1 * *$ | 1.6** |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 2001/02 | 5-11 | 18 | Enrolment | 96.5** | 95.9** | 97.2** | 4.6 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 3.5** | 4.1** | 2.8** |
| Suriname | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 51 | Enrolment | 98.6* | 97.3* | >99* | x | x | x | 1.4 | 2.7 | - |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 2001/02 | 5-11 | 147 | Enrolment | 91.4** | 90.5** | 92.4** | 4.6** | 4.2** | 5.1** | 8.6** | 9.5** | 7.6** |
| Turks and Caicos Islands | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 2 | Enrolment | 91.3 | 91.7 | 90.9 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 8.7 | 8.3 | 9.1 |
| Uruguay | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 332 | Enrolment | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Venezuela | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 3,310 | Enrolment | 94.2 | 93.7 | 94.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 5.2 |

TABLE A1. PRIMARY SCHOOL-AGE CHILDREN IN AND OUT OF SCHOOL. ADMINISTRATIVE AND

| Country or territory | Education system |  |  | Source for global and regional estimates | Enrolment data (administrative sources) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School year | Primary school age group | School-age population (thousands) |  | Children of primary school age (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | In school |  |  | In secondary education (included in "in school") |  |  | Out of school |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Industrialised countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andorra | 2001/02 | 6-11 | $\ldots$ | Enrolment ${ }^{2}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Australia | 2002/03 | 5-11 | 1,866 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | 95.2 * | 94.8* | 95.6* | 0.2** | 0.1** | 0.2** | 4.8 | 5.2 | 4.4 |
| Austria | 2001/02 | 6-9 | 375 | Enrolment | ... | ... | ... | - | - | - | ... | ... | ... |
| Belgium | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 730 | Enrolment | >99* | >99* | >99* | 0.3** | 0.2** | 0.3** | <1 | <1 | $<1$ |
| Canada | 2000/01 | 6-11 | 2,466 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | >99** | >99** | >99** | - | - | - | $<1 * *$ | <1** | <1** |
| Cyprus | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 65 | Enrolment | 98.5 | 98.2 | 98.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| Czech Republic | 2001/02 | 6-10 | 583 | Enrolment | ... | ... | ... | - | - | - | ... | $\cdots$ | ... |
| Denmark | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 397 | Enrolment | >99 | >99 | >99 | - | - | - | <1 | <1 | - |
| Estonia | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 107 | Enrolment | 98.8 | 98.7 | 99.0 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Finland | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 385 | Enrolment | >99 | >99 | >99 | 0.1 | - | 0.2 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| France | 2001/02 | 6-10 | 3,638 | Enrolment | >99* | >99* | >99* | 0.6** | 0.6** | 0.7** | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| Germany | 1999/00 | 6-9 | 3,479 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | ... | ... | ... | - | - | - | ... | ... | ... |
| Greece | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 652 | Enrolment | >99* | >99* | >99* | x | x | x | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| Hong Kong, SAR (China) | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 461 | Enrolment | >99** | >99** | >99** | 4.2** | 4.1** | 4.3** | <1** | <1** | <1** |
| Hungary | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 474 | Enrolment | 98.8 | 98.4 | >99 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 9.1 | 1.2 | 1.6 | <1 |
| Iceland | 2001/02 | 6-12 | 32 | Enrolment | >99 | >99 | >99 | - | - | 0.1 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| Ireland | 2001/02 | 4-11 | 425 | Enrolment | 95.5 | 94.7 | 96.3 | - | - | - | 4.5 | 5.3 | 3.7 |
| Israel | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 671 | Enrolment | 98.0 | 97.9 | 98.1 | - | 0.1 | - | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| Italy | 2001/02 | 6-10 | 2,770 | Enrolment | >99 | >99 | >99 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| Japan | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 7,273 | Enrolment | >99 | >99 | >99 | - | - | - | $<1$ | <1 | - |
| Latvia | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 119 | Enrolment | 89.2 * | 88.6* | 89.8* | 1.6** | 1.3** | 1.9** | 10.8 | 11.4 | 10.2 |
| Lithuania | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 195 | Enrolment | 97.0 | 97.0 | 97.0 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Luxembourg | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 34 | Enrolment | 97.1 | 97.1 | 97.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Malta | 2001/02 | 5-10 | 31 | Enrolment | 95.4 | 95.4 | 95.5 | - | - | - | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.5 |
| Monaco | 2001/02 | 6-10 | $\ldots$ | Enrolment ${ }^{2}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Netherlands | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 1,195 | Enrolment | >99* | >99* | 98.8* | 0.1** | 0.1** | 0.1** | $<1$ | - | 1.2 |
| New Zealand | 2002/03 | 5-10 | 356 | Enrolment | >99** | >99** | >99** | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | $<1 * *$ | $<1 * *$ | <1** |
| Norway | 2001/02 | 6-12 | 424 | Enrolment | >99 | >99 | >99 | - | - | - | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| Poland | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 3,114 | Enrolment | 98.2 * | 98.0* | 98.3* | x | x | x | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| Portugal | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 663 | Enrolment | 99.0 | 98.7 | >99 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.3 | <1 |
| Republic of Korea | 2002/03 | 6-11 | 3,994 | Enrolment ${ }^{1}$ | >99 | >99 | >99 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| San Marino | 2001/02 | 6-10 | $\ldots$ | Enrolment ${ }^{2}$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Slovakia | 2001/02 | 6-9 | 280 | Enrolment | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Slovenia | 2001/02 | 7-10 | 83 | Enrolment | 95.3 | 95.2 | 95.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.7 |
| Spain | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 2,321 | Enrolment | >99 | >99 | >99 | - | - | - | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| Sweden | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 712 | Enrolment | >99 | >99 | >99 | - | - | - | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| Switzerland | 2001/02 | 7-12 | 500 | Enrolment | >99 | >99 | >99 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 4.4 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| United Kingdom | 2001/02 | 5-10 | 4,522 | Enrolment | >99 | >99 | >99 | - | - | - | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| United States | 2001/02 | 6-11 | 25,314 | Enrolment | 93.7 | 93.1 | 94.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 5.6 |

Table A1. Primary school-age children in and out of school.
Administrative and household survey data

HOUSEHOLD SURVEY DATA

| Enrolment data (administrative sources) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Attendance data (household surveys) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Country or territory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children of primary school age (\%) |  |  | Number of children |  |  |  | Survey | Year | Children of primary <br> school age (\%)Out of school |  |  | Number of children |  |  |  |  |
| In pre-primary education (included in"out of school") |  |  | Out of school (thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | of sch | ol (thous | ands)3 |  |
| Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female \%Female |  |  |  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | \%Female |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Industrialised countries |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | Andorra |
| 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 90 | 50 | 40 | 44.3 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Australia |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Austria |
| 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 47.1 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Belgium |
| ... | ... | ... | 10** | 6** | 4** | 36.3** | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Canada |
| 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 40.0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Cyprus |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Czech Republic |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Denmark |
| ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 1 | - | - | 42.1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Estonia |
| 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 32.5 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Finland |
| 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 31.3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | France |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | Germany |
| ... | ... | ... | 4 | 2 | 2 | 50.4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Greece |
| 0.5** | 0.5** | 0.4** | 2** | 1** | 1** | 47.9** | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Hong Kong, SAR (China) |
| 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 33.5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Hungary |
| ... | ... | ... | - | - | - | 66.7 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Iceland |
| 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 19 | 12 | 8 | 39.8 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | Ireland |
| 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 45.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Israel |
| 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 63.7 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Italy |
| - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | Japan |
| 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 46.0 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | Latvia |
| 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 48.7 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | Lithuania |
| 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | - | - | - | 49.4 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | Luxembourg |
| 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 1 | - | - | 48.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | Malta |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | Monaco |
| - | - | - | 7 | - | 7 | >90 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Netherlands |
| 0.4** | 0.4** | 0.4** | 3** | -** | 2** | 67.6** | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | New Zealand |
| 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 | - | - | 28.1 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | Norway |
| 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 57 | 31 | 26 | 44.9 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Poland |
| 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 35.6 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | Portugal |
| - | - | - | 6 | - | 6 | >90 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Republic of Korea |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | San Marino |
| ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Slovakia |
| ... | ... | ... | 4 | 2 | 2 | 48.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | Slovenia |
| - | 0.1 | - | 9 | 1 | 8 | 85.7 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Spain |
| 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 3 | - | 2 | 71.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Sweden |
| 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 2 | - | - | 48.5 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | Switzerland |
| - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | >90 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | United Kingdom |
| 2.0 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 1,593 | 897 | 695 | 43.7 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | United States |

Notes:

[^12]Table A2. Children in and out of school by age

| Country or territory ${ }^{2}$ | Children in school at |  |  | Children out of school at |  | Children per single-year cohort expected to |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School starting age | Age with the highest enrolment rate |  | School starting age | Age with the highest enrolment rate | Enter late | Never enter |
|  | \% | \% | (Grade corresponding to age) | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Eastern and Southern Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Angola | 17.3** | 40.3** | 4 | 82.7** | 59.7 ** | 23.0** | 59.7 ** |
| Botswana | 26.1 | 94.0 | 6 | 73.9 | 6.0 | 67.9 | 6.0 |
| Burundi | 46.1** | 58.7** | 3 | 53.9** | 41.3 ** | 12.6** | 41.3 ** |
| Comoros | 24.1** | 65.7** | 5 | 75.9** | 34.3 ** | 41.7** | 34.3 ** |
| Eritrea | 37.0 | 45.4 | 2 | 63.0 | 54.6 | 8.4 | 54.6 |
| Ethiopia | 30.7 | 59.4 | 4 | 69.3 | 40.6 | 28.7 | 40.6 |
| Kenya | 37.3** | 80.0** | 5 | 62.7** | 20.0 ** | 42.7** | 20.0 ** |
| Lesotho | 69.2 | 90.8 | 2 | 30.8 | 9.2 | 21.6 | 9.2 |
| Madagascar | 60.7 | 77.5 | 5 | 39.3 | 22.5 | 16.8 | 22.5 |
| Malawi | 68.3 | 89.9 | 4 | 31.7 | 10.1 | 21.6 | 10.1 |
| Mauritius | 96.2 | >99 | 5 | 3.8 | - | 3.8 | - |
| Mozambique | 34.2 | 69.9 | 5 | 65.8 | 30.1 | 35.8 | 30.1 |
| Namibia | 34.3 | 98.1 | 6 | 65.7 | 1.9 | 63.9 | 1.9 |
| Rwanda | 83.2 | 86.8 | 4 | 16.8 | 13.2 | 3.7 | 13.2 |
| Seychelles | 66.8 | >99 | 4 | 33.2 | - | 33.2 | - |
| Somalia | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ |
| South Africa | 86.5 | >99 | 4 | 13.5 | - | 13.5 | - |
| Swaziland | 53.6 | 86.2 | 6 | 46.4 | 13.8 | 32.5 | 13.8 |
| Tanzania, United Republic of | 30.3 | 65.3 | 5 | 69.7 | 34.7 | 35.0 | 34.7 |
| Uganda | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Zambia | 46.0** | 76.1** | 4 | 54.0** | 23.9 ** | 30.1** | 23.9 ** |
| Zimbabwe | 50.0 | 88.5 | 5 | 50.0 | 11.5 | 38.6 | 11.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West and Central Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\text { Benin }{ }^{1}$ | 64.1** | 84.0** | 2 | 35.9** | 16.0 ** | 19.9** | 16.0 ** |
| Burkina Faso | 38.3** | 40.1** | 2 | 61.7** | 59.9 ** | 1.7** | 59.9 ** |
| Cameroon | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... |
| Cape Verde | 74.5 | >99 | 5 | 25.5 | - | 25.5 | - |
| Central African Republic | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Chad | 38.7 | 67.3 | 3 | 61.3 | 32.7 | 28.5 | 32.7 |
| Congo | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 62.4 | 71.1 | 2 | 37.6 | 28.9 | 8.7 | 28.9 |
| Democratic Republic of the Congo | 29.2 | 38.6 | 5 | 70.8 | 61.4 | 9.5 | 61.4 |
| Equatorial Guinea | 97.6 | 97.6 | 1 | 2.4 | 2.4 | - | 2.4 |
| Gabon | 20.5** | >99** | 6 | 79.5** | -** | 79.5** | - ** |
| Gambia | 61.2** | 79.3** | 2 | 38.8** | 20.7 ** | 18.1** | 20.7 ** |
| Ghana | 37.1 | 72.8 | 6 | 62.9 | 27.2 | 35.8 | 27.2 |
| Guinea | 43.2 | 71.6 | 4 | 56.8 | 28.4 | 28.5 | 28.4 |
| Guinea-Bissau | 34.7 | 54.0 | 4 | 65.3 | 46.0 | 19.4 | 46.0 |
| Liberia | 12.2 | >99 | 4 | 87.8 | - | 87.8 | - |
| Mali | 33.4** | 47.4** | 3 | 66.6** | 52.6** | 14.0** | 52.6 ** |
| Mauritania | 35.6** | 80.7** | 3 | 64.4** | 19.3 ** | 45.2** | 19.3 ** |
| Niger | 51.1 | 51.1 | 1 | 48.9 | 48.9 | - | 48.9 |
| Nigeria | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |

## TABLE A2. CHILDREN IN AND OUT OF SCHOOL BY AGE

| Country or territory ${ }^{2}$ | Children in school at |  |  | Children out of school at |  | Children per single-year cohort expected to |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School starting age | Age with the highest enrolment rate |  | School starting age | Age with the highest enrolment rate | Enter late | Never enter |
|  | \% | \% | (Grade corresponding to age) | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Sao Tome and Principe | 90.2** | >99** | 4 | 9.8** | -** | 9.8** | -** |
| Senegal | 28.9 | 77.5 | 6 | 71.1 | 22.5 | 48.6 | 22.5 |
| Sierra Leone | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Togo ${ }^{1}$ | 82.2 | >99 | 5 | 17.8 | - | 17.8 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Asia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Afghanistan, Islamic Republic of | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Bangladesh ${ }^{1}$ | 97.9 | >99 | 2 | 2.1 | - | 2.1 | - |
| Bhutan | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | .. |
| India ${ }^{1}$ | >99 | >99 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Maldives | 85.5 | >99 | 6 | 14.5 | - | 14.5 | - |
| Nepal ${ }^{1}$ | 63.3** | 74.0** | 2 | 36.7** | 26.0 ** | 10.7** | 26.0 ** |
| Pakistan | 65.5** | 65.5** | 1 | 34.5** | 34.5 ** | -** | 34.5 ** |
| Sri Lanka | >99 | >99 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Asia and the Pacific |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brunei Darussalam | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Cambodia ${ }^{1}$ | 61.0** | 95.0** | 6 | 39.0** | 5.0 ** | 34.0** | 5.0 ** |
| China | 88.9 | 99.0 | 5 | 11.1 | 1.0 | 10.1 | 1.0 |
| Cook Islands | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... |
| Democratic People's Republic of Korea | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Fiji | 95.7** | >99** | 3 | 4.3** | -** | 4.3** | -** |
| Indonesia | 97.1 | >99 | 2 | 2.9 | - | 2.9 | - |
| Kiribati | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Lao People's Democratic Republic | 75.2 | 87.5 | 2 | 24.8 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 12.5 |
| Macao, China | 74.1 | 89.3 | 2 | 25.9 | 10.7 | 15.2 | 10.7 |
| Malaysia | 92.9 | 97.4 | 5 | 7.1 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 2.6 |
| Marshall Islands | >99 | >99 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Micronesia (Federated States of) | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ |
| Mongolia | 80.4 | 93.2 | 3 | 19.6 | 6.8 | 12.9 | 6.8 |
| Myanmar | 93.2 | 93.2 | 1 | 6.8 | 6.8 | - | 6.8 |
| Nauru | 71.3** | 95.4** | 6 | 28.7** | 4.6 ** | 24.1** | 4.6 ** |
| Niue | >99 | >99 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Palau | 97.2** | >99** | 4 | 2.8** | -** | 2.8** | -** |
| Papua New Guinea | 87.2** | 87.2** | 1 | 12.8** | 12.8 ** | -** | 12.8 ** |
| Philippines | 51.2 | >99 | 4 | 48.8 | - | 48.8 | - |
| Samoa | 87.9 | >99 | 4 | 12.1 | - | 12.1 | - |
| Singapore | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . |
| Solomon Islands | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Thailand | 54.1** | 93.7** | 3 | 45.9** | 6.3 ** | 39.6** | 6.3 ** |
| Timor-Leste | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Tokelau | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. |
| Tonga | 96.6 | >99 | 5 | 3.4 | - | 3.4 | - |
| Tuvalu | 95.7 | >99 | 4 | 4.3 | - | 4.3 | - |
| Vanuatu | 66.3 | >99 | 2 | 33.7 | - | 33.7 | - |
| Viet Nam | 88.6** | 98.2** | 3 | 11.4** | 1.8 ** | 9.7** | 1.8 ** |

Table A2. Children in and out of school by age

| Country or territory ${ }^{2}$ | Children in school at |  |  | Children out of school at |  | Children per single-year cohort expected to |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School starting age | Age wi enro | the highest ment rate | School starting age | Age with the highest enrolment rate | Enter late | Never enter |
|  | \% | \% | (Grade corresponding to age) | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Latin America and the Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anguilla | 98.9 | >99 | 5 | 1.1 | - | 1.1 | - |
| Antigua and Barbuda | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Argentina | >99 | >99 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Aruba | 87.1 | >99 | 4 | 12.9 | - | 12.9 | - |
| Bahamas | 83.6** | >99** | 6 | 16.4** | -** | 16.4** | -** |
| Barbados | 87.0 | >99 | 5 | 13.0 | - | 13.0 | - |
| Belize | 92.0** | >99** | 6 | 8.0** | -** | 8.0** | -** |
| Bermuda | >99** | >99** | 2 | -** | -** | -** | -** |
| Bolivia | 76.4 | >99 | 5 | 23.6 | - | 23.6 | - |
| Brazil | 84.3 | >99 | 2 | 15.7 | - | 15.7 | - |
| British Virgin Islands | 93.0 | >99 | 6 | 7.0 | - | 7.0 | - |
| Cayman Islands | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... |
| Chile | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... |
| Colombia | 75.9 | 96.4 | 5 | 24.1 | 3.6 | 20.5 | 3.6 |
| Costa Rica | 61.3 | 98.7 | 3 | 38.7 | 1.3 | 37.3 | 1.3 |
| Cuba | 96.7 | >99 | 6 | 3.3 | - | 3.3 | - |
| Dominica | 90.1 | 98.9 | 4 | 9.9 | 1.1 | 8.8 | 1.1 |
| Dominican Republic | 77.8 | >99 | 5 | 22.2 | - | 22.2 | - |
| Ecuador | 98.8 | >99 | 3 | 1.2 | - | 1.2 | - |
| El Salvador | 75.8 | 97.6 | 5 | 24.2 | 2.4 | 21.8 | 2.4 |
| Grenada | 55.3 | >99 | 5 | 44.7 | - | 44.7 | - |
| Guatemala | 81.6 | 92.2 | 4 | 18.4 | 7.8 | 10.7 | 7.8 |
| Guyana | >99** | >99** | 1 | -** | -** | -** | -** |
| Haiti | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Honduras | 87.5** | 97.3** | 2 | 12.5** | 2.7 ** | 9.7** | 2.7 ** |
| Jamaica | 96.9 | >99 | 2 | 3.1 | - | 3.1 | - |
| Mexico | >99 | >99 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Montserrat | >99 | >99 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Netherlands Antilles | 78.2 | 94.1 | 6 | 21.8 | 5.9 | 15.9 | 5.9 |
| Nicaragua | 83.2 | 90.9 | 4 | 16.8 | 9.1 | 7.8 | 9.1 |
| Panama | 97.7 | >99 | 2 | 2.3 | - | 2.3 | - |
| Paraguay | 73.9 | 98.5 | 5 | 26.1 | 1.5 | 24.6 | 1.5 |
| Peru | >99 | >99 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 31.7 | >99 | 6 | 68.3 | - | 68.3 | - |
| Saint Lucia | 98.7** | >99** | 6 | 1.3** | -** | 1.3** | -** |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 59.4** | >99** | 3 | 40.6** | -** | 40.6** | -** |
| Suriname | 97.0** | >99** | 4 | 3.0** | -** | 3.0** | -** |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 94.7** | >99** | 3 | 5.3** | -** | 5.3** | -** |
| Turks and Caicos Islands | 85.9 | >99 | 6 | 14.1 | - | 14.1 | - |
| Uruguay | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Venezuela | 79.3 | >99 | 3 | 20.7 | - | 19.9 | - |

## Notes:

1) Household survey data. See Table A1 for source.
2) Year of reference, see Table A1.
** UIS estimation.

