

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Bryggen

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Norway

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

59

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1979

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

| Name | Coordinates (longitude / latitude) | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| Bryggen | 60.397 / 5.323 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1979 |
| Total (ha) | | | 0 | | |

1.4 - Map(s)

| Title | Date | Link to source |
|---------|------------|---|
| Bryggen | 30/09/2005 |  |

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Trond Taugbøl
Directorate for Cultural Heritage – Riksantikvaren
Senior Adviser

Comment

New contact: Directorate for Cultural Heritage - Riksantikvaren
Petter Koren Adviser PO BOX 8196 N-0034 Oslo Norway

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Johanne Gillow
City of Bergen
Special Adviser
Cultural Heritage Management Office

Comment

City of Bergen Johanne Gillow Director of Cultural Heritage
Management Agency for Cultural Heritage Management
Postbox 7700 N-5020 Bergen Norway

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Stiftelsen Bryggen \(Bryggen Foundation\)](#)

Comment

Some of the pictures do not actually show the World Heritage site. Photos should be updated. the webaddress belongs to an owner foundation owning approx half of the buildings, and not to an official homepage for the site. Should be corrected. At the moment there exists no official web adress for Bryggen. Should the link be to the directorate??

<http://www.riksantikvaren.no/?module=Articles;action=Article;publicShow;ID=2667> There is a website for "Prosjekt Bryggen": www.prosjektbryggen.no

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

The property is protected under the Norwegian Cultural Heritage Act as well as the Planning- and Building Act.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

A retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted in 2013 and approved by WHC.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)

Comment

Bryggen was inscribed in 1979. Criteria iii) has changed since then. Bryggen was inscribed according to criteria iii in 1977.

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

Inscribed before 2005. RSOUV approved by WHC 2013.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

None

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

| | Name | Impact | Origin |
|---------------|---|-----------|----------|
| 3.2 | Transportation Infrastructure | | |
| 3.2.4 | Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure | | |
| 3.3 | Services Infrastructures | | |
| 3.3.1 | Water infrastructure | | |
| 3.7 | Local conditions affecting physical fabric | | |
| 3.7.1 | Wind | | |
| 3.7.6 | Water (rain/water table) | | |
| 3.7.8 | Micro-organisms | | |
| 3.8 | Social/cultural uses of heritage | | |
| 3.8.2 | Society's valuing of heritage | | |
| 3.8.4 | Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system | | |
| 3.8.6 | Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation | | |
| 3.9 | Other human activities | | |
| 3.9.2 | Deliberate destruction of heritage | | |
| 3.10 | Climate change and severe weather events | | |
| 3.10.1 | Storms | | |
| 3.10.2 | Flooding | | |
| 3.11 | Sudden ecological or geological events | | |
| 3.11.6 | Fire (wildfires) | | |
| 3.13 | Management and institutional factors | | |
| 3.13.1 | Low impact research / monitoring activities | | |
| 3.13.3 | Management activities | | |
| Legend | Current | Potential | Negative |
| | Positive | Inside | Outside |

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

| | Spatial scale | Temporal scale | Impact | Management response | Trend | |
|------------|---|----------------|----------|---------------------|---------------|------------|
| 3.2 | Transportation Infrastructure | | | | | |
| 3.2.4 | Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure | localised | on-going | minor | high capacity | static |
| 3.3 | Services Infrastructures | | | | | |
| 3.3.1 | Water infrastructure | localised | on-going | significant | high capacity | decreasing |
| 3.7 | Local conditions affecting physical fabric | | | | | |
| 3.7.6 | Water (rain/water table) | widespread | on-going | significant | high capacity | decreasing |
| 3.7.8 | Micro-organisms | localised | on-going | minor | high capacity | static |

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

A new lightrail system for the City is partly implemented and is in a planning process for the city centre. The objective is to remove/reduce traffic and simultaneously make the city centre more attractive for the citizens. One alternative a lightrail on the quay approx 50m in front of Bryggen, on a filling from the 1920. When Bryggen was inscribed in 1979 there was massive traffic right in front of the buildings. In 2007 the traffic was moved out on the quay to reduce damage on Bryggen.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of its inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.1.1.3. There is no formal bufferzone inscribed for Bryggen, but an area around the WH-site, defined in collaboration with all parties concerned, is protected according to the planning and building act and represents a bufferzone in practise.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Note WHC (July 2012): Please carefully review, complete and update the information provided below.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, October 28, 2005

- **Question 6.02**

The site is covered by regulations in a land-use plan with special zoning requirements (under the Plan and buildings Act). The subsurface foundations and structures are automatically protected because of their medieval origin (Cultural Heritage Act §4).

Comment

The buildings at the site are also protected under the Norwegian Cultural Heritage Act.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

None

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Note WHC (July 2012): Please carefully review, complete and update the information provided below. If a more recent management plan / system is in force, we will very much appreciate it if you could provide its 2 paper and electronic copies to the WHC. The submission should be accompanied by a cover letter to DIR/WHC. Thank you for your cooperation.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, October 28, 2005

- **Question 5.02**
Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site
- **Question 5.03**
Set up date: 1995
Function: Mutual information between stakeholders and bodies involved, coordination of initiatives and interests. A forum for discussions on matters that affect the World Heritage.
Mandate: To follow up the advices given in evaluation reports from ICOMOS Norway (1994, 2001), to oversee that suggested improvements are handled by the authorities in charge, and to be a steering body for management and work plans for the site.
Constituted: formal
- **Question 5.05**
Overall management system of the site
 - Management under protective legislation

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

There is a Management Plan for Bryggen from 2005.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Local communities / residents | Fair |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Fair |
| Visitors | Not applicable |
| Researchers | Good |
| Tourism industry | Poor |
| Industry | Not applicable |

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No local communities are resident in or living near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

None

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

No significant changes.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

| | |
|---|-----|
| Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc) | 0% |
| International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 0% |
| Governmental (National / Federal) | 90% |
| Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State) | 0% |
| Governmental (Local / Municipal) | 10% |
| In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 0% |
| Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.) | 0% |
| Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.) | 0% |
| Other grants | 0% |

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No international assistance received.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

None

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Full-time | 80% |
| Part-time | 20% |

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|------|
| Permanent | 100% |
| Seasonal | |

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|------|
| Paid | 100% |
| Volunteer | |

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| | |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Research and monitoring | Good |
| Promotion | Fair |
| Community outreach | Fair |
| Interpretation | Good |
| Education | Good |
| Visitor management | Fair |
| Conservation | Good |
| Administration | Fair |
| Risk preparedness | Good |
| Tourism | Fair |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Good |

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| | |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Research and monitoring | Medium |
| Promotion | Medium |
| Community outreach | Medium |
| Interpretation | High |

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Education | High |
| Visitor management | Medium |
| Conservation | High |
| Administration | Medium |
| Risk preparedness | Medium |
| Tourism | Medium |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | High |

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

None

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

--

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

None

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Local communities / residents | Excellent |
| Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property | Excellent |
| Local Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Local landowners | Excellent |
| Visitors | Excellent |
| Tourism industry | Average |
| Local businesses and industries | Average |

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

| | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Visitor centre | Not provided but needed |
| Site museum | Adequate |
| Information booths | Poor |
| Guided tours | Adequate |
| Trails / routes | Not needed |
| Information materials | Poor |
| Transportation facilities | Not needed |
| Other | Not needed |

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

| | |
|-----------------|------------|
| Last year | Static |
| Two years ago | Static |
| Three years ago | Static |
| Four years ago | Decreasing |
| Five years ago | Static |

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

| |
|-------|
| Other |
|-------|

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

To my knowledge there are no Visitor Management documents for Bryggen. Some counts and estimates have been made on the basis of automatic counting of movements into the site. Bergen is a historic city with many attractions and Bryggen is not specified.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

There is cooperation between the Bryggen museum, the owners at Bryggen and the Tourist industry concerning guided tours and soforth.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

| | |
|--|----------------|
| World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff | Excellent |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Excellent |
| Local communities | Not applicable |
| Researchers | Excellent |
| NGOs | Average |
| Industry | Not applicable |
| Local indigenous peoples | Not applicable |

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

| | | World Heritage criteria and attributes affected | Actions | Monitoring | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3.2 | Transportation Infrastructure | | | | | | |
| 3.2.4 | Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure | Bryggen is an historic harbour district. Fillings and the traffic flow in front of Bryggen, developed gradually over the last 100 yrs, can be experienced as a barrier between the site and today's harbour and lessen the intuitive understanding. | Traffic has been reduced, and moved further away from the site since inscription. Measures are taken to control the traffic flow. The planned lightrail, if implemented, will reduce traffic dramatically, but may itself constitute a barrier. | Traffic is monitored. | The new lightrail system is an on-going planning process, with all concerned parties involved. | The City of Bergen, The County Council of Hordaland, The Directorate for Cultural Heritage | There has been concern about the impact on the WH-site, and further investigations, illustrations and alternatives are in progress to give a best possible basis for decisionmaking. |
| 3.3 | Services Infrastructures | | | | | | |
| 3.3.1 | Water infrastructure | Internal and external water systems are being checked out and adjusted to secure the optimum water system to conserve the cultural deposits and standing buildings. | adjusted to secure the optimum water system to conserve the cultural deposits and standing buildings. | Permanent | Continuously | Bergen municipality and Directorate for Cultural Heritage | None |
| 3.7 | Local conditions affecting physical fabric | | | | | | |
| 3.7.6 | Water (rain/water table) | There has been an alarming decrease in the water table beneath Bryggen causing damage to the cultural deposits and settlements in deposits and buildings. | . Extensive monitoring and international research is being done, and measures have been made to reestablish the optimum level. So far the results are positive. | Extensive automatic and manual logging and monitoring. | Ongoing process for 10 yrs, measures seem successful, but need to be further developed. | The Directorate for Cultural Heritage | The results from Bryggen are extremely useful also to other heritage sites and areas facing the same problems. |
| 3.7.8 | Micro-organisms | micro-organisms reduce the quality of the wooden building materials | Monitoring, measures to control humidity and temperature. There is no use of pesticides at Bryggen, but this is under constant reevaluation. | Extensive monitoring. | on-going | Directorate for Cultural Heritage, County Council of Hordaland, owners. | None |

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

| 4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | Actions | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment | | |
| 4.1.1 | There is a need for a buffer zone | The "bufferzone" implemented as a landuse-plan is efficient as a buffer, but is not a formal bufferzone accepted by WHC. Actions to formalize this might be relevant. | | Directorate for Cultural Heritage, local and regional agencies | There are no immediate plans to take action on this. | | |
| 4.1.2 | Boundaries could be improved | Evaluate the boundaries for the WH-site. | No immediate plan, but the local authorities have a wish to look closer into the matter and have an extension of the boundaries evaluated. | Local authorities (+ Agency for Cultural Heritage) and the Directorate for Cultural Heritage. Others concerned. | There is a local political mandate to go into this process. | | |
| 4.4 Financial and Human Resources | | | | | | | |
| 4.4.6 | Inadequate equipment and facilities | There is an objective by the State party to establish World Heritage Centres on all the Norwegian sites. | 2014 onwards | Ministry of Environment /Directorate for Cultural Heritage | A World Heritage Centre for Bryggen would facilitate interpretation, cooperation, information flow and presentation of the site and serve as a centre forwarding the WH interests of Bryggen and Bergen. | | |
| 4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--------------|--|---|
| 4.6.3 | There is a limited education and awareness programme | Increase and development of the existing programmes. | 2014 onwards | The relevant museums (The Bergen City Museum and the Hanseatic Museum), school system and other educational institutions. In addition the Bryggen Project is highly relevant here. | Additional funding is probably a necessity to develop more extensive programmes |
| 4.7 Visitor Management | | | | | |
| 4.7.4 | Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved | Maybe improved coordination, and more information to ad hoc visitors and the community as such. | 2014 onwards | museums | None |
| 4.8 Monitoring | | | | | |
| 4.8.2 | Key indicators have not been defined | The inscription of Bryggen is from 1979 and key indicators have not formally been defined. | 2014 onwards | Directorate of Cultural Heritage | None |

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

There are challenges in finding adequate uses of the buildings that give sufficient use and maintenance and do not reduce the authenticity or overall experience of the place.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Conservation | Very positive |
| Research and monitoring | Very positive |
| Management effectiveness | Very positive |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | Positive |
| Recognition | Very positive |
| Education | Positive |
| Infrastructure development | Positive |
| Funding for the property | Very positive |
| International cooperation | Very positive |
| Political support for conservation | Very positive |
| Legal / Policy framework | Positive |
| Lobbying | Positive |
| Institutional coordination | Positive |
| Security | Positive |
| Other (please specify) | Not applicable |

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

None

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

None

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| UNESCO | Very good |
| State Party Representative | Very good |
| Advisory Body | 3 - array 1 4 2 4 array 1 Rating on a 5 point scale 2 Very poor 3 Poor 4 Fair 5 Good 6 Very good |

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

| |
|---|
| The World Heritage Convention |
| The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity |
| Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value |
| Monitoring and reporting |

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| UNESCO | Not Applicable |
| State Party | Not Applicable |
| Site Managers | Not Applicable |
| Advisory Bodies | Satisfactory |

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**
Reason for update: Bryggen was inscribed in 1979. Criteria iii) has changed since then. Bryggen was inscribed according to criteria iii in 1977.
- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: A retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted in 2013 and approved by WHC.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations
related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting
exercise**

None