

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Xanthos-Letoon

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Turkey

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

484



Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1988

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Xanthos, Village of Kinik , Province of Antalya , Turkey	36.356 / 29.319	112	34.4	146.4	1988
Xanthos bis [outlier] , Province of Muğla , Turkey	0 / 0	0.4	?	0.4	1988
Letoon, Village of Kumluova , Province of Muğla , Turkey	36.327 / 29.298	14	29	43	1988
Total (ha)		126.4	63.4	189.8	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Antalya_Xanthos	27/01/2009	
Muğla – Letoon	27/01/2009	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Ministry of Culture and Tourism Directorate General for Cultural Heritage and Museums II.TBMM Uluş 06543 Ankara/TURKEY website: www.kulturturizm.gov.tr

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Şehnaz Eraslan
Ministry of Culture and Tourism / General Directorate of Cultural Heritage and Museums
Archaeologist

Comment

Telephone: +90.312.508 61 31 Fax: +90.312.508 61 15

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Xanthos-Letoon](http://www.kvmgm.gov.tr/TR,44429/xanthos-letoon-antalya---mugla.html)
2. [Turkey on the World Heritage List](http://www.csb.gov.tr/projeler/ockb/index.php?Sayfa=sayfa&Tur=webmenu&Id=7792)
3. xanthos-letoon.org

Comment

<http://www.kvmgm.gov.tr/TR,44429/xanthos-letoon-antalya---mugla.html>
<http://www.csb.gov.tr/projeler/ockb/index.php?Sayfa=sayfa&Tur=webmenu&Id=7792>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development		
3.1.1	Housing		
3.1.2	Commercial development		
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities		
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure		
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure		
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure		
3.3	Services Infrastructures		
3.3.4	Localised utilities		
3.3.5	Major linear utilities		
3.4	Pollution		
3.4.2	Ground water pollution		
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric		
3.7.5	Dust		
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)		
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage		
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses		
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage		
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation		
3.9	Other human activities		
3.9.1	Illegal activities		
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events		
3.10.1	Storms		
3.10.2	Flooding		
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts		
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events		
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)		
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species		
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species		
3.13	Management and institutional factors		
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities		
3.13.3	Management activities		
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative
		Positive	Inside
			Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.1.2	Commercial development	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	restricted	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	on-going	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	restricted	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	restricted	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.5	Dust	widespread	on-going	minor	low capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	low capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners**.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

As a "First Degree Archaeological Site", the site is subject to the Conservation Legislation.

The existing protection arrangements are considered sufficiently effective.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, November 28, 2005

• Question 6.02

As being declared as a "First Degree Archaeological Site", the site is subjected to Conservation Legislation.

Comment

The property falls within the framework of Turkey's Protection of Cultural and Natural Properties Act No. 2863, and also Statutory Decrees No 644 and 648. Both Xanthos and Letoon are registered as the "First Degree Archaeological Site" to be preserved and they are both left within "Patara Special Environmental Conservation Zone" boundaries.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Landscaping Projects for Xanthos and Letoon are produced and tendering process for their implementations are underway.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

There is no steering group or full-time site manager/coordinator, although one would be needed. The current management system is considered sufficiently effective.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, November 28, 2005

• Question 5.04 Plans in place to set up a "steering group:

According to new Conservation Legislation put into force in 2004, this issue will be considered.

• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Management under contractual agreement between the State Party and a third party

Comment

Overall management system of the site -Management by the State Party -Management under protective legislation -There is no steering group or full-time site manager/coordinator. Management responsibility of the site is shared among Ministry of Culture and Tourism and Ministry of Environment and Urbanisation.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

No management system / plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

No management system is currently in place

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of

the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	95%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	5%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	50%
Seasonal	50%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Non-existent
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Non-existent
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Low
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Low
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Not available
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but **not easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Poor
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Non-existent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Not provided but needed
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed** despite an identified need

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **little or no contact** between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing (ii) (iii)	Expropriation of private ownership within interaction zone of the world heritage site.	Ministry of Culture and Tourism	Long term	Ministry of Culture and Tourism	Expropriation process is in progress within surrounding area. In the expropriated fields within the world heritage site, development is totally prevented.
3.1.2	Commercial development (ii) (iii)	Taking necessary precautions against negative effects of seasonal agricultural activities outside of the site.	Monitoring will be systemized in the context of monitoring mechanism which will be formulized during management planning process.	Long term	Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums) Ministry of Environment and Urbanisation (Gen. Direc of Conservation of Natural Heritage)	There are seasonal agricultural activities outside of the site but these activities are stagnant because of the limited range of dispension. This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure (ii) (iii)	Taking necessary precautions against negative effects of ground transport infrastructure.	Monitoring will be systemized in the context of monitoring mechanism which will be formulized during management planning process.	Long term	Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums) Ministry of Environment and Urbanisation (Gen. Direc of Conservation of Natural Heritage)	This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities (ii) (iii)	Taking necessary precautions against negative effects of localised utilities	Monitoring will be systemized in the context of monitoring mechanism which will be formulized during management planning process.	Long term	Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums) Ministry of Environment and Urbanisation (Gen. Direc of Conservation of Natural Heritage)	This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.
3.3.5	Major linear utilities (ii) (iii)	Taking necessary precautions against negative effects of localised utilities	Monitoring will be systemized in the context of monitoring mechanism which will be formulized during management planning process.	Long term	Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums) Ministry of Environment and Urbanisation (Gen. Direc of Conservation of Natural Heritage)	This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.5	Dust (ii) (iii)	Regular depullution is in progres against dust in the depot.	-	Medium term	Ministry of Culture and Tourism	Ancillary road causing the dust is going to be meliorated and its impact will be reduced after by the works finished according to the landscaping plan.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment

4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners	Determination and approval of the buffer zone	Long term	Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums) Ministry of Environment and Urbanisation (Gen. Direc of Conservation of Natural Heritage)	Buffer zone boundaries will be defined in coordination during the preparation of management plan.
4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
4.3.4	No management system / plan is currently in place	Preparation, approval and implementation of management plan	Long term	Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums) Ministry of Environment and Urbanisation (Gen. Direc of Conservation of Natural Heritage)	-
4.3.5	No management system in place	Structuring a well-functioning management system	Long term	Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums) Ministry of Environment and Urbanisation (Gen. Direc of Conservation of Natural Heritage)	This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	-	-	-	There is no industry at all in the region of the World heritage zone. There is only commercial growing hothouse vegetables.
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.4	Visitor use of the property is not being actively managed	Preparation, approval and implementation of management plan	Long term	Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums) Ministry of Environment and Urbanisation (Gen. Direc of Conservation of Natural Heritage)	This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	Defining key indicators for monitoring the site's conservation status regularly according to universal scientific standards	Long term	Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums) Ministry of Environment and Urbanisation (Gen. Direc of Conservation of Natural Heritage)	This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Positive

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Governmental Organization
Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise