Records of the General Conference

Twenty-sixth Session

Paris, 15 October - 7 November 1991

Volume 2

Reports Programme Commissions Administrative Commission Legal Committee

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization Note on the Records of the General Conference

The Records of the twenty-sixth session of the General Conference are printed in three volumes:

The present volume, which contains the Reports of the Programme Commissions, the Administrative Commission, the joint meeting of the Programme and Administrative Commissions, and the Legal Committee (Volume 2);

The volume *Resolutions*, containing the resolutions adopted by the General Conference and the list of officers of the Conference and of the Commissions and Committees (Volume 1);

The volume *Proceedings*, which contains the verbatim records of plenary meetings, the list of participants and the list of documents (Volume 3).

ISBN 92-3-102799-9

Arabic Edition 92-3-602799-7 Chinese Edition 92-3-502799-3 French Edition 92-3-202799-2 Russian Edition 92-3-402799-X Spanish Edition 92-3-302799-6

Published in 1992 by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization 7, place de Fontenoy, 75352 Paris 07 SP

Set and printed in the workshops of UNESCO

© UNESCO 1992 BPS Printed in France

CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
I.	REPORTS OF THE PROGRAMME COMMISSIONS	7
	A. Report of Commission I	9
	B. Report of Commission II	17
	C. Report of Commission III	25
	D. Report of Commission IV	31
	E. Report of Commission V	43
II.	REPORT OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMISSION	53
III.	REPORT OF THE JOINT MEETING OF THE PROGRAMME AND ADMINISTRATIVE COMMISSIONS	63
IV.	REPORTS OF THE LEGAL COMMITTEE	65

I. REPORTS OF THE PROGRAMME COMMISSIONS

The text reproduced in the following pages has been edited as authorized by the General Conference.

The reports of the five Programme Commissions were submitted to the General Conference, in plenary meeting, in the following documents: 26 C/138, 139, 140, 141 and 142 and Add. and Corr.

The final text of the resolutions adopted by the General Conference on the recommendations of the five Commissions has been omitted from these reports, being reproduced in Volume 1, Resolutions.

The resolution numbers shown in the reports are those assigned to the resolutions in the

Draft Programme and Budget for 1992-1993 (26 C/5) and in the proposed amendments to that draft submitted by Member States (26 C/8 and the 26 C/DR series). The numbers finally given to the resolutions in Volume 1 have nevertheless been shown in brackets; it should be noted that some resolutions were amended in plenary meeting.

The budgetary figures contained in these reports and in that of the Administrative Commission (in section II below) have been adjusted in the light of the Appropriation Resolution for 1992-1993 adopted by the General Conference at its twenty-seventh plenary meeting, on 7 November 1991 (26 C/144).

NOTE

A. REPORT OF COMMISSION I¹

<u>Introduction</u>

<u>Item 3.5</u> and	Part II.B - Transverse Themes and Programmes - Chapter 1: Women
<u>Item 4.2</u>	UNESCO's contribution to improvement of the status of women
<u>Item 3.6</u>	Part III - Support for Programme Execution
	Chapter 1: Bureau for Co-ordination of Operational Activities Chapter 2: Bureau for External Relations
and	
<u>Item 15.2</u>	Directives concerning UNESCO's relations with foundations and similar institutions
<u>Item 7.1</u>	Report by the Director-General on changes in the classification of international non-governmental organizations
<u>Item 8.2</u>	Definition of regions with a view to the execution of regional activities
<u>Item 8.5</u>	Establishment of a UNESCO Forum (Intellectual co-operation in UNESCO and setting up of an ad hoc forum of reflection)
Item 3.6	Part III - Support for Programme Execution
	Chapter 3: Bureau for Co-ordination of Field Units Chapter 4: Office of Public Information
<u>Item 3.5</u>	Part II.C - Participation Programme
<u>Item 3.6</u>	Part III – Support for Programme Execution
	Chapter 5: UNESCO Courier Office Chapter 8: Office of the UNESCO Press
	Chapter 9: Bureau for Programme Support
	Chapter 6: Innovation and Modernization Unit Chapter 7: Conventions Unit

ļ

^{1.} The General Conference took note of this report at its twenty-third plenary meeting, on 4 November 1991.

(1) At its first meeting, Commission I, on the recommendation of the Nominations Committee, elected Ms Margaretha Mickwitz (Finland) Chairperson.

(2) At its second meeting, the Commission, on the recommendation of the Nominations Committee, constituted its Bureau as follows: <u>Chairperson</u>: Ms Margaretha Mickwitz (Finland); <u>Vice-Chairpersons</u>: Ms Liliane Bema (Cameroon), Mr Fawzy Abdel Zaher (Egypt), Mr P.R. Pant (Nepal), Ms Diana Espino de Ortega (Uruguay); <u>Rapporteur</u>: Ms Anuncjata Kocjan (Poland).

(3) The Commission went on to examine and approve the draft agenda and the draft timetable of work set out in document 26 C/COM.I/1, amended on the proposal of the Chairperson.

(4) The following items on the General Conference agenda were submitted to the Commission for consideration:

<u>Item 3.5</u> - Part II.B - Transverse Themes and Programmes - Chapter 1: Women;

<u>Item 4.2</u> – UNESCO's contribution to improvement of the status of women;

<u>Item 3.6</u> - Part III - Support for Programme Execution - Chapter 1: Bureau for Co-ordination of Operational Activities; Chapter 2: Bureau for External Relations;

 $\underline{\text{Item 15.2}}$ - Directives concerning UNESCO's relations with foundations and other similar

institutions;

<u>Item 7.1</u> - Report by the Director-General on changes in the classification of international non-governmental organizations;

<u>Item 8.2</u> - Definition of regions with a view to the execution of regional activities;

<u>Item 8.5</u> - Establishment of a UNESCO Forum (Intellectual co-operation in UNESCO and setting up of an ad hoc forum of reflection);

<u>Item 3.6</u> - Part III - Support for Programme Execution - Chapter 3: Bureau for Co-ordination of Field Units; Chapter 4: Office of Public Information;

<u>Item 3.5</u> - Part II.C - Participation Programme;

<u>Item 3.6</u> - Part III - Support for Programme Execution - Chapter 5: UNESCO Courier Office; Chapter 8: Office of the UNESCO Press; Chapter 9: Bureau for Programme Support; Chapter 6: Innovation and Modernization Unit; Chapter 7: Conventions Unit.

(5) The Commission examined the items on its agenda in the course of 15 meetings held between 15 and 24 October 1991.

(6) On 29 October 1991, at its sixteenth meeting, the Commission adopted its report setting down the decisions it had taken and listened to its Rapporteur's oral summing up of the main lines of the discussions.

ITEM 3.5 - PART II.B - TRANSVERSE THEMES AND PROGRAMMES CHAPTER 1: WOMEN AND ITEM 4.2 - UNESCO'S CONTRIBUTION TO IMPROVEMENT OF THE STATUS OF WOMEN

(7) At its second and third meetings Commission I examined Part II.B - Transverse Themes and Programmes - Chapter 1: Women, and item 4.2 - UNESCO's contribution to improvement of the status of women. Forty-five delegates of Member States and four representatives of non-governmental organizations took the floor.

(8) The Commission set up a drafting group to amalgamate draft resolutions 26 C/DR.29 Rev. (submitted by Austria and Germany) and 26 C/DR.244 (submitted by Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Norway). The group was composed of the following countries: Austria, Canada, France, Germany, Netherlands, Norway and Spain.

(9) The Commission examined draft resolution 26 C/COM.I/DR.2 elaborated by the drafting group, and recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution as amended during the discussions (26 C/Resolution 11.1).

(10) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference approve the appropriation

of US \$579,100 for the Co-ordination of Activities relating to Women set out in paragraph 11101 of document 26 C/5, it being understood that the figure was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(11) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the functions of the Co-ordinating Unit for Activities relating to Women described in paragraph 11102 of document 26 C/5, as amended by 26 C/COM.I/DR.2 (26 C/Resolution 11.1).

(12) The Commission recommended that the General Conference also take note of the summary of activities relating to the improvement of the status of women and the budget summary thereof (para. 11104).

(13) At its fourth meeting, Commission I examined Part III, Chapter 1: Bureau for Co-ordination of Operational Activities. Twenty-one delegates of Member States took the floor.

(14) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.47, submitted by Venezuela, as amended by the Commission (26 C/Resolution 13.1).

(15) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$10,688,900 for the Bureau (para. 13101), it being understood that the figure was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(16) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan for the Bureau for Co-ordination of Operational Activities (paras. 13102-13122).

ITEM 3.6 - PART III - SUPPORT FOR PROGRAMME EXECUTION CHAPTER 2: BUREAU FOR EXTERNAL RELATIONS AND ITEM 15.2 - DIRECTIVES CONCERNING UNESCO'S RELATIONS WITH FOUNDATIONS AND SIMILAR INSTITUTIONS

(17) Commission I examined item 3.6, Part III, Chapter 2, at its fifth and sixth meetings. Forty-six delegates took the floor.

(18) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt draft resolution 13.1 set out in paragraph 13202 of document 26 C/5, as amended by 26 C/DR.240 (submitted by Brazil), by the working group set up at the suggestion of France (26 C/COM.I/DR.3), and by other proposals put forward during the debate (26 C/Resolution 13.23).

(19) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.12 submitted by Ukraine, as amended by its author (26 C/Resolution 13.21).

(20) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.108 submitted by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, as amended (26 C/Resolution 13.22).

(21) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt the draft resolution in

paragraph 8 of document 26 C/117, and the Directives contained in the annex to that document, as amended (26 C/Resolution 13.23).

(22) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve a budgetary provision of US \$11,926,800 under paragraph 13201 for the Bureau for External Relations (Part III, Chapter 2 of document 26 C/5). on the understanding that the amount of that budgetary provision might be modified according to the adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference concerning the provisional budget ceiling and by the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions at their joint meeting.

(23) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan for the Bureau for External Relations, paragraphs 13203-13214 of document 26 C/5, as amended.

ITEM 7.1 - REPORT BY THE DIRECTOR-GENERAL ON CHANGES IN THE CLASSIFICATION OF INTERNATIONAL NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS

(24) At its seventh meeting the Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the report by the Director-General on changes in the classification of international non-governmental organizations, contained in document 26 C/41 and annexes.

ITEM 8.2 - DEFINITION OF REGIONS WITH A VIEW TO THE EXECUTION OF REGIONAL ACTIVITIES

(25) The Commission examined item 8.2 at its seventh meeting.

(26) It recommended that the General Conference approve the participation of Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania in the regional activities of the Europe region, and of Tuvalu in the Asia and Pacific region.

(27) It also recommended that the General Conference take note of the changes in the names of Member States.

(28) These changes are indicated in document 26 C/46 Add. and Corr.; the three groups of Member States concerned are as follows:

ARAB STATES

India Indonesia Iran, Islamic Republic of Japan Kiribati Lao People's Democratic Republic Malaysia Maldives Mongolia Myanmar Nepal New Zealand Pakistan Papua New Guinea Philippines Republic of Korea Samoa Sri Lanka Thailand Tonga Turkey Tuvalu Union of Soviet Socialist Republics Viet Nam

EUROPE

Algeria	Morocco	Albania	Latvia
Bahrain	Oman	Austria	Lithuania
Djibouti	Qatar	Belarus	Luxembourg
Egypt	Saudi Arabia	Belgium	Malta
Iraq	Somalia	Bulgaria	Monaco
Jordan	Sudan	Canada	Netherlands
Kuwait	Syrian Arab Republic	Cyprus	Norway
Lebanon	Tunisia	Czechoslovakia	Poland
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	United Arab Emirates	Denmark	Portugal
Malta	Yemen	Estonia	Romania
Mauritania		Finland	San Marino
		France	Spain
ASIA AND THE	PACIFIC	Germany	Sweden
		Greece	Switzerland
Afghanistan	China	Hungary	Turkey
Australia	Cook Islands	Iceland	Ukraine
Bangladesh	Democratic People's	Ireland	Union of Soviet
Bhutan	Republic of Korea	Israel	Socialist Republics
Cambodia	Fiji	Italy	Yugoslavia

ITEM 8.5 ~ ESTABLISHMENT OF A UNESCO FORUM (INTELLECTUAL CO-OPERATION IN UNESCO AND SETTING UP OF AN AD HOC FORUM OF REFLECTION)

(29) At its seventh, thirteenth and fourteenth meetings, Commission I considered the proposal to establish a UNESCO Forum contained in 26 C/COM.I/DR.1, submitted by Germany and which was replaced by a new draft resolution prepared jointly by Germany and France. Forty-six delegates took the floor during the discussion, which resulted in the formulation of a consensus text (26 C/COM.I/DR.4) submitted by the Chairperson of Commission I.

(30) The Commission recommended that the General Conference change the title of item 8.5 to 'Intellectual co-operation in UNESCO, and setting up of an ad hoc forum of reflection'.

(31) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt resolution 26 C/COM.I/DR.4, as amended during the discussions (26 C/Resolution 15).

ITEM 3.6 - PART III - SUPPORT FOR PROGRAMME EXECUTION CHAPTER 3: BUREAU FOR CO-ORDINATION OF FIELD UNITS AND CHAPTER 4: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION

Bureau for Co-ordination of Field Units

(32) At its eighth meeting, Commission I considered Part III, Chapter 3: Bureau for Co-ordination of Field Units. Twenty-five delegates took the floor during the discussion, in the course of which reference was often made to the 'In-depth Study on Decentralization' carried out by the Special Committee of the Executive Board and presented in document 136 EX/SP/RAP/1.

(33) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$1,632,300 proposed in paragraph 13301, Part III, Chapter 3 of document 26 C/5, it being understood that the figure was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(34) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan for the Bureau for Co-ordination of Field Units (para. 13302).

Office of Public Information

(35) At its ninth meeting Commission I

examined Part III, Chapter 4 concerning the Office of Public Information. Twenty-five delegates took the floor.

(36) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution proposed in paragraph 4 of document 26 C/13 Add., as amended during the debate (26 C/Resolution 13.4).

(37) The Commission postponed decision on 26 C/DR.103 submitted by Germany until after the debates on the other topics referred to in the resolution, namely the UNESCO Courier Office and the Office of the UNESCO Press.

(38) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference approve the appropriation of US \$9,274,700 for the Office of Public Information proposed in paragraph 13401 of document 26 C/5, it being understood that the figure was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(39) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan outlined in paragraphs 13402-13404 and 13407-13411 of document 26 C/5.

ITEM 3.5 - PART II.C - PARTICIPATION PROGRAMME

(40) The Commission considered Part II.C -Participation Programme at its tenth meeting. Thirty delegates took the floor.

(41) It decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt draft resolution 12.0 in paragraph 12002 of document 26 C/5 (26 C/Resolution 12.1).

(42) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.285, submitted by Austria, as modified by its author (26 C/Resolution 12.2).

(43) The Commission recommended that the

General Conference take note of the budget summary in paragraph 12005 of document 26 C/5 and add to the list which appears in the note beneath that paragraph the anniversaries mentioned in paragraph (a) of the draft resolution contained in paragraph 4 of document 26 C/13 Add.

(44) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan contained in paragraphs 12003 and 12004 of document 26 C/5. ITEM 3.6 - PART III - SUPPORT FOR PROGRAMME EXECUTION CHAPTER 5: UNESCO COURIER OFFICE CHAPTER 8: OFFICE OF THE UNESCO PRESS CHAPTER 9: BUREAU FOR PROGRAMME SUPPORT CHAPTER 6: INNOVATION AND MODERNIZATION UNIT CHAPTER 7: CONVENTIONS UNIT

UNESCO Courier Office

(45) At its eleventh meeting Commission I examined Part III, Chapter 5 concerning the UNESCO Courier Office. Thirteen delegates took the floor.

(46) The Commission postponed decision on 26 C/DR.103 submitted by Germany until after the debates on the other topics referred to in the resolution, namely the Office of Public Information and the Office of the UNESCO Press.

(47) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve the appropriation of US \$3,742,300 for the UNESCO Courier Office proposed in paragraph 13501 of document 26 C/5, it being understood that the figure was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(48) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the functions of the Office as set out in paragraph 13502 of document 26 C/5.

Office of the UNESCO Press

(49) At its twelfth meeting, Commission I examined the Office of the UNESCO Press under Part III, Chapter 8 of document 26 C/5. Eleven delegates took the floor.

(50) Many delegates expressed reservations concerning the new publications policy presented by the Director-General to the 137th session of the Executive Board. The Commission requested that the General Conference take note of the Executive Board's recommendations on this matter contained in 137 EX/Decision 5.5.1.

(51) With regard to the use of UNESCO's official languages in publications, the Commission requested that the General Conference take into account the concerns expressed by the Board paragraph 4, of Executive in 137 EX/Decision 5.5.1, relating to the clear with imbalances that exist respect to publications in various languages and to their distribution.

(52) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt resolution 26 C/DR.103 submitted by Germany (26 C/Resolution 13.3).

(53) Following clarifications concerning by Fund provided the Publications the representative of the Bureau of the Budget, the Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$4,542,700 for the Office of the UNESCO Press proposed in paragraph 13801 of document 26 C/5, it being understood that the figure was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(54) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the functions of the Office of the UNESCO Press described in paragraphs 13802-13804, as amended by resolution 26 C/DR.103 (26 C/Resolution 13.3).

(55) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference take note of the Publications Plan in Appendix XIII of the Draft Programme and Budget for 1992-1993, concerning which one delegate expressed reservations.

Bureau for Programme Support

(56) At its fifteenth meeting, Commission I discussed Chapter 9, Part III of the Draft Programme and Budget for 1992-1993: Bureau for Programme Support. One delegate took the floor.

(57) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$30,252,200 for the Bureau for Programme Support proposed in paragraph 13901 of document 26 C/5, it being understood that the figure was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(58) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the functions of the Bureau for Programme Support described in paragraphs 13902-13904.

Innovation and Modernization Unit

(59) At its fifteenth meeting Commission I discussed Chapter 6, Part III of the Draft Programme and Budget for 1992-1993 concerning the Innovation and Modernization Unit. Seven delegates took the floor.

(60) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.96 submitted by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, Ukraine and Belarus, as amended by two of the authors and the Chairperson of the Commission (26 C/Resolution 13.5).

(61) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$579,100 for the Innovation and Modernization Unit proposed in paragraph 13601 of document 26 C/5, it being understood that the figure was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(62) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the functions of the Innovation and Modernization Unit set out in paragraph 13602.

(63) However, with regard to paragraphs 61 and 62 above, the Commission took note of proposals formulated by two delegates, who asked that the language of paragraph 13602 should be improved and that the Unit's functions be described more clearly, in particular in the light of resolutions 26 C/DR.103 (26 C/Resolution 13.3) and 26 C/DR.96 (26 C/Resolution 13.5) as amended by the Commission.

Conventions Unit

(64) At its fifteenth meeting Commission I discussed Chapter 7, Part III of the Draft Programme and Budget for 1992-1993 concerning the Conventions Unit. Four delegates took the floor.

(65) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$323,200 for the Conventions Unit proposed in paragraph 13701 of document 26 C/5, it being understood that the figure was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(66) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the functions of the Conventions Unit set out in paragraph 13702.

B. REPORT OF COMMISSION II¹

<u>Introduction</u>

	Part 1
<u>Item 3.5</u>	Programme I.1 — Towards basic education for all and Mobilizing Project 1 — Combating illiteracy
	Part 2
<u>Item 3.5</u>	Programme I.2 - Education for the twenty-first century; higher education; Programme I.3 - Promoting the advancement of education International Bureau of Education International Institute for Educational Planning UNESCO Institute for Education
<u>Item 4.4</u>	Implementation of 25 C/Resolution 20 concerning educational and cultural institutions in the occupied Arab territories
<u>Item 6.3</u>	Fifth Consultation of Member States on the implementation of the Convention and Recommendation against Discrimination in Education
<u>Item 6.5</u>	Draft Universal Convention on the Recognition of Studies and Qualifications in Higher Education
<u>Item 6.7</u>	Desirability of adopting an international instrument to combat doping in sport
<u>Item 6.8</u>	Desirability of adopting an instrument concerning higher-education teachers
Then 14 0	The sele of Asstainel and versional advertice and the rank is and prototion to

<u>Item 14.2</u> The role of technical and vocational education and its part in and contribution to the efforts undertaken towards basic education for all

The General Conference took note of this report at its twenty-fifth plenary meeting, on б November 1991.

INTRODUCTION

(1) At its first meeting, held on 15 October 1991, Commission II, on the recommendation of the Nominations Committee, elected as its <u>Chairman</u> Mr Shuaib Almansuri (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya).

(2) At its second meeting, the Commission approved the proposals of the Nominations Committee for the offices of Vice-Chairmen and Rapporteur as follows: <u>Vice-Chairmen</u>: Mr Umberto Belli (Nicaragua), Mr Alexander Boytchev (Bulgaria), Mr A.N.M. Eusuf (Bangladesh) and Professor Asavia Wandira (Uganda); <u>Rapporteur</u>: Mr Guilherme d'Oliveira Martins (Portugal).

(3) The Commission then adopted its timetable of work (26 C/COM.II/1).

(4) The first meeting closed with a special session devoted to the twenty-fifth anniversary of the Recommendation concerning the Status of Teachers. During this session, the Commission was addressed by Mr Federico Mayor (Director-General of UNESCO), Mr Lionel Jospin (Minister of State, Minister of National Education of France), Mr Heribert Maier (Representative of Mr Michel Hansenne, Director-General of the International Labour Organisation), and Mr William G. Carr (Guest of Honour, Rapporteur at the Inter-Conference that adopted the governmental Recommendation in 1966 and one of the founders of UNESCO).

PART 1

ITEM 3.5 - PROGRAMME I.1 - TOWARDS BASIC EDUCATION FOR ALL AND MOBILIZING PROJECT 1 - COMBATING ILLITERACY

(5)The debate on Part 1 (agenda item 3.5 -Programme I.1 - Towards basic education for all and Mobilizing Project 1 - Combating illiteracy) began during the second meeting and continued until the fifth meeting. After the introduction by the Assistant Director-General for Education and the presentation of the report of the Intergovern- mental Regional Committee for the Major Project in the Field of Education in Latin America and the Caribbean (PROMEDLAC), by its Vice- Chairperson, Mrs Gloria Henry, Minister of Education of Trinidad and Tobago, 76 speakers took the floor. The debate was closed after the reply of the Assistant Director-General to the questions raised during the discussion.

(6) During its sixth session the Commission took note of the following documents: 26 C/75 - Report by the Director-General on the progress achieved and the problems encountered in the implementation of the Plan of Action for the Eradication of Illiteracy by the Year 2000; 26 C/76 - Report by the Director-General on International Literacy Year (1990); 26 C/78 -Intergovernmental Regional Report the by Committee for the Major Project in the Field of Education in Latin America and the Caribbean (1990-1991).

Referring to document 26 C/76 the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt the resolution presented in paragraph 29 of that document (26 C/Resolution 1.5).

(7) The Commission was informed that the

following draft resolutions were withdrawn in the light of the Director-General's reply: 26 C/DR.15, 16, 17, 25, 26, 44, 45, 48 and 65 submitted by Venezuela; 26 C/DR.62 submitted by Czechoslovakia; and 26 C/DR.243 submitted by Australia.

(8) The Commission was also informed that the following draft resolutions were not admissible under Rule 78A of the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference: 26 C/DR.163 submitted by Nigeria; 26 C/DR.191, 192, 193, 197, 201, 204 and 207 submitted by Sudan; 26 C/DR.220 submitted by the Syrian Arab Republic; and 26 C/DR.221 submitted by Kenya.

Proposed resolution in document 26 C/5

(9) The Commission examined the following amendments submitted for the proposed resolution 1.1 (26 C/5, para. 01002, preamble and section 2(a)): 26 C/DR.165 submitted by Nigeria approved, 26 C/DR.258 submitted by France approved, 26 C/DR.100 submitted by Italy - approved as follows: 'to contribute to the development, in Member States, of activities for post-literacy and adult education in the perspective of continuing education for young people and adults'.

The Commission then decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt resolution 1.1, section 2(a) as amended, and approved the amendments to the preambular paragraphs (26 C/Resolution 1.1). <u>Work plan</u>

(10) The following draft resolutions were examined by the Commission on the understanding that their content would be reflected in the work plan: 26 C/DR.164 submitted by Nigeria; 26 C/DR.181 submitted by India; 26 C/DR.296 submitted by Australia, Papua New Guinea, New Zealand, Cook Islands and Samoa; 26 C/DR.323 submitted by the Islamic Republic of Iran.

The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of the amendments when taking note of the work plan for Programme I.1.

(11) The Commission then examined the draft resolutions submitted by Uganda (26 C/DR.32 and 26 C/DR.70); by Venezuela, Chile, Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Ecuador, Uruguay and Paraguay (26 C/DR.43); by the Syrian Arab Republic (26 C/DR.56) and decided to consider them when discussing the reserve of US \$600,000. France (with the support of Papua New Guinea, Spain, Italy, Portugal, Czechoslovakia and Uganda) would co-operate with the Syrian Arab Republic in presenting an expanded version of 26 C/DR.56.

(12) The Commission examined the draft resolution submitted by India (26 C/DR.182) and decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt the resolution as amended by the Commission (26 C/Resolution 1.6).

(13) The Commission examined the draft resolution submitted by Ukraine (26 C/DR.58) and decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt the resolution as amended by the Commission (26 C/Resolution 1.7).

(14) The Commission then decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of the work plans for Programme I.1 - Towards basic education for all (paras. 01102-01131 of 26 C/5), Mobilizing Project 1 - Combating illiteracy (paras. 01133-01136 of 26 C/5) and the intersectoral project - The young child and the family environment (paras. 01138-01148 of document 26 C/5) on the understanding that there could possibly be amendments as a result of decisions taken at the eleventh meeting concerning proposals recommended for financing from the Reserve for Resolutions.

PART 2

ITEM 3.5 - PROGRAMME I.2 - EDUCATION FOR THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY; HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAMME I.3 - PROMOTING THE ADVANCEMENT OF EDUCATION INTERNATIONAL BUREAU OF EDUCATION INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR EDUCATIONAL PLANNING UNESCO INSTITUTE FOR EDUCATION ITEMS 4.4, 6.3, 6.5, 6.7, 6.8 AND 14.2

(15) The debate on Part 2 started at the seventh meeting. It included agenda item 3.5 -Programme I.2 - Education for the twenty-first century; higher education; Programme I.3 -Promoting the advancement of education; and item 4.4 - Implementation of 25 C/Resolution 20 concerning educational and cultural institutions in the occupied Arab territories; item 6.3 -Fifth Consultation of Member States on the implementation of the Convention and Recommendation against Discrimination in Education; item 6.5 - Draft Universal Convention on the Recognition of Studies and Qualifications in Higher Education; item 6.7 - Desirability of adopting an international instrument to combat doping in sport; item 6.8 - Desirability of adopting an instrument concerning highereducation teachers; and item 14.2 - The role of technical and vocational education and its part in and contribution to the efforts undertaken towards basic education for all.

(16) After the introduction by the representative of the Director-General, Mr Anil Bordia, President of the Council of the International Bureau of Education, presented document 26 C/80: Report on the activities of the International Bureau of Education. Mr Victor Urquidi, Chairman of the Governing Board of the International Institute for Educational Planning, then presented the report on the Institute's activities for 1990-1991 (26 C/81), after which Mr Hubert Braun, Chairman of the Governing Board of the UNESCU Institute for on Education. presented the report the activities of the Institute for 1990-1991. Mr Michel Bedard, President of the Intergovernmental Committee for Physical Education and Sport, presented three reports: document 26 C/105 concerning the application of the revised statutes of the International Fund for the Development of Physical Education and Sport and on the outcome of the funds management;

document 26 C/106 by the Intergovernmental Committee for Physical Education and Sport (1990-1991); and document 26 C/107 containing a report by the Governing Board of the International Fund for the Development of Physical Education and Sport (FIDEPS) on the Fund's activities in 1990-1991. Lastly, the Director of the Division of Youth and Sports Activities introduced item 6.7 of the agenda: Desirability of adopting an international instrument to combat doping in sport (26 C/35), as well as the proposal to include in the International Charter of Physical Education and Sport provisions designed to avert the dangers and harmful influences which are a threat to sport (26 C/108) and document 26 C/109 on measures to broaden and intensify, throughout UNESCO's programme, activities relating to physical education and sport.

(17) Ninety-two speakers took the floor. The debate on Part 2 ended with the tenth meeting and was closed during the eleventh meeting after the reply of the Assistant Director-General for Education to the questions raised during the discussion. The directors of the International Bureau of Education, the International Institute for Educational Planning and the UNESCO Institute for Education also commented on the discussion concerning their respective institutes. The Commission continued by examining the following items of the agenda: 4.4, 6.3, 6.5, 6.7, 6.8 and 14.2.

<u>Item 4.4 - Implementation of 25 C/Resolution 20</u> <u>concerning educational and cultural institutions</u> <u>in the occupied Arab territories</u>

(18) Having examined documents 26 C/17 and Add. relating to item 4.4, following Israel's request for a vote, the Commission decided by 53 votes to two, with two abstentions, to recommend that the draft resolution contained in document 26 C/17 Add. be adopted by the General Conference (26 C/Resolution 16).

<u>Item 6.3 – Fifth Consultation of Member States</u> <u>on the implementation of the Convention and</u> <u>Recommendation against Discrimination in</u> <u>Education</u>

(19) Having examined document 26 C/31 the Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution presented in paragraph 112 as amended by the Commission (26 C/Resolution 1.18). <u>Item 6.5 - Draft Universal Convention on the</u> <u>Recognition of Studies and Qualifications in</u> <u>Higher Education</u>

(20) Having considered document 26 C/33 the Commission established a working group to prepare a decision based on 26 C/COM.II/DR.1 Rev. submitted by Japan. The working group consisted of representatives from: Canada, Netherlands (Chairperson: Ms W. De Groot), USSR, Botswana, Burundi, Australia, Japan, Sri Lanka, Egypt, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Jordan, Colombia, Chile and the Dominican Republic. After the report of the working group by Ms De Groot, Japan withdrew 26 C/COM.II/DR.1 Rev. and Commission II recommended that the General Conference adopt its 26 C/COM.II/DR.2 replacement, (26 C/Resolution 1.15).

<u>Item 6.7 – Desirability of adopting an</u> <u>international instrument to combat doping in</u> <u>sport</u>

(21) The Commission considered document 26 C/35 and decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt the decision proposed in this document (26 C/Resolution 1.12).

<u>Item 6.8 - Desirability of adopting an</u> <u>instrument concerning higher-education teachers</u>

(22) Having considered document 26 C/36 the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt the decision proposed in paragraph 9 of that document (26 C/Resolution 1.16).

Item 14.2 - The role of technical and vocational education and its part in and contribution to the efforts undertaken towards basic education for all

(23) The Commission took note of document 26 C/115 and decided to take it into account when considering the draft resolutions proposed by Member States.

<u>Item 3.5 - Detailed consideration of the Draft</u> <u>Programme and Budget for 1992-1993</u>

(24) The Commission examined document 26 C/77: Report by the Conciliation and Good Offices Commission responsible for seeking the settlement of any disputes that may arise between States Parties to the Convention against Discrimination in Education on its activities since the twenty-fifth session of the General Conference. This document was first referred to the Legal Committee which examined the recommendations made by the Executive Board. The Commission approved the conclusions of the Legal Committee (paras. 1-3 of 26 C/LEG/6) and recommended that the General Conference decide against the recommendation of the Executive Board proposed in Annex II of document 26 C/77. The Commission also took note of document 26 C/NOM/6 (which had been referred to Commission II by the General Committee) concerning the election of Conciliation and Good Offices Commissioners as well as of paragraphs 4 and 5 of document 26 C/LEG/6 and recommended that the General Conference decide against the recommendation proposed in document 26 C/NOM/6 (26 C/Resolution 1.17 and 26 C/Resolution 21).

(25) The Commission took note of the report of the activities of the International Bureau of Education (26 C/80) and decided to postpone the decision on the theme of the 44th session of the International Conference on Education (1994) until the detailed consideration of draft resolutions submitted by Member States (26 C/Resolution 1.2).

(26) The Commission examined the report on the feasibility study on the establishment of a university of the peoples of Europe (26 C/84). Having also examined a revised version of 26 C/DR.41 submitted by the USSR, it decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt the revised draft resolution, as amended by the Commission, rather than either of the alternative proposed resolutions in paragraph 12 of document 26 C/84 (26 C/Resolution 1.14).

(27) The Commission then examined the proposal to include in the International Charter of Physical Education and Sport provisions designed to avert the dangers and harmful influences which are a threat to sport (26 C/108) and decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt the amendment to the International Charter of Physical Education and Sport proposed in paragraph 14 of document 26 C/108 (26 C/Resolution 1.10).

(28) The Commission took note of the report by the Director-General on measures to broaden and intensify throughout UNESCO's programme activities relating to physical education and sport (26 C/109) and decided to recommend that the General Conference invite the Director-General to take the content of paragraph 6 of document 26 C/109 into consideration when drafting the Programme and Budget for 1994-1995 (27 C/5).

(29) The Commission was informed that the following draft resolutions proposed by Member States in relation to the Draft Programme and Budget for 1992-1993 were withdrawn: 26 C/DR 49, 50, 51 and 66 submitted by Venezuela, 26 C/DR.62 submitted by Czechoslovakia, 26 C/DR.176 submitted by Tunisia (withdrawn in Commission V) and 26 C/DR.220 submitted by the Syrian Arab Republic.

(30) The Commission was informed that 11 draft resolutions submitted by Member States were not admissible under Rule 78A of the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference because they had substantial budgetary implications but had not been received by the deadline of 29 July 1991. They were: 26 C/DR.161 submitted by Nigeria; 26 C/DR.179 submitted by Tunisia; 26 C/DR 196, 198 and 201 submitted by Sudan: 26 C/DR.221 submitted by Kenya; 26 C/DR.224 submitted by the United Republic of Tanzania; 26 C/DR.236 submitted by Bulgaria; 26 C/DR.242 submitted by Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru and Venezuela, and co-sponsored by Argentina, Cuba, El Salvador, Germany, Panama and Paraguay; 26 C/DR.288 submitted by Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Central African Republic, Chad, Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Madagascar, Mali, Niger, Rwanda, Senegal and Togo; and 26 C/DR.294 and Corr. submitted by France, Madagascar, Burkina Faso, Mali, Angola and Kenya. The sponsors of 26 C/DR.242 submitted an amendment avoiding budgetary implications of the draft resolution. Consequently the Commission decided to recommend to the General Conference to adopt 26 C/DR.242 as amended (26 C/Resolution 1.9).

