

General Conference Twenty-ninth Session, Paris 1997

29 C/8 20 October 1997 Original: English

Item 3.3 of the provisional agenda

AMENDMENTS PROPOSED BY MEMBER STATES TO THE DRAFT PROGRAMME AND BUDGET FOR 1998-1999 (29 C/5)

Note by the Secretariat

OUTLINE

The present document provides:

- I. A summary of the new procedure for the submission by Member States of draft amendments to the Draft Programme and Budget for 1998-1999 and their processing by the Secretariat; and
- II. Data concerning draft amendments received by the Secretariat by the deadline of 15 September 1997.

It is completed by an annex listing, by Commission, all draft amendments that were deemed admissible.

The Director-General's observations on these draft amendments are transmitted to the General Conference in documents 29 C/8 COM.I, 29 C/8 COM.II, 29 C/8 COM.III, 29 C/8 COM.IV, 29 C/8 COM.V and COM/ADM, as appropriate.

BACKGROUND TO THE NEW PROCEDURE FOR THE SUBMISSION BY MEMBER STATES OF DRAFT AMENDMENTS AND FOR THEIR PROCESSING BY THE SECRETARIAT

1. At the twenty-eighth session of the General Conference (October-November 1995), Member States submitted a very high number of draft resolutions proposing the adoption of amendments to the Draft Programme and Budget for 1996-1997 (28 C/5), which brought about certain difficulties in the functioning of the General Conference. This situation led the General Conference to invite the Director-General to submit to the Executive Board a study on the possible ways of limiting the number of draft resolutions and amendments to be submitted by a single Member State (28 C/Resolution 37.1, Part II). At the same time, the General Conference decided to establish an ad hoc working group to examine its structure and function and to submit to it recommendations with a view to restoring its original function as a full-fledged policy-making body (28 C/Resolution 37.2).

2. In agreement with the President of the General Conference and the Chairperson of the Executive Board, the Director-General submitted a draft of the above-mentioned study to the ad hoc working group. While underscoring the difficulties arising from a high number of draft resolutions, the working group took the view that it was not desirable to adopt rules to limit the freedom of Member States to propose amendments to the Draft Programme and Budget submitted to them for approval. It considered that it was preferable, in particular, to appear to their sense of responsibility and expressed the wish that the Director-General would consequently invite them to exercise moderation. These conclusions were endorsed by the Executive Board at its 151st session (May-June 1997) when it examined the various questions on its agenda relating to the General Conference.

3. The General Conference also decided at its twenty-eighth session to modify Rule 78A, paragraph 3, of its Rules of Procedure so that from now on, 'To be considered admissible, draft resolutions proposing the adoption by the General Conference of amendments to the draft programme must be concerned with the overall direction and general strategy of the Organization ...'. In view of the scope of this new condition, the Executive Board, like the ad hoc working group, has taken the view that the screening of admissibility should take place under the responsibility of Member States themselves. The Board also approved the proposal that inadmissible draft resolutions should no longer be translated, reproduced and distributed as they were in the past (151 EX/Decision 7.2).

4. It was on this basis that the procedures for the submission and processing of draft resolutions relating to the Draft Programme and Budget for 1998-1999 were established as follows:

- (a) **Form** to simplify and, if possible, shorten draft resolutions, Member States were invited to use a specific form (Annex 2 of Circular Letter No. 3456 which invited the submission of draft amendments). It was stressed that this form had been designed to help the authors of draft resolutions rather than placing restrictions on the formulation of their proposals, and that its use was not compulsory;
- (b) **Deadlines** draft resolutions involving 'substantial programme or budget modifications, entailing the addition, reduction or deletion of activities' (Rule 78A, paragraph 1, of the Rules of Procedure) were processed as admissible up to

15 September 1997, and not up to 5 August only (that is to say 11 weeks before the opening of the session), provided that they appeared to meet the conditions of admissibility set out below;

