

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Ancient City of Tauric Chersonese and its Chora

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Ukraine

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1411

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2013


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Ancient city of Tauric Chersonese	44.611 / 33.491	42.811	207.22	250.031	2013
Chora plot in the Yukharina Gully	44.55 / 33.47	150.623	1235	1385.623	2013
Chora plot in Berman's Gully	44.524 / 33.501	19.557	291.092	310.649	2013
Chora plot on the Bezymyannaya Height	44.526 / 33.547	17.294	1116	1133.294	2013
Chora plot in the Streletskaya Gully	44.571 / 33.478	15.266	?	15.266	2013
Chora plot on the isthmus of the Mayachny Peninsula – part I	44.564 / 33.411	5.051	191.776	196.827	2013
Chora plot on the isthmus of the Mayachny Peninsula – part II	44.562 / 33.41	8.541	?	8.541	2013
Chora plot on the isthmus of the Mayachny Peninsula	44.561 / 33.408	0.231	?	0.231	2013
Total (ha)		259.374	3041.088	3300.462	

Comment

Coordinates (longitude / latitude) do not coincide with the Nomination Dossier. The total area is preserved. The Buffer zone of Chora plot in the Streletskaya Gully - united with the buffer zone of Chora plot in the Yukharina Gully. The Buffer zone of Chora plot on the isthmus of the Mayachny Peninsula - total 191.776

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Ancient City of Tauric Chersonese and its Chora - map of inscribed property	26/06/2013	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Ministry of Culture of Ukraine

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Leonid Zhunko
National Preserve of Tauric Chersonese
General Director

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

Comment

www.chersonesos.org

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Paragraph 62 of the 1972 UNESCO World Heritage Convention (Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage); 1992 European Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage (revised).

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Tauric Chersonese and its chora are the remains of an ancient city, founded in the 5th century BCE as a colonial settlement of the Dorian Greeks, located on the Heracleian Peninsula in south-west Crimea. The polis and extended chora of Tauric Chersonese form an outstanding example of an ancient cultural landscape, consisting of a Greek polis and its agricultural hinterland established as part of colonist activities in the 4th and 3rd century BCE. The significant archaeological ruins of the city retain physical remains constructed between the 5th century BCE and the 13th century AD laid out on an orthogonal grid system. The basic orientation of this orthogonal grid continues into the wider landscape where fragments of a vast land demarcation system of 400 equal allotments in an area of 10,000 hectares have been preserved.

The Ancient City of Tauric Chersonese and its chora is an exceptional example of a peripheral centre of movement of people which acted as an important gateway to the north-eastern parts of the Greek trade influence, including the Crimea and the Scythian state. The city maintained its strategic role over almost two millennia and provides a unique example for the continuity and longevity of a mercantile outpost connecting the different Black Sea trade routes.

Criterion (ii): Tauric Chersonese provides an outstanding physical testimony to the exchange that took place between the Greek, Roman and Byzantine Empires and the populations north of the Black Sea. The polis and its chora stand out for having retained this role as a centre of exchange of influences and cross-fertilization between these cultures for a very long time and with continuity over millennia.

Criterion (v): Tauric Chersonese and its Chora represents a relict agricultural landscape of a vast and, at locations, well-preserved land allotment system, of formerly over 400 equal

allotments connected to a preserved polis. The remains of the division walls, fortifications, farmsteads and the characteristic grid layout embodied the lifestyles of the city's inhabitants and illustrate the agricultural use and continuity of the landscape despite later changes in production.

Integrity

The six property components include the complete ancient polis of Tauric Chersonese as well as fragments of its chora. About half of the chora has been lost due to urban development and yet, only small parts of what remains have been inscribed. This selection provides a sufficient fragment of the chora landscape, but a future expansion of the property to include further chora segments would be desirable and would further strengthen the integrity of the property.

The impact of urban development on the chora setting is significant and the integrity of the wider landscape is fragile and requires decisive and consistent protection and planning mechanisms to prevent further negative impacts by insensitive urban or infrastructure developments. Likewise, the city of Tauric Chersonese has experienced significant developments of intrusive character, some of which have been committed to be relocated.

Authenticity

The condition of authenticity in material, design and substance is good for the archaeological remains of the polis and the chora. About 10 of the 40 hectares of the site of Tauric Chersonese have been excavated leading to a good understanding of the history and development of the town. Less excavations have taken place in the chora but its structure and layout is nevertheless well understood. No major restoration or conservation projects were carried out with the exception of a few cases of anastylosis. This has retained high degrees of authenticity in material and substance. Authenticity in form and design is well retained in its relations to the urban layout and chora plot division.

The authenticity in setting and location is partly affected, predominantly by the 20th century constructions which destroyed parts of the ancient city but also by urban encroachments and infrastructure projects close to the chora sites. Their impact could be reduced to the extent possible by removing the yacht club and associated structures from its present location and better integrating the cathedral within the archaeological site.

