### 1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property Central Sikhote-Alin

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

Russian Federation

Type of Property

natural

### Identification Number

766rev

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List 2001

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Sikhote-Alin Nature Preserve, Terney district , Russian Federation	45.333 / 136.167	401428	0	401428	2001
Goralij Zoological Preserve, Coastal zone on the Sea of Japan, N. of Terney, Russian Federation	45.333 / 136.833	4749	0	4749	2001
Total (ha)	ļ	406177	0	406177	L

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Central Sikhote-Alin - maps of inscribed properties	16/12/2001	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

• Grigory E. Ordzhonikidze Commission of the Russian Federation for UNESCO Executive Secretary

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Anatoli Astafiev

GPZ "Sikhote-Alin" Natural State Reserve

### Comment

Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Reserve n.a. K.G. Abramov Dmitry Gorshkov 44 Partizanskaya Street Primorsky krai Terney 692150 Russian Federation Telephone: +742374-31-559 Fax: +742373-31-378 Email: sikhote-boss@mail.ru The full name of the Reserve was changed in 2009. Since September 2013 there is new Director in the Reserve.

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- 1. <u>Greenpeace Russia</u>
- 2. <u>Natural site datasheet from WCMC</u>
- 3. <u>Natural Heritage Protection Fund</u>

### Section II-Central Sikhote-Alin

### Comment

Sikhote-Alin Nature Biosphere Reserve web-site: http://сиалинь.pф/ http://www.nhpfund.org/

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Designated as Biosphere Reserve in February 1979

### 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Date of Inscription: 2001 Ref: 766rev

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(x)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Imp	act		0	rigin
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	$\odot$		9	0	2
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	0		9	3	
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure	0			9	G
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure	٢		9	3	2
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure		۲	9	2	2
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	$\odot$			9	2
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	٢			9	Ś
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.2	Ground water pollution		۲	9		G
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.1	Fishing/collecting aquatic resources			9		S
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection		۲	9	0	0
3.5.8	Commercial hunting		۲	9	3	0
3.5.9	Subsistence hunting			9	3	0
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production			9		S
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind		۲	9	3	2
3.7.2	Relative humidity		۲	9	0	0
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)			9	0	0
3.7.7	Pests			9	0	0
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities			9	2	0
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events	•		•		
3.10.1	Storms		۲	9	0	0
3.10.2	Flooding			9	0	0
3.10.6	Temperature change	$\odot$		9	0	0
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6	Fire (widlfires)		٢	9	3	0
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.3	Management activities	٢		9	3	0
Legend	Current Potential Segative Inside		Č	Outs	ide	

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	•	Management response	Trend
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	on-going	significant	low capacity	static
	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.4	4 Pollution					
3.4.2	Ground water pollution	restricted	intermittent or sporadic		no capacity and / or resources	static

### Section II-Central Sikhote-Alin

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.5	Biological resource use/modification			1		
3.5.1	Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.5.8	Commercial hunting	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.5.9	Subsistence hunting	extensive	on-going	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production	restricted	frequent	significant	low capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fa	bric				
3.7.1	Wind	widespread	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.7.2	Relative humidity	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.7	Pests	localised	one off or rare	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.9	Other human activities		·			
3.9.1	Illegal activities	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather e	vents	-	•	-	•
3.10.1	Storms	extensive	on-going	minor	no capacity and / or resources	increasing
3.10.2	Flooding	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.10.6	Temperature change	localised	on-going	minor	no capacity and / or resources	increasing
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological even	ts				
3.11.6	Fire (widlfires)	restricted	one off or rare	significant	high capacity	decreasing

# 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

# 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

# 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local** residents / communities / landowners.

### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

### 4.2. Protective Measures

### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

#### Comment

The object consists of 2 parts with different status of specially protected natural territories: 1. Sikhote-Alin State biosphere Nature Preserve. Documents and legal acts, that determine the status: All-Russia Central Executive Committee's and Council of People's Commissars of the RSFSR Decree "About the approval of the network of full Nature Preserves with the whole-state importance" from 10.02.1935. Primorski Regional Council of the Workers' Deputies Decision "About the border regulations of the Sikhote-Alin State Nature Preserve" ? 376 from 14.04.1976. Resolution of the 20th Session of the UNESCO General Conference about appropriation to the Sikhote-Alin State Nature Preserve, 1978. Decree of the Head of Administration of the Primorski Region "About the widening of the Sikhote-Alin

### Section II-Central Sikhote-Alin

State biosphere Nature Preserve", ? 344 from 12.07.1994; Order of the Government of the Russian Federation from 29.02.1996 ? 298-? "About the growth of the territory of the Sikhote-Alin State Nature Preserve to 40232 ha"; Decree "About the widening of the territory the Sikhote-Alin State biosphere Nature 18 Preserve" № 621 from 10.06.1999. Regulations for Sikhote-Alin state nature reserve. Approved by Ministry of Nature resources and ecology of Russian Federation №712 from 07.08.2003 2. Goralij Zoological Reserve. It was established by the Decision of the Primorski Regional Council of the Workers' Deputies "About the regulation of the boundaries of the Sikhote-Alin State Nature Preserve" № 376 from 14.04.1976. Regulations for Goralij Zoological Reserve. Approved by Administration of Primorie region №169 from 28.07.2008

# 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

# 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

# 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

### 4.3.1 - Management System

Management is delegated from the Federation to various agencies, notably the Nature Reserve. Funding of the Nature Reserve is currently self-generated, Federal, and international, with nothing from the Region. In

1999, international sources provided more than twice the Federal budget and 65% of the total (\$72,237). Of the 154 employees, six work for the Department of Ecological Enlightenment. Eleven field research stations inside the Reserve carry out monitoring. Economic activity in the Nature Reserve is nil, and its scale on adjoining territories extremely limited. Only poaching causes some anxiety. Fire is the main threat.