- Magnitude nil or negligible. For $\%$ out of school: less than $1 \%$. For out of school: less than 1,000.
. Missing value.
table a3. NET ATtENDANCE RATE AND SHARE OF CHILDREN OUT OF SCHOOL BY BACKGROUND

| Country or territory | Survey, year | Net attendance rate (\%) | Share of primary school-age children out of school (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Male | Female | Urban | Rural | Poorest quintile | Second quintile | Third quintile | Fourth quintile | Richest quintile | Mother has no formal education | Mother has formal education |
| Central and Eastern Europe / Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Armenia | DHS 2000 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 3.0 |
| Azerbaijan | MICS 2000 | 90.9 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 9.8 | 11.3 | 8.1 | 10.7 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 16.3 | 9.0 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | MICS 2000 | 86.2 | 13.8 | 12.7 | 14.9 | 13.3 | 14.1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 20.0 | 13.6 |
| Kazakhstan | DHS 1999 | 98.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| Kyrgyzstan | DHS 1997 | 94.9 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 4.7 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Moldova, Republic of | MICS 2000 | 98.4 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.1 | - | - | 1.6 |
| Tajikistan | MICS 2000 | 80.7 | 19.3 | 20.0 | 18.5 | 19.9 | 19.1 | 20.1 | 18.1 | 22.2 | 18.1 | 17.7 | 24.1 | 19.1 |
| Turkey | DHS 2003 | 88.4 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 12.4 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Uzbekistan | MICS 2000 | 80.3 | 19.7 | 19.2 | 20.1 | 16.5 | 21.0 | 23.0 | 21.0 | 19.2 | 19.7 | 14.6 | 33.3 | 19.6 |
| Middle East and North Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Algeria | MICS 2000 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 4.3 | 9.6 | 19.3 | 6.6 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 3.0 | ... | ... |
| Bahrain | MICS 2000 | 86.3 | 13.7 | 14.0 | 13.4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 15.5 | 12.9 |
| Egypt | DHS 2000 | 85.6 | 14.4 | 12.5 | 16.5 | 11.4 | 16.3 | 24.5 | 14.2 | 13.1 | 10.4 | 6.8 | 19.1 | 9.4 |
| Iraq | MICS 2000 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 16.2 | 28.5 | 14.2 | 38.4 | 45.4 | 22.1 | 17.9 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 33.6 | 12.2 |
| Lebanon | MICS 2000 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 3.4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 5.2 | 2.7 |
| Sudan | MICS 2000 | 52.6 | 47.4 | 46.3 | 48.4 | 33.8 | 61.4 | 80.7 | 63.4 | 47.4 | 30.5 | 14.7 | 59.2 | 25.3 |
| Yemen | DHS 1997 | 54.6 | 45.4 | 32.2 | 59.3 | 23.2 | 51.8 | 68.3 | 56.7 | 43.4 | 34.2 | 19.7 | 47.3 | 25.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern and Southern Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Angola | MICS 2000 | 58.0 | 42.0 | 42.6 | 41.4 | 36.2 | 55.3 | 64.4 | 55.0 | 47.9 | 36.1 | 18.0 | 57.5 | 33.0 |
| Botswana | MICS 2000 | 84.2 | 15.8 | 17.2 | 14.5 | 12.0 | 19.0 | 27.1 | 14.4 | 13.7 | 9.6 | 8.9 | 20.2 | 12.8 |
| Burundi | MICS 2000 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 50.5 | 56.0 | 31.6 | 54.8 | 64.5 | 62.5 | 58.1 | 49.3 | 37.5 | 58.4 | 38.4 |
| Comoros | MICS 2000 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 69.2 | 69.3 | 58.9 | 71.6 | 76.1 | 74.2 | 68.7 | 64.8 | 60.0 | 72.1 | 59.3 |
| Eritrea | DHS 2002 | 63.3 | 36.7 | 35.1 | 38.4 | 15.8 | 46.8 | 61.1 | 49.2 | 34.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 43.9 | 13.0 |
| Ethiopia | DHS 2000 | 30.6 | 69.4 | 66.9 | 72.0 | 23.6 | 75.7 | 82.8 | 78.9 | 78.1 | 68.1 | 32.1 | 72.4 | 38.9 |
| Kenya | DHS 2003 | 77.5 | 22.5 | 22.9 | 22.0 | 17.9 | 23.3 | 40.0 | 21.8 | 17.5 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 32.5 | 15.9 |
| Lesotho | MICS 2000 | 65.4 | 34.6 | 37.6 | 31.5 | 29.1 | 35.9 | 52.3 | 40.8 | 32.9 | 26.2 | 21.9 | 49.6 | 33.0 |
| Madagascar | MICS 2000 | 62.3 | 37.7 | 39.0 | 36.3 | 21.1 | 41.8 | 59.3 | 50.7 | 36.3 | 25.8 | 12.0 | 53.0 | 28.1 |
| Malawi | DHS 2000 | 75.7 | 24.3 | 25.7 | 22.9 | 10.5 | 26.3 | 34.2 | 30.9 | 26.6 | 18.8 | 9.3 | 30.8 | 16.6 |
| Mozambique | DHS 1997 | 49.9 | 50.1 | 46.8 | 53.2 | 23.9 | 57.2 | 65.8 | 61.0 | 58.1 | 42.1 | 21.1 | 58.1 | 44.7 |
| Namibia | DHS 2000 | 78.1 | 21.9 | 22.2 | 21.7 | 16.5 | 23.8 | 25.8 | 26.3 | 21.5 | 18.5 | 14.0 | 24.6 | 18.3 |
| Rwanda | MICS 2000 | 75.2 | 24.8 | 24.6 | 24.9 | 12.7 | 26.6 | 36.1 | 29.8 | 22.2 | 17.5 | 10.4 | 28.6 | 20.9 |
| Somalia | MICS 1999 | 10.9 | 89.1 | 88.4 | 89.9 | 84.7 | 92.5 | 96.0 | 94.7 | 91.2 | 86.1 | 75.5 | 92.5 | 78.7 |
| South Africa | DHS 1998 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 7.8 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 8.5 | 4.8 |
| Swaziland | MICS 2000 | 71.5 | 28.5 | 28.3 | 28.7 | 25.2 | 29.0 | 40.1 | 27.3 | 24.8 | 21.0 | 20.5 | 38.7 | 23.9 |
| Tanzania, United Republic of | DHS 1999 | 48.9 | 51.1 | 52.8 | 49.3 | 34.2 | 55.0 | 64.7 | 61.4 | 53.9 | 46.1 | 26.4 | 55.9 | 44.0 |
| Uganda | DHS 2000/01 | 78.9 | 21.1 | 21.6 | 20.6 | 17.8 | 21.5 | 28.4 | 25.1 | 20.3 | 17.4 | 14.8 | 22.2 | 19.9 |
| Zambia | DHS 2001/02 | 68.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 20.0 | 38.8 | 50.7 | 41.2 | 34.3 | 25.3 | 10.3 | 39.0 | 27.0 |
| Zimbabwe | DHS 1999 | 85.5 | 14.5 | 15.2 | 13.7 | 9.4 | 16.0 | 19.6 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 13.3 | 7.0 | 17.1 | 12.3 |

CHARACTERISTICS


## TABLE A3. NET ATTENDANCE RATE AND SHARE OF CHILDREN OUT OF SCHOOL BY BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS

| Country or territory | Survey, year | Net attendance rate (\%) | Share of primary school-age children out of school (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Male | Female | Urban | Rural | Poorest quintile | Second quintile | Third quintile | Fourth quintile | Richest quintile | Mother has no formal education | Mother has formal education |
| Colombia | DHS 2000 | 92.5 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 9.9 | 13.3 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 13.6 | 6.1 |
| Dominican Republic | MICS 2000 | 92.4 | 7.6 | 8.4 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 13.3 | 12.1 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 14.8 | 6.8 |
| Guatemala | DHS 1998/99 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 20.2 | 24.2 | 19.5 | 23.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Guyana | MICS 2000 | 96.5 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 11.0 | 3.3 |
| Haiti | DHS 2000 | 54.4 | 45.6 | 47.8 | 43.4 | 28.9 | 53.0 | 66.1 | 53.0 | 44.4 | 33.2 | 21.9 | 53.5 | 30.0 |
| Nicaragua | DHS 2001 | 80.4 | 19.6 | 22.7 | 16.5 | 10.4 | 29.6 | 42.7 | 21.0 | 13.3 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 31.8 | 12.0 |
| Peru | DHS 2000 | 95.5 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 6.8 | 9.1 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 9.2 | 3.2 |
| Suriname | MICS 1999/00 | 89.5 | 10.5 | 11.8 | 9.0 | 3.5 | 16.2 | 15.8 | 19.3 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 25.7 | 5.9 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | MICS 2000 | 95.5 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 5.8 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 8.9 | 4.2 |
| Venezuela | MICS 2000 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 5.5 | ... | $\ldots$ | 14.6 | 6.3 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 23.9 | 5.0 |
| Regional averages (survey countries) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central/Eastern Europe, CIS |  | 88.2 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 12.3 | 10.7 | 12.9 | 15.0 | 13.3 | 12.7 | 12.3 | 9.6 | 20.0 | 12.7 |
| Middle East and North Africa |  | 75.7 | 24.3 | 20.8 | 27.9 | 16.5 | 32.0 | 43.5 | 29.2 | 23.0 | 16.5 | 9.7 | 35.2 | 16.0 |
| Eastern and Southern Africa |  | 61.2 | 38.8 | 38.6 | 39.0 | 22.0 | 42.4 | 50.9 | 45.0 | 40.8 | 34.1 | 20.0 | 44.1 | 28.2 |
| West and Central Africa |  | 55.8 | 44.2 | 40.6 | 47.9 | 27.9 | 50.8 | 61.4 | 55.8 | 46.7 | 34.3 | 18.1 | 54.2 | 23.9 |
| South Asia |  | 74.3 | 25.7 | 22.4 | 29.0 | 18.2 | 28.1 | 33.7 | 29.2 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 10.6 | 33.6 | 12.6 |
| East Asia and Pacific |  | 87.6 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 12.1 | 8.4 | 14.3 | 21.5 | 13.4 | 10.1 | 7.8 | 6.0 | 24.1 | 9.9 |
| Latin America and Caribbean |  | 91.6 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 12.0 | 18.2 | 11.3 | 8.5 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 18.8 | 7.5 |
| Total survey countries |  | 74.0 | 26.0 | 24.1 | 28.1 | 17.5 | 30.0 | 38.3 | 32.1 | 25.3 | 19.9 | 12.1 | 36.1 | 16.2 |

[^13]TABLE A4. DISTRIBUTION OF PRIMARY SCHOOL-AGE POPULATION AND OUT-OF-SCHOOL CHILDREN BY

| Country or territory | Children out of school by sex |  |  |  |  | Children out of school by place of residence |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | As \% of primary school-age population |  | As \% of total out-of-school children |  | \% point difference in female share of total and out-of-school populations | As \% of primary school-age population |  | As \% of total out-of-school children |  | \% point difference in rural share of total and out-ofschool populations |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |  | Urban | Rural | Urban | Rural |  |
| Central and Eastern Europe / Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Armenia | 50.3 | 49.7 | 56.0 | 44.0 | -5.6 | 53.0 | 47.0 | 41.6 | 58.4 | 11.4 |
| Azerbaijan | 52.8 | 47.2 | 53.2 | 46.8 | -0.3 | 51.0 | 49.0 | 47.3 | 52.7 | 3.7 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 51.2 | 48.8 | 47.2 | 52.8 | 4.0 | 36.1 | 63.9 | 34.9 | 65.1 | 1.2 |
| Kazakhstan | 51.2 | 48.8 | 57.4 | 42.6 | -6.1 | 38.1 | 61.9 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 21.4 |
| Kyrgyzstan | 54.2 | 45.8 | 52.6 | 47.4 | 1.6 | 27.8 | 72.2 | 32.9 | 67.1 | -5.0 |
| Moldova, Republic of | 51.4 | 48.6 | 64.5 | 35.5 | -13.2 | 38.5 | 61.5 | 23.6 | 76.4 | 14.9 |
| Tajikistan | 51.1 | 48.9 | 53.2 | 46.8 | -2.0 | 21.7 | 78.3 | ... | ... | ... |
| Turkey | 49.8 | 50.2 | 46.3 | 53.7 | 3.5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Uzbekistan | 52.2 | 47.8 | 51.0 | 49.0 | 1.2 | 30.2 | 69.8 | 25.3 | 74.7 | 4.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle East and North Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Algeria | 50.5 | 49.5 | 47.7 | 52.3 | 2.8 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 38.3 | 61.7 | 20.0 |
| Bahrain | 48.0 | 52.0 | 49.1 | 50.9 | -1.1 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... |
| Egypt | 51.0 | 49.0 | 44.2 | 55.8 | 6.8 | 38.5 | 61.5 | 30.4 | 69.6 | 8.1 |
| Iraq | 51.2 | 48.8 | 37.3 | 62.7 | 13.9 | 67.2 | 32.8 | 43.1 | 56.9 | 24.1 |
| Lebanon | 52.3 | 47.7 | 46.6 | 53.4 | 5.7 | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Sudan | 50.2 | 49.8 | 49.1 | 50.9 | 1.1 | 50.7 | 49.3 | 36.1 | 63.9 | 14.6 |
| Yemen | 51.1 | 48.9 | 36.2 | 63.8 | 14.9 | 22.4 | 77.6 | 11.5 | 88.5 | 10.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern and Southern Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Angola | 50.1 | 49.9 | 50.8 | 49.2 | -0.7 | 69.9 | 30.1 | 60.3 | 39.7 | 9.6 |
| Botswana | 48.9 | 51.1 | 53.2 | 46.8 | -4.3 | 45.4 | 54.6 | 34.4 | 65.6 | 11.0 |
| Burundi | 48.6 | 51.4 | 46.0 | 54.0 | 2.6 | 6.4 | 93.6 | 3.8 | 96.2 | 2.6 |
| Comoros | 51.8 | 48.2 | 51.7 | 48.3 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 81.4 | 15.8 | 84.2 | 2.8 |
| Eritrea | 51.2 | 48.8 | 49.0 | 51.0 | 2.3 | 32.4 | 67.6 | 13.9 | 86.1 | 18.5 |
| Ethiopia | 51.0 | 49.0 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 1.8 | 12.1 | 87.9 | 4.1 | 95.9 | 8.0 |
| Kenya | 51.0 | 49.0 | 52.0 | 48.0 | -1.1 | 14.7 | 85.3 | 11.7 | 88.3 | 3.0 |
| Lesotho | 50.9 | 49.1 | 55.3 | 44.7 | -4.4 | 19.0 | 81.0 | 15.9 | 84.1 | 3.0 |
| Madagascar | 49.6 | 50.4 | 51.4 | 48.6 | -1.8 | 19.7 | 80.3 | 11.0 | 89.0 | 8.7 |
| Malawi | 49.4 | 50.6 | 52.3 | 47.7 | -2.9 | 12.9 | 87.1 | 5.6 | 94.4 | 7.3 |
| Mozambique | 49.4 | 50.6 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 3.2 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 10.2 | 89.8 | 11.2 |
| Namibia | 48.7 | 51.3 | 49.3 | 50.7 | -0.6 | 25.7 | 74.3 | 19.3 | 80.7 | 6.4 |
| Rwanda | 48.4 | 51.6 | 48.1 | 51.9 | 0.3 | 13.0 | 87.0 | 6.7 | 93.3 | 6.4 |
| Somalia | 52.3 | 47.7 | 51.9 | 48.1 | 0.4 | 45.8 | 54.2 | 43.6 | 56.4 | 2.2 |
| South Africa | 49.4 | 50.6 | 54.5 | 45.5 | -5.1 | 44.8 | 55.2 | 33.8 | 66.2 | 11.0 |
| Swaziland | 51.3 | 48.7 | 51.0 | 49.0 | 0.3 | 13.9 | 86.1 | 12.3 | 87.7 | 1.6 |
| Tanzania, United Republic of | 50.0 | 50.0 | 51.8 | 48.2 | -1.7 | 18.9 | 81.1 | 12.7 | 87.3 | 6.2 |
| Uganda | 49.1 | 50.9 | 50.3 | 49.7 | -1.2 | 10.5 | 89.5 | 8.9 | 91.1 | 1.7 |
| Zambia | 50.2 | 49.8 | 50.2 | 49.8 | 0.0 | 36.1 | 63.9 | 22.6 | 77.4 | 13.5 |
| Zimbabwe | 50.4 | 49.6 | 52.8 | 47.2 | -2.5 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 15.0 | 85.0 | 8.0 |

Table A4. Distribution of primary school-age population and out-of-school children by background characteristics

## BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS

| Children out of school by household wealth |  |  |  |  | Children out of school by mother's education |  |  |  |  | Country or territory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As \% of primary school-age population |  | As \% of total out-of-school children |  | \% point difference in poorest share of total and out-of-school populations | As \% of primary school-age population |  | As \% of total out-of-school children |  | \% point difference in share of children with a mother with no education of total and out-of-school populations |  |
| Richest 40\% | Poorest 60\% | Richest 40\% | Poorest 60\% |  | Mother with education | Mother with no education | Mother with education | Mother with no education |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Central and Eastern Europe / Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) |  |  |  |
| 33.8 | 66.2 | 30.9 | 69.1 | 2.9 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 99.4 | 0.6 | -1.4 | Armenia |
| 35.0 | 65.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 6.4 | 98.2 | 1.8 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 1.4 | Azerbaijan |
| ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 97.6 | 2.4 | 96.5 | 3.5 | 1.1 | Bosnia and Herzegovina |
| 33.1 | 66.9 | 15.2 | 84.8 | 17.9 | 92.6 | 7.4 | 95.3 | 4.7 | -2.7 | Kazakhstan |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Kyrgyzstan |
| 38.8 | 61.2 | 24.7 | 75.3 | 14.1 | 99.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | Moldova, Republic of |
| 36.5 | 63.5 | 33.9 | 66.1 | 2.7 | 97.1 | 2.9 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 0.7 | Tajikistan |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | Turkey |
| 37.1 | 62.9 | 32.2 | 67.8 | 4.8 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Uzbekistan |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Middle East and North Africa |
| 47.8 | 52.2 | 31.1 | 68.9 | 16.7 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Algeria |
| $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | 69.8 | 30.2 | 65.8 | 34.2 | 4.0 | Bahrain |
| 35.3 | 64.7 | 21.0 | 79.0 | 14.3 | 47.9 | 52.1 | 31.1 | 68.9 | 16.8 | Egypt |
| 36.0 | 64.0 | 16.3 | 83.7 | 19.7 | 53.2 | 46.8 | 29.2 | 70.8 | 24.0 | Iraq |
| ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 86.2 | 13.8 | 76.2 | 23.8 | 10.0 | Lebanon |
| 39.7 | 60.3 | 19.3 | 80.7 | 20.4 | 34.8 | 65.2 | 18.6 | 81.4 | 16.2 | Sudan |
| 37.1 | 62.9 | 22.3 | 77.7 | 14.8 | 8.7 | 91.3 | 4.9 | 95.1 | 3.8 | Yemen |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eastern and Southern Africa |
| 45.5 | 54.5 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 16.8 | 63.3 | 36.7 | 49.7 | 50.3 | 13.6 | Angola |
| 31.6 | 68.4 | 18.5 | 81.5 | 13.1 | 59.4 | 40.6 | 48.1 | 51.9 | 11.3 | Botswana |
| 46.7 | 53.3 | 38.3 | 61.7 | 8.3 | 25.2 | 74.8 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 7.1 | Burundi |
| 36.3 | 63.7 | 32.8 | 67.2 | 3.5 | 22.7 | 77.3 | 19.5 | 80.5 | 3.3 | Comoros |
| 33.0 | 67.0 | 10.7 | 89.3 | 22.3 | 23.2 | 76.8 | 8.2 | 91.8 | 15.0 | Eritrea |
| 37.2 | 62.8 | 27.5 | 72.5 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 90.9 | 5.1 | 94.9 | 4.0 | Ethiopia |
| 32.9 | 67.1 | 19.8 | 80.2 | 13.1 | 60.4 | 39.6 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 17.6 | Kenya |
| 39.7 | 60.3 | 27.6 | 72.4 | 12.1 | 90.3 | 9.7 | 86.0 | 14.0 | 4.2 | Lesotho |
| 38.0 | 62.0 | 19.8 | 80.2 | 18.2 | 61.6 | 38.4 | 45.9 | 54.1 | 15.6 | Madagascar |
| 38.8 | 61.2 | 22.6 | 77.4 | 16.2 | 46.0 | 54.0 | 31.4 | 68.6 | 14.6 | Malawi |
| 38.4 | 61.6 | 24.1 | 75.9 | 14.3 | 59.9 | 40.1 | 53.5 | 46.5 | 6.5 | Mozambique |
| 33.1 | 66.9 | 24.6 | 75.4 | 8.5 | 42.3 | 57.7 | 35.4 | 64.6 | 7.0 | Namibia |
| 31.9 | 68.1 | 20.7 | 79.3 | 11.3 | 50.1 | 49.9 | 42.3 | 57.7 | 7.8 | Rwanda |
| 37.3 | 62.7 | 33.9 | 66.1 | 3.4 | 24.2 | 75.8 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 2.8 | Somalia |
| 31.3 | 68.7 | 20.5 | 79.5 | 10.7 | 53.0 | 47.0 | 39.2 | 60.8 | 13.8 | South Africa |
| 24.9 | 75.1 | 18.3 | 81.7 | 6.6 | 69.0 | 31.0 | 58.0 | 42.0 | 11.1 | Swaziland |
| 38.8 | 61.2 | 28.1 | 71.9 | 10.8 | 40.7 | 59.3 | 35.1 | 64.9 | 5.6 | Tanzania, United Republic of |
| 40.0 | 60.0 | 30.6 | 69.4 | 9.4 | 46.5 | 53.5 | 43.9 | 56.1 | 2.7 | Uganda |
| 41.4 | 58.6 | 22.8 | 77.2 | 18.5 | 58.1 | 41.9 | 49.0 | 51.0 | 9.1 | Zambia |
| 29.6 | 70.4 | 20.2 | 79.8 | 9.4 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 46.9 | 53.1 | 8.1 | Zimbabwe |

TABLE A4. DISTRIBUTION OF PRIMARY SCHOOL-AGE POPULATION AND OUT-OF-SCHOOL CHILDREN BY

| Country or territory | Children out of school by sex |  |  |  |  | Children out of school by place of residence |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | As \% of primary school-age population |  | As \% of total out-of-school children |  | \% point <br> difference in <br> female share of <br> total and out- <br> of-school <br> populations | As \% of primary school-age population |  | As \% of total out-of-school children |  | \% point difference in rural share of total and out-ofschool populations |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |  | Urban | Rural | Urban | Rural |  |
| West and Central Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benin | 51.5 | 48.5 | 43.8 | 56.2 | 7.7 | 32.1 | 67.9 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 9.1 |
| Burkina Faso | 50.9 | 49.1 | 47.5 | 52.5 | 3.4 | 12.7 | 87.3 | 4.7 | 95.3 | 8.0 |
| Cameroon | 51.4 | 48.6 | 47.6 | 52.4 | 3.8 | 32.5 | 67.5 | 17.9 | 82.1 | 14.6 |
| Central African Republic | 51.6 | 48.4 | 48.3 | 51.7 | 3.3 | 37.7 | 62.3 | 25.9 | 74.1 | 11.8 |
| Chad | 50.2 | 49.8 | 44.8 | 55.2 | 5.3 | 22.7 | 77.3 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 6.0 |
| Democratic Republic of the Congo | 49.4 | 50.6 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 3.2 | 29.6 | 70.4 | 17.4 | 82.6 | 12.2 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 53.2 | 46.8 | 47.8 | 52.2 | 5.4 | 46.4 | 53.6 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 11.4 |
| Equatorial Guinea | 51.2 | 48.8 | 51.7 | 48.3 | -0.6 | 43.6 | 56.4 | 28.8 | 71.2 | 14.8 |
| Gabon | 48.7 | 51.3 | 48.1 | 51.9 | 0.6 | 71.5 | 28.5 | 65.1 | 34.9 | 6.4 |
| Gambia | 49.8 | 50.2 | 47.2 | 52.8 | 2.5 | 34.3 | 65.7 | 24.9 | 75.1 | 9.4 |
| Ghana | 51.5 | 48.5 | 51.4 | 48.6 | 0.1 | 27.4 | 72.6 | 15.2 | 84.8 | 12.2 |
| Guinea | 50.0 | 50.0 | 44.9 | 55.1 | 5.1 | 28.8 | 71.2 | 14.0 | 86.0 | 14.8 |
| Guinea-Bissau | 50.5 | 49.5 | 47.8 | 52.2 | 2.7 | 37.1 | 62.9 | 18.9 | 81.1 | 18.3 |
| Mali | 49.0 | 51.0 | 44.3 | 55.7 | 4.7 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 13.0 | 87.0 | 10.4 |
| Mauritania | 51.2 | 48.8 | 49.6 | 50.4 | 1.6 | 37.3 | 62.7 | 27.4 | 72.6 | 9.9 |
| Niger | 50.1 | 49.9 | 46.1 | 53.9 | 4.0 | 15.5 | 84.5 | 7.2 | 92.8 | 8.3 |
| Nigeria | 50.8 | 49.2 | 46.0 | 54.0 | 4.8 | 32.3 | 67.7 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 8.8 |
| Sao Tome and Principe | 51.0 | 49.0 | 52.2 | 47.8 | -1.2 | 46.4 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 55.1 | 1.5 |
| Senegal | 50.2 | 49.8 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 3.1 | 36.2 | 63.8 | 22.4 | 77.6 | 13.9 |
| Sierra Leone | 50.7 | 49.3 | 49.1 | 50.9 | 1.6 | 26.6 | 73.4 | 17.3 | 82.7 | 9.3 |
| Togo | 50.9 | 49.1 | 45.1 | 54.9 | 5.8 | 29.6 | 70.4 | 16.0 | 84.0 | 13.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Asia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bangladesh | 50.1 | 49.9 | 52.3 | 47.7 | -2.2 | 16.5 | 83.5 | 16.2 | 83.8 | 0.3 |
| India | 51.4 | 48.6 | 43.8 | 56.2 | 7.6 | 23.3 | 76.7 | 16.1 | 83.9 | 7.3 |
| Nepal | 51.7 | 48.3 | 44.2 | 55.8 | 7.5 | 10.1 | 89.9 | 9.0 | 91.0 | 1.1 |
| Pakistan | 50.3 | 49.7 | 44.0 | 56.0 | 6.3 | 28.9 | 71.1 | 18.6 | 81.4 | 10.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Asia and the Pacific |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cambodia | 50.4 | 49.6 | 49.6 | 50.4 | 0.8 | 13.3 | 86.7 | 10.1 | 89.9 | 3.2 |
| Indonesia | 52.1 | 47.9 | 55.5 | 44.5 | -3.4 | 44.7 | 55.3 | 31.9 | 68.1 | 12.8 |
| Lao People's Democratic Republic | 51.1 | 48.9 | 47.9 | 52.1 | 3.2 | 28.0 | 72.0 | 13.3 | 86.7 | 14.7 |
| Mongolia | 50.4 | 49.6 | 51.5 | 48.5 | -1.1 | 42.6 | 57.4 | 33.5 | 66.5 | 9.1 |
| Myanmar | 51.0 | 49.0 | 51.1 | 48.9 | -0.1 | 21.0 | 79.0 | 10.1 | 89.9 | 10.9 |
| Philippines | 51.4 | 48.6 | 54.7 | 45.3 | -3.4 | 51.9 | 48.1 | 43.4 | 56.6 | 8.5 |
| Viet Nam | 52.0 | 48.0 | 50.4 | 49.6 | 1.6 | 17.8 | 82.2 | 9.7 | 90.3 | 8.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latin America and the Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bolivia | 50.0 | 50.0 | 45.0 | 55.0 | 5.1 | 59.2 | 40.8 | 46.1 | 53.9 | 13.0 |
| Brazil | 50.3 | 49.7 | 50.4 | 49.6 | -0.1 | 75.1 | 24.9 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 21.0 |
| Colombia | 50.4 | 49.6 | 53.5 | 46.5 | -3.1 | 67.5 | 32.5 | 57.0 | 43.0 | 10.5 |
| Dominican Republic | 51.1 | 48.9 | 56.8 | 43.2 | -5.7 | 56.6 | 43.4 | 59.4 | 40.6 | -2.8 |
| Guatemala | 51.4 | 48.6 | 47.0 | 53.0 | 4.5 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 33.0 | 67.0 | 4.5 |
| Guyana | 51.1 | 48.9 | 58.1 | 41.9 | -7.0 | 27.6 | 72.4 | 16.9 | 83.1 | 10.7 |
| Haiti | 50.1 | 49.9 | 52.5 | 47.5 | -2.4 | 30.6 | 69.4 | 19.4 | 80.6 | 11.2 |

Table A4. Distribution of primary school-age population and out-of-school children by background characteristics

BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS

| Children out of school by household wealth |  |  |  |  | Children out of school by mother's education |  |  |  |  | Country or territory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As \% of primary school-age population |  | As \% of total out-of-school children |  | \% point difference in poorest share of total and out-of-school populations | As \% of primary school-age population |  | As \% of total out-of-school children |  | \% point difference in share of children with a mother with no education of total and out-of-school populations |  |
| Richest 40\% | Poorest 60\% | Richest 40\% | Poorest 60\% |  | Mother with education | Mother with no education | Mother with education | Mother with no education |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | West and Central Africa |
| 37.5 | 62.5 | 22.4 | 77.6 | 15.2 | 14.5 | 85.5 | 6.3 | 93.7 | 8.3 | Benin |
| 38.4 | 61.6 | 30.1 | 69.9 | 8.4 | 9.7 | 90.3 | 5.1 | 94.9 | 4.6 | Burkina Faso |
| 38.8 | 61.2 | 16.9 | 83.1 | 21.9 | 55.9 | 44.1 | 24.5 | 75.5 | 31.4 | Cameroon |
| 38.6 | 61.4 | 26.7 | 73.3 | 11.9 | 39.3 | 60.7 | 28.0 | 72.0 | 11.2 | Central African Republic |
| 38.2 | 61.8 | 31.3 | 68.7 | 6.9 | 15.4 | 84.6 | 8.4 | 91.6 | 7.0 | Chad |
| 39.0 | 61.0 | 25.4 | 74.6 | 13.7 | 65.7 | 34.3 | 53.1 | 46.9 | 12.6 | Democratic Republic of the Congo |
| 35.7 | 64.3 | 20.8 | 79.2 | 15.0 | 13.3 | 86.7 | 3.9 | 96.1 | 9.3 | Côte d'Ivoire |
| 38.3 | 61.7 | 29.7 | 70.3 | 8.6 | 72.1 | 27.9 | 68.0 | 32.0 | 4.0 | Equatorial Guinea |
| 38.7 | 61.3 | 25.3 | 74.7 | 13.3 | 59.8 | 40.2 | 53.3 | 46.7 | 6.4 | Gabon |
| 30.2 | 69.8 | 19.1 | 80.9 | 11.1 | 12.8 | 87.2 | 7.6 | 92.4 | 5.2 | Gambia |
| 35.0 | 65.0 | 16.9 | 83.1 | 18.2 | 38.1 | 61.9 | 20.2 | 79.8 | 17.9 | Ghana |
| 40.3 | 59.7 | 23.7 | 76.3 | 16.6 | 8.9 | 91.1 | 3.4 | 96.6 | 5.5 | Guinea |
| 40.9 | 59.1 | 24.8 | 75.2 | 16.1 | 16.9 | 83.1 | 6.3 | 93.7 | 10.6 | Guinea-Bissau |
| 37.4 | 62.6 | 26.4 | 73.6 | 11.0 | 17.8 | 82.2 | 8.9 | 91.1 | 8.9 | Mali |
| 34.5 | 65.5 | 25.1 | 74.9 | 9.4 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 16.8 | 83.2 | 6.3 | Mauritania |
| 41.1 | 58.9 | 33.2 | 66.8 | 7.9 | 10.1 | 89.9 | 4.8 | 95.2 | 5.3 | Niger |
| 36.8 | 63.2 | 18.0 | 82.0 | 18.8 | 33.2 | 66.8 | 16.2 | 83.8 | 17.0 | Nigeria |
| 39.3 | 60.7 | 30.1 | 69.9 | 9.2 | 71.6 | 28.4 | 65.3 | 34.7 | 6.3 | Sao Tome and Principe |
| 37.3 | 62.7 | 22.6 | 77.4 | 14.8 | 18.6 | 81.4 | 7.3 | 92.7 | 11.3 | Senegal |
| 38.6 | 61.4 | 28.0 | 72.0 | 10.6 | 17.6 | 82.4 | 9.2 | 90.8 | 8.3 | Sierra Leone |
| 34.3 | 65.7 | 19.6 | 80.4 | 14.7 | 27.9 | 72.1 | 15.1 | 84.9 | 12.9 | Togo |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | South Asia |
| 33.6 | 66.4 | 19.4 | 80.6 | 14.2 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 39.3 | 60.7 | 15.7 | Bangladesh |
| 34.7 | 65.3 | 20.6 | 79.4 | 14.1 | 34.9 | 65.1 | 13.8 | 86.2 | 21.1 | India |
| 35.8 | 64.2 | 31.1 | 68.9 | 4.7 | 39.1 | 60.9 | 25.2 | 74.8 | 13.8 | Nepal |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 44.7 | 55.3 | 30.4 | 69.6 | 14.3 | Pakistan |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | East Asia and the Pacific |
| 36.7 | 63.3 | 21.8 | 78.2 | 14.9 | 59.0 | 41.0 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 9.8 | Cambodia |
| 37.0 | 63.0 | 17.4 | 82.6 | 19.7 | 83.4 | 16.6 | 71.3 | 28.7 | 12.1 | Indonesia |
| 36.8 | 63.2 | 19.2 | 80.8 | 17.6 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 17.7 | Lao People's Democratic Republic |
| 38.1 | 61.9 | 24.3 | 75.7 | 13.9 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 3.7 | Mongolia |
| 33.4 | 66.6 | 18.5 | 81.5 | 14.9 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Myanmar |
| 36.5 | 63.5 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 13.4 | 95.3 | 4.7 | 87.8 | 12.2 | 7.5 | Philippines |
| 31.9 | 68.1 | 17.8 | 82.2 | 14.1 | 87.1 | 12.9 | 69.8 | 30.2 | 17.3 | Viet Nam |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| 32.4 | 67.6 | 18.7 | 81.3 | 13.7 | 82.9 | 17.1 | 71.1 | 28.9 | 11.8 | Bolivia |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | Brazil |
| 33.0 | 67.0 | 15.1 | 84.9 | 18.0 | 81.3 | 18.7 | 66.0 | 34.0 | 15.3 | Colombia |
| 37.4 | 62.6 | 20.1 | 79.9 | 17.2 | 90.9 | 9.1 | 82.1 | 17.9 | 8.8 | Dominican Republic |
| $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Guatemala |
| 31.6 | 68.4 | 16.6 | 83.4 | 15.0 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 6.1 | Guyana |
| 34.4 | 65.6 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 13.1 | 33.4 | 66.6 | 22.0 | 78.0 | 11.4 | Haiti |

TABLE A4. DISTRIBUTION OF PRIMARY SCHOOL-AGE POPULATION AND OUT-OF-SCHOOL CHILDREN BY

| Country or territory | Children out of school by sex |  |  |  |  | Children out of school by place of residence |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | As \% of primary school-age population |  | As \% of total out-of-school children |  | \% point difference in female share of total and out-of-school populations | As \% of primary school-age population |  | As \% of total out-of-school children |  | \% point difference in rural share of total and out-ofschool populations |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |  | Urban | Rural | Urban | Rural |  |
| Nicaragua | 51.1 | 48.9 | 59.0 | 41.0 | -7.9 | 51.9 | 48.1 | 27.5 | 72.5 | 24.4 |
| Peru | 51.0 | 49.0 | 46.5 | 53.5 | 4.5 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 32.3 | 67.7 | 22.7 |
| Suriname | 52.3 | 47.7 | 58.9 | 41.1 | -6.7 | 44.8 | 55.2 | 14.9 | 85.1 | 29.8 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 50.2 | 49.8 | 54.5 | 45.5 | -4.4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Venezuela | 49.9 | 50.1 | 57.4 | 42.6 | -7.4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regional averages (survey countries) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central/Eastern Europe, CIS | 50.7 | 49.3 | 48.7 | 51.3 | 2.0 | 34.4 | 65.6 | 27.2 | 72.8 | 7.1 |
| Middle East and North Africa | 50.8 | 49.2 | 43.5 | 56.5 | 7.4 | 47.0 | 53.0 | 30.4 | 69.6 | 16.6 |
| Eastern and Southern Africa | 50.1 | 49.9 | 50.2 | 49.8 | -0.1 | 22.5 | 77.5 | 13.1 | 86.9 | 9.5 |
| West and Central Africa | 50.7 | 49.3 | 46.4 | 53.6 | 4.2 | 30.6 | 69.4 | 19.2 | 80.8 | 11.4 |
| South Asia | 51.1 | 48.9 | 44.6 | 55.4 | 6.5 | 23.0 | 77.0 | 16.5 | 83.5 | 6.6 |
| East Asia and Pacific | 51.7 | 48.3 | 52.7 | 47.3 | -1.0 | 37.8 | 62.2 | 24.9 | 75.1 | 12.9 |
| Latin America and Caribbean | 50.5 | 49.5 | 51.6 | 48.4 | -1.1 | 64.8 | 35.2 | 39.6 | 60.4 | 25.2 |
| Total survey countries | 50.9 | 49.1 | 46.9 | 53.1 | 4.0 | 31.1 | 68.9 | 18.4 | 81.6 | 12.6 |

Table A4. Distribution of primary school-age population and out-of-school children by background characteristics

## BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS

| Children out of school by household wealth |  |  |  |  | Children out of school by mother's education |  |  |  |  | Country or territory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As \% of primary school-age population |  | As \% of total out-of-school children |  | \% point difference in poorest share of total and out-of-school populations | As \% of primary school-age population |  | As \% of total out-of-school children |  | \% point difference in share of children with a mother with no education of total and out-of-school populations |  |
| Richest 40\% | Poorest 60\% | Richest 40\% | Poorest 60\% |  | Mother with education | Mother with no education | Mother with education | Mother with no education |  |  |
| 32.6 | 67.4 | 9.3 | 90.7 | 23.3 | 61.5 | 38.5 | 37.6 | 62.4 | 23.9 | Nicaragua |
| 28.7 | 71.3 | 10.5 | 89.5 | 18.2 | 77.6 | 22.4 | 54.7 | 45.3 | 22.9 | Peru |
| 32.8 | 67.2 | 12.3 | 87.7 | 20.5 | 76.7 | 23.3 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 33.8 | Suriname |
| 31.7 | 68.3 | 38.6 | 61.4 | -6.9 | 93.1 | 6.9 | 86.4 | 13.6 | 6.7 | Trinidad and Tobago |
| 31.4 | 68.6 | 8.4 | 91.6 | 23.0 | 92.4 | 7.6 | 71.8 | 28.2 | 20.6 | Venezuela |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Regional Averages (survey countries) |
| 35.8 | 64.2 | 31.7 | 68.3 | 4.2 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 98.7 | 1.3 | -1.1 | Central/Eastern Europe, CIS |
| 38.8 | 61.2 | 20.5 | 79.5 | 18.3 | 40.8 | 59.2 | 19.6 | 80.4 | 21.2 | Middle East and North Africa |
| 36.5 | 63.5 | 26.7 | 73.3 | 9.8 | 42.1 | 57.9 | 26.4 | 73.6 | 15.7 | Eastern and Southern Africa |
| 37.5 | 62.5 | 22.8 | 77.2 | 14.7 | 34.3 | 65.7 | 19.5 | 80.5 | 14.8 | West and Central Africa |
| 34.6 | 65.4 | 20.8 | 79.2 | 13.8 | 38.5 | 61.5 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 18.5 | South Asia |
| 35.7 | 64.3 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 15.7 | 85.4 | 14.6 | 72.5 | 27.5 | 12.9 | East Asia and Pacific |
| 32.1 | 67.9 | 16.0 | 84.0 | 16.1 | 79.0 | 21.0 | 48.9 | 51.1 | 30.1 | Latin America and Caribbean |
| 35.7 | 64.3 | 22.6 | 77.4 | 13.1 | 48.0 | 52.0 | 25.4 | 74.6 | 22.6 | Total survey countries |

Note:
... Missing value.

## TABLE A5. NET EFFECTS OF CHILDREN'S BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS ON PRIMARY SCHOOL

| Country or territory | Survey, year | Survey |  | Odds ratios |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of observations | Net attendance rate (\%) | Age | Male | Urban |
| Central and Eastern Europe / Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Armenia | DHS 2000 | 1376 | 97.0 | 7.108** (4.65) | 0.748 (0.85) | 2.970* (2.32) |
| Azerbaijan | MICS 2000 | 2417 | 90.9 | 3.105** (9.82) | 1.039 (0.25) | 0.913 (0.38) |
| Kazakhstan | DHS 1999 | 1655 | 98.5 | 1.018 (0.09) | 0.741 (0.57) | 1.854 (1.11) |
| Tajikistan | MICS 2000 | 2879 | 80.7 | 5.329** (13.66) | 0.919 (0.80) | 0.726 (1.37) |
| Turkey | DHS 1998 | 4647 | 74.8 | 2.086** (18.25) | 1.377** (3.55) | 0.762 (1.93) |
| Uzbekistan | MICS 2000 | 3093 | 80.3 | 5.150** (8.95) | 0.956 (0.44) | 1.229 (1.05) |
| Middle East and North Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt | DHS 2000 | 10563 | 85.6 | 1.943** (20.98) | 1.503** (5.75) | 0.726** (2.83) |
| Iraq | MICS 2000 | 16978 | 77.8 | 1.028 (1.78) | 2.285** (14.98) | 1.645** (5.62) |
| Sudan | MICS 2000 | 27114 | 52.6 | 1.676** (30.02) | 1.137** (3.25) | 1.474** (3.86) |
| Yemen | DHS 1997 | 15203 | 54.6 | 1.598** (27.87) | 4.379** (21.36) | 1.499** (3.29) |
| Eastern and Southern Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Angola | MICS 2000 | 3615 | 58.0 | 1.836** (17.46) | 0.994 (0.07) | 1.062 (0.50) |
| Botswana | MICS 2000 | 4966 | 84.2 | 2.075** (15.26) | 0.803* (2.10) | 1.082 (0.58) |
| Burundi | MICS 2000 | 3909 | 46.7 | 1.334** (13.74) | 1.319** (3.73) | 1.586 (1.51) |
| Comoros | MICS 2000 | 4740 | 30.8 | 1.272** (10.83) | 0.982 (0.26) | 1.565* (2.29) |
| Eritrea | DHS 2002 | 6735 | 63.3 | 1.852** (21.66) | 1.219** (2.98) | 1.180 (0.85) |
| Ethiopia | DHS 2000 | 11431 | 30.6 | 1.373** (15.30) | 1.478** (5.12) | 3.279** (6.03) |
| Kenya | MICS 2000 | 9998 | 72.4 | 1.704** (19.23) | 0.846** (2.65) | 0.657 (1.80) |
| Lesotho | MICS 2000 | 5833 | 65.4 | 1.730** (29.36) | 0.644** (6.82) | 0.761* (2.53) |
| Madagascar | MICS 2000 | 4335 | 62.3 | 1.350** (8.81) | 0.861 (1.93) | 1.429* (2.12) |
| Malawi | DHS 2000 | 11118 | 75.7 | 1.639** (25.60) | 0.815** (3.40) | 1.596** (4.17) |
| Mozambique | DHS 1997 | 6593 | 49.9 | 1.524** (7.99) | 1.334** (2.99) | 2.146** (3.12) |
| Namibia | DHS 2000 | 6091 | 78.1 | 1.922** (13.13) | 0.942 (0.75) | 0.904 (0.53) |
| Rwanda | MICS 2000 | 3506 | 75.2 | 1.105** (3.57) | 1.006 (0.07) | 1.633* (2.28) |
| Somalia | MICS 2000 | 4599 | 10.9 | 1.264** (9.13) | 1.222 (1.88) | 0.853 (0.60) |
| South Africa | DHS 1998 | 10135 | 93.5 | 1.139** (5.33) | 0.807* (2.33) | 1.024 (0.16) |
| Swaziland | MICS 2000 | 5133 | 71.5 | 1.397** (16.94) | 1.015 (0.23) | 0.774 (1.63) |
| Tanzania | DHS 1999 | 3768 | 48.9 | 1.722** (18.37) | 0.835 (1.42) | 1.159 (0.77) |
| Uganda | DHS 2000/01 | 8111 | 78.9 | 1.922** (19.85) | 0.947 (0.73) | 0.737* (2.03) |
| Zambia | DHS 2001/02 | 7683 | 68.0 | 1.425** (17.98) | 1.017 (0.30) | 0.727* (2.23) |
| Zimbabwe | DHS 1999 | 5724 | 85.5 | 2.122** (17.25) | 0.888 (1.23) | 0.722 (1.21) |
| West and Central Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benin | DHS 2001 | 5664 | 54.1 | 1.396** (17.33) | 2.083** (11.94) | 1.194 (1.44) |
| Burkina Faso | DHS 1998/99 | 6098 | 27.7 | 0.978 (1.11) | 1.974** (8.46) | 4.123** (6.47) |
| Cameroon | MICS 2000 | 4297 | 74.5 | 1.505** (11.39) | 1.281** (2.60) | 0.956 (0.19) |
| Central African Republic | MICS 2000 | 19344 | 43.1 | 1.402** (20.68) | 1.480** (6.65) | 1.765** (6.10) |
| Chad | MICS 2000 | 5653 | 39.3 | 1.384** (10.35) | 1.831** (8.18) | 1.500* (2.47) |
| Congo (DR) | MICS 2000 | 9692 | 51.6 | 1.495** (24.06) | 1.362** (6.29) | 1.429** (2.94) |
| Cote d'Ivoire | MICS 2000 | 10161 | 57.8 | 1.261** (15.21) | 1.621** (10.55) | 1.010 (0.10) |
| Equatorial Guinea | MICS 2000 | 2911 | 61.7 | 1.537** (11.98) | 0.930 (0.82) | 2.620** (7.60) |
| Gabon | DHS 2000/01 | 5168 | 93.9 | 1.870** (9.78) | 1.009 (0.06) | 0.854 (0.78) |

## PARTICIPATION



TABLE A5. NET EFFECTS OF CHILDREN'S BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS ON PRIMARY SCHOOL

| Country or territory | Survey, year | Survey |  | Odds ratios |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of observations | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net } \\ & \text { attendance } \\ & \text { rate (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Age | Male | Urban |
| Gambia | MICS 2000 | 5294 | 52.7 | 1.296** (11.99) | 1.322** (3.30) | 1.328 (1.60) |
| Ghana | DHS 1998/99 | 4029 | 75.1 | 1.306** (10.03) | 1.023 (0.29) | 0.959 (0.23) |
| Guinea | DHS 1999 | 6525 | 39.6 | 1.228** (11.19) | 2.150** (11.87) | 1.774** (4.43) |
| Guinea-Bissau | MICS 2000 | 5923 | 41.3 | 1.292** (12.40) | 1.561** (5.81) | 3.404** (7.72) |
| Mali | DHS 2001 | 12206 | 39.2 | 1.054** (2.89) | 1.781** (8.82) | 1.861** (4.37) |
| Mauritania | DHS 2000/01 | 6672 | 44.2 | 1.541** (19.44) | 1.169* (2.21) | 1.602** (3.11) |
| Niger | MICS 2000 | 4634 | 30.3 | 1.061* (2.53) | 1.921** (7.34) | 2.799** (5.41) |
| Nigeria | DHS 2003 | 5807 | 61.9 | 1.501** (15.03) | 1.551** (4.78) | 0.766 (1.75) |
| Sao Tome and Principe | MICS 2000 | 2389 | 77.9 | 1.233** (6.22) | 0.922 (0.83) | 0.891 (0.76) |
| Senegal | MICS 2000 | 11080 | 48.4 | 1.069** (5.28) | 1.338** (4.67) | 1.522 (1.95) |
| Sierra Leone | MICS 2000 | 4337 | 40.9 | 1.077** (3.64) | 1.221** (2.76) | 1.671** (3.16) |
| Togo | MICS 2000 | 4922 | 63.5 | 1.457** (14.78) | 1.571** (5.75) | 1.748** (4.18) |
| South Asia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bangladesh | DHS 1999/00 | 6722 | 79.3 | 1.243** (8.33) | 0.920 (1.18) | 0.592** (4.17) |
| India | MICS 2000 | 70640 | 76.9 | 1.242** (17.85) | 1.517** (11.64) | 0.933 (0.85) |
| Nepal | MICS 2000 | 8262 | 66.2 | 1.406** (12.00) | 1.633** (7.81) | 0.907 (0.27) |
| East Asia and the Pacific |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cambodia | DHS 2000 | 13016 | 65.3 | 2.077** (36.53) | 1.039 (0.76) | 0.860 (1.57) |
| Indonesia | DHS 2002/03 | 19299 | 94.4 | 1.018 (0.54) | 0.871 (1.35) | 0.856 (0.78) |
| Lao PDR | MICS 2000 | 6088 | 62.1 | 1.817** (25.68) | 1.227** (2.96) | 1.898** (3.96) |
| Mongolia | MICS 2000 | 2750 | 79.2 | 3.115** (14.76) | 0.914 (0.81) | 0.883 (0.67) |
| Philippines | MICS 1999 | 5429 | 81.9 | 2.646** (16.09) | 0.822* (2.48) | 1.105 (0.81) |
| Viet Nam | MICS 2000 | 4612 | 87.6 | 2.281** (10.69) | 1.066 (0.49) | 1.310 (1.22) |
| Latin America and the Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bolivia | MICS 2000 | 3191 | 91.7 | 2.783** (9.77) | 1.258 (1.59) | 0.838 (0.80) |
| Colombia | DHS 2000 | 4998 | 92.5 | 1.765** (10.29) | 0.873 (1.15) | 0.594* (2.48) |
| Dominican Republic | MICS 2000 | 2657 | 92.4 | 1.456** (5.79) | 0.757 (1.67) | 0.360** (3.61) |
| Guyana | MICS 2000 | 2920 | 96.5 | 1.357** (3.43) | 0.7640 .95 | 1.397 (0.77) |
| Haiti | DHS 2000 | 7879 | 54.4 | 1.777** (15.21) | 0.848* (2.15) | 1.196 (1.10) |
| Nicaragua | DHS 2001 | 10747 | 80.4 | 1.147** (7.51) | 0.632** (7.60) | 1.006 (0.05) |
| Peru | DHS 2000 | 19682 | 95.5 | 1.506** (11.85) | 1.188* (2.08) | 0.971 (0.19) |
| Suriname | MICS 2000 | 2073 | 89.5 | 1.297** (4.13) | 0.742* (2.00) | 2.952** (4.32) |

## PARTICIPATION

| Odds ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Country or territory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second wealth quintile | Third wealth quintile | Fourth wealth quintile |  | Richest wealth quintile |  | Mother has formal education |  |  |
| 1.515** (2.61) | 1.531* (2.12) | 2.337** | (3.43) | 4.111** | (6.77) | 1.925** | (4.14) | Gambia |
| 1.398* (2.34) | 2.256** (4.94) | 3.671** | (6.15) | 4.789** | (6.57) | 2.641** | (7.68) | Ghana |
| 1.275 (1.76) | 2.441** (6.37) | 4.413** | (9.75) | 11.221** | (13.99) | 2.943** | (9.39) | Guinea |
| 1.200 (1.25) | 1.289 (1.54) | 2.171** | (4.59) | 3.251** | (5.93) | 2.968** | (9.11) | Guinea-Bissau |
| 1.084 (0.73) | 1.213 (1.96) | 1.681** | (4.56) | 3.663** | (7.03) | 2.987** | (11.98) | Mali |
| 1.203 (1.07) | 1.558** (3.08) | 1.956** | (3.41) | 3.209** | (6.05) | 1.481** | (4.06) | Mauritania |
| 1.229 (0.87) | 1.193 (0.86) | 1.286 | (1.30) | 3.251** | (4.98) | 3.056** | (7.67) | Niger |
| 1.438** (2.65) | 2.431** (4.64) | 4.621** | (7.92) | 8.894** | (10.83) | 3.181** | (9.69) | Nigeria |
| 1.015 (0.10) | 1.404 (1.85) | 1.529* | (1.99) | 2.109** | (3.61) | 1.385* | (2.32) | Sao Tome and Principe |
| 1.101 (1.04) | 1.393** (2.68) | 2.293** | (3.99) | 3.082** | (4.72) | 3.327** | (11.51) | Senegal |
| 1.151 (0.87) | 1.558** (2.84) | 1.964** | (4.04) | 3.166** | (5.71) | 2.750** | (7.89) | Sierra Leone |
| 1.293* (2.08) | 1.771** (3.68) | 2.220** | (4.96) | 4.039** | (6.58) | 2.288** | (7.52) | Togo |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | South Asia |
| 1.901** (6.67) | 2.552** (8.83) | 4.357** | (10.98) | 3.729** | (8.18) | 1.557** | (5.58) | Bangladesh |
| 1.087 (1.35) | 1.759** (8.21) | 1.956** | (7.59) | 2.584** | (10.53) | 3.646** | (26.22) | India |
| 1.275 (1.66) | 1.383* (2.26) | 1.321 | (1.71) | 1.675* | (2.46) | 2.562** | (8.61) | Nepal |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | East Asia and the Pacific |
| 1.454** (4.20) | 2.357** (8.90) | 3.393** | (12.69) | 8.239** | (20.16) | 1.926** | (9.88) | Cambodia |
| 2.164** (5.09) | 2.897** (5.14) | 3.894** | (3.96) | 6.305** | (6.80) | 1.771** | (4.63) | Indonesia |
| 1.563** (3.02) | 2.342** (6.42) | 3.337** | (7.99) | 5.615** | (9.81) | 2.446** | (9.15) | Lao PDR |
| 1.200 (1.09) | 1.515* (2.15) | 2.531** | (4.73) | 4.899** | (5.82) | 6.022** | (4.86) | Mongolia |
| 1.950** (5.15) | 2.369** (5.84) | 3.457** | (8.01) | 3.654** | (7.95) | 5.577** | (5.70) | Philippines |
| 1.356 (1.61) | 1.852** (3.27) | 2.384** | (3.75) | 2.238** | (3.09) | 3.265** | (5.05) | Viet Nam |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| 1.517* (2.02) | 2.459** (3.50) | 2.978** | (3.82) | 3.723** | (3.47) | 2.116** | (3.73) | Bolivia |
| 2.182** (4.06) | 2.663** (3.90) | 5.470** | (5.87) | 6.562** | (5.85) | 2.398** | (6.53) | Colombia |
| 1.357 (1.37) | 6.242** (5.43) | 5.910** | (4.62) | 6.683** | (3.69) | 2.054** | (2.69) | Dominican Republic |
| 1.673 (1.62) | 1.367 (0.89) | 2.330 | (1.86) | 3.102* | (2.43) | 3.596** | (3.53) | Guyana |
| 1.798** (4.42) | 2.633** (4.88) | 3.282** | (5.77) | 5.396** | (6.98) | 2.676** | (9.73) | Haiti |
| 2.536** (7.91) | 4.041** (10.00) | 8.388** | (10.47) | 11.380** | (9.18) | 2.293** | (11.02) | Nicaragua |
| 2.032** (5.97) | 2.564** (4.88) | 3.732** | (4.92) | 7.763** | (5.78) | 2.592** | (8.95) | Peru |
| 0.870 (0.43) | 1.775 (1.60) | 1.560 | (1.23) | 2.399* | (2.03) | 3.673** | (5.67) | Suriname |

## Notes:

[^14]TABLE A6. PATTERNS OF SCHOOL ATTENDANCE IN INDIA, 2000

|  | Primary school-age children (6-10 years) (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Out of school |  |  |
|  | Total in school | Pre-primary | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary | Nonstandard | None | In time | Underage | Overage | Total out of school | Left school | Never in school |
| Male | 80.3 | 4.5 | 76.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 14.1 | 49.1 | 18.5 | 12.7 | 19.7 | 1.7 | 18.1 |
| Female | 73.2 | 3.9 | 69.6 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 21.5 | 44.2 | 17.7 | 11.3 | 26.8 | 1.7 | 25.1 |
| Urban | 84.0 | 4.8 | 78.2 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 9.8 | 51.4 | 24.3 | 8.3 | 16.0 | 1.6 | 14.4 |
| Rural | 74.6 | 4.0 | 71.5 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 20.1 | 45.3 | 16.2 | 13.2 | 25.4 | 1.7 | 23.7 |
| Richest | 90.2 | 5.0 | 82.9 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 56.7 | 27.2 | 6.4 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 8.9 |
| Poorest | 66.7 | 3.5 | 64.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 29.1 | 40.3 | 13.0 | 13.4 | 33.3 | 2.1 | 31.1 |
| Mother has some ed. | 91.6 | 4.8 | 85.4 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 57.5 | 27.7 | 6.5 | 8.4 | 0.7 | 7.7 |
| Mother has no ed. | 70.0 | 3.9 | 67.3 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 24.5 | 41.8 | 13.6 | 14.6 | 30.0 | 2.0 | 28.0 |
| Total | 76.8 | 4.2 | 73.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 17.7 | 46.7 | 18.1 | 12.0 | 23.2 | 1.7 | 21.5 |


|  | Secondary school-age children (11-17 years) (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Out of school |  |  |
|  | Total in school | Pre-primary | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary | Nonstandard | None | In time | Underage | Overage | Total out of school | Left school | Never in school |
| Male | 80.3 | 0.2 | 25.8 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 19.1 | 39.5 | 13.5 | 27.3 | 19.7 | 9.9 | 9.8 |
| Female | 65.2 | 0.1 | 18.8 | 46.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 33.8 | 33.2 | 12.5 | 19.5 | 34.8 | 12.3 | 22.5 |
| Urban | 82.9 | 0.1 | 16.7 | 66.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 16.6 | 45.5 | 20.1 | 17.3 | 17.1 | 9.1 | 7.9 |
| Rural | 69.1 | 0.2 | 24.4 | 44.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 30 | 33.1 | 10.4 | 25.6 | 30.9 | 11.8 | 19.1 |
| Richest | 92.3 | 0.1 | 13.3 | 79.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 7.4 | 52.7 | 26.2 | 13.4 | 7.7 | 4.2 | 3.4 |
| Poorest | 58.2 | 0.3 | 25.6 | 32.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 40.9 | 24.3 | 6.2 | 27.7 | 41.8 | 13.5 | 28.3 |
| Mother has some ed. | 93.7 | 0.1 | 15.0 | 78.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 6.1 | 54.4 | 24.7 | 14.7 | 6.3 | 4.7 | 1.6 |
| Mother has no ed. | 63.8 | 0.2 | 26.3 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 35.1 | 28.0 | 7.6 | 28.2 | 36.2 | 13.7 | 22.4 |
| Total | 72.8 | 0.2 | 22.3 | 50.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 26.5 | 36.4 | 13.0 | 23.4 | 27.2 | 11.1 | 16.1 |

Source: MICS.

TABLE A7. PATTERNS OF SCHOOL ATTENDANCE IN INDONESIA, 2002/03

|  | Primary school-age children (7-12 years) (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Out of school |  |  |
|  | Total in school | Pre-primary | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary | Nonstandard | None | In time | Underage | Overage | Total out of school | Left school | Never in school |
| Male | 94.1 | 0.1 | 87.8 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 67.5 | 15.7 | 10.7 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 2.8 |
| Female | 94.8 | 0.2 | 86.9 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 66.1 | 20.2 | 8.4 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| Urban | 96.0 | 0.0 | 86.7 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 66.4 | 22.1 | 7.2 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| Rural | 93.2 | 0.2 | 87.9 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 67.2 | 14.4 | 11.6 | 6.9 | 3.2 | 3.6 |
| Richest | 98.0 | 0.0 | 85.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 65.4 | 28.7 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Poorest | 88.8 | 0.0 | 85.8 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 63.4 | 9.9 | 15.1 | 11.6 | 4.6 | 6.6 |
| Mother has some ed. | 95.2 | 0.1 | 87.6 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 67.4 | 19.5 | 8.2 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Mother has no ed. | 87.3 | 0.2 | 83.8 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 59.8 | 7.5 | 20 | 12.7 | 6.1 | 6.6 |
| Total | 94.4 | 0.1 | 87.3 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 66.8 | 17.9 | 9.6 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 |


|  | Secondary school-age children (13-18 years) (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Out of school |  |  |
|  | Total in school | Pre-primary | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary | Nonstandard | None | In time | Underage | Overage | Total out of school | Left school | Never in school |
| Male | 60.5 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 53.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 39.5 | 40.4 | 6.3 | 13.7 | 39.5 | 38.4 | 1.1 |
| Female | 61.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 54.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 38.9 | 42.4 | 8.6 | 10.0 | 39.0 | 38.1 | 0.9 |
| Urban | 70.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 64.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 49.0 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 30.0 | 29.6 | 0.5 |
| Rural | 51.9 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 43.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 48.1 | 34.0 | 4.4 | 13.5 | 48.1 | 46.6 | 1.5 |
| Richest | 78.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 73.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 55.9 | 14.8 | 7.6 | 21.7 | 21.4 | 0.4 |
| Poorest | 39.9 | 0.1 | 10.3 | 29.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 23.9 | 2.2 | 13.6 | 60.1 | 57.3 | 2.8 |
| Mother has some ed. | 84.5 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 70.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 61.8 | 10.9 | 11.6 | 15.5 | 14.9 | 0.6 |
| Mother has no ed. | 58.5 | 0.1 | 18.9 | 39.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.3 | 38.0 | 3.5 | 17.0 | 41.5 | 39.1 | 2.4 |
| Total | 60.8 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 53.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 39.2 | 41.4 | 7.4 | 11.9 | 39.2 | 38.2 | 1.0 |

Source: DHS.

TABLE A8. PATTERNS OF SCHOOL ATTENDANCE IN MALI, 2001

|  | Primary school-age children (7-12 years) (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Out of school |  |  |
|  | Total in school | Pre-primary | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary | Nonstandard | None | In time | Underage | Overage | Total out of school | Left school | Never in school |
| Male | 45.0 | 0.0 | 44.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 26.1 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 55.0 | 1.7 | 53.3 |
| Female | 33.6 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.4 | 19.1 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 66.4 | 1.7 | 64.7 |
| Urban | 66.2 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.8 | 36.3 | 21.6 | 8.3 | 33.8 | 1.5 | 32.2 |
| Rural | 30.9 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.1 | 18.3 | 4.3 | 8.3 | 69.1 | 1.8 | 67.3 |
| Richest | 74.1 | 0.0 | 70.6 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.9 | 38.3 | 28.1 | 7.7 | 25.9 | 1.3 | 24.6 |
| Poorest | 26.0 | 0.0 | 26.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 74.0 | 15.5 | 3.7 | 6.8 | 74.0 | 2.0 | 72.0 |
| Mother has some ed. | 69.2 | 0.0 | 65.4 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 33.1 | 27.4 | 8.8 | 30.8 | 1.7 | 29.0 |
| Mother has no ed. | 35.0 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 21.1 | 5.6 | 8.3 | 65.0 | 1.7 | 63.3 |
| Total | 39.2 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.8 | 22.6 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 60.8 | 1.7 | 59.1 |


|  | Secondary school-age children (13-18 years) (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Out of school |  |  |
|  | Total in school | Pre-primary | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary | Nonstandard | None | In time | Underage | Overage | Total out of school | Left school | Never in school |
| Male | 36.4 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 15.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 9.3 | 1.7 | 25.4 | 63.6 | 8.1 | 55.4 |
| Female | 22.6 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 10.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 77.4 | 6.7 | 1.6 | 14.3 | 77.4 | 7.9 | 69.5 |
| Urban | 49.7 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 29.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 50.3 | 17.0 | 4.5 | 28.1 | 50.4 | 9.7 | 40.7 |
| Rural | 18.6 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.4 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 15.3 | 81.4 | 7.1 | 74.3 |
| Richest | 53.4 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 34.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 46.6 | 20.0 | 5.6 | 27.6 | 46.8 | 9.3 | 37.3 |
| Poorest | 14.0 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 86.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 86.0 | 6.9 | 79.1 |
| Mother has some ed. | 69.1 | 0.0 | 40.3 | 28.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.9 | 24.1 | 14.4 | 30.6 | 30.9 | 7.5 | 23.3 |
| Mother has no ed. | 31.6 | 0.0 | 27.6 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.4 | 8.5 | 0.5 | 22.5 | 68.4 | 4.7 | 63.7 |
| Total | 29.4 | 0,0 | 16.5 | 12.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 70.6 | 8.0 | 1.6 | 19.8 | 70.6 | 8.0 | 62.5 |

Source: DHS.

TABLE A9. PATTERNS OF SCHOOL ATTENDANCE IN NIGERIA, 2003

|  | Primary school-age children (12-17 years) (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Out of school |  |  |
|  | Total in school | Pre-primary | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary | Nonstandard | None | In time | Underage | Overage | Total out of school | Left school | Never in school |
| Male | 65.5 | 4.8 | 63.7 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 37.4 | 9.0 | 19.0 | 34.5 | 1.0 | 33.5 |
| Female | 58.1 | 3.8 | 56.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.1 | 30.9 | 8.8 | 18.4 | 41.9 | 0.5 | 41.3 |
| Urban | 72.2 | 6.2 | 69.4 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 41.5 | 11.7 | 19.0 | 27.8 | 0.9 | 26.9 |
| Rural | 56.9 | 3.4 | 55.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.7 | 30.7 | 7.6 | 18.6 | 43.1 | 0.7 | 42.3 |
| Richest | 87.6 | 7.5 | 83.2 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 54.4 | 16.3 | 16.9 | 12.4 | 0.6 | 11.8 |
| Poorest | 41.5 | 2.8 | 40.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 55.7 | 21.0 | 3.3 | 17.2 | 58.5 | 0.9 | 57.7 |
| Mother has some ed. | 81.4 | 7.1 | 78.6 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 49.2 | 13.2 | 19.0 | 18.6 | 0.8 | 17.8 |
| Mother has no ed. | 45.9 | 2.4 | 45.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 51.7 | 23.3 | 6.0 | 16.6 | 54.1 | 0.7 | 53.4 |
| Total | 61.9 | 4.3 | 60.2 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 33.8 | 34.2 | 8.9 | 18.7 | 38.1 | 0.8 | 37.3 |


|  | Secondary school-age children (13-18 years) (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Out of school |  |  |
|  | Total in school | Pre-primary | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary | Nonstandard | None | In time | Underage | Overage | Total out of school | Left school | Never in school |
| Male | 74.2 | 0.1 | 37.0 | 37.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 25.7 | 21.0 | 4.4 | 48.9 | 25.8 | 7.1 | 18.6 |
| Female | 63.0 | 0.1 | 30.6 | 32.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 18.0 | 5.5 | 39.5 | 37.0 | 8.9 | 28.1 |
| Urban | 78.7 | 0.0 | 32.4 | 45.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 26.8 | 7.9 | 44.0 | 21.3 | 7.4 | 13.9 |
| Rural | 62.9 | 0.2 | 34.5 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.0 | 15.4 | 3.2 | 44.3 | 37.1 | 8.3 | 28.8 |
| Richest | 87.5 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 63.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 39.8 | 13.1 | 34.7 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 3.5 |
| Poorest | 47.8 | 0.3 | 34.3 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51.9 | 6.6 | 1.6 | 39.6 | 52.2 | 7.1 | 45.1 |
| Mother has some ed. | 94.2 | 0.2 | 48.5 | 45.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 36.7 | 11.8 | 45.8 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 3.6 |
| Mother has no ed. | 60.9 | 0.0 | 50.4 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.1 | 10.3 | 2.5 | 48.1 | 39.1 | 4.0 | 35.1 |
| Total | 68.6 | 0.1 | 33.8 | 34.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 44.2 | 31.4 | 8.0 | 23.4 |

Source: DHS.

TABLE A10. CHILD PROFILES BY SCHOOLING STATUS IN INDIA, 2000

| Category |  | Children of primary school age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | In school |  | Out of school |  |
|  |  | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. |
| Age | 6 years | 19.9 | 14,075 | 18.6 | 11,415 | 26.1 | 2,359 |
|  | 7 years | 19.7 | 13,969 | 20.1 | 12,348 | 18.2 | 1,644 |
|  | 8 years | 20.6 | 14,600 | 21.1 | 12,999 | 18.3 | 1,657 |
|  | 9 years | 16.8 | 11,852 | 17.6 | 10,808 | 12.9 | 1,169 |
|  | 10 years | 23.0 | 16,234 | 22.6 | 13,907 | 24.5 | 2,218 |
| Sex | Female | 48.6 | 34,392 | 46.4 | 28,517 | 59.0 | 5,340 |
|  | Male | 51.4 | 36,337 | 53.6 | 32,960 | 41.0 | 3,706 |
| Maternal status | Mother deceased | 2.0 | 1,407 | 1.7 | 1,058 | 3.3 | 293 |
|  | Mother living | 98.0 | 68,991 | 98.3 | 60,134 | 96.7 | 8,707 |
| Mother's presence | Mother not in HH | 7.2 | 5,059 | 7.0 | 4,309 | 7.8 | 703 |
|  | Mother in HH | 92.8 | 65,545 | 93.0 | 57,071 | 92.2 | 8,315 |
| Mother's highest | None | 66.5 | 43,604 | 60.8 | 34,755 | 93.4 | 7,682 |
| education level | Pre-primary | 0.1 | 74 | 0.1 | 72 | 0.1 | 4 |
|  | Primary | 10.7 | 7,040 | 12.3 | 7,053 | 3.2 | 264 |
|  | Secondary | 19.2 | 12,598 | 22.7 | 12,984 | 2.7 | 221 |
|  | Tertiary | 2.6 | 1,725 | 3.2 | 1,813 | 0.1 | 7 |
|  | Non-standard | 0.8 | 530 | 0.8 | 485 | 0.6 | 47 |
| Mother's literacy | Not literate | 66.4 | 43,762 | 60.7 | 34,887 | 93.3 | 7,700 |
|  | Literate | 33.6 | 22,140 | 39.3 | 22,574 | 6.7 | 556 |
| Paternal status | Father deceased | 2.9 | 2,012 | 2.7 | 1,629 | 3.8 | 342 |
|  | Father living | 97.1 | 68,269 | 97.3 | 59,467 | 96.2 | 8,637 |
| Father's presence | Father not in HH | 11.4 | 8,059 | 11.4 | 6,993 | 11.5 | 1,034 |
|  | Father in HH | 88.6 | 62,460 | 88.6 | 54,313 | 88.5 | 7,973 |
| Father's highest | None | 39.0 | 24,122 | 32.2 | 17,328 | 71.4 | 5,579 |
| education level | Pre-primary | 0.3 | 206 | 0.3 | 173 | 0.3 | 27 |
|  | Primary | 15.8 | 9,800 | 16.5 | 8,878 | 12.7 | 994 |
|  | Secondary | 37.0 | 22,872 | 41.8 | 22,508 | 14.3 | 1,118 |
|  | Tertiary | 7.4 | 4,583 | 8.8 | 4,764 | 0.7 | 54 |
|  | Non-standard | 0.4 | 267 | 0.4 | 209 | 0.6 | 46 |
| Father's literacy | Not literate | 38.7 | 24,234 | 31.9 | 17,409 | 70.8 | 5,608 |
|  | Literate | 61.3 | 38,353 | 68.1 | 37,082 | 29.2 | 2,318 |
| Household head's sex | Female | 8.0 | 5,688 | 8.2 | 5,050 | 7.3 | 657 |
|  | Male | 92.0 | 65,041 | 91.8 | 56,427 | 92.7 | 8,389 |
| Household head's | None | 46.3 | 32,207 | 40.3 | 24,379 | 74.4 | 6,627 |
| highest education level | Pre-primary | 0.4 | 265 | 0.4 | 225 | 0.4 | 35 |
|  | Primary | 16.6 | 11,566 | 17.6 | 10,626 | 12.0 | 1,072 |
|  | Secondary | 30.5 | 21,192 | 34.5 | 20,824 | 11.8 | 1,054 |
|  | Tertiary | 5.6 | 3,881 | 6.7 | 4,026 | 0.6 | 50 |
|  | Non-standard | 0.6 | 437 | 0.6 | 361 | 0.7 | 65 |
| Household head's literacy | Not literate | 45.9 | 32,383 | 40.0 | 24,511 | 73.9 | 6,663 |
|  | Literate | 54.1 | 38,141 | 60.0 | 36,791 | 26.1 | 2,354 |
| Location | Rural | 76.7 | 54,224 | 74.4 | 45,753 | 87.1 | 7,881 |
|  | Urban | 23.3 | 16,505 | 25.6 | 15,724 | 12.9 | 1,165 |
| Religion of household | Hinduism | 78.9 | 54,177 | 78.7 | 46,986 | 79.6 | 7,046 |
| head | Islam | 16.5 | 11,369 | 16.2 | 9,643 | 18.2 | 1,612 |
|  | Christianity | 2.3 | 1,586 | 2.5 | 1,504 | 1.3 | 118 |


| Category |  | Children of primary school age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | In school |  | Out of school |  |
|  |  | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. |
|  | Sikhism | 1.3 | 867 | 1.4 | 849 | 0.5 | 43 |
|  | Buddhism | 0.6 | 401 | 0.7 | 394 | 0.2 | 20 |
|  | Jainism | 0.3 | 201 | 0.4 | 210 | 0.0 | 2 |
|  | Other | 0.2 | 106 | 0.2 | 90 | 0.2 | 15 |
| Caste or tribe of | Scheduled caste | 20.3 | 13,952 | 19.0 | 11,344 | 26.3 | 2,334 |
| household head | Scheduled tribe | 8.5 | 5,823 | 7.2 | 4,309 | 14.3 | 1,270 |
|  | None of the above | 71.2 | 48,927 | 73.8 | 44,016 | 59.3 | 5,252 |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 70,729 | 100.0 | 61,477 | 100.0 | 9,046 |

Source: MICS.

TABLE A10. CHILD PROFILES BY SCHOOLING STATUS IN INDIA, 2000

| Category |  | Children of primary school age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | In school |  | Out of school |  |
|  |  | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. |
| Household wealth | Poorest | 22.7 | 15,932 | 19.5 | 11,943 | 37.3 | 3,358 |
|  | Second poorest | 22.5 | 15,825 | 20.8 | 12,694 | 30.6 | 2,756 |
|  | Middle | 20.1 | 14,147 | 20.9 | 12,784 | 16.4 | 1,473 |
|  | Second richest | 19.5 | 13,693 | 21.1 | 12,869 | 12.1 | 1,086 |
|  | Richest | 15.2 | 10,701 | 17.7 | 10,820 | 3.6 | 324 |
| Child labour | Not working | 89.9 | 63,449 | 92.2 | 56,632 | 79.2 | 7,139 |
|  | Working | 10.1 | 7,147 | 7.8 | 4,821 | 20.8 | 1,874 |
| Region | Andhra Pradesh | 7.6 | 5,406 | 8.1 | 5,005 | 5.4 | 487 |
|  | Arunachal Pradesh | 0.1 | 58 | 0.1 | 44 | 0.1 | 12 |
|  | Assam | 2.7 | 1,877 | 2.6 | 1,625 | 2.7 | 245 |
|  | Bihar | 12.8 | 9,064 | 10.1 | 6,220 | 25.5 | 2,306 |
|  | Goa | 0.1 | 50 | 0.1 | 51 | 0.0 | 1 |
|  | Gujarat | 4.0 | 2,800 | 4.0 | 2,442 | 3.9 | 355 |
|  | Haryana | 1.7 | 1,232 | 1.9 | 1,171 | 1.0 | 87 |
|  | Himachal Pradesh | 0.5 | 344 | 0.6 | 355 | 0.1 | 6 |
|  | Jammu \& Kashmir | 0.8 | 592 | 0.9 | 541 | 0.6 | 54 |
|  | Karnataka | 4.5 | 3,177 | 4.8 | 2,947 | 3.0 | 272 |
|  | Kerala | 2.2 | 1,590 | 2.7 | 1,662 | 0.1 | 11 |
|  | Madhya Pradesh | 7.6 | 5,371 | 7.4 | 4,532 | 8.7 | 788 |
|  | Maharashtra | 6.8 | 4,787 | 7.7 | 4,746 | 2.3 | 205 |
|  | Manipur | 0.2 | 121 | 0.2 | 122 | 0.1 | 5 |
|  | Meghalaya | 0.3 | 229 | 0.3 | 199 | 0.3 | 30 |
|  | Mizoram | 0.1 | 40 | 0.1 | 38 | 0.0 | 3 |
|  | Nagaland | 0.1 | 91 | 0.1 | 87 | 0.1 | 6 |
|  | Orissa | 3.8 | 2,699 | 3.7 | 2,251 | 4.5 | 405 |
|  | Punjab | 1.8 | 1,277 | 2.0 | 1,213 | 1.0 | 87 |
|  | Rajasthan | 5.8 | 4,072 | 5.7 | 3,527 | 5.8 | 529 |
|  | Sikkim | 0.0 | 35 | 0.1 | 35 | 0.0 | 1 |
|  | Tamil Nadu | 4.9 | 3,499 | 5.8 | 3,547 | 1.1 | 103 |
|  | Tripura | 0.2 | 155 | 0.2 | 150 | 0.1 | 9 |
|  | Uttar Pradesh | 22.3 | 15,743 | 21.7 | 13,319 | 25.1 | 2,271 |
|  | West Bengal | 7.8 | 5,491 | 7.7 | 4,752 | 7.9 | 714 |
|  | A \& N Islands | 0.0 | 18 | 0.0 | 18 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | Chandigarh | 0.1 | 41 | 0.1 | 42 | 0.0 | 1 |
|  | Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 0.0 | 7 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 | 1 |
|  | Daman \& Diu | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | Delhi | 1.2 | 826 | 1.3 | 795 | 0.6 | 51 |
|  | Lakshadweep | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | Pondichery | 0.0 | 29 | 0.0 | 29 | 0.0 | 0 |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 70,729 | 100.0 | 61,477 | 100.0 | 9,046 |

Source: MICS.

Table A11. Child profiles by schooling status in Indonesia, 2002/03

TABLE A11. CHILD PROFILES BY SCHOOLING STATUS IN INDONESIA, 2002/03

| Category |  | Children of primary school age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | In school |  | Out of school |  |
|  |  | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. |
| Age | 7 years | 16.9 | 3,259 | 16.3 | 2,943 | 29.4 | 329 |
|  | 8 years | 16.4 | 3,161 | 16.6 | 2,993 | 11.4 | 127 |
|  | 9 years | 16.3 | 3,143 | 16.7 | 3,022 | 8.1 | 91 |
|  | 10 years | 17.0 | 3,278 | 17.4 | 3,148 | 9.9 | 110 |
|  | 11 years | 15.9 | 3,062 | 16.1 | 2,913 | 11.0 | 123 |
|  | 12 years | 17.6 | 3,396 | 17.0 | 3,066 | 30.1 | 337 |
| Sex | Female | 47.9 | 9,246 | 48.1 | 8,706 | 43.4 | 485 |
|  | Male | 52.1 | 10,053 | 51.9 | 9,378 | 56.6 | 632 |
| Maternal status | Mother deceased | 1.3 | 258 | 1.3 | 237 | 1.7 | 19 |
|  | Mother living | 98.7 | 19,020 | 98.7 | 17,829 | 98.3 | 1,095 |
| Mother's presence | Mother not in HH | 7.6 | 1,473 | 7.6 | 1,370 | 8.5 | 95 |
|  | Mother in HH | 92.4 | 17,825 | 92.4 | 16,713 | 91.5 | 1,022 |
| Mother's highest | None | 9.6 | 1,717 | 8.9 | 1,490 | 24.3 | 244 |
| education level | Primary | 58.4 | 10,433 | 58.1 | 9,742 | 64.9 | 650 |
|  | Secondary | 28.0 | 5,003 | 28.9 | 4,841 | 10.2 | 102 |
|  | Tertiary | 4.0 | 721 | 4.2 | 708 | 0.7 | 7 |
| Paternal status | Father deceased | 3.2 | 620 | 3.1 | 559 | 5.6 | 62 |
|  | Father living | 96.8 | 18,628 | 96.9 | 17,481 | 94.4 | 1,048 |
| Father's presence | Father not in HH | 11.4 | 2,199 | 11.3 | 2,050 | 13.1 | 147 |
|  | Father in HH | 88.6 | 17,100 | 88.7 | 16,034 | 86.9 | 970 |
| Father's highest | None | 5.7 | 975 | 5.3 | 843 | 14.7 | 140 |
| education level | Primary | 55.2 | 9,418 | 54.6 | 8,744 | 69.1 | 656 |
|  | Secondary | 33.1 | 5,646 | 33.9 | 5,430 | 15.3 | 146 |
|  | Tertiary | 6.0 | 1,016 | 6.2 | 1,000 | 0.8 | 8 |
| Household head's sex | Female | 6.8 | 1,306 | 6.8 | 1,223 | 7.0 | 78 |
|  | Male | 93.2 | 17,993 | 93.2 | 16,861 | 93.0 | 1,039 |
| Household head's | None | 9.3 | 1,800 | 8.9 | 1,600 | 19.0 | 212 |
| highest education level | Primary | 54.8 | 10,560 | 54.3 | 9,807 | 65.3 | 729 |
|  | Secondary | 30.5 | 5,886 | 31.3 | 5,649 | 14.5 | 162 |
|  | Tertiary | 5.3 | 1,026 | 5.6 | 1,004 | 1.1 | 13 |
| Location | Rural | 55.3 | 10,680 | 54.6 | 9,882 | 70.2 | 784 |
|  | Urban | 44.7 | 8,619 | 45.4 | 8,202 | 29.8 | 333 |
| Region | Sumatra | 22.5 | 4,347 | 22.5 | 4,071 | 22.0 | 246 |
|  | Java | 58.2 | 11,235 | 58.7 | 10,614 | 47.5 | 530 |
|  | Bali \& Nusa Tenggara | 5.5 | 1,062 | 5.4 | 975 | 8.2 | 91 |
|  | Kalimantan | 5.7 | 1,102 | 5.6 | 1,004 | 9.5 | 106 |
|  | Sulawesi | 8.1 | 1,553 | 7.9 | 1,420 | 12.9 | 144 |
| Household wealth | Poorest | 23.6 | 4,550 | 22.2 | 4,006 | 52.2 | 583 |
|  | Second poorest | 20.1 | 3,884 | 20.1 | 3,631 | 21.2 | 237 |
|  | Middle | 19.3 | 3,721 | 19.6 | 3,538 | 12.6 | 141 |
|  | Second richest | 19.4 | 3,741 | 19.9 | 3,604 | 8.0 | 89 |
|  | Richest | 17.6 | 3,403 | 18.3 | 3,305 | 6.0 | 67 |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 19,299 | 100.0 | 18,084 | 100.0 | 1,117 |

Source: DHS.

TABLE A12. CHILD PROFILES BY SCHOOLING STATUS IN MALI, 2001

| Category |  | Children of primary school age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | In school |  | Out of school |  |
|  |  | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. |
| Age | 7 years | 19.3 | 2,350 | 15.2 | 723 | 21.9 | 1,628 |
|  | 8 years | 18.8 | 2,290 | 18.9 | 899 | 18.7 | 1,389 |
|  | 9 years | 13.6 | 1,663 | 15.7 | 747 | 12.3 | 912 |
|  | 10 years | 19.0 | 2,320 | 20.3 | 967 | 18.2 | 1,350 |
|  | 11 years | 13.0 | 1,590 | 15.0 | 714 | 11.7 | 872 |
|  | 12 years | 16.3 | 1,993 | 14.9 | 710 | 17.2 | 1,278 |
| Sex | Female | 51.0 | 6,226 | 43.7 | 2,079 | 55.8 | 4,147 |
|  | Male | 49.0 | 5,978 | 56.3 | 2,679 | 44.2 | 3,282 |
| Maternal status | Mother deceased | 3.3 | 398 | 3.0 | 142 | 3.5 | 257 |
|  | Mother living | 96.7 | 11,697 | 97.0 | 4,589 | 96.5 | 7,091 |
| Mother's presence | Mother not in HH | 19.2 | 2,346 | 18.1 | 861 | 20.0 | 1,484 |
|  | Mother in HH | 80.8 | 9,846 | 81.9 | 3,894 | 80.0 | 5,936 |
| Mother's highest | None | 86.2 | 8,358 | 75.9 | 2,897 | 92.9 | 5,457 |
| education level | Primary | 9.6 | 931 | 14.0 | 535 | 6.7 | 391 |
|  | Secondary | 3.7 | 361 | 8.8 | 334 | 0.4 | 23 |
|  | Tertiary | 0.5 | 50 | 1.3 | 50 | - | - |
| Paternal status | Father deceased | 5.0 | 602 | 4.9 | 232 | 5.0 | 369 |
|  | Father living | 95.0 | 11,478 | 95.1 | 4,498 | 95.0 | 6,965 |
| Father's presence | Father not in HH | 21.8 | 2,656 | 21.4 | 1,016 | 22.1 | 1,638 |
|  | Father in HH | 78.2 | 9,541 | 78.6 | 3,739 | 77.9 | 5,787 |
| Father's highest | None | 82.5 | 7,698 | 68.8 | 2,507 | 91.2 | 5,184 |
| education level | Primary | 8.7 | 810 | 11.8 | 430 | 6.7 | 379 |
|  | Secondary | 6.9 | 648 | 14.6 | 531 | 2.0 | 113 |
|  | Tertiary | 1.9 | 180 | 4.8 | 174 | 0.1 | 5 |
| Household head's sex | Female | 8.3 | 1,008 | 8.3 | 392 | 8.3 | 615 |
|  | Male | 91.7 | 11,198 | 91.8 | 4,368 | 91.7 | 6,814 |
| Household head's highest | None | 82.0 | 9,914 | 67.9 | 3,184 | 91.1 | 6,721 |
| education level | Primary | 8.9 | 1,081 | 12.7 | 596 | 6.6 | 484 |
|  | Secondary | 7.0 | 851 | 14.5 | 682 | 2.2 | 164 |
|  | Tertiary | 2.0 | 244 | 4.9 | 231 | 0.2 | 11 |
| Location | Rural | 76.5 | 9,342 | 60.4 | 2,874 | 87.0 | 6,461 |
|  | Urban | 23.5 | 2,864 | 39.6 | 1,886 | 13.0 | 968 |
| Region | Kayes | 13.0 | 1,581 | 11.0 | 521 | 14.3 | 1,060 |
|  | Koulikoro | 16.7 | 2,037 | 19.0 | 905 | 15.2 | 1,129 |
|  | Sikasso | 21.0 | 2,560 | 18.8 | 897 | 22.3 | 1,659 |
|  | Segou | 19.9 | 2,425 | 16.1 | 765 | 22.4 | 1,662 |
|  | Mopti | 13.6 | 1,663 | 8.0 | 382 | 17.2 | 1,278 |
|  | Tombouctou | 2.8 | 347 | 2.0 | 96 | 3.4 | 250 |
|  | Gao | 1.9 | 232 | 2.4 | 114 | 1.6 | 117 |
|  | Kidal | 0.2 | 18 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.1 | 6 |
|  | Bamako | 11.0 | 1,344 | 22.5 | 1,068 | 3.6 | 268 |
| Household wealth | Poorest | 21.5 | 2,624 | 14.2 | 677 | 26.2 | 1,943 |
|  | Second poorest | 20.8 | 2,544 | 15.2 | 721 | 24.6 | 1,824 |
|  | Middle | 20.3 | 2,472 | 16.2 | 772 | 22.9 | 1,699 |
|  | Second richest | 20.1 | 2,458 | 21.8 | 1,036 | 19.1 | 1,418 |
|  | Richest | 17.3 | 2,108 | 32.6 | 1,554 | 7.3 | 544 |
| Child labour | Not working | 61.5 | 7,412 | 71.8 | 3,376 | 54.9 | 4,021 |
|  | Working | 38.5 | 4,633 | 28.2 | 1,325 | 45.1 | 3,306 |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 12,206 | 100.0 | 4,760 | 100.0 | 7,429 |

Source: DHS.
Note:

TABLE A13. CHILD PROFILES BY SCHOOLING STATUS IN NIGERIA, 2003

| Category |  | Children of primary school age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | In school |  | Out of school |  |
|  |  | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. |
| Age | 6 years | 18.4 | 1,070 | 13.5 | 534 | 28.1 | 514 |
|  | 7 years | 18.8 | 1,093 | 17.3 | 685 | 21.8 | 399 |
|  | 8 years | 18.5 | 1,076 | 19.2 | 759 | 17.2 | 315 |
|  | 9 years | 14.4 | 836 | 16.3 | 644 | 10.8 | 198 |
|  | 10 years | 18.1 | 1,053 | 19.2 | 758 | 16.3 | 298 |
|  | 11 years | 11.7 | 679 | 14.6 | 580 | 6.0 | 109 |
| Sex | Female | 49.2 | 2,854 | 45.9 | 1,819 | 55.6 | 1,019 |
|  | Male | 50.9 | 2,953 | 54.1 | 2,141 | 44.4 | 813 |
| Maternal status | Mother deceased | 3.6 | 207 | 3.7 | 143 | 3.4 | 62 |
|  | Mother living | 96.4 | 5,540 | 96.4 | 3,779 | 96.6 | 1,748 |
| Mother's presence | Mother not in HH | 21.4 | 1,244 | 22.5 | 891 | 19.3 | 353 |
|  | Mother in HH | 78.6 | 4,559 | 77.5 | 3,067 | 80.7 | 1,477 |
| Mother's highest | None | 57.2 | 2,574 | 42.1 | 1,280 | 86.2 | 1,248 |
| education level | Pre-primary | 0.2 | 9 | 0.3 | 9 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | Primary | 22.5 | 1,014 | 28.7 | 872 | 10.9 | 158 |
|  | Secondary | 16.3 | 731 | 23.2 | 707 | 2.6 | 38 |
|  | Tertiary | 3.8 | 172 | 5.7 | 174 | 0.2 | 3 |
| Mother's literacy | Not literate | 63.9 | 2,881 | 51.5 | 1,570 | 87.9 | 1,272 |
|  | Literate | 36.1 | 1,626 | 48.5 | 1,481 | 12.1 | 174 |
| Paternal status | Father deceased | 5.4 | 314 | 6.2 | 243 | 3.9 | 72 |
|  | Father living | 94.6 | 5,453 | 93.8 | 3,694 | 96.1 | 1,743 |
| Father's presence | Father not in HH | 23.5 | 1,361 | 26.4 | 1,047 | 17.6 | 321 |
|  | Father in HH | 76.5 | 4,441 | 73.6 | 2,913 | 82.4 | 1,506 |
| Father's highest | None | 47.2 | 2,039 | 30.8 | 883 | 76.5 | 1,106 |
| education level | Pre-primary | 0.2 | 6 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.2 | 2 |
|  | Primary | 24.5 | 1,060 | 30.5 | 873 | 14.1 | 204 |
|  | Secondary | 17.3 | 746 | 22.8 | 653 | 7.3 | 106 |
|  | Tertiary | 10.9 | 469 | 15.7 | 451 | 2.0 | 28 |
| Father's literacy | Not literate | 46.0 | 1,998 | 32.8 | 942 | 69.8 | 1,015 |
|  | Literate | 54.0 | 2,342 | 67.2 | 1,934 | 30.2 | 439 |
| Household head's sex | Female | 12.0 | 694 | 15.0 | 593 | 5.9 | 109 |
|  | Male | 88.0 | 5,113 | 85.0 | 3,367 | 94.1 | 1,723 |
| Household head's highest | None | 47.7 | 2,743 | 33.8 | 1,328 | 75.5 | 1,363 |
| education level | Pre-primary | 0.2 | 9 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.3 | 4 |
|  | Primary | 24.2 | 1,389 | 29.1 | 1,145 | 14.4 | 260 |
|  | Secondary | 17.9 | 1,029 | 22.7 | 894 | 8.2 | 148 |
|  | Tertiary | 10.1 | 582 | 14.3 | 560 | 1.7 | 30 |
| Household head's literacy | Not literate | 47.7 | 2,755 | 36.5 | 1,440 | 70.1 | 1,273 |
|  | Literate | 52.3 | 3,021 | 63.5 | 2,505 | 29.9 | 543 |
| Location | Rural | 67.7 | 3,933 | 61.8 | 2,446 | 79.8 | 1,462 |
|  | Urban | 32.3 | 1,874 | 38.2 | 1,514 | 20.2 | 370 |
| Region | North Central | 15.9 | 925 | 18.9 | 747 | 10.2 | 187 |
|  | North East | 20.8 | 1,209 | 14.8 | 587 | 32.7 | 599 |
|  | North West | 30.1 | 1,749 | 20.0 | 792 | 50.0 | 915 |
|  | South East | 7.2 | 421 | 10.0 | 395 | 1.8 | 33 |
|  | South South | 15.7 | 910 | 21.8 | 865 | 3.6 | 66 |
|  | South West | 10.2 | 593 | 14.5 | 574 | 1.8 | 32 |


| Category |  | Children of primary school age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | In school |  | Out of school |  |
|  |  | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. |
| Native language of | Hausa | 31.2 | 1,767 | 19.6 | 756 | 54.2 | 965 |
| respondent | Yoruba | 9.7 | 548 | 13.9 | 536 | 1.3 | 24 |
|  | Igbo | 9.2 | 520 | 12.8 | 494 | 2.0 | 36 |
|  | English | 0.9 | 50 | 1.3 | 49 | 0.2 | 3 |
|  | Other | 49.0 | 2,772 | 52.5 | 2,028 | 42.2 | 752 |
| Household wealth | Poorest | 21.5 | 1,250 | 14.4 | 571 | 35.7 | 653 |
|  | Second | 21.2 | 1,233 | 16.3 | 647 | 31.0 | 567 |
|  | Middle | 20.5 | 1,189 | 20.4 | 806 | 20.8 | 380 |
|  | Fourth | 20.0 | 1,162 | 24.9 | 985 | 10.3 | 189 |
|  | Richest | 16.8 | 973 | 24.1 | 952 | 2.3 | 41 |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 5,807 | 100.0 | 3,960 | 100.0 | 1,832 |

[^15]TABLE A14. LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND SCHOOL AMONG CHILDREN AGED 6-10 YEARS IN INDIA AND INDONESIA

| India (MICS, 2000) |  |  | Indonesia (DHS, 2002/03) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Marginal effect | (z-statistic) | Variable | Marginal effect | (z-statistic) |
| Age | 0.013 ** | (9.5) | Age | 0 | (0.2) |
| Male | 0.059 ** | (12.7) | Male | -0.006 | (1.6) |
| Orphan (one or both parents deceased) | -0.036 ** | (3.6) | Orphan (one or both parents deceased) | -0.017 * | (2.0) |
| Child labourer | -0.169 ** | (18.3) |  |  |  |
| Mother has primary education | 0.073 ** | (10.1) | Mother has primary education | 0.015 ** | (2.6) |
| Mother has secondary or tertiary education | 0.094 ** | (13.2) | Mother has secondary or tertiary education | 0.028 ** | (4.4) |
| Father has primary education | 0.028 ** | (3.0) | Father has primary education | -0.005 | (0.7) |
| Father has secondary or tertiary education | 0.071 ** | (8.5) | Father has secondary or tertiary education | 0.009 | (1.0) |
| HH head has primary education | 0.048 ** | (5.7) | HH head has primary education | 0.018 ** | (2.6) |
| HH head has secondary or tertiary education | 0.065 ** | (7.6) | HH head has secondary or tertiary education | 0.018 * | (2.0) |
| HH head male | -0.031 ** | (3.5) | HH head male | -0.011 | (1.5) |
| Number of HH members < 5 years old | -0.016 ** | (6.5) | Number of HH members < 5 years old | -0.008 ** | (2.9) |
| Number of HH members 5-59 years old | -0.001 | (0.7) | Number of HH members 5-59 years old | -0.002 | (1.7) |
| Number of HH members 60+ years old | 0.019 ** | (5.0) | Number of HH members $60+$ years old | 0.009 * | (2.4) |
| HH in second poorest wealth quintile | 0.020 ** | (2.8) | HH in second poorest wealth quintile | 0.024 ** | (5.1) |
| HH in middle wealth quintile | 0.042 ** | (5.6) | HH in middle wealth quintile | 0.032 ** | (5.9) |
| HH in second richest wealth quintile | 0.056 ** | (6.4) | HH in second richest wealth quintile | 0.037 ** | (5.6) |
| HH in richest wealth quintile | 0.077 ** | (7.2) | HH in richest wealth quintile | 0.036 ** | (4.7) |
| HH head Muslim | -0.011 | (1.0) |  |  |  |
| HH head Christian | 0.019 | (1.2) |  |  |  |
| HH head Sikh | 0.017 | (0.8) |  |  |  |
| HH head Buddhist | -0.004 | (0.1) |  |  |  |
| HH head other religion | -0.003 | (0.1) |  |  |  |
| HH head in scheduled caste | -0.008 | (1.0) |  |  |  |
| HH head in scheduled tribe | -0.035 ** | (3.0) |  |  |  |
| Urban | -0.009 | (1.2) | Urban | -0.008 | (1.3) |
| Andhra Pradesh | 0.063 ** | (6.1) | Java | 0.001 | (0.1) |
| Assam | 0.028 * | (2.1) | Sumatra | 0.003 | (0.4) |
| Bihar | -0.091 ** | (5.6) | Kalimantan | -0.01 | (1.0) |
| Gujarat | -0.003 | (0.2) | Sulawesi | -0.017 * | (2.5) |
| Haryana | 0.043 ** | (3.6) |  |  |  |
| Himachal Pradesh | 0.089 ** | (6.1) |  |  |  |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 0.045 ** | (3.6) |  |  |  |
| Karnataka | 0.052 ** | (3.6) |  |  |  |
| Kerala | 0.087 ** | (5.9) |  |  |  |
| Madhya Pradesh | 0.008 | (0.6) |  |  |  |
| Maharashtra | 0.075 ** | (6.7) |  |  |  |
| Manipur | 0.081 ** | (5.9) |  |  |  |
| Meghalaya | 0.031 | (1.6) |  |  |  |
| Orissa | 0.008 | (0.6) | Notes: |  |  |
| Punjab | 0.035 * | (2.1) |  |  |  |
| Rajasthan | 0.023 | (1.9) | Robust $\mathbf{z}$-statistics in parentheses. <br> * Significant at $5 \%$. |  |  |
| Tamil Nadu | 0.101 ** | (11.3) | ** Significant at $1 \%$. |  |  |
| Tripura | 0.070 ** | (3.7) |  |  |  |
| West Bengal | -0.002 | (0.1) |  |  |  |
| Delhi | -0.01 | (0.6) |  |  |  |
| Small states | 0.048 ** | (3.9) |  |  |  |

TABLE A15. LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND SCHOOL AMONG CHILDREN AGED 6-10 YEARS IN MALI AND NIGERIA

| Mali (DHS, 2001) |  |  | Nigeria (DHS, 2003) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Marginal effect | (z-statistic) | Variable | Marginal effect | (z-statistic) |
| Age | 0.017 ** | (3.7) | Age | 0.061 ** | (11.3) |
| Male | 0.143 ** | (9.6) | Male | 0.120 ** | (7.4) |
| Orphan (one or both parents deceased) | -0.014 | (0.5) | Orphan (one or both parents deceased) | -0.018 | (0.6) |
| Child laborer | -0.116 ** | (6.8) |  |  |  |
| Mother has primary education | 0.123 ** | (4.6) | Mother has primary education | 0.067 * | (2.3) |
| Mother has secondary or tertiary education | 0.427 ** | (7.4) | Mother has secondary or tertiary education | 0.137 ** | (3.9) |
| Father has primary education | -0.027 | (0.4) | Father has primary education | 0.100 ** | (2.7) |
| Father has secondary or tertiary education | 0.184 ** | (3.7) | Father has secondary or tertiary education | 0.107 * | (2.3) |
| HH head has primary education | 0.177 ** | (3.1) | HH head has primary education | 0.058 | (1.6) |
| HH head has secondary or tertiary education | 0.207 ** | (4.8) | HH head has secondary or tertiary education | 0.099 * | (2.3) |
| HH head male | -0.01 | (0.4) | HH head male | -0.094 ** | (3.2) |
| Number of HH members <5 years old | -0.007 | (1.2) | Number of HH members <5 years old | -0.001 | (0.1) |
| Number of HH members 5-59 years old | 0.005 | (1.6) | Number of HH members 5-59 years old | -0.002 | (0.5) |
| Number of HH members 60+ years old | 0.014 | (1.0) | Number of HH members 60+ years old | 0.029 | (1.5) |
| HH in second poorest wealth quintile | 0.02 | (0.8) | HH in second poorest wealth quintile | 0.078 ** | (3.1) |
| HH in middle wealth quintile | 0.056 * | (2.6) | HH in middle wealth quintile | 0.156 ** | (5.1) |
| HH in second richest wealth quintile | 0.104 ** | (4.0) | HH in second richest wealth quintile | 0.220 ** | (6.4) |
| HH in richest wealth quintile | 0.230 ** | (5.3) | HH in richest wealth quintile | 0.256 ** | (7.0) |
|  |  |  | Native language Hausa | -0.098 * | (2.4) |
|  |  |  | Native language Yoruba | 0.136 ** | (2.8) |
|  |  |  | Native language Igbo | 0.120 ** | (2.6) |
|  |  |  | Native language English | 0.077 | (1.0) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.102 ** | (3.0) | Urban | 0.008 | (0.2) |
| Kayes | -0.066 | (1.1) | North Central | 0.149 ** | (3.6) |
| Koulikoro | 0.098 * | (2.4) | North East | -0.008 | (0.2) |
| Segou | -0.019 | (0.5) | South East | 0.123 * | (2.2) |
| Mopti | -0.133 ** | (2.9) | South South | 0.228 ** | (6.0) |
| Tombouctou | -0.145 * | (2.4) | South West | 0.166 ** | (3.3) |
| Gao | 0.063 | (1.1) |  |  |  |
| Kidal | 0.057 | (1.1) |  |  |  |
| Bamako | 0.094 | (1.9) |  |  |  |

Notes:
Robust z-statistics in parentheses.

* Significant at 5\%.
** Significant at $1 \%$.

TABLE A16. SAMPLING ERRORS AND CONFIDENCE INTERVALS FOR HOUSEHOLD SURVEY DATA

| Country or territory | Primary school-age children in school |  |  |  |  |  | Primary school-age children out of school |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Survey |  | Rate (\%) | Standard error | 95\% confidence interval boundaries |  | Rate (\%) | Standard error | 95\% confidence interval boundaries |  | Number of observations |
|  |  |  | Lower |  | Upper | Lower |  |  | Upper |  |
| Algeria | MICS | 2000 |  | 93.5\% | 1.00\% | 91.5\% | 95.5\% | 6.5\% | 1.00\% | 4.5\% | 8.5\% | 6215 |
| Angola | MICS | 2000 | 58.0\% | 1.28\% | 55.5\% | 60.5\% | 42.0\% | 1.28\% | 39.5\% | 44.5\% | 3615 |
| Armenia | DHS | 2000 | 97.0\% | 0.50\% | 96.0\% | 98.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.50\% | 2.0\% | 4.0\% | 1376 |
| Azerbaijan | MICS | 2000 | 90.9\% | 0.69\% | 89.5\% | 92.3\% | 9.1\% | 0.69\% | 7.7\% | 10.5\% | 2417 |
| Bahrain | MICS | 2000 | 86.3\% | 1.49\% | 83.2\% | 89.3\% | 13.7\% | 1.49\% | 10.7\% | 16.8\% | 976 |
| Bangladesh | DHS | 1999/00 | 79.3\% | 0.89\% | 77.5\% | 81.0\% | 20.7\% | 0.89\% | 19.0\% | 22.5\% | 6722 |
| Benin | DHS | 2001 | 54.1\% | 1.56\% | 51.0\% | 57.1\% | 45.9\% | 1.56\% | 42.9\% | 49.0\% | 5664 |
| Bolivia | MICS | 2000 | 91.7\% | 0.59\% | 90.5\% | 92.9\% | 8.3\% | 0.59\% | 7.1\% | 9.5\% | 3191 |
| Bosnia And Herzegovina | MICS | 2000 | 86.2\% | 0.78\% | 84.7\% | 87.7\% | 13.8\% | 0.78\% | 12.3\% | 15.3\% | 2058 |
| Botswana | MICS | 2000 | 84.2\% | 0.78\% | 82.6\% | 85.7\% | 15.8\% | 0.78\% | 14.3\% | 17.4\% | 4966 |
| Brazil | DHS | 1996 | 95.5\% | 0.36\% | 94.8\% | 96.2\% | 4.5\% | 0.36\% | 3.8\% | 5.2\% | 5204 |
| Burkina Faso | DHS | 1998/99 | 27.7\% | 1.43\% | 24.8\% | 30.5\% | 72.3\% | 1.43\% | 69.5\% | 75.2\% | 6098 |
| Burundi | MICS | 2000 | 46.7\% | 1.41\% | 43.9\% | 49.4\% | 53.3\% | 1.41\% | 50.6\% | 56.1\% | 3909 |
| Cambodia | DHS | 2000 | 65.3\% | 0.84\% | 63.6\% | 66.9\% | 34.7\% | 0.84\% | 33.1\% | 36.4\% | 13016 |
| Cameroon | MICS | 2000 | 74.5\% | 2.58\% | 69.4\% | 79.6\% | 25.5\% | 2.58\% | 20.4\% | 30.6\% | 4297 |
| Central African Republic | MICS | 2000 | 43.1\% | 1.14\% | 40.9\% | 45.4\% | 56.9\% | 1.14\% | 54.6\% | 59.1\% | 19344 |
| Chad | MICS | 2000 | 39.3\% | 2.05\% | 35.3\% | 43.3\% | 60.7\% | 2.05\% | 56.7\% | 64.7\% | 5653 |
| Colombia | DHS | 2000 | 92.5\% | 0.44\% | 91.6\% | 93.4\% | 7.5\% | 0.44\% | 6.6\% | 8.4\% | 4998 |
| Comoros | MICS | 2000 | 30.8\% | 1.80\% | 27.2\% | 34.3\% | 69.2\% | 1.80\% | 65.7\% | 72.8\% | 4740 |
| Congo DRC | MICS | 2000 | 51.6\% | 1.29\% | 49.1\% | 54.1\% | 48.4\% | 1.29\% | 45.9\% | 50.9\% | 9692 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | MICS | 2000 | 57.8\% | 1.22\% | 55.4\% | 60.2\% | 42.2\% | 1.22\% | 39.8\% | 44.6\% | 10161 |
| Dominican Republic | MICS | 2000 | 92.4\% | 0.97\% | 90.5\% | 94.3\% | 7.6\% | 0.97\% | 5.7\% | 9.5\% | 2657 |
| Egypt | DHS | 2000 | 85.6\% | 0.52\% | 84.5\% | 86.6\% | 14.4\% | 0.52\% | 13.4\% | 15.5\% | 10563 |
| Equatorial Guinea | MICS | 2000 | 61.7\% | 1.33\% | 59.1\% | 64.3\% | 38.3\% | 1.33\% | 35.7\% | 40.9\% | 2911 |
| Eritrea | DHS | 2002 | 63.3\% | 1.53\% | 60.3\% | 66.3\% | 36.7\% | 1.53\% | 33.7\% | 39.7\% | 6735 |
| Ethiopia | DHS | 2000 | 30.6\% | 1.25\% | 28.2\% | 33.1\% | 69.4\% | 1.25\% | 66.9\% | 71.8\% | 11431 |
| Gabon | DHS | 2000/01 | 93.9\% | 0.49\% | 92.9\% | 94.9\% | 6.1\% | 0.49\% | 5.1\% | 7.1\% | 5168 |
| Gambia | MICS | 2000 | 52.7\% | 2.41\% | 48.0\% | 57.5\% | 47.3\% | 2.41\% | 42.5\% | 52.0\% | 5294 |
| Ghana | DHS | 1998/99 | 75.1\% | 1.42\% | 72.3\% | 77.9\% | 24.9\% | 1.42\% | 22.1\% | 27.7\% | 4029 |
| Guatemala | DHS | 1998/99 | 77.8\% | 1.39\% | 75.1\% | 80.6\% | 22.2\% | 1.39\% | 19.4\% | 24.9\% | 5308 |
| Guinea | DHS | 1999 | 39.6\% | 1.30\% | 37.1\% | 42.2\% | 60.4\% | 1.30\% | 57.8\% | 62.9\% | 6525 |
| Guinea-Bissau | MICS | 2000 | 41.3\% | 1.70\% | 37.9\% | 44.6\% | 58.7\% | 1.70\% | 55.4\% | 62.1\% | 5923 |
| Guyana | MICS | 2000 | 96.5\% | 0.42\% | 95.7\% | 97.4\% | 3.5\% | 0.42\% | 2.6\% | 4.3\% | 2920 |
| Haiti | DHS | 2000 | 54.4\% | 1.70\% | 51.0\% | 57.7\% | 45.6\% | 1.70\% | 42.3\% | 49.0\% | 7879 |
| India | MICS | 2000 | 76.9\% | 0.62\% | 75.7\% | 78.1\% | 23.1\% | 0.62\% | 21.9\% | 24.3\% | 70640 |
| Indonesia | DHS | 2002/03 | 94.4\% | 0.38\% | 93.7\% | 95.2\% | 5.6\% | 0.38\% | 4.8\% | 6.3\% | 19299 |
| Iraq | MICS | 2000 | 77.8\% | 0.74\% | 76.4\% | 79.3\% | 22.2\% | 0.74\% | 20.7\% | 23.6\% | 17285 |
| Kazakhstan | DHS | 1999 | 98.5\% | 0.35\% | 97.8\% | 99.2\% | 1.5\% | 0.35\% | 0.8\% | 2.2\% | 1655 |
| Kenya | DHS | 2003 | 77.5\% | 1.17\% | 75.2\% | 79.8\% | 22.5\% | 1.17\% | 20.2\% | 24.8\% | 7277 |
| Kyrgyzstan | DHS | 1997 | 94.9\% | 1.10\% | 92.8\% | 97.1\% | 5.1\% | 1.10\% | 2.9\% | 7.2\% | 1210 |
| Lao PDR | MICS | 2000 | 62.1\% | 1.61\% | 58.9\% | 65.3\% | 37.9\% | 1.61\% | 34.7\% | 41.1\% | 6088 |
| Lebanon | MICS | 2000 | 97.0\% | 0.37\% | 96.2\% | 97.7\% | 3.0\% | 0.37\% | 2.3\% | 3.8\% | 3610 |
| Lesotho | MICS | 2000 | 65.4\% | 0.85\% | 63.7\% | 67.0\% | 34.6\% | 0.85\% | 33.0\% | 36.3\% | 5833 |
| Madagascar | MICS | 2000 | 62.3\% | 1.77\% | 58.8\% | 65.8\% | 37.7\% | 1.77\% | 34.2\% | 41.2\% | 4335 |
| Malawi | DHS | 2000 | 75.7\% | 0.79\% | 74.2\% | 77.3\% | 24.3\% | 0.79\% | 22.7\% | 25.8\% | 11118 |


| Country or territory | Primary school-age children in school |  |  |  |  | Primary school-age children out of school |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Survey | Rate (\%) | Standard error | 95\% confidence interval boundaries |  | Rate (\%) | Standard error | 95\% confidence interval boundaries |  | Number of observations |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |
| Mali | DHS 2001 | 39.2\% | 1.42\% | 36.4\% | 42.0\% | 60.8\% | 1.42\% | 58.0\% | 63.6\% | 12206 |
| Mauritania | DHS 2000/01 | 44.2\% | 1.41\% | 41.4\% | 47.0\% | 55.8\% | 1.41\% | 53.0\% | 58.6\% | 6672 |
| Moldova | MICS 2000 | 98.4\% | 0.30\% | 97.8\% | 99.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.30\% | 1.0\% | 2.2\% | 2065 |
| Mongolia | MICS 2000 | 79.2\% | 0.98\% | 77.2\% | 81.1\% | 20.8\% | 0.98\% | 18.9\% | 22.8\% | 2750 |
| Mozambique | DHS 1997 | 49.9\% | 2.47\% | 45.1\% | 54.8\% | 50.1\% | 2.47\% | 45.2\% | 54.9\% | 6593 |
| Myanmar | MICS 2000 | 79.5\% | 0.84\% | 77.8\% | 81.1\% | 20.5\% | 0.84\% | 18.9\% | 22.2\% | 15293 |
| Namibia | 2000 DHS | 78.1\% | 1.23\% | 75.6\% | 80.5\% | 21.9\% | 1.23\% | 19.5\% | 24.4\% | 6091 |
| Nepal | MICS 2000 | 66.2\% | 2.14\% | 62.0\% | 70.4\% | 33.8\% | 2.14\% | 29.6\% | 38.0\% | 8262 |
| Nicaragua | DHS 2001 | 80.4\% | 0.91\% | 78.6\% | 82.1\% | 19.6\% | 0.91\% | 17.9\% | 21.4\% | 10747 |
| Niger | MICS 2000 | 30.3\% | 1.63\% | 27.1\% | 33.6\% | 69.7\% | 1.63\% | 66.4\% | 72.9\% | 4634 |
| Nigeria | DHS 2003 | 61.9\% | 2.09\% | 57.8\% | 66.0\% | 38.1\% | 2.09\% | 34.0\% | 42.2\% | 5807 |
| Pakistan | SURVEY 2000/01 | 56.4\% | 1.52\% | 53.4\% | 59.4\% | 43.6\% | 1.52\% | 40.6\% | 46.6\% | 7441 |
| Peru | DHS 2000 | 95.5\% | 0.26\% | 95.0\% | 96.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.26\% | 4.0\% | 5.0\% | 19682 |
| Philippines | MICS 1999 | 81.9\% | 0.90\% | 80.1\% | 83.7\% | 18.1\% | 0.90\% | 16.3\% | 19.9\% | 5429 |
| Rwanda | MICS 2000 | 75.2\% | 1.11\% | 73.1\% | 77.4\% | 24.8\% | 1.11\% | 22.6\% | 26.9\% | 3506 |
| Sao Tome and Principe | MICS 2000 | 77.9\% | 1.35\% | 75.2\% | 80.6\% | 22.1\% | 1.35\% | 19.4\% | 24.8\% | 2389 |
| Senegal | MICS 2000 | 48.4\% | 1.61\% | 45.2\% | 51.6\% | 51.6\% | 1.61\% | 48.4\% | 54.8\% | 11080 |
| Sierra Leone | MICS 2000 | 40.9\% | 1.70\% | 37.5\% | 44.3\% | 59.1\% | 1.70\% | 55.7\% | 62.5\% | 4337 |
| Somalia | MICS 1999 | 10.9\% | 1.32\% | 8.3\% | 13.5\% | 89.1\% | 1.32\% | 86.5\% | 91.7\% | 4599 |
| South Africa | DHS 1998 | 93.5\% | 0.44\% | 92.6\% | 94.3\% | 6.5\% | 0.44\% | 5.7\% | 7.4\% | 10135 |
| Sudan | MICS 2000 | 52.6\% | 1.19\% | 50.3\% | 55.0\% | 47.4\% | 1.19\% | 45.0\% | 49.7\% | 27114 |
| Suriname | MICS 1999/00 | 89.5\% | 2.14\% | 85.3\% | 93.7\% | 10.5\% | 2.14\% | 6.3\% | 14.7\% | 2073 |
| Swaziland | MICS 2000 | 71.5\% | 0.91\% | 69.7\% | 73.3\% | 28.5\% | 0.91\% | 26.7\% | 30.3\% | 5133 |
| Tajikistan | MICS 2000 | 80.7\% | 0.94\% | 78.9\% | 82.6\% | 19.3\% | 0.94\% | 17.4\% | 21.1\% | 2879 |
| Tanzania | 1999 DHS | 48.9\% | 1.90\% | 45.2\% | 52.7\% | 51.1\% | 1.90\% | 47.3\% | 54.8\% | 3768 |
| Togo | MICS 2000 | 63.5\% | 1.66\% | 60.2\% | 66.8\% | 36.5\% | 1.66\% | 33.2\% | 39.8\% | 4922 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | MICS 2000 | 95.5\% | 0.53\% | 94.5\% | 96.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.53\% | 3.5\% | 5.5\% | 1956 |
| Uganda | DHS 2000/01 | 78.9\% | 0.85\% | 77.2\% | 80.6\% | 21.1\% | 0.85\% | 19.4\% | 22.8\% | 8111 |
| Uzbekistan | MICS 2000 | 80.3\% | 1.20\% | 78.0\% | 82.7\% | 19.7\% | 1.20\% | 17.3\% | 22.0\% | 3093 |
| Venezuela | MICS 2000 | 93.5\% | 0.71\% | 92.2\% | 94.9\% | 6.5\% | 0.71\% | 5.1\% | 7.8\% | 2672 |
| Viet Nam | MICS 2000 | 87.6\% | 0.83\% | 86.0\% | 89.3\% | 12.4\% | 0.83\% | 10.7\% | 14.0\% | 4612 |
| Yemen | DHS 1997 | 54.6\% | 1.02\% | 52.6\% | 56.6\% | 45.4\% | 1.02\% | 43.4\% | 47.4\% | 15203 |
| Zambia | DHS 2001/02 | 68.0\% | 1.14\% | 65.8\% | 70.3\% | 32.0\% | 1.14\% | 29.7\% | 34.2\% | 7683 |
| Zimbabwe | DHS 1999 | 85.5\% | 0.69\% | 84.2\% | 86.9\% | 14.5\% | 0.69\% | 13.1\% | 15.8\% | 5724 |

## ANNEX 3 <br> Country groupings

The regions below follow UNICEF groupings of countries. Country names in italics indicate those for which data were available for the analysis in Chapter 2.

## Central and East Europe / Commonwealth of Independent States ( 20 countries or territories)

Albania; Armenia; Azerbaijan; Belarus; Bosnia and Herzegovina; Bulgaria; Croatia; Georgia; Kazakhstan; Kyrgyzstan; Republic of Moldova; Romania; Russian Federation; Serbia and Montenegro; Tajikistan; The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia; Turkey; Turkmenistan; Ukraine; Uzbekistan.

## Middle East and North Africa

(20 countries or territories)
Algeria; Bahrain; Djibouti; Egypt; Iran, Islamic Republic of; Iraq; Jordan; Kuwait; Lebanon; Libyan Arab Jamahiriya; Morocco; Oman; Palestinian Autonomous Territories; Qatar; Saudi Arabia; Sudan; Syrian Arab Republic; Tunisia; United Arab Emirates; Yemen.

## Eastern and Southern Africa <br> (22 countries or territories)

Angola; Botswana; Burundi; Comoros; Eritrea; Ethiopia; Kenya; Lesotho; Madagascar; Malawi; Mauritius; Mozambique; Namibia; Rwanda; Seychelles; Somalia; South Africa; Swaziland; Uganda; United Republic of Tanzania; Zambia; Zimbabwe.

## West and Central Africa (24 countries or territories)

Benin; Burkina Faso; Cameroon; Cape Verde; Central African Republic; Chad; Congo; Côte d'Ivoire; Democratic Republic of the Congo; Equatorial Guinea; Gabon; Gambia; Ghana; Guinea; Guinea-Bissau; Liberia; Mali; Mauritania; Niger; Nigeria; Sao Tome and Principe; Senegal; Sierra Leone; Togo.

## South Asia

(8 countries or territories)
Afghanistan, Islamic Republic of; Bangladesh; Bhutan; India; Maldives; Nepal; Pakistan; Sri Lanka.

## East Asia and the Pacific

## (30 countries or territories)

Brunei Darussalam; Cambodia; China; Cook Islands; Democratic People's Republic of Korea; Fiji; Indonesia; Kiribati; Lao People's Democratic Republic; Macao, China; Malaysia; Marshall Islands; Micronesia (Federated States of); Mongolia; Myanmar; Nauru; Niue; Palau; Papua New Guinea; Philippines; Samoa; Singapore; Solomon Islands; Thailand; Timor-Leste; Tokelau; Tonga; Tuvalu; Vanuatu; Viet Nam.

## Latin America and the Caribbean (41 countries or territories)

Anguilla; Argentina; Antigua and Barbuda; Aruba; Bahamas; Barbados; Belize; Bermuda; Bolivia; Brazil; British Virgin Islands; Cayman Islands; Chile; Colombia; Costa Rica; Cuba; Dominica; Dominican Republic; Ecuador; El Salvador; Grenada; Guatemala; Guyana; Haiti; Honduras; Jamaica; Mexico; Montserrat; Netherlands Antilles; Nicaragua; Panama; Paraguay; Peru; Saint Kitts and Nevis; Saint Lucia; Saint Vincent and the Grenadines; Suriname; Trinidad and Tobago; Turks and Caicos Islands; Uruguay; Venezuela.

## Industrialised countries ( 39 countries or territories)

Andorra; Australia; Austria; Belgium; Canada; Cyprus; Czech Republic; Denmark; Estonia; Finland; France; Germany; Greece; Hong Kong (China), SAR; Hungary; Iceland; Ireland; Israel; Italy; Japan; Latvia; Lithuania; Luxembourg; Malta; Monaco; Netherlands; New Zealand; Norway; Poland; Portugal; Republic of Korea; San Marino; Slovakia; Slovenia; Spain; Sweden; Switzerland; United Kingdom; United States.


[^0]:    1 The UNESCO-UIS/OECD/EUROSTAT data collection manual defines students enrolled as those participating, i.e. registrations are expected to be linked to pupils who are participating.

[^1]:    Note: The distribution of the world's children by starting age and duration shows the distribution of countries weighted by the size of the population aged 6 to 11 years.
    Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Statistical Table A1.

[^2]:    2 Current attendance is based on the parents' or guardians' report whether the child attended school within the week prior to the interview. It is commonly used for the calculation of net attendance rates (NAR), but its comparability across countries or with enrolment data is limited. It does not permit the distinction between children permanently out of school and those temporarily not in school, e.g. due to sickness or for reasons such as the harvest season. Since temporary absenteeism, which varies among countries and across households within countries, changes substantially within the school year, the timing of interviews can affect the rates calculated. Interviews can be conducted during holiday periods, harvest season and either early or late in the school year and lead to very different results. For example, the proportion of dropouts increases the later in the school year that the survey takes place. See also FASAF et al, 2004.

[^3]:    Note: Country groupings, see Annex 3.

[^4]:    Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics

[^5]:    Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Table 1.1.

[^6]:    Note: Data from household surveys for Bangladesh, Benin, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Ethiopia, Haiti, India, Iraq, Nepal, Nigeria, Sierra
    Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Statistical Table A1.

[^7]:    Fote: For details on the methodology, see Annex 1.
    Note: For details on the methodology, see Annex 1.
    Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics, Statistical Table A2.

[^8]:    Note: Official primary school ages: 7 to 12 years; official secondary school ages: 13 to 18 years.
    Source: DHS, 2001

[^9]:    3 The terms "scheduled caste" and "scheduled tribe" date back to British rule of India, when the various groups of the Indian population were entered into lists or "schedules." Scheduled castes include the historically disadvantaged castes of lower rank, among them the "untouchables." Scheduled tribes are communities that live in geographic isolation from the main population, in forests and mountains. Both scheduled castes and scheduled tribes are entitled to positive discrimination according to the Indian constitution, for example in the form of quotas for university students and government positions.
    4 Child labour is work that harms children and should, therefore, be eliminated. Not all work performed by children is child labour. The definition used here comes from a joint research project, Understanding Children's Work, by ILO, UNICEF and the World Bank. The defining parameters are the child's age, type of work and number of hours spent working. Thus, the term "child labour" applies to: (a) all children aged 5 to 11 years who are economically active or do household work for 28 or more hours per week; (b) all children aged 12 to 14 years who are economically active for 14 or more hours per week (i.e. they do more than light work) or who do household work for 28 or more hours per week; and (c) all children aged 15 to 17 years who work for 43 or more hours per week (i.e. they are engaged in hazardous work). In the case of India, only definition (a) applies because the analysis is restricted to children aged 6 to 11 years.

[^10]:    5 (FASAF et al, 2004). See also: (UNESCO, 2002); (UNICEF, 2004); and (Loaiza, 2004).

[^11]:    Note: The reference year is the same for both sources.
    Countries with more than 15 points difference between the two sources are labelled.
    Source: UNICEFIUNESCO Institute for Statistics; UNESCO Institute for Statistics database.

[^12]:    1) Enrolment presented here differs from those used in global and regional estimates. The latter are adjusted to match the 2001/02 school year 2) Global and regional estimates are based on non-publishable UIS enrolment estimates.
    2) Adjusted to year of administrative data.
    ** UIS estimation.

    * Secondary component is UIS estimation.
    - Magnitude nil or negligible. For \% out of school: less than $1 \%$. For out of school: less than 1,000.
    $x$ Secondary education cannot be reported separtely but is included in total.
    Missing value

[^13]:    Note

[^14]:    Robust $z$-statistics in parentheses.
    Logistic regression, odds ratio.

    * Significant at $5 \%$.
    ** Significant at $1 \%$.

[^15]:    Source: DHS.