Management and protection requirements

The property enjoys the highest level of national protection according to the Law of Ukraine on Cultural Heritage Protection (No. 2518-VI of 9 September 2010). This status prohibits any activities within the boundaries that may have any negative impact on the state of preservation, or use of any cultural heritage sites and designated monuments. A recently launched project entitled "Boundaries and land use regimes for the protected areas of the monuments of the Tauric Chersonese National Preserve located on the territory of the Heracleian Peninsula in the City of Sevastopol" aims at integrating a more sophisticated zoning and protection concept in the Master Development Plan, which would strengthen the protection status of the extended chora landscape. The official adoption of the draft plan should be given priority.

The authority responsible for the property is the Tauric Chersonese National Preserve which was mandated as the management agency by the Ministry of Culture. Key protection challenges of the property are erosion, in particular shore erosion, the establishment of adequate security measures on all site components and urban development. Urban development has in the past been and will continue to be a key risk as the city of Sevastopol is located at very close distance to the archaeological sites and continues to grow. Inappropriate urban expansions will negatively impact the

already fragile integrity of the archaeological landscape. Important works are underway to integrate the archaeological landscape into the wider land-use and protection system. These have to be finalized to cover a wider area beyond the presently designated protected areas and landscape protection zones. Future inclusion of these features through boundary extensions of the property would ensure that the relict landscape of the Chersonese chora could be protected in its larger context.

A revised management plan which is to be finalized in mid 2013 should be officially adopted and management priority should be given to conservation needs. In view of the critical state of conservation of the ruins in the city of Tauric Chersonese, some of which are highly dilapidated or even close to collapse, budgetary resources need to be increased to respond to the urgent conservation and security challenges. Clear budgetary priority needs to be given to conservation and visitor security rather than interpretation and other tourism projects.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(v)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (ii): The urban planning, defensive walls, temples, houses and sheds, ancient chemistry. Criterion (v): The chora-plots, vineyard planting walls, division wall, farm-steads with towers, houses and sheds, roads.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

No

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

No

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

No

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.2	Commercial development					
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure					
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure					
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure					
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.5	Major linear utilities					
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind					
3.7.2	Relative humidity					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities					
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage					
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms					
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake					
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.3	Management activities					
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.5	Major linear utilities					
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	static
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

No

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

No

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The protected properties in the city of Tauric Chersonese and in its chora enjoy the highest degree of legal protection as protected areas, with their lands classified as historical and cultural lands.

The status of historical and cultural preserves and protected areas is regulated by Articles 33, 33-1, 33-2, 33-3 of the Law of Ukraine on Cultural Heritage Protection (version No. 2518-VI of 9 September 2010) stating that "any activities are prohibited within the boundaries of historical and cultural preserves and/or protected historical and cultural areas that have or may have any negative impact on the state of preservation, protection or use of any cultural heritage sites or monuments" (Art. 33, Cl. 6 of the Law of Ukraine on Cultural

Heritage Protection). The observance of the status of historical and cultural preserves and protected areas is entrusted under Article 33-2, Clause 3 of the Law of Ukraine on Cultural Heritage Protection to the managers of such historical and cultural preserves and protected areas.

The protected areas of Tauric Chersonese and its chora have been transferred to the administration of Tauric Chersonese National Preserve for use in perpetuity on the basis of the following legislative acts:

- component part No. 001 – the ancient city of Tauric Chersonese – Regulation No. 55-p of the Sevastopol City State Administration of 13 January 1999;
- component part No.002 – the chora site in the Yukharina Gully – Resolutions No. 475 and No. 10804 of the Sevastopol City Council of 5 July 2006 and 13 July 2010, respectively;
- component part No.003 – the chora site in Berman's Gully – Resolution No. 4126 of the Sevastopol City Council of 8 April 2008;
- component part No.004 – the chora site on the Bezymyannaya Height – Regulation No. 55-p of the Sevastopol City State Administration of 13 January 1999;
- component part No.005 – the chora site in the Streletskaya Gully – Resolution No. 4127 of the Sevastopol City Council of 8 April 2008;
- component part No.006 – the chora site on the isthmus of the Mayachny Peninsula – Resolution No. 7982 of the Sevastopol City Council of 8 September 2009; and
- component part No.007 – the chora site on Cape Vinogradny – Resolution No. 4802 of the Sevastopol City Council of 8 July 2008.

Apart from that, Order No. 220/0/16-11 of the Ministry of Culture and Tourism of Ukraine of 6 April 2011 establishes the following land use regimes for the monuments (protected areas) of Tauric Chersonese National Preserve:

"The regime that the property is subject to prohibits any construction activities that are not related to conservation or exploitation of its heritage.

Allowed on the said sites are archaeological and other monument protection and preservation related research, restoration, conservation, monument interpretation, property improvement and other engineering activities aimed to preserve the heritage.

Permissible (on the basis of individually approved projects) are reconstruction of any existing structures that are considered as background as well as construction of individual structures designed to accommodate displayed archaeological items or house the Preserve services (though those cannot be higher than 8 m).

Any land and/or construction activities in the said areas can only be allowed after thorough archaeological exploration of the designated sites". Additionally, the Order establishes the following special regime for the city of Chersonese (component part No. 001): "The isolated structures of the yacht club on the shore of Quarantinnaya Bay, the private housing within the boundaries of the 12th Coastal Battery, the isolated archaeological expedition structures (outside the Archaeological Base of the Preserve) and the former monastery inn building should be considered as dissonant and are therefore to be demolished in the future."

An additional means of protection of the property 'Ancient city of Tauric Chersonese and its chora' are the buffer (protection) zones around the protected areas as such, with their specific land use regimes. Specifically, Order No. 220/0/16-11 of the Ministry of Culture of Ukraine of 6 April 2011 prescribes for the buffer (protection) zone of the sites administered by Tauric Chersonese National Preserve a land use regime with strict restrictions on any construction activities apart from those related to exploration, preservation and exploitation of cultural heritage or development of the tourist infrastructure:

“Allowed in the said zones are archaeological and other monument protection and preservation related research, restoration, conservation, monument interpretation, property improvement, shore protection and other engineering activities aimed to preserve the heritage and arrange access ways to the heritage.

Permissible (on the basis of individually approved projects) are reconstruction of any existing residential buildings that are considered as background as well as construction of any new structures designed to accommodate and display museum collections of the Preserve, organize pilgrim or monastery centres, expand the archaeological base of the Preserve and/or create visitor service facilities for tourists and pilgrims alike.

Any land and/or construction activities in any such zones can only be allowed after thorough archaeological exploration of the designated sites, with compulsory interpretation of any newly discovered valuable archaeological monuments and items.

The Black Sea bottom area falling within the boundaries of the monument protection zone of the Preserve shall be kept intact as containing old shipwrecks (on the shelf) and submerged ancient buildings (in the coastal area). Construction of any above-water structures (except for engineering structures) and/or landing stages in the said area is prohibited. Any land works at the bottom of the sea can only be allowed under supervision of professional archaeologists and in places containing any cultural heritage items – only after thorough archaeological explorations.”

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

No


4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

All the protected areas of the ancient city of Tauric Chersonese and its chora (component parts No. 001-007) are owned by the state.

The authority responsible for management of the property is the Minister of Culture of Ukraine, with Tauric Chersonese National Preserve as an institution authorized by the Ministry to manage its sites and monuments on a day-to-day basis.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan_Ancient City of Tauric Chersonese and its Chora (1411)	N/A	Available	30/01/2012	

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

No

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	10%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	87%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	3%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

The Preserve hasn't received any International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

No

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	90%
Seasonal	10%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Low

Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

N.Alekseenko, N.Ginkut, L.Sedikova,T.Yashaeva. Treasures of Tauric Chersonesos. Kiev-Mistetzvo.2013.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

No

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Not displayed at all

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not provided but needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

No

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Plan of areal organization of the National Preserve of Tauric Chersonese: functional territorial zoning of the National important Complex archaeological monument "Ancient city of Tauric Chersonese" scheme, driveways and excursion directions scheme. Kiev 2010: 10-13.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

No

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Not applicable

Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Average

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

No

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

No

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.10		Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	The northern coastline of Chersonese city is being eroded by waves: over the past hundred years shore erosion has already partly destroyed valuable remains of several constructions, including Uvarov's Basilica, the Sixpillar Church etc.	There are developed projects of bank protection on site 1.	According to researchers, over the past thousand years more than 25 m of the coastal strip have been washed away or submerged by the sea.	The project is being worked out.	The project is being worked out.	The project is being worked out.
3.11		Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	An extremely damaging factor in these areas are the waves, which are rapidly eroding the coastline.	There are developed projects of bank protection on site 1.	According to researchers, over the past thousand years more than 25 m of the coastal strip have been washed away or submerged by the sea.	The project is being worked out.	The project is being worked out.	No

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	No	No	No	No		
4.4 Financial and Human Resources							
4.4.3	The budget is inadequate for management needs	Making the projects for financing through government programs.	In progress	National Preserve of Tauric Chersonese	No		
4.4.6	Inadequate equipment and facilities	Making the grant applications for the specialial equipments.	In progress	No	No		
4.4.7	Ad hoc maintenance of equipment	No	No	No	No		
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building							
4.6.1	World Heritage emblem not displayed	Making logo of the site including World Heritage emblem	In processing	LLC."Citadel-Tour". (Sevastopol)	No		

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

No

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Not applicable
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Not applicable
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Not applicable
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

No

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
--

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

No

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Coordinates (longitude / latitude) do not coincide with the Nomination Dossier. The total area is preserved. The Buffer zone of Chora plot in the Streletskaya Gully - united with the buffer zone of Chora plot in the Yukharina Gully. The Buffer zone of Chora plot on the isthmus of the Mayachny Peninsula - total 191.776

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

No