

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

## Section II-Historic Centre of Guimarães

### 1. World Heritage Property Data

#### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Centre of Guimarães

#### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

##### State(s) Party(ies)

- Portugal

##### Type of Property

cultural

##### Identification Number

1031

##### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2001


#### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of Guimarães	41.441 / -8.295	16	45	61	2001
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>16</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>61</b>	

##### Comment

Checked against the new georeferenced cartography, the figures are higher than those mentioned. Later this year we will submit to the World Heritage Centre a proposal for amendment.

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Plan de Guimaraes Patrimoine Mondial	17/12/2001	

#### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Luís Pinho Lopes  
Direção-Geral do Património Cultural  
Architecte

##### Comment

Please add: Directorate General for Cultural Heritage Nuno Vassallo e Silva, PhD Director General Direção-Geral do Património Cultural Palácio Nacional da Ajuda 1349-021 Lisboa Portugal Telephone: +351213614221 Fax: +351213637047 Email: nvsilva@dgpc.pt Please rectify: Directorate General for Cultural Heritage Luiz de Pinho Lopes Architect Direção-Geral do Património Cultural Palácio Nacional da Ajuda (...)

#### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- António Magalhães  
Guimarães City Council (Câmara Municipal de Guimarães  
President

##### Comment

Rectify Guimarães City Council Margarida Morais Architect Câmara Municipal de Guimarães Largo Cónego José Maria Gomes 4800-419 GUIMARÃES PORTUGAL Telephone: +351 253 421 200 Fax. +351 253 515 134 Email: margarida.morais@cm-guimaraes.pt Guimarães City Council José Josias Carvalho Civil Engineer Câmara Municipal de

Guimarães Largo Cónego José Maria Gomes 4800-419  
GUIMARÃES PORTUGAL Telephone: +351 253 421 200 Fax:  
+351 253 421 134 Email: jose.carvalho@cm-guimaraes.pt

#### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

##### Comment

Please add: a) Directorate General for Cultural Heritage - www.patrimoniocultural.pt b) Guimarães City Council - www.cm-guimaraes.pt c) Information System for Cultural Heritage - www.monumentos.pt d) Nacional Commission for UNESCO - www.unescoportugal.mne.pt/

#### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

##### Comment

European Cultural Convention (1976); Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe (1991); Council of Europe Framework Convention on the Value of Cultural Heritage for Society (2009)

### 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

##### Comment

Under appreciation

#### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)(iv)

##### Comment

Under appreciation

#### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Historic Centre of Guimarães (C ii, iii, iv) The historic town of Guimarães is associated with the creation of the Portuguese national identity in the twelfth century. It is an exceptionally well-preserved and authentic example of the evolution of a medieval settlement into a modern township. The town's rich building typology represents the specifically Portuguese development from the fifteenth to the nineteenth centuries, consistently using traditional building materials and techniques.

#### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

#### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

It was submitted to the World Heritage Centre, awaiting the opinion of the advisory body.











### 3. Factors Affecting the Property

#### 3.14. Other factor(s)

##### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
3.13	Management and institutional factors		
3.13.3	Management activities		  
<b>Legend</b>	 Current	 Potential	 Negative
		 Positive	 Inside
			 Outside

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The public areas of the historic centre are the property of the municipality of Guimarães. Apart from some state-owned properties, most of the building stock is privately owned. The historic centre is subject to legal prescriptions regarding the protection of historic buildings (L. 13/85; L. 120/97; D.L. 3/98), and related to town planning (D.L. 38/382 of 1951; L. 445/91; D.L. 250/94). The urban master plan dates from 1994 and includes norms for the protection of the historic centre. In the historic centre there are 14 historic buildings legally protected as national monuments (8) or as historic buildings of public interest (6), according to the Portuguese Law on the Protection of Historic Monuments. Where no protected zone has been established, the protection also includes prescriptions regarding the setting of the protected buildings, up to 50m from the exterior of the construction.

### Comment

Lei 107/2001 - Law of cultural heritage PDM - Municipal Master Plan RMEU - Municipal Regulation of Building and Urbanization RICUH - Council Regulation for intervention in the Historic Centre of Guimarães Municipal Regulation of public space occupancy and advertising

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

The problems of implementing the framework for maintaining the exceptional universal value are due to delays in the preparation of a detail safeguarding plan.

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

The management of the historic centre is the responsibility of the Local Technical Office for the Historic Centre of the Municipality (GTL), established in 1985. Any interventions related to listed buildings is under the control of the Portuguese Institute of Architectural Heritage (IPPAR). The area is in good condition, also as a result of the systematic maintenance programme, sponsored by European funding. Most of the building stock has already been subject to this maintenance programme. It is noted furthermore that Guimarães has continued to make use of traditional knowhow and skills up to the present day. As a consequence maintenance work and repairs have been executed using traditional methods, thus guaranteeing a harmony with and respect for the existing historic fabric.

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

The historic town has a considerable number of visitors, out of whom about 75% are foreigners, mainly from Europe. It is well equipped to manage such tourism flows.

### Comment

Management of the historic centre is the responsibility of the Municipal Office for the Historic Centre, established in 1985, currently the Division of the Historical Center. Any intervention in the designated area is under the control of the Directorate General for Cultural Heritage, whereas if it is located in the buffer zone it falls under the responsibility of the North Region Directorate for Culture.

### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

#### 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

#### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

#### 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Poor

#### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

#### 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

## Section II-Historic Centre of Guimarães

### 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

### 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Currently, management of all actions undertaken in the designated area is ensured by the Division of the Historical Center of the Municipality. It includes an operational unit with diverse tasks, including the licensing of private construction work, the implementation of municipal projects (buildings and public spaces) as well as the technical support given to individuals.

### 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

## 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	6%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	45%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	49%

### 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

#### Comment

No International Assistance has been received.

### 4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

### 4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

### 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

### 4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

### 4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

### 4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

### 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

### 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

### 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

### 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

### 4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low

## Section II-Historic Centre of Guimarães

Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

### 4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

### 4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

## 4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

### 4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

### 4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

### 4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

### 4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

CRUZ, José-Guimarães/Património Cultural da Humanidade, 2002 PASSOS, Guimarães-Plano Piloto de Luta Contra Incêndios e Segurança, CMG 2005 Guia Turístico Científico de Guimarães, CMG/U.Minho, 2009, TELES, Paula e SILVA, Pedro Ribeiro da -Guimarães Acessível, Plano Municipal de Promoção de acessibilidade, 2011 TURISMO DE PORTUGAL-Roteiros Turísticos do Património Mundial no norte de Portugal, 2012

### 4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

## 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

### 4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is **no need** for an education and awareness programme

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Not provided but needed
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments

Visitor surveys
-----------------

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely **confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

**No fees are collected**

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Non-existent
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is planned, but has **not yet begun**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

No factor is both current and negative.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.3.6	Few of the work plan activities implemented	Implementation of municipal projects: public spaces and municipal buildings Technical support to the private sector in project implementation and / or monitoring of works	Annual	Division of the Historic Centre of Guimarães City Hall	All actions are defined in the budget and plan of the City Hall.
4.3.8	Local communities have no input into management decisions	Public presentation and discussion of some projects / municipal plans	Annual	Division of the Historic Centre of Guimarães City Hall	Public presentation and discussion of some projects is promoted by the City Hall.
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	There is no contact with local industries as these are few and their participation is insignificant.	annual	Division of the Historic Centre of Guimarães City Hall	Public presentation and discussion of some projects is promoted by the City Hall.
4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
4.4.13	Promotion	Promotion and dissemination of information about the site's inscription on the World Heritage List.	annual	"Turismo do Porto e Norte de Portugal" and "Turismo de Portugal, I.P."	no coment
4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects					
4.5.2	Research in the property is not planned	Studies / Research conducted by private and academic institutions	Undefined	Students, Researchers and Universities	Existing scientific studies are conducted by interested parties and by Universities and other national agencies (LNEC).
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.4	Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved	Data on visitor's nationality is collected with all visitors to the tourist office. Studies on tourist profile, motivations and social and demographic data are analyzed. A study on the resident's perception on the benefits of tourism was made.	Data on nationality of visitors to the tourist office is done every day since January 1994. Studies on tourist profile were made in 199, 2002, 2007, 2011, 2012. Study on the resident's perception on the benefits of tourism has made in 2010.	The lead agency in all studies was the Guimarães Tourist Office. The study on the residents perception on the benefits of tourism was made with the participation of the Minho University.	We also are partners in academic studies.



**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

The condition of the existing buildings in the area designated as World Cultural Heritage can be considered very good. Of the total buildings (493), 92.3% are in good and reasonable condition. The rest are in poor condition 4.9%, in ruins 0.6%, and buildings with work in progress 2.2%. Buildings in good condition 147, Average - 298; Bad - 24; Ruin - 3; Work in progress -11

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
---

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

**Most** of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

• **The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

Reason for update: Under appreciation

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: Under appreciation

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Checked against the new georeferenced cartography, the figures are higher than those mentioned. Later this year we will submit to the World Heritage Centre a proposal for amendment.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**