Proposed resolutions in document 26 C/5

(31) The Commission examined several draft resolutions concerning amendments to the proposed resolution for Major Programme Area I (para. 01002 of document 26 C/5). The Commission examined 26 C/DR.78 and Add., submitted by Germany and co-sponsored by Algeria, Brazil, Finland, Islamic Republic of Iran, Argentina, Botswana, Egypt, Madagascar, Morocco and Portugal. The Commission decided to recommend the General Conference approve that this amendment to resolution 1.1 with the understanding that the budget allocations for the new Subprogramme I.2.2: Education and the world of work, be increased from \$504,300 to \$1,200,000, to be financed partly from the Reserve for Draft Resolutions and partly through internal readjustments, including a transfer of funds from non-programme areas to Major Programme Area I, and that the work plan be adjusted accordingly during programme implementation action in 1992-1993. The Commission examined 26 C/DR.257 submitted by Germany and recommended that the General Conference amend resolution 1.1 as suggested in the note by the Director-General. The Commission then examined 26 C/DR.258 submitted by France and recommended that the General Conference amend proposed resolution 1.1 as proposed (26 C/Resolution 1.1). (32) The Commission examined two draft

(32) The Commission examined two draft resolutions concerning amendments to the proposed resolution for the International Bureau of Education (para. 01402 of document 26 C/5). The Commission examined the amendment proposed in 26 C/DR.84 submitted by Germany and decided to recommend to the General Conference that the theme of the 44th session of the International Conference on Education should be 'Educational reforms: appraisal and perspectives, with special emphasis on the 1974 Recommendation on international education' and that paragraph 1(b) of resolution 1.2 be amended accordingly. The Commission examined 26 C/DR.112 submitted by Italy and decided to recommend to the General Conference that the amendments proposed in paragraphs 4 and 5 of the note by the Director-General be adopted (26 C/Resolution 1.2).

(33) The Commission considered 26 C/DR.184 submitted by India and recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution for the International Institute for Educational Planning (para. 01502 of document 26 C/5) as amended by paragraph 6 of the Director-General's note (26 C/Resolution 1.3).

<u>Work plan</u>

(34) The Commission considered several draft resolutions concerning the work plan without any budgetary implications. They were: 26 C/DR.93 submitted by Bulgaria; 26 C/DR.110 submitted by Venezuela; 26 C/DR.160 and 162 submitted by Nigeria; 26 C/DR.183 submitted by C/DR.200 submitted India: 26 by Sudan; 26 C/DR.208 submitted by Romania; 26 C/DR.237 submitted by Kenya; 26 C/DR.228 and 247 submitted by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, Belarus and the Ukraine: and 26 C/DR.306 submitted by the Netherlands. The Commission took note of these draft resolutions on the understanding that the work plan would be modified in the light of the Director-General's comments.

(35) The Commission considered three proposed amendments to the work plan which could be implemented without any additional financial implication but required modification of the wording. These were: 26 C/DR.153 submitted by 26 C/DR.308 submitted by the Nigeria. Netherlands and 26 C/DR.322 submitted by the Islamic Republic of Iran. The Commission took note of these draft resolutions on the understanding that the work plan would be modified in the light of the Director-General's comments.

(36) 26 C/DR.89 submitted by Bulgaria was withdrawn on the understanding that Bulgaria

would submit a request to the Participation Programme.

(37) The Commission examined 26 C/DR.20 submitted by Bulgaria and decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt those elements of this draft resolution which concern Major Programme Area I when considering the draft resolution as a whole.

(38) The Commission examined 26 C/DR.95 and Add. submitted by Germany and co-sponsored by Algeria, Argentina, Botswana, Brazil, Canada, Egypt, Islamic Republic of Iran, Madagascar, Morocco, Portugal and Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, as revised after consultations with the sponsors of 26 C/DR.93 (Bulgaria) and 26 C/DR.255 (France) and comments from Italy and Spain and decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt this draft resolution as amended by the Commission (26 C/Resolution 1.8).

(39) The Commission examined 26 C/DR.307 submitted by the Netherlands and decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt this resolution and take note of the subsequent changes in the work plan (26 C/Resolution 1.19). In connection with 26 C/DR.307 the Commission also considered 26 C/DR.327 submitted by Colombia, Costa Rica, Mexico and Venezuela. The Commission took note of these draft resolutions on the understanding that the work plan would be modified in the light of the Director-General's comments.

(40) The Commission considered 26 C/DR.256 submitted by France and decided to recommend to the General Conference to adopt this draft resolution (26 C/Resolution 1.13).

(41) The Commission considered several draft resolutions proposing changes to the work and involving substantial financial plan implications (total: \$632,000, of which \$32,000 amount attributed to from the Reserve Commission V) which could be financed from the for Draft Resolutions. After Reserve consideration of 26 C/DR.32 submitted by Uganda, it was decided to recommend an additional allocation of \$25,000 from the Reserve to reinforce the work plan, paragraph 01145. As regards 26 C/DR.43 submitted by Venezuela and Chile, it was decided to recommend an allocation of \$50,000 to reinforce paragraphs 01108 and 01122 of the work plan. As regards 26 C/DR.56 submitted by Syrian Arab Republic and amended by the sponsor and France, it was decided to recommend an allocation of \$60,000 to reinforce paragraph 01146 of the work plan. As regards 26 C/DR.70 submitted by Uganda, it was decided to recommend an allocation of \$75,000 to reinforce paragraphs 01107 and 01108 of the work plan. As regards 26 C/DR.39 submitted by the Netherlands, it was decided to recommend an of \$20,000 allocation to reinforce

paragraph 01236 of the work plan. As regards 26 C/DR.64 submitted by Gabon, after being informed that Commission V had decided to allocate \$32,000 from its Reserve for Draft Resolutions to the proposed activities, the Commission decided to recommend an additional allocation of \$60,000 (total: \$92,000) to reinforce paragraph 01223 of the work plan. The Commission considered 26 C/DR.71 submitted by the USSR and, following the withdrawal of paragraphs 1, 3, 4 and 5 by the sponsor, decided to recommend an allocation of \$30,000 to reinforce paragraph 01210 of the work plan. As regards 26 C/DR.78 submitted by Germany, it was decided to recommend an allocation of \$230,000 to reinforce paragraphs 01211-01214 of the work plan. Finally, the Commission examined 26 C/DR.122 submitted by the Islamic Republic of Iran and decided to recommend an allocation of \$50,000 to reinforce paragraph 01234 of the work plan. The Commission recommended that the work be modified in the light of the plan Director-General's comments. The Commission took note of 26 C/DR.55 (Uganda) on the understanding that the establishment of a UNESCO chair in Uganda will be explored.

(42) A final draft resolution without financial implications and addressed to all the programme commissions, 26 C/DR.301 submitted by Uruguay, Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Chile, Ecuador, Paraguay, Dominican Republic, Panama, Mexico, Venezuela and El Salvador was recommended for adoption by the General Conference on the understanding that it will be adopted only if the other Programme Commissions make similar recommendations.

<u>Recommendations on Major Programme Area I as a</u> <u>whole</u>

(43) Having completed the consideration of draft resolutions and amendments, the Commission considered resolution 1.1 concerning Major Programme Area I, paragraph 01002, as a whole and decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt this resolution as amended by the Commission (26 C/Resolution 1.1).

(44) The Commission considered proposed resolution 1.2 concerning the International

Bureau of Education, paragraph 01402, as a whole and decided to recommend to the General Conference to adopt this resolution as amended by the Commission (26 C/Resolution 1.2).

(45) The Commission considered proposed resolution 1.3 concerning the International Institute for Educational Planning, paragraph 01502, and decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt this resolution as amended by the Commission (26 C/Resolution 1.3).

(46) The Commission considered proposed resolution 1.4 concerning the UNESCO Institute for Education, paragraph 01601, and decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt this resolution (26 C/Resolution 1.4).

(47) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of the work plans presented under Major Programme Area I, paragraphs 01101-01339, 01403-01409, 01503-01513, 01602-01609, as amended by the Commission and also take note of the work plans of the Co-operation for Development and Participation Programme under Major Programme Area I (paras. 01702-01715, 01801-01804) on the understanding that further modifications might be decided by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(48) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of the following documents: 26 C/17 and Add.; 26 C/31; 26 C/33; 26 C/35; 26 C/36; 26 C/75; 26 C/76; 26 C/77; 26 C/78; 26 C/79; 26 C/80; 26 C/81; 26 C/83; 26 C/84; 26 C/105; 26 C/106; 26 C/107; 26 C/108; 26 C/109 and 26 C/115.

Appropriation

(49) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the budget provision of \$80,164,600 for Major Programme Area I, paragraph 01001 of document 26 C/5, it being understood that this amount was subject to adjustment in the light of the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and on the use of the Reserve for Draft Resolutions, and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and of the five Programme Commissions.

C. REPORT OF COMMISSION III¹

Introduction

<u>Item 3.5</u>	Major Programme Area II - Science for progress and the environment
	Programme II.1 - Science and technology for development and Programme II.3 - Science, technology and society
<u>Item 3.5</u>	Major Programme Area II - Science for progress and the environment
	Programme II.2 - Environment and natural resources management

Recommendations on Major Programme Area II as a whole

^{1.} The General Conference took note of this report at its twenty-fifth plenary meeting, on 6 November 1991.

INTRODUCTION

(1) At its first meeting, held on 15 October 1991, Commission III elected by acclamation its <u>Chairman</u>, Professor Komlavi Fofoli Seddoh (Togo), on the recommendation of the Nominations Committee.

(2) At its second meeting, the Commission approved the Nominations Committee's proposal for the offices of Vice-Chairmen and Rapporteur, as follows: <u>Vice-Chairmen</u>: Mr Rachid Ouahmed (Algeria), Mr Gábor Vida (Hungary), Mr Reza Maknoon (Islamic Republic of Iran), Mr C.A. Voûte (Netherlands); <u>Rapporteur</u>: Mr Miguel Laufer (Venezuela).

(3) The Commission then adopted its timetable of work (26 C/COM.III/1).

ITEM 3.5 - MAJOR PROGRAMME AREA II - SCIENCE FOR PROGRESS AND THE ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME II.1 - SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY FOR DEVELOPMENT AND PROGRAMME II.3 - SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND SOCIETY

(4) At its second to sixth meetings, the Commission considered the following Subprogrammes of Programmes II.1 and II.3:

- II.1.1 Strengthening of national and regional capacities in university science and technology education;
- II.1.2 Promotion of scientific and technological research;
- II.3.1 Scientific and technological culture;
- II.3.2 Management of science and technology development.

(5) Sixty-two delegates and the representatives of six international non-governmental organizations and one intergovernmental organization took the floor.

Proposed resolution in document 26 C/5

(6) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt paragraphs 2(a)(i) and 2(a)(ii) of resolution 2.1 contained in paragraph 02002 of document 26 C/5 as amended by 26 C/DR.185 (India) with respect to paragraph 2(a)(ii), 26 C/DR.229 (Uganda) with respect to the modification of the first item under paragraph 2(a)(i) and the addition of a phrase to the end of paragraph 2(a)(ii), and 26 C/DR.253 (Canada) with respect to the pre-amble and to paragraph 1 (26 C/Resolution 2.1).

(7) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference adopt paragraph 2(c) of resolution 2.1 as amended by 26 C/DR.105 (Benin) with respect to paragraph 2(c)(ii), 26 C/DR.173 (Tunisia) with respect to the first item under paragraph 2(c)(i), 26 C/DR.229 (Uganda) with respect to paragraph 2(c)(i) proposing the addition of the word 'technology', and 26 C/DR.282 (Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden) proposing the addition of a further item, as amended by the note by the Director-General, under paragraph 2(c)(i) (26 C/Resolution 2.1).

(8) The amendments proposed by 26 C/DR.148 (Nigeria) to paragraph 02002 as a whole and 26 C/DR.229 (Uganda) to paragraph 2(a)(i) of resolution 2.1 and the proposed addition of a further item to that same paragraph were not approved by the Commission. Nor did the Commission approve the amendment proposed in 26 C/DR.229 (Uganda) to paragraph 2(c)(i) concerning the reference to formal and out-of-school education.

<u>Work plan</u>

(9) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.24 (France), 26 C/DR.91 (Bulgaria), 26 C/DR.99 (Ukraine), 26 C/DR.189 (Venezuela), 26 C/DR.202 (Syrian Arab Republic), 26 C/DR.246 (Niger), 26 C/DR.269 (USSR) and 26 C/DR.275 (Islamic Republic of Iran) which could be implemented in the context of the activities provided for in document 26 C/5 and did not require any modification of the text proposed in that document; it should also take note of the respective notes by the Director-General and should invite the Director-General to take these draft resolutions into consideration in the implementation of the activities of the biennium.

(10) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.188 (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), 26 C/DR.190 and Corr. (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) and 26 C/DR.295 (Romania) which could be implemented in the context of the activities provided for in document 26 C/5, and of the Director-General's notes specifying that the mobilization of extra-budgetary funds would be required.

(11) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.105 (Benin) and 26 C/DR.185 (India) and the note by the Director-General and should invite the Director-General to take those draft resolutions into consideration when finalizing the Programme and Budget for 1992-1993.

(12) As regards 26 C/DR.148 (Nigeria), the Commission approved the amendment proposed to its subparagraph (ii) and recommended that the General Conference invite the Director-General to modify the text of document 26 C/5 accordingly.

(13) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the part of 26 C/DR.173 (Tunisia) which proposed amendments to paragraphs 02303 and 02305 and invite the Director-General to take it into consideration when preparing the text of the Approved Programme and Budget.

(14) As regards 26 C/DR.151 and 26 C/DR.158 (Nigeria) which proposed amendments to the text of document 26 C/5, the Commission took note of the comments of the Director-General in which he stated that the work plan need not be changed as the proposals were either included implicitly in the present text or they would reduce its scope.

(15) 26 C/DR.152 (Nigeria), 26 C/DR.195 (Romania), 26 C/DR.222 (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), 26 C/DR.223 (Kenya), 26 C/DR.224 (United Republic of Tanzania and and 26 C/DR.270 (Union of Soviet Zaire) Socialist Republics) were inadmissible according to the provisions of Article 78A, paragraph 3, of the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference. However, the Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the Director-General's comments on these draft resolutions.

C/DR.321 (16) As 26 (Australia, for Indonesia and Malaysia), which was also ruled inadmissible according to the provisions of Article 78A, paragraph 3, of the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference, the Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the accompanying note by the Director-General and of his representative's reply to the debate with regard to the raising of extra-budgetary funds.

(17) The Commission recommended that the Conference allocate sums General from the Special Reserve Fund to the following draft having resolutions budgetary implications: 26 C/DR.22 and Add. (Colombia, Venezuela, Costa Rica, Panama and Ecuador), \$13,000; 26 C/DR.23 (Venezuela), \$6,000 (supplementary funding could be requested under the Participation Programme in accordance with established procedures); 26 C/DR.34 (Uganda), \$20,000 for the subregional seminar (the part for the national seminar could be requested under the Participation Programme in accordance with current procedures); 26 C/DR.54 (Ukraine), \$10,000 (additional funding could be requested under the Participation accordance Programme in with established procedures). The Commission also recommended that the General Conference take note of the Director-General's comments accompanying these draft resolutions.

(18) The Commission recommended to the General Conference that 26 C/DR.14 (Bulgaria) be examined, if a request were made, under the Participation Programme for 1992-1993, in accordance with established procedures.

(19) With regard to 26 C/DR.277, which dealt with the same subject as 26 C/DR.22 and Add. and was submitted by the same Member States together with three others (Argentina, Chile and Uruguay), its sponsors agreed to withdraw it, the three Member States mentioned joining the list of sponsors of 26 C/DR.22. The Commission therefore recommended that the General Conference take note of the Director-General's comments accompanying document 26 C/DR.277, it being understood that the institutes mentioned in this draft resolution could be taken into account in document 26 C/5 and entailed no budgetary implications.

(20) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan for Programme II.1 contained in paragraphs 02102-02128 and Programme II.3 contained in paragraphs 02302-02312 of document 26 C/5, as modified by the Commission's decisions.

(21) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the allocations provided for in paragraphs 02101 and 02301 under Programmes II.1 and II.3. (22) From its sixth to its eleventh meetings the Commission examined Programme II.2, covering the intersectoral and inter-agency co-operation project on environmental education and information and the following subprogrammes:

- II.2.1 Intersectoral and inter-agency cooperation;
- II.2.2 Earth sciences and natural hazards;
- II.2.3 Man and the Biosphere (MAB);
- II.2.4 ~ The Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (IOC) and marine sciencerelated issues;
- II.2.5 Hydrology and water resources management (International Hydrological Programme).

(23) Seventy-three delegates, the representative of the secretariat of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) and representatives of three international non-governmental organizations took the floor.

Proposed resolution in document 26 C/5

(24) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt paragraph 2(b) of resolution 2.1, contained in paragraph 02002 of document 26 C/5, as amended by 26 C/DR.284 (Portugal, Argentina, Costa Rica, Italy, Mexico, Norway, Peru, Philippines, Venezuela, Denmark, Sweden and Yugoslavia), which was itself amended orally during the debate (26 C/Resolution 2.1).

(25) The amendments to paragraph 2(b) proposed in 26 C/DR.159 (Nigeria), to paragraph 2(b)(ii) in 26 C/DR.253 (Canada) and to paragraph 2(b)(v) in 26 C/DR.229 (Uganda) were not adopted by the Commission; nor was the proposal in 26 C/DR.72 (Uganda) that a new subprogramme be established.

(26) The amendment to paragraph 2(b)(vi) proposed in 26 C/DR.173 (Tunisia) was withdrawn by its sponsor.

<u>Work plan</u>

(27) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.88 (Bulgaria), 26 C/DR.125 (Islamic Republic of Iran), 26 C/DR.267 (Germany) and 26 C/DR.287 (Switzerland), which had no budgetary implications and did not entail any modifications to the work plan, and of the Director-General's comments thereon, and invited the latter to take them into consideration in the implementation of activities during the biennium.

(28) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.156 (Nigeria) and 26 C/DR.127 (Islamic Republic of Iran) on the basis of the Director-General's comments thereon, and accordingly invited the latter to take them into consideration in the implementation of activities during the biennium.

(29) With regard to 26 C/DR.310 (Romania), the Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the Director-General's comments, it being understood that the draft resolution had no budgetary implications.

(30) With regard to 26 C/DR.301 (Uruguay, Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Mexico, Panama, Paraguay, Venezuela and Peru), the Commission took note of Commission V's recommendation to the General Conference concerning its adoption.

(31) The Commission recommended to the General Conference, in connection with 26 C/DR.20 (Bulgaria) and Add. (Spanish only), 26 C/DR.82 (Philippines and Ecuador), 26 C/DR.87 (Bulgaria) and 26 C/DR.299 (Peru) that it invite the Director-General to make every possible effort to mobilize extra-budgetary funds to make possible their implementation as part of or as a complement to document 26 C/5.

(32) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.81 (Senegal, Togo and Côte d'Ivoire) as amended orally in the first operative paragraph, by deletion of the phrase following 'TREDMAR programmes' and the addition of 'are carried out jointly by the Natural Sciences Sector of UNESCO and the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission, in particular with the support of its Regional Committee for the Central Eastern Atlantic (IOCEA) and the TEMA (Training, Education and Mutual Assistance) programme', and to the final operative paragraph by the addition, after the words 'will continue' of the phrase 'in close co-operation with the Natural Sciences Sector of UNESCO and the Inter-Oceanographic governmental Commission and through the use, as far as possible, of its regional bodies', the rest of the paragraph unchanged. The remaining Commission also recommended that the General Conference take note of the Director-General's comments on this draft resolution.

(33) With regard to the amendments to the

work plan for Programme II.2 proposed in 26 C/DR.173 (Tunisia), the sponsor deleted the last two subparagraphs of the final operative paragraph and changed the first subparagraph of that same paragraph by adding the phrase 'with technical support from UNESCO' after the word 'assist', and the sentence: 'This centre will be established under the auspices of UNESCO and placed in category B(ii) in accordance with the definition given in document 21 C/36.' at the The Commission end of the subparagraph. accordingly recommended that the General Conference take note of this draft resolution as amended by its sponsor, and of the note by the Director-General thereon, it being understood draft would have no budgetary that the implications.

(34) With regard to 26 C/DR.330 (submitted by the Chairman of Commission III) which was an amended version of 26 C/DR.101 (Netherlands, Italy, Czechoslovakia and Portugal), the Commission decided. at the Chairman's suggestion, to set up a working group to submit a revised text to the Commission. The Commission then recommended to the General Conference that it adopt 26 C/DR.330 Rev. as amended by the Commission (26 C/Resolution 2.2).

(35) The Commission noted that 26 C/DR.62 (Czechoslovakia) and 26 C/DR.303 (Italy) had been withdrawn by their sponsors.

(36) The Commission noted that with regard to 26 C/DR.298 submitted by Denmark, Finland, Norway and Sweden, the General Committee of the General Conference had decided that it was for Commission IV to rule on the draft.

(37) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the amendment proposed by 26 C/DR.154 (Nigeria) as modified orally during the debate (the phrase 'in situ' having been changed to 'in the region') and that it invite the Director-General to take it into account when preparing the text of the Approved Programme and Budget.

(38) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.155 (Nigeria), on the understanding that the work plan of the English version would be altered accordingly.

(39) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.273 (Switzerland) and of the Director-General's comments, on the understanding that the work plan would be amended in accordance with these comments.

(40) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.284 (Portugal, Costa Rica, Peru, Argentina, Italy, Mexico, Philippines, Norway, Venezuela, Denmark, Sweden and Yugoslavia) and of the proposed amendments to the work plan as modified orally

during the discussions, and invite the Director-General to take them into account when drawing up the text of the Approved Programme and Budget, by amending the following paragraphs: 02254. 02255 and 02258 as indicated in 26 C/DR.284; paragraph 02259, insert after 'regional level', the phrase 'through a special effort and the strengthening of the IOC subsidiary bodies'; paragraph 02267 to read: 'Preparation, jointly with IOC, of international projects on marine biodiversity ...', the rest of the paragraph remaining unchanged; paragraph 02272, insert in the parentheses after 'Africa' the words 'in co-operation with IOC regional subsidiary bodies - IOCEA and IOCINCWIO - and ...)' and add, after the word 'implementation' the words, ', jointly with IOC'; paragraph 02278, add, after 'training networks' the words 'and TEMA activities'.

(41) With regard to 26 C/DR.150 (Nigeria) and 26 C/DR.157 (Nigeria), the Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the Director-General's comments to the effect that it was not necessary to change the work plan.

(42) The Commission recommended to the General Conference that the following draft resolutions be examined, if requests were made, under the Participation Programme for 1992–1993 in accordance with established procedures: 26 C/DR.38 (Bulgaria), 26 C/DR.60 (Netherlands) and 26 C/DR.90 (Bulgaria).

(43) The Commission recommended to the General Conference that the following draft resolutions with budgetary implications receive an allocation from the Special Reserve Fund amounting to US \$150,000 for Commission III: for 26 C/DR.3 (Bulgaria) an amount of US \$10,000; for 26 C/DR.5 (Malaysia, Thailand, Indonesia and Philippines) an amount of US \$21,000; for 26 C/DR.8 (Panama, Costa Rica, Bolivia, Ecuador, Chile, Belize) an amount of US \$30,000; for 26 C/DR.33 (Netherlands, Czechoslovakia) an amount of \$5,000 (additional support could be considered under the Participation Programme if a request was made in accordance with established procedures); for 26 C/DR.35 (Uganda) an amount of US \$5,000 (additional support for this could be activity considered under the Participation Programme should a request be made in accordance with established procedures); for 26 C/DR.59 (Netherlands) an amount of US \$5,000 being understood that this amount would be used to assist Eastern and Central European participation in the Conference concerned; for 26 C/DR.68 (Venezuela) an amount of US \$10,000 (additional support could be considered under the Participation Programme should a request be made in accordance with established procedures); for 26 C/DR.69 (Uganda) an amount of US \$10,000

to assist subregional participation in training activities; and for 26 C/DR.126 and Corr. (Islamic Republic of Iran) an amount of US \$5,000.

(44) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan of Programme II.2 contained in paragraphs 02202-02290 of document 26 C/5, on the understanding that it would be amended in the light of the resolutions and amendments approved by the Commission.

(45) The Commission recommended that the

General Conference take note of the budget provision for Programme II.2 appearing in paragraph 02201.

(46) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference take note of the text concerning co-operation for development and the Participation Programme contained in paragraphs 02401-02503 of document 26 C/5 and of the corresponding budget provisions.

(47) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of documents 26 C/85, 26 C/86, 26 C/87 and 26 C/88.

RECOMMENDATIONS ON MAJOR PROGRAMME AREA II AS A WHOLE

(48) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the appropriation of \$60,914,300 provided for in paragraph 02001 of document 26 C/5, it being understood that the figure was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions, and subject to the decisions of Commission IV on computer science.

(49) The Commission recommended to the General Conference that it endorse the declaration concerning the role of UNESCO in relation to the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), appearing in document 26 C/COM.III/3 as amended by the Commission (26 C/Resolution 2.1, Annex), and invited the Director-General to transmit it to the Preparatory Committee of UNCED.

D. REPORT OF COMMISSION IV1

Introduction

I.	DEBATE 1	
	<u>Item 3.5</u>	Major Programme Area III – Culture: past, present and future Programme III.3 – Preservation and enhancement of the cultural heritage
	<u>Item 14.3</u>	Solomon Islands' request to be invited to accede to the Convention for the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage
	DEBATE 2	
	<u>Item 3.5</u>	Major Programme Area III – Culture: past, present and future World Decade for Cultural Development
	<u>Item 15.3</u>	World Report on Culture and Development
	DEBATE 3	
	<u>Item 3.5</u>	Major Programme Area III – Culture: past, present and future Programme III.1 – International cultural co-operation, and preservation and enrichment of cultural identities
	<u>Item 3.5</u>	Major Programme Area III – Culture: past, present and future Programme III.2 – Culture for development and
		Co-operation for Development and Participation Programme under Major Programme Area III
	<u>Item 6.6</u>	Draft recommendation to Member States on the safeguarding of works in the public domain
	<u>Item 15.4</u>	The Roads of Faith
	<u>Item 15.5</u>	Contribution of Arab civilization to Latin American culture via the Iberian peninsula
II.	<u>Item 3.5</u>	Major Programme Area III - Proposed resolution 3.1 in the Draft Programme and Budget (26 C/5), Appropriation, Work plan

^{1.} The General Conference took note of this report at its twenty-sixth plenary meeting, on 6 November 1991.

Commission IV

III.	DEBATE 4	
	<u>Item 4.1</u>	Jerusalem and the implementation of 25 C/Resolution 3.6
IV.	DEBATE 5	
	<u>Item 3.5</u>	Major Programme Area IV - Communication in the service of humanity
۷.	DEBATE 6	
	<u>Item 3.5</u>	Major Programme Area II - Science for progress and the environment - Informatics; Transverse Themes and Programmes - Chapter 3: General Information Programme and

Chapter 4: Clearing house

(1) At its first meeting, held on 15 October 1991, Commission IV elected Mr León Portilla (Mexico) <u>Chairman</u>, on the proposal of the Nominations Committee.

(2) At its second meeting, held on 24 October 1991, the Commission approved the Nominations Committee's proposals for the offices of Vice-Chairmen and Rapporteur, as follows: <u>Vice-Chairmen</u>: Mr Dietrich Schuller (Austria), Mr Khalid Mahmood (Pakistan), Mr Dan Haulica (Romania) and Mr Adib Ghanama (Syrian Arab Republic); <u>Rapporteur</u>: Professor Fred I.A. Omu (Nigeria).

(3) The Commission adopted the timetable set out in document 26 C/COM.IV/1.

(4) It went on to discuss the following items on its agenda:

<u>Item 3.5</u> - Major Programme Area III -Culture: past, present and future, Programme III.3 - Preservation and enhancement of the cultural heritage;

<u>Item 14.3</u> - Solomon Islands' request to be invited to accede to the Convention for the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage;

<u>Item 3.5</u> - Major Programme Area III -Culture: past, present and future, World Decade for Cultural Development;

<u>Item 15.3</u> - World Report on Culture and Development;

<u>Item 3.5</u> - Major Programme Area III -Culture: past, present and future, Programme III.1 - International cultural co-operation, and preservation and enrichment of cultural identities;

<u>Item 3.5</u> - Major Programme Area III -Culture: past, present and future, Programme III.2 - Culture for development; and

Co-operation for Development and Participation Programme under Major Programme Area III;

<u>Item 6.6</u> – Draft recommendation to Member States on the safeguarding of works in the public domain;

<u>Item 15.4</u> - The Roads of Faith;

<u>Item 15.5</u> – Contribution of Arab civilization to Latin American culture via the Iberian peninsula;

Item 4.1 – Jerusalem and the implementation of 25 C/Resolution 3.6;

<u>Item 3.5</u> - Major Programme Area IV - Communication in the service of humanity;

<u>Item 3.5</u> – Major Programme Area II – Science for progress and the environment – Informatics;

<u>Item 3.5</u> - Transverse Themes and Programmes - Chapter 3: General Information Programme;

<u>Item 3.5</u> - Transverse Themes and Programmes - Chapter 4: Clearing house.

(5) The Commission considered the items on its agenda in the course of 17 meetings, held from Thursday, 24 October to Thursday, 31 October.

(6) The Commission adopted its report at its eighteenth and nineteenth meetings, on 4 November 1991.

Ι

DEBATE 1

ITEM 3.5 - MAJOR PROGRAMME AREA III - CULTURE: PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE PROGRAMME III.3 - PRESERVATION AND ENHANCEMENT OF THE CULTURAL HERITAGE ITEM 14.3 - SOLOMON ISLANDS' REQUEST TO BE INVITED TO ACCEDE TO THE CONVENTION FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

(7) At its second, third and fourth meetings, the Commission examined item 3.5 – Major Programme Area III – Culture: past, present and future (Programme III.3 – Preservation and enhancement of the cultural heritage), and item 14.3. The representatives of 60 Member States took the floor.

<u>Item 3.5</u>

<u>Draft resolutions relating to the Programme and</u> <u>Budget</u>

(8) The Commission decided that 26 C/DR.38 submitted by Bulgaria should be considered by Commission III (paras. 02210-02219).

(9) The Commission informed the General Conference that the sponsor of 26 C/DR.86 and 26 C/DR.216, submitted by Syria, had taken note of the Director-General's reply in his accompanying note.

(10) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.219, submitted by Cuba, 26 C/DR.266 submitted by Italy and 26 C/DR.309 submitted by Algeria, Argentina, Bahrain, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Egypt, France, Greece, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Mauritania, Morocco, Niger, Pakistan, Peru, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, Turkey and Venezuela.

(11) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.75 Rev. submitted by Hungary (26 C/Resolution 3.13).

(12) The Commission noted that 26 C/DR.217 submitted by Cuba and 26 C/DR.259 submitted by Turkey were not admissible under Rule 78A, paragraph 3, of the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference.

(13) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.117 submitted by the Islamic Republic of Iran and to recommend that the sum of US \$20,000 should be allocated for a preliminary study from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

(14) The Commission decided to recommend

that the General Conference should take note of 26 C/DR.52 submitted by Suriname and to recommend that the sum of US \$8,500 should be allocated to it from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

(15) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference should take note of 26 C/DR.92 submitted by Bulgaria and to recommend that the sum of US \$8,000 should be allocated to it from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

(16) The Commission informed the General Conference that the sponsor of 26 C/DR.98 (Ukraine) expressed agreement with the Director-General's reply in his accompanying note.

Proposed resolution in document 26 C/5

(17) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution proposed in paragraph 03002 of document 26 C/5 as amended in its subparagraph 2(d), fourth dash, by 26 C/DR.260 submitted by Germany (26 C/Resolution 3.1).

<u>Item 14.3</u>

(18) The Commission decided to recommend to the General Conference to adopt a resolution on item 14.3 (26 C/Resolution 3.14).

DEBATE 2

ITEM 3.5 - MAJOR PROGRAMME AREA III - CULTURE: PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE WORLD DECADE FOR CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT AND ITEM 15.3 - WORLD REPORT ON CULTURE AND DEVELOPMENT

(19) At its fifth, sixth and seventh meetings, the Commission examined item 3.5 -Major Programme Area III - Culture: past, present and future - World Decade for Cultural Development and item 15.3 - World Report on Culture and Development. The representatives of 59 Member States took the floor as well as the representative of the Holy See and the representatives of four intergovernmental organizations.

<u>Item 3.5</u>

<u>Draft resolutions relating to the Programme and</u> <u>Budget</u>

(20) The Commission decided to recommend

that the General Conference take note of the withdrawal, before their reproduction of 26 C/DR.279 (Venezuela) and 26 C/DR.280 (Venezuela). 26 C/DR.170 (Venezuela) and 26 C/DR.180 (Venezuela) were also withdrawn and replaced by 26 C/DR.170 Rev. (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, E1 Salvador, Mexico and Venezuela) and 26 C/DR.180 Rev. (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Mexico and Venezuela).

(21) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.109 (Japan) and 26 C/DR.241 (United Republic of Tanzania).

(22) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.297 (Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, Panama, Mexico and France) as amended during the debate.

(23) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.170 Rev. (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, El Salvador, Mexico and Venezuela) and 26 C/DR.180 Rev. (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Mexico and Venezuela) as amended during the debate.

(24) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.118 (Islamic Republic of Iran), on the understanding that this draft resolution would be the subject of a request under the Participation Programme for 1992-1993, to be submitted by its sponsor in accordance with existing procedures.

(25) The Commission took note that 26 C/DR.225 (Argentina) had been withdrawn by the author with the understanding that note would be taken of the reply by the Director-General in his accompanying note.

Proposed resolution in document 26 C/5

(26) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution proposed

in paragraph 03002 of document 26 C/5 as amended in paragraph 2(a), third dash, according to the wording proposed by the Director-General in paragraph 2 of his accompanying note to 26 C/DR.260 (Germany) (26 C/Resolution 3.1).

Proposed resolution in document 26 C/94

(27) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt the draft resolution contained in paragraph 35 of document 26 C/94 (26 C/Resolution 3.2).

Item 15.3

(28) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt the draft resolution on the World Commission on Culture and Development (26 C/COM.IV/DR.1 Rev.1), as presented by the Chair of the Working Group (26 C/Resolution 3.4): The delegate of France stated that given the convergence of views, his country did not wish to oppose a consensus; he added that had a vote been taken on the text, he would nevertheless have abstained. The delegate of Tunisia supported this declaration and asked that cultural diversity be taken into account.

DEBATE 3

ITEM 3.5 - MAJOR PROGRAMME AREA III - CULTURE: PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE PROGRAMME III.1 - INTERNATIONAL CULTURAL CO-OPERATION, AND PRESERVATION AND ENRICHMENT OF CULTURAL IDENTITIES PROGRAMME III.2 - CULTURE FOR DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION FOR DEVELOPMENT AND PARTICIPATION PROGRAMME UNDER MAJOR PROGRAMME AREA III ITEM 6.6 - DRAFT RECOMMENDATION TO MEMBER STATES ON THE SAFEGUARDING OF WORKS IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN ITEM 15.4 - THE ROADS OF FAITH ITEM 15.5 - CONTRIBUTION OF ARAB CIVILIZATION TO LATIN AMERICAN CULTURE VIA THE IBERIAN PENINSULA

(29) From its eighth to eleventh meetings, the Commission examined, under item 3.5 -Programme III.1 International cultural co-operation, and preservation and enrichment of cultural identities; Programme III.2 - Culture for development; and Co-operation for Development and Participation Programme under Major Programme Area III. It examined, under item 6.6 - Draft recommendation to Member States on the safeguarding of works in the public domain; under item 15.4 - The Roads of Faith; and under item 15.5 - Contribution of Arab civilization to Latin American culture via the Iberian peninsula. The representatives of 51 Member States, seven non-governmental

organizations and two intergovernmental organizations took the floor.

<u>Item 3.5</u>

<u>Draft resolutions relating to the Programme and</u> <u>Budget</u>

(30) The Commission noted that 26 C/DR.213 (Sudan), 26 C/DR.290 (Romania), 26 C/DR.291 (Romania) and 26 C/DR.328 (Islamic Republic of Iran) were not admissible under Rule 78A, paragraph 3, of the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference. (31) The Commission decided that 26 C/DR.226 (Sri Lanka) should be considered under discussion concerning Part II.B – Chapter 3: General Information Programme (PGI).

(32) The Commission took note of the recommendation by Commission V that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.301 (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Mexico, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay and Venezuela) with an amendment.

(33) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of the withdrawal, prior to the discussion, of 26 C/DR.106 (Benin), 26 C/DR.124 (Islamic Republic of Iran), 26 C/DR.227 (Uganda) and 26 C/DR.276 (Peru), the latter being withdrawn before its reproduction.

(34) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of the withdrawal, during the discussion, of 26 C/DR.62 (Czechoslovakia) in light of the Director-General's reply in his accompanying note.

(35) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.300 (Belgium, Costa Rica, France, Italy, Japan, Kuwait, Netherlands, Norway, Pakistan, Philippines, Romania, Syrian Arab Republic and Venezuela) (26 C/Resolution 3.15).

(36) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.320 (China, France, Greece, Islamic Republic of Iran, Mongolia, Pakistan, Portugal, Republic of Korea, Sri Lanka, Turkey and USSR) as amended during the debate (26 C/Resolution 3.5).

(37) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.326 (Colombia, Ecuador, Guatemala, Mexico and Venezuela) (26 C/Resolution 3.6).

(38) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of: 26 C/DR.102 Rev. (Czechoslovakia); 26 C/DR.107 (Brazil, Burundi, Cape Verde, Chad, China, Cuba, Finland, France, Kenya, Madagascar, Mauritania, Mexico, Niger, Portugal, Spain, Switzerland, United Republic of Tanzania, Togo, Tunisia, Uganda and Venezuela); 26 C/DR.111 (France, Bolivia, Chile, Peru Cuba Ecuador, and Czechoslovakia); 26 C/DR.171 (Tunisia); 26 C/DR.271 (Italy and Tunisia); 26 C/DR.305 (Angola, Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Comoros, Congo, France, Haiti, Madagascar, Niger, Peru, Senegal and Togo); 26 C/DR.312 (Argentina, Bolivia and Peru); 26 C/DR.313 (Angola, Bolivia, Cape Verde, Greece, Italy, Mozambique, Peru, Portugal and Senegal); 26 C/DR.314 (Australia, Cook Islands, New Zealand, Papua New Guinea, Samoa and Tonga); 26 C/DR.315 (Australia, Cook Islands, France, New Zealand, Papua New Guinea,

Samoa and Tonga); and 26 C/DR.329 (Islamic Republic of Iran).

(39) The Commission decided to inform the General Conference that the sponsors of 26 C/DR.53 (Bulgaria), 26 C/DR.86 (Syrian Arab Republic), 26 C/DR.115 (Islamic Republic of Iran), 26 C/DR.216 (Syrian Arab Republic), 26 C/DR.218 (Cuba), 26 C/DR.231 (Uganda) had expressed their agreement with the Director-General's reply in his accompanying note, on the understanding that these draft resolutions would he the subiect of requests under the Participation Programme for 1992-1993, to be submitted by their sponsors in accordance with existing procedures.

(40) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.20 (Bulgaria) and to recommend that the sum of US \$6,500 be allocated to it from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

(41) The Commission decided to recommend that with reference to paragraph 03130 and in the light of the Director-General's reply in his accompanying note, the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.37 (Egypt and Tunisia). With reference to paragraph 03104 in 26 C/DR.37 (Egypt and Tunisia), the Commission decided to recommend to the General Conference that the sum of US \$5,000 be allocated from the Draft Resolution Reserve. With reference to paragraph 03124 in 26 C/DR.37 (Equpt and Tunisia), the Commission decided to inform the General Conference that the sponsor expressed agreement with the Director-General's reply in his accompanying note.

(42) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.40 (Czechoslovakia) and that the sum of US \$30,000 be allocated to it from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

(43) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.46 (USSR) and that the sum of US \$15,000 be allocated to it from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

(44) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.57 (Bulgaria) and that the sum of US \$6,500 be allocated to it from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

(45) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.74 (Ukraine) and that the sum of US \$60,000 be allocated to it from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

(46) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.77 Rev. (Belarus, Ukraine and USSR) and that the sum of US \$15,000 be allocated to it from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

(47) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.232 (Uganda) and that the sum of US \$9,000 be allocated to it from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

(48) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.249 (Ecuador, Peru and Venezuela) and that the sum of US \$9,500 be allocated to it from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

(49) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.292 (Romania) and that the sum of US \$7,000 be allocated to it from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

Proposed resolution in document 26 C/5

(50) The Commission decided to inform the General Conference of the withdrawal of that part of 26 C/DR.260 (Germany) pertaining to subparagraph 2(b)(ii).

Item 6.6

(51) The Commission decided to recommend to

the General Conference the adoption of a resolution requesting the Director-General to continue to study with Member States their legislation containing specific provisions regarding works in the public domain, and to submit the matter for a final decision to the twenty-seventh session of the General Conference (26 C/Resolution 3.8).

Item 15.4

(52) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/COM.IV/DR.2 (Morocco, supported by Iraq, Sudan and Tunisia).

<u>Item 15.5</u>

(53) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of 26 C/COM.IV/DR.3 (Algeria, Argentina, Brazil, Burkina Faso, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Equatorial Guinea, Gambia, India, Italy, Japan, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Mauritania, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Pakistan, Paraguay, Peru, Portugal, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Spain, Switzerland, Syrian Arab Republic, Tunisia, Venezuela and Yemen).

II

ITEM 3.5 - MAJOR PROGRAMME AREA III - PROPOSED RESOLUTION 3.1 IN THE DRAFT PROGRAMME AND BUDGET, APPROPRIATION AND WORK PLAN

Proposed resolution 3.1

(54) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt as a whole resolution 3.1 proposed by the Director-General in paragraph 03002 of document 26 C/5 as amended (26 C/Resolution 3.1).

Appropriation

(55) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$32,592,400 (para. 03001 of 26 C/5) for Major Programme Area III, it being understood that this amount was subject to adjustment in the light of the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the Programme Commissions.

<u>Work plan</u>

(56) As amended by paragraph 52 of document 26 C/6. 26 C/DR.37 (with reference tο para. 03130), 26 C/DR.232 and 26 C/DR.313, as well as the proposal made by the President and adopted by the Commission concerning option 4 of the International Programme of the World Decade Development, the Commission for Cultural recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan (paras. 03004-03502), with the modifications which might result from the draft resolutions adopted by the General Conference.

DEBATE 4

ITEM 4.1 - JERUSALEM AND THE IMPLEMENTATION OF 25 C/RESOLUTION 3.6

(57) At its eleventh meeting, the Commission examined item 4.1 - Jerusalem and the implementation of 25 C/Resolution 3.6. Representatives of ten Member States, the observer of a permanent mission, and one intergovernmental organization took the floor. After a vote, the Commission decided, by 53 votes to one, with five abstentions, to recommend to the General Conference that it adopt the draft resolution contained in document 26 C/14 Add. This draft resolution was recommended by the Executive Board, at its 137th session (137 EX/Decision 5.3.1), for adoption by the General Conference (26 C/Resolution 3.12).

I۷

DEBATE 5

ITEM 3.5 - MAJOR PROGRAMME AREA IV - COMMUNICATION IN THE SERVICE OF HUMANITY

(58) During its twelfth to fourteenth meetings, Commission IV examined item 3.5 -Major Programme Area IV.

(59) During the debates 53 delegates, three observers from non-governmental organizations and a representative of a United Nations Specialized Agency took the floor.

<u>Draft resolutions relating to the Programme and</u> <u>Budget</u>

(60) With respect to 26 C/DR.298 submitted by Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden, and referred by the General Committee to Commission IV for discussion, the Commission noted that the Director-General had found the draft resolution inadmissible under Rule 78A of the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference. The Commission, however, recommended that the General Conference endorse the preoccupation expressed by the authors of 26 C/DR.298 and that it invite the Director-General to increase the allocation of funds to Subprogramme IV.1.1 (para. 04104) and to Subprogramme IV.2.3 (para. 04215) as far as possible from programme adjustments in other major programme areas and/or Transverse Themes and Programmes identified during the execution of the 1992-1993 Programme.

(61) The Commission noted the withdrawal, before its discussion, of 26 C/DR.62 (Czechoslovakia) by its sponsor.

(62) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.209 (Syrian Arab Republic), and 26 C/DR.169 (Nigeria) and invite the Director-General to take 26 C/DR.169 into account when implementing the work plan.

(63) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.123 submitted by the Islamic Republic of Iran and that it invite the Director-General to consider enlarging, as far as possible, to the Asia/Pacific and Latin American regions the study on the role of media in disaster and emergency situations.

(64) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt <u>in extenso</u> 26 C/DR.248 presented by Niger and co-sponsored by Germany and Switzerland, and by many other delegations during the debate, with a reformulation of subparagraph (d) (26 C/Resolution 4.1). The representative of Venezuela, however, requested that the strong opposition and reservations of his delegation be put on record and explained the reasons for this objection.

(65) The Commission informed the General Conference that the sponsor of 26 C/DR.172 (Tunisia) and 26 C/DR.174 (Tunisia) expressed agreement with the replies of the Director-General in his accompanying notes.

(66) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.7 (Bulgaria) and that a sum of US \$10,000 be allocated from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

Recommendation concerning paragraph 04204 of document 26 C/5

(67) The Commission recommended that the General Conference amend paragraph 04204 concerning the programme and work of the International Programme for the Development of Communication.¹ The delegate of Chile voiced his reservations and declared his opposition to the holding of regional meetings of experts, firstly because that would mark an excessive departure from the normal functioning not only of IPDC but of all UNESCO's subsidiary bodies; secondly because it would be harmful to the universality that - in his judgement - should characterize the Programme's work; and thirdly because it would foster imbalances between regions.

Proposed resolution 4.1 in document 26 C/5

(68) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt resolution 4.1 proposed by the Director-General in paragraph 04002 for Major Programme Area IV, as amended by 26 C/DR.302 submitted by Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden (26 C/Resolution 4.1).

Appropriation

(69) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of

US \$10,950,900 for Major Programme Area IV (paras. 04001 and 04601), it being understood that this was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions and in the light of the invitation addressed to the Director-General in paragraph 60 of the present report.

<u>Work plan</u>

(70) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan for Major Programme Area IV (paras. 04102-04503) as amended by 26 C/DR.169 and with the modifications which might result from the draft resolutions approved by the General Conference.

 This amendment was approved by the General Conference (see 26 C/5 Approved, para. 04204).

۷

DEBATE 6

ITEM 3.5 - MAJOR PROGRAMME AREA II - SCIENCE FOR PROGRESS AND THE ENVIRONMENT - INFORMATICS TRANSVERSE THEMES AND PROGRAMMES CHAPTER 3: GENERAL INFORMATION PROGRAMME CHAPTER 4: CLEARING HOUSE

(71) During its fifteenth to seventeenth meetings, Commission IV examined: Major Programme Area II - Science for progress and the environment - Informatics; Transverse Themes and Programmes - Chapter 3: General Information Programme and Chapter 4: Clearing house.

(72) During the debates, 43 delegates and five observers from non-governmental organizations took the floor.

<u>Major Programme Area II - Science for progress</u> and the environment - Informatics

<u>Draft resolutions relating to the Programme and</u> <u>Budget</u>

(73) The Commission decided to inform the General Conference that the sponsor of 26 C/DR.148 (Nigeria) expressed agreement with the Director-General's reply in his accompanying note.

(74) The Commission decided to inform the General Conference that the sponsor of 26 C/DR.149 (Nigeria) expressed agreement, as regards paragraph (i), with the Director-General's reply in his accompanying note. Furthermore, the Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of paragraph (ii) of this draft resolution and invite the Director-General to take it into account when finalizing the work plan.

Proposed resolution 2.1 in document 26 C/5

(75) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt paragraph 2(a)(iii) concerning Informatics in resolution 2.1 proposed for Major Programme Area II.(para. 02002), as amended in its second item by 26 C/DR.253 (Canada) (26 C/Resolution 2.1).

<u>Appropriation</u>

(76) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$4,157,800 for Informatics (paras. 02601 and 02631) it being understood that this figure was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

<u>Work plan</u>

(77) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan relating to Informatics (paras. 02602-02630) as amended in paragraph 02607 by 26 C/DR.149.

<u>Transverse Themes and Programmes - Chapter 3:</u> <u>General Information Programme</u>

<u>Draft resolutions relating to the Programme and</u> <u>Budget</u>

(78) The Commission took note of the fact that 26 C/DR.167, 26 C/DR.168 and 26 C/DR.325 were not admissible according to Article 78A, paragraph 3, of the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference.

(79) Before the Commission began its discussions, 26 C/DR.62 (Czechoslovakia) was withdrawn. During the debate, 26 C/DR.19 (Venezuela) and 26 C/DR.13 (Argentina, Austria) were withdrawn.

(80) The Commission took note that Bulgaria, as the sponsor of 26 C/DR.94, expressed agreement with the Director-General's reply in his accompanying note.

(81) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the following draft resolutions:

26 C/DR.121 (Islamic Republic of Iran) 26 C/DR.166 (Nigeria) 26 C/DR.211 (Syrian Arab Republic) 26 C/DR.238 (France, Germany, Greece, Italy) 26 C/DR.289 (Seychelles) 26 C/DR.311 (Romania co-sponsored by

(82) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.252 (presented by Canada, supported by Germany and Senegal) and invite the Director-General to take it into account in the implementation of the activities for the biennium (26 C/Resolution 11.33).

(83) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.324 (Argentina, Cuba, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, El Salvador, Mexico, Peru, Paraguay, Trinidad and Tobago and Venezuela) and invite the Director-General to assist in mobilizing extra-budgetary funds for the implementation of the activity concerned.

(84) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.10 (Argentina, Italy) and that the sum of US \$30,000 be allocated to it from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

(85) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of 26 C/DR.18 (Venezuela) and that the sum of US \$10,000 be allocated to it from the Draft Resolution Reserve.

(86) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.226 (Australia, Nepal, Myanmar, Republic of Korea, Sri Lanka, Trinidad and Tobago) (26 C/Resolution 11.35).

(87) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.254 submitted by Germany as amended in its operative part during the debate (26 C/Resolution 17).

(88) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.281 (Austria) (26 C/Resolution 11.34).

Proposed resolution 11.3 in document 26 C/5

(89) The Commission noted that the sponsor of 26 C/DR.283 (Austria) expressed agreement with the Director-General's reply in his accompanying note.

(90) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt resolution 11.3 (para. 11302) regarding the General Information Programme, as amended in its paragraph 2(a)(ii) during the debate to take into account the adjustment specified in document 26 C/5 Rev.1, paragraph 19 (26 C/Resolution 11.3).

Appropriation

(91) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$9,132,400 for the General Information Programme (paras. 11301 and 11348 as amended by para. 19 of 26 C/5 Rev.1) it being understood that this figure is subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

Germany).

<u>Work plan</u>

(92) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan relating to the General Information Programme (paras. 11303-11347) as amended by paragraph 19 of document 26 C/5 Rev.1.

<u>Transverse Themes and Programmes - Chapter 4:</u> <u>Clearing house</u>

Proposed resolution 11.4 in document 26 C/5

(93) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt without change resolution 11.4 (para. 11402) regarding the clearing house (26 C/Resolution 11.4).

Appropriation

(94) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$3,565,900 for the clearing house (paras. 11401 and 11409 as amended by para. 19 of 26 C/5 Rev.1) it being understood that this figure was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

<u>Work plan</u>

(95) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan relating to the clearing house (paras. 11403-11408) as amended by paragraph 19 of document 26 C/5 Rev.1.

E. REPORT OF COMMISSION V¹

Introduction

and

and

- <u>Item 3.5</u> Major Programme Area VII UNESCO's contribution to peace, human rights and the elimination of all forms of discrimination
- <u>Item 4.5</u> Implementation of 25 C/Resolution 22 concerning the follow-up to the International Congress on Peace in the Minds of Men - Yamoussoukro Declaration; Seville Statement on Violence
- <u>Item 4.6</u> Implementation of 22 C/Resolution 18.4 concerning UNESCO's contribution to peace and its tasks with respect to the promotion of human rights and the elimination of colonialism and racism
- <u>Item 6.4</u> Sexennial report on progress achieved in the implementation of the Recommendation concerning Education for International Understanding, Co-operation and Peace and Education relating to Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1974)
- <u>Item 6.10</u> Desirability of replacing by a convention the Recommendation concerning Education for International Understanding, Co-operation and Peace and Education relating to Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1974)
- <u>Item 3.5</u> Mobilizing Project 2 Youth shaping the future, Part II.B Chapter 2: Youth
- <u>Item 4.3</u> Implementation of 25 C/Resolution 19 concerning UNESCO's contribution to the promotion of international co-operation with regard to young people
- <u>Item 3.5</u> Major Programme Area V The social and human sciences in a changing world, Programmes V.1 and V.2
- <u>Item 3.5</u>' Major Programme Area VI UNESCO's contribution to prospective studies and to strategies concerned with development; and Part II.B Chapter 6: Future-oriented studies
- <u>Item 3.5</u> Part II.B Chapter 5: Statistical programmes and services

<u>Item 3.5</u> Philosophy and ethics and Major Programme Area V as a whole

<u>Conclusions</u>

^{1.} The General Conference took note of this report at its twenty-second plenary meeting, on 2 November 1991.

(1) At its first meeting, on 15 October
 1991, Commission V elected Mr Alexandre
 S. Slipchenko (Ukraine) as <u>Chairman</u>, by acclamation.

(2) Opening the second meeting of the Commission, on 16 October 1991, the Chairman made an introductory statement in which he expressed the hope that all the Commission's decisions would be adopted by consensus. On the recommendation of the Nominations Committee, Commission V then elected the other members of its Bureau: Vice-Chairmen: H.E. Mr Salvador Romero Pittari (Bolivia), Dr Bernd Hamm, who was subsequently replaced by Dr Hans Meinel (Germany), Dr Abdo Kai (Lebanon), Dr Yusuf M. Juwayeyi (Malawi); Rapporteur: Dr Ram B. Bishwakarma (Nepal).

(3) The Commission then adopted its timetable of work, set out in document 26 C/COM.V/1. The following items on the agenda of the General Conference had been referred to the Commission for consideration:

Item 3.5 - Detailed consideration of the Draft Programme and Budget for 1992-1993: Part II - Programme Execution and Services, II.A - Major Programme Areas: Major Programme Area V - The social and human sciences in a changing world; Mobilizing Project 2 - Youth shaping the future; Philosophy and ethics; Major Programme Area VI - UNESCO's contribution to prospective studies and to strategies concerned with development; Major Programme Area VII -UNESCO's contribution to peace, human rights and the elimination of all forms of discrimination. II.B - Transverse Themes and Programmes -Chapter 2: Chapter 5: Youth; Statistical programmes and services; Chapter 6: Futureoriented studies.

(4) The Commission also examined the following items:

<u>Item 4.3</u> – Implementation of 25 C/Resolution 19 concerning UNESCO's contribution to the promotion of international co-operation with regard to young people;

<u>Item 4.5</u> - Implementation of 25 C/Resolution 22 concerning the follow-up to the International Congress on Peace in the Minds of Men - Yamoussoukro Declaration; Seville Statement on Violence;

<u>Item 4.6</u> – Implementation of 22 C/Resolution 18.4 concerning UNESCO's contribution to peace and its tasks with respect to the promotion of human rights and the elimination of colonialism and racism;

<u>Item 6.4</u> - Sexennial report on progress achieved in the implementation of the Recommendation concerning Education for International Understanding, Co-operation and Peace and Education relating to Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1974);

<u>Item 6.10</u> – Desirability of replacing by a convention the Recommendation concerning Education for International Understanding, Co-operation and Peace and Education relating to Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1974).

(5) The Chairman drew the Commission's attention to a series of documents, in particular:

(a) <u>Basic documents</u>

Report of the Director-General on the Activities of the Organization in 1988-1989 (26 C/3)

Recommendations of the Executive Board concerning the Draft Programme and Budget for 1992-1993 (26 C/6 and Add.)

Amendments proposed by Member States to the Draft Programme and Budget for 1992-1993 (26 C/8)

Comments by the Executive Board concerning