- (c) **Admissibility criteria** the following criteria were used to determine the admissibility of a draft resolution, whether it referred to the Draft Programme and Budget or to a proposed resolution:
 - (i) The draft resolution should seek to shift the emphasis of a main line of action proposed in document 29 C/5, or to add or delete a main line of action.
 - (ii) The draft resolution should be international, regional or subregional in scope.
 - (iii) If the draft resolution has budgetary implications, these should be in an amount equal to or greater than \$40,000 (*Note*: this does not apply to draft resolutions without budgetary implications, which may be deemed admissible if they meet the other criteria).
 - (iv) The draft resolution should concern activities which could not be funded under the Participation Programme.
 - (v) Where appropriate, the draft resolution must reach the Secretariat within the prescribed time limits.

5. With regard to the **processing of draft resolutions by the Secretariat**, it was foreseen that: the sponsors of draft resolutions that were deemed **admissible** would immediately receive from the Secretariat an acknowledgement of receipt: the DRs would be translated into the six working languages of the General Conference and distributed at the earliest possible opportunity to Member States but, in order to speed up procedure, without the customary 'Note by the Director-General'. It was also envisaged to circulate to each Commission, during the first two weeks of September, a document containing the Director-General's general observations on the draft resolutions and more specific comments, if need be, on some of them, and to sort the different draft resolutions into groups according to the type of decision which might be envisaged by the General Conference: this document was to be updated by one or more addenda to take account of draft resolutions submitted at a later date.

6. For draft resolutions that appeared to be **inadmissible**, no translation or distribution was planned but the Director-General would send to the Member States concerned a note clearly indicating why the draft resolution in question did not appear to him to be admissible and, wherever possible, suggesting ways and means of accommodating the proposal within the existing rules, or of making use of existing mechanisms such as submitting requests under the Participation Programme, or of seeking agreement with the Sector concerned for the execution of activities proposed when implementing the Programme and Budget for 1998-1999. As a last resort, sponsors of such draft resolutions could request the General Conference to rule on their admissibility, in which case they were requested to inform the Secretariat of the General Conference as soon as possible after receipt of the above-mentioned note. Any draft resolutions deemed admissible as a result of this procedure will be immediately distributed in the six languages.

DATA CONCERNING DRAFT AMENDMENTS RECEIVED BY THE SECRETARIAT BY THE DEADLINE OF 15 SEPTEMBER 1997

7. By the deadline of 15 September 1997, 364 draft resolutions, sponsored or cosponsored by 108 Member States, had been received by the Secretariat. In the first instance they were transmitted to the Sectors/Units concerned for preliminary examination with regard to the admissibility criteria listed in paragraph 4(c) above. Each draft resolution was then subjected to in-depth examination by the Screening Group established for this purpose with a view to establishing its admissibility or inadmissibility. Subsequently, 22 were withdrawn by their sponsors and one was found to concern another item of the agenda. Of the remaining 341 draft resolutions, 146, sponsored or co-sponsored by 99 Member States, were deemed admissible and have been translated into the six working languages, reproduced in the series 29 C/DR. and distributed.

8. These 146 draft resolutions have regular budget implications, estimated by the sponsors, amounting to some \$23,939,400 (one alone, 29 C/DR.116, amounting to \$10,000,000). \$14,637,400 of the total amount are proposed for financing from the Reserve for Draft Resolutions and \$9,302,000 within the regular budget, whilst extrabudgetary implications amount to some \$100,275,000. By Commission, these budgetary implications are as follows:

Commission		Source of financing propose			ed by sponsor(s)
		mber of DRs	Regular Budget		Extrabudgetary sources
			Reserve for Draft Resolutions	Within 29 C/5	
			\$	\$	\$
Commissions I, II, III, IV, V			-	-	-
I Parts II.B, III	9	1 *	-	1,385,000	-
II MP I	24	2 *	525,000	1,440,000	75,000
III MP II	30	1 *	11,550,000	580,000	100,100,000
IV MP III MP IV	32 24	4 * (1)*	662,400 980,000	1,492,000 490,000	-
V Transdisciplinary Projects and Transverse Activities	33	(7)*	920,000	3,955,000	100,000
ADM (Budget ceiling)	1		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
			14,637,400	9,302,000	
Total		146	23,939,400		100,275,000
* Number of DRs to be examined also by another Commission.					

These draft resolutions are listed by Commission in the Annex to this document. Member States who had informed the Secretariat, before this document was finalized, of their wish to co-sponsor certain draft resolutions, are also indicated therein.

9. With regard to the remaining **195 draft resolutions** which appeared to be **inadmissible**, sponsors were provided with detailed reasons why they appeared inadmissible in the light of the criteria established by the Executive Board in 151 EX/Decision 7.2 and informed of assistance that could be provided by the Secretariat - inclusion, within the available budget, of the proposal in the Approved Programme and Budget for 1998-1999; utilization of existing mechanisms such as the Participation Programme, or the UNITWIN/UNESCO Chairs Programme, or the Secretariat's capacity for mobilizing extrabudgetary sources. Wherever applicable, sponsors were informed of the Secretariat Unit that could be contacted in order to provide more details of such assistance and attention was drawn to the meetings to be organized during the General Conference between the Secretariat and the National Commissions of different regions, to hold further consultations concerning the most appropriate ways of following up the proposals.

10. Member States may wish to note that 238 of the 364 draft resolutions were received immediately prior to, or on the deadline of 15 September 1997. The Secretariat was therefore unable, despite intensive efforts, to ensure that all letters of acknowledgement were dispatched to sponsors within the 10-day deadline originally foreseen. This has also led to a certain delay in the preparation of the Director-General's observations on the draft resolutions deemed admissible which are being transmitted to the General Conference in the series 29 C/8 COM.

ANNEX

COMMISSION I

(The Director-General's observations on the following amendments are contained in document 29 C/8, COM.I)

29 C/DR.54 Rev.	Australia, New Zealand (and COM. II, III, IV and V)
29 C/DR.68	Belgium, Germany, Luxembourg, Portugal (and COM. II, III, IV and V)

PART II.B - INFORMATION AND DISSEMINATION SERVICES

Chapter 2 - UNESCO Publishing Office (UPO)

29 C/DR.22 Egypt

PART III - SUPPORT FOR PROGRAMME EXECUTION

Chapter 1 - Bureau for External Relations (BRX)

29 C/DR.28	Argentina
29 C/DR.29	Argentina
29 C/DR.46	Bulgaria
29 C/DR.80	Italy (and COM. IV)
29 C/DR.92	Philippines, Republic of Korea
29 C/DR.99*	Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Iceland, Latvia, Lithuania, Norway,
	Poland, Russian Federation, Sweden
29 C/DR.100	Benin, Bulgaria, China, Colombia, Denmark, Egypt, Finland, Iceland,
	Norway, Sweden, Switzerland
29 C/DR.144	Argentina

^{*} Although assigned to Commission I, it is proposed that Draft Resolution 99 be examined in Commission V.

COMMISSION II

(The Director-General's observations on the following amendments are contained in document 29 C/8, COM.II)

29 C/DR.54 Rev.	Australia, New Zealand (and COM. I, III, IV and V)
29 C/DR.68	Belgium, Germany, Luxembourg, Portugal (and COM. I, III, IV and V)

MAJOR PROGRAMME I - EDUCATION FOR ALL THROUGHOUT LIFE

Proposed Resolution

29 C/DR.15	Nigeria (also I.1)
29 C/DR.16	Nigeria (also I.2)
29 C/DR.37	Austria, Costa Rica, Croatia, Germany, Israel, Kuwait, Poland, Morocco,
	Romania, Sudan, Tunisia, Turkey, Zimbabwe
29 C/DR.44	Lesotho, Namibia (also UIE)
29 C/DR.56	Benin, Denmark, Sweden (and COM. V)
29 C/DR.57	New Zealand (and COM.V)
29 C/DR.66	Bulgaria, Italy
29 C/DR.67	Bulgaria, Italy
29 C/DR.115	Islamic Republic of Iran (also I.1)
29 C/DR.137	Venezuela (also I.1)

Programme I.1 - Basic education for all

29 C/DR.3	Mali
29 C/DR.15	Nigeria (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.36	Morocco, Tunisia
29 C/DR.72 Rev.	Luxembourg, Netherlands
29 C/DR.109	India
29 C/DR.115	Islamic Republic of Iran(also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.135	Bulgaria, Greece, Jordan, Ukraine (also I.2)
29 C/DR.137	Venezuela (also Proposed Resolution)

Programme I.2 - Reform of education in the perspective of education throughout life

29 C/DR.5 29 C/DR.16 29 C/DR.89 29 C/DR.119 29 C/DR.125 29 C/DR.135 29 C/DR.136 29 C/DR.139 Rev. 29 C/DR.140	Cuba Nigeria (<i>also Proposed Resolution</i>) Australia, India, Malaysia, New Zealand, Pakistan, Republic of Korea Sudan Venezuela Bulgaria, Greece, Jordan, Ukraine (<i>also I.1</i>) Russian Federation Indonesia, Malaysia, Pakistan, Papua New Guinea Islamic Republic of Iran
29 C/DR.140 29 C/DR.141	Islamic Republic of Iran China

UNESCO Institute for Education (UIE)

29 C/DR.44 Lesotho, Namibia (also Proposed Resolution)

COMMISSION III

(The Director-General's observations on the following amendments are contained in document 29 C/8, COM.III)

29 C/DR.54 Rev.	Australia, New Zealand (and COM. I, II, IV and V)
29 C/DR.68	Belgium, Germany, Luxembourg, Portugal (and COM. I, II, IV and V)

MAJOR PROGRAMME II - THE SCIENCES IN THE SERVICE OF DEVELOPMENT

Proposed Resolution

29 C/DR.62	France
29 C/DR.75	Russian Federation (also II.1)
29 C/DR.76	Russian Federation (also II.1 and II.2)
29 C/DR.94	Cameroon, Chad, Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Gambia, Guinea, Israel, Malawi,
	Senegal, Uganda, Zimbabwe (also II.5)
29 C/DR.96	Uzbekistan (also II.1)
29 C/DR.103	Austria, Costa Rica, Croatia, Czech Republic, El Salvador, Germany,
	Hungary, India, Israel, Madagascar, Poland, Switzerland, Turkey
	(also II.3)
29 C/DR.104	India
29 C/DR.105	India
29 C/DR.106	India
29 C/DR.114	Islamic Republic of Iran (also II.5)
29 C/DR.130	Mali (also II.1)

Programme II.1 - Advancement, transfer and sharing of knowledge in the exact and natural sciences

29 C/DR.9 Rev.	Cuba (also II.3)
29 C/DR.75	Russian Federation (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.76	Russian Federation (also Proposed Resolution and II.2)
29 C/DR.87	Dominican Republic
29 C/DR.96	Uzbekistan (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.11	Algeria, Austria, China, Costa Rica, India, Indonesia, Israel, Jamaica,
	Kuwait, Malaysia, Niger, Nigeria, Oman, Pakistan, Senegal, Tunisia,
	Zimbabwe
29 C/DR.126	Côte d'Ivoire, France, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Russian Federation
29 C/DR.130	Mali (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.131	Belarus
29 C/DR.132 Rev.	Papua New Guinea
29 C/DR.142	China, Hungary, India, Israel, Italy, Poland, Turkey

COMMISSION III

(The Director-General's observations on the following amendments are contained in document 29 C/8, COM.III) (continued)

MAJOR PROGRAMME II - THE SCIENCES IN THE SERVICE OF DEVELOPMENT

Programme II.2 - Advancement, transfer and sharing of knowledge in social and human sciences

29 C/DR.76 Russian Federation (also Proposed Resolution and II.1)

Programme II.3 - Philosophy and Ethics

29 C/DR.9 Rev.	Cuba (also II.1)
29 C/DR.11	Sudan
29 C/DR.13	Benin, China, Côte d'Ivoire, Senegal
29 C/DR.103	Austria, Costa Rica, Croatia, Czech Republic, El Salvador, Germany,
	Hungary, India, Israel, Madagascar, Poland, Switzerland, Turkey (also
	Proposed Resolution)

Programme II.4 - Environmental sciences and sustainable development

29 C/DR.8	Sudan
29 C/DR.17	Nigeria
29 C/DR.39	Madagascar
29 C/DR.53	Belarus, Russian Federation, Ukraine
29 C/DR.55	Australia, Hungary, New Zealand
29 C/DR.113	Islamic Republic of Iran
29 C/DR.138	China

Programme II.5 - Social and human sciences and social development

29 C/DR.12	Sudan
29 C/DR.41	Bulgaria
29 C/DR.71	Colombia, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Jamaica,
	Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Peru, Uruguay (and COM.V)
29 C/DR.94	Cameroon, Chad, Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Gambia, Guinea, Israel, Malawi,
	Senegal, Uganda, Zimbabwe (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.114	Islamic Republic of Iran (also Proposed Resolution)

COMMISSION IV

(The Director-General's observations on the following amendments are contained in document 29 C/8, COM.IV)

29 C/DR.54 Rev.Australia, New Zealand (and COM. I, II, III and V)29 C/DR.68Belgium, Germany, Luxembourg, Portugal (and COM. I, II, III and V)

MAJOR PROGRAMME III - CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT: THE HERITAGE AND CREATIVITY

General

29 C/DR.26 Ukraine (also COM.V)

Proposed Resolution

29 C/DR.73 Rev. Germany, Luxembourg, Netherlands (also III.2)			
29 C/DR.81	Italy (also III.1)		
29 C/DR.82	Italy (also III.1)		
29 C/DR.91	Czech Republic (also III.1 and COM V)		
29 C/DR.95*	Austria, Hungary, Poland, Sweden (also III.2)		
29 C/DR.97	Uzbekistan (also III.1)		
29 C/DR.121	Ukraine (also III.1)		
29 C/DR.122	Ukraine (also III.1)		
29 C/DR.129	Italy (also III.2)		

Programme III.1 - Preservation and enhancement of the cultural and natural heritage

29 C/DR.4	Armenia (and COM. V)
29 C/DR.27	Lebanon, United Arab Emirates, Yemen
29 C/DR.31	Tunisia
29 C/DR.45	Togo (also III.2)
29 C/DR.51	Haiti
29 C/DR.52	Colombia, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Panama, Senegal
29 C/DR.59	Tunisia
29 C/DR.64	Morocco
29 C/DR.69	Tunisia (also III.2)
29 C/DR.81	Italy (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.82	Italy (also Proposed Resolution)

^{* 29} C/DR.95 **REV.** for French version.

COMMISSION IV

(The Director-General's observations on the following amendments

are contained in document 29 C/8, COM.IV)

(continued)

MAJOR PROGRAMME III - CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT: THE HERITAGE AND CREATIVITY

Programme III.1 - Preservation and enhancement of the cultural and natural heritage

29 C/DR.83	Dominican Republic
29 C/DR.84	Cuba
29 C/DR.91	Czech Republic (also Proposed Resolution and COM V)
29 C/DR.97	Uzbekistan (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.111	Islamic Republic of Iran
29 C/DR.117	Benin, Ethiopia, Madagascar, Malawi, Namibia, Nigeria, Senegal,
	Uganda, Zimbabwe
29 C/DR.121	Ukraine (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.122	Ukraine (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.128	Italy

Programme III.2 - Promotion of living cultures

29 C/DR.10	Cuba
29 C/DR.19	Nigeria
29 C/DR.33	Algeria, Bahrain, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Yemen
29 C/DR.45	Togo (also III.1)
29 C/DR.65	Morocco (and COM.V)
29 C/DR.69	Tunisia (also III.1)
29 C/DR.70	Croatia, Kuwait
29 C/DR.73 Rev.	Germany, Luxembourg, Netherlands (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.85	Dominican Republic
29 C/DR.95*	Austria, Hungary, Poland, Sweden (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.102	Benin, Côte d'Ivoire, Niger, Senegal
29 C/DR.129	Italy (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.134	Venezuela

^{* 29} C/DR.95 **REV.** for French

COMMISSION IV

(The Director-General's observations on the following amendments are contained in document 29 C/8, COM.IV)

(continued)

MAJOR PROGRAMME IV - COMMUNICATION, INFORMATION AND INFORMATICS

General

29 C/DR.63 Austria

Proposed Resolution

29 C/DR.38	Austria, Costa Rica, Croatia, Germany, Israel, Kuwait, Morocco,
	Philippines, Poland, Romania, Sweden, Tunisia, Turkey, Zimbabwe
29 C/DR.60	France, Sweden (also IV.1)
29 C/DR.90	France (also IV.2)
29 C/DR.93	Denmark, Finland, Norway, Portugal, Sweden (also IV.1 and IV.2)
29 C/DR.101	Denmark, Hungary, Iceland, India, Norway, Sweden
29 C/DR.107	India
29 C/DR.108	India

Programme IV.1 - Free flow of information

29 C/DR.21	Nigeria
29 C/DR.60	France, Sweden (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.61	Austria
29 C/DR.78*	Italy
29 C/DR.93	Denmark, Finland, Norway, Portugal, Sweden (also Proposed Resolution
	and IV.2)
29 C/DR.110	Benin, Côte d'Ivoire, Niger, Senegal
29 C/DR.120	Uruguay
29 C/DR.127	Italy
29 C/DR.146	Netherlands

Programme IV.2 - Capacity-building in communication, information and informatics

29 C/DR.23 Rev.	Egypt			
29 C/DR.32	Indonesia, Malaysia			
29 C/DR.34	Canada, Germany, Morocco			
29 C/DR.35	Hungary, Poland, Slovakia			
29 C/DR.40	Greece			
29 C/DR.43	Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Nicaragua,			
	Panama, Paraguay, Peru			
29 C/DR.79	Italy			
29 C/DR.80	Italy (and COM.I)			
29 C/DR.90	France (also Proposed Resolution)			
29 C/DR.93	Denmark, Finland, Norway, Portugal, Sweden (also Proposed Resolution			
	and IV.1)			
29 C/DR.118	Ethiopia, Lesotho, Malawi, Namibia, Senegal, Zimbabwe			

^{*} Although assigned to Commission IV, it is proposed that Draft Resolution 78 be examined in Commission

v.

COMMISSION V

(The Director-General's observations on the following amendments are contained in document 29 C/8, COM.V)

29 C/DR.54 Rev.	Australia, New Zealand (and COM. I, II, III and IV)
29 C/DR.68	Belgium, Germany, Luxembourg, Portugal (and COM. I, II, III and IV)

TRANSDISCIPLINARY PROJECTS

EDUCATING FOR A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE

29 C/DR.2	Costa Rica	(also C	CPP)					
29 C/DR.71	Colombia,	Costa	Rica,	El	Salvador,	Guatemala,	Honduras,	Jamaica,
	Mexico, N	icaragu	a, Pana	ma,	Peru, Urug	guay (and CO	OM.III)	

TOWARDS A CULTURE OF PEACE

General

29 C/DR.1	Tajikistan (also <i>Clusters</i>)
-----------	------------------------------------

Proposed Resolution

29 C/DR.25	Belarus, Poland, Ukraine (also Unit 1)
29 C/DR.74	Russian Federation (also Unit 1)
29 C/DR.77	Belgium, Russian Federation (also Unit 2)
29 C/DR.91	Czech Republic (also Unit 2 and COM IV)
29 C/DR.98	Uzbekistan (also Unit 3)

Unit 1 - Culture of peace: raising awareness and building partnerships

29 C/DR.2	Costa Rica (also EPD)
29 C/DR.25	Belarus, Poland, Ukraine (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.26	Ukraine (and COM.IV)
29 C/DR.48	Romania
29 C/DR.74	Russian Federation (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.86	Dominican Republic
29 C/DR.88	Dominican Republic, Haiti, Italy, Jamaica
29 C/DR.143	Costa Rica, El Salvador, Israel, Panama

COMMISSION V

(The Director-General's observations on the following amendments

are contained in document 29 C/8, COM.V)

(continued)

TRANSDISCIPLINARY PROJECTS

TOWARDS A CULTURE OF PEACE (continued)

Unit 2 - Educating for a culture of peace

29 C/DR.20	Nigeria
29 C/DR.56	Benin, Denmark, Sweden (and COM. II)
29 C/DR.57	New Zealand (and COM.II)
29 C/DR.77	Belgium, Russian Federation (also Proposed Resolution)
29 C/DR.91	Czech Republic (also Proposed Resolution and COM.IV)

Unit 3 - Culture of peace in action

Armenia (and COM. IV)
Cuba
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya
Nigeria
Morocco (and COM.IV)
Uzbekistan (also Proposed Resolution)
Islamic Republic of Iran
Kyrgyzstan
Lebanon
India

COMMISSION V

(The Director-General's observations on the following amendments are contained in document 29 C/8, COM.V) (continued)

TRANSVERSE ACTIVITIES

TRANSVERSE SERVICES:

STATISTICAL PROGRAMMES AND SERVICES

General/Proposed Resolution

29 C/DR.49 Denmark, Norway, Sweden

PROGRAMME CO-ORDINATION:

OTHER PRIORITY GROUPS AND SPECIFIC CLUSTERS OF COUNTRIES

29 C/DR.1	Tajikistan (also CPP)
29 C/DR.24*	Belarus, Poland, Romania, Ukraine
29 C/DR.42	Bulgaria
29 C/DR.145	Papua New Guinea

PARTICIPATION PROGRAMME

General

29 C/DR.47 Benin, Nigeria

General /Proposed Resolution

29 C/DR.30	Argentina
29 C/DR.50	Denmark, Germany, Iceland, Norway, Sweden
29 C/DR.58	Brazil

^{* 29} C/DR.24 **REV.** for English, Russian, Arabic and Chinese versions

ADMINISTRATIVE COMMISSION

Item 3.2 - Adoption of the provisional budget ceiling for 1998-1999

29 C/DR.6 Australia, Canada, Japan, New Zealand, Turkey

The Director-General's observations on this draft resolution, as well as the final recommendations of the Executive Board on the budget ceiling for 1998-1999, are recorded in document 29 C/8 COM.ADM



General Conference Twenty-ninth Session, Paris 1997

29 C/8 Corr. 21 October 1997 Original: English

Item 3.3 of the provisional agenda

AMENDMENTS PROPOSED BY MEMBER STATES TO THE DRAFT PROGRAMME AND BUDGET FOR 1998-1999 (29 C/5)

Paragraph 8 - table:

Please note that the figure for Commission I under the 'Within 29 C/5' column, should read:

\$1,3<u>4</u>5,000 (not \$1,3<u>8</u>5,000)