### Comment

Management is delegated from the Federation to various agencies, notably the Nature Reserve. Funding of the Nature Reserve is comes mostly from Federal budjet.

#### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

### Comment

There are couple of documents, according to which the territory is managed: 1. Regulations for Sikhote-Alin state nature reserve. Approved by Ministry of Nature resources and ecology of Russian Federation №712 from 07.08.2003 2. Charter for Sikhote-Alin state nature reserve. Approved by Ministry of Nature resources and ecology of Russian Federation №341 from 19.05.2011. 3. Regulations for Goralij Zoological Reserve. Approved by Administration of Primorie region №169 from 28.07.2008

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved** 

## 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is only partially being implemented

### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

#### 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

### Section II-Central Sikhote-Alin

#### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

# 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

# 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

# 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

### 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

# 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	2%
Governmental (National / Federal)	96%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	1%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	1%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

### 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

#### Comment

Reserve never got funding from World Heritage fund.

### 4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

### 4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the mediumterm and planning is underway to secure funding in the longterm

#### 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

**Potential** economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

## 4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate** 

### 4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some ad hoc maintenance of equipment and facilities

### 4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

### 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	90%
Part-time	10%

### 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	85%
Seasonal	15%

### 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	98%
Volunteer	2%

### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

#### 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

### Section II-Central Sikhote-Alin

#### 4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

# 4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented** 

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

### 4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

# 4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps** 

# 4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies** 

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

### 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property? In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

#### 4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Poor
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Poor

## 4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

#### 4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved** 

#### 4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made** 

#### 4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Poor

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

### 4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

### 4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

### Section II-Central Sikhote-Alin

#### 4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

#### Comment

Management plan for emproving of ecological tourism is under development and should be approved by the begining of 2015.

#### 4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed** despite an indentified need

## 4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

## 4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

### 4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

### 4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

# 4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved

### 4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

# 4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is planned, but has not yet begun

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

### 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

### 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.2	Transportation	Infrastructure				·	·
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Remoteness of the World Heritage site and poor quality of the roads impede active development of tourism. But ramified network of dirt roads makes the territory of the World Herutage Site accessible for poachers and other illegal visitors.	World Heritage property can not manage the development of the road network. To protect the territory of World Heritage Site from illegal visitors there is system of patroling the boundary of the property	there is no system of monitoring	tere is no timeframe	Local, State and Federal Administrations	no
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	An extensive network of dirt roads around World Heritage Site boundary makes the territory accessible for illiegal visitors including poachers	Patrolling territory	Number of illegal hunting cases both inside and outside World Heritage property	permanent	Sikhote-Alin reserve (Reserve protection Department)	No
3.5	<b>Biological reso</b>	urce use/modification					
3.5.9	Subsistence hunting	For some local people subsistence hunting is the only way to feed family due to the lack of sufficient jobs and low life level. Subsistence hunting take place both inside World Heritage Site and outside	To prevent illigal subsistence hunting inside World Heritage property. to control the number of hunting licence depending on abundance of ungulates.	Number of illegal hunting cases both inside and outside World Heritage property	Permanently	Hunting supervision service. Sikhote-Aline Reserve (Reserve protection Department)	no
3.7	Local condition	s affecting physical fal	oric				
3.7.1	Wind	Due to strong winds in the winter season there are cases of destruction and damage of infrastructure	Repearing infrastructure	No	No	World Heritage property	No
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1	Storms	Damage of infrastructure deu tu storms	Repearing	No	No	World Heritage property, Local and Federal Administration	No
3.10.6	Temperature change	Changing in ecosystems due to global temperature change	monitoring of ecosystems	monitoring of ecosystems	permanent	Sikhote-Alin Reserve (Science Department)	No

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Bo	4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.1.4	boundaries of the World Heritage	Put signs around the boundary. Give more information in local massmedia. Give information for hunting and forest enterprises working around reserve.	Annualy		Also some changes for the regulation of buffer zone needed
4.3 Ma	4.3 Management System / Management Plan				

#### Section II-Central Sikhote-Alin

4.3.6	work plan activities	Prepare and approve management plans for development of Central Sikhote-Alin WH. There preparation is already going on.	2014-2015	Sikhote-Alin biosphere reserve	Management dlans for different departments of reserve already exists.	
4.7 Visi	itor Management					
4.7.4	the property is	Development of the management plan. Bring external experts to help to solve this problem	2014-2015	Sikhote-Alin biosphere reserve	The work on management plan already started.	
4.8 Moi	4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.4	Implementation Committee recommendatio is planned, but has not yet beg		2015-2016	Sikhote-Alin biosphere reserve	A new administration started to work in the reserve less than year ago.	

### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved** 

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions.** 

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact** 

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

### 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	Not applicable
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property		
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff		
Staff from other World Heritage properties		

### Section II-Central Sikhote-Alin

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable? yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

#### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Monitoring and reporting

## 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Unsatisfactory
State Party	None
Site Managers	None
Advisory Bodies	Unsatisfactory

### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance Reason for update: Date of Inscription: 2001 Ref: 766rev

# 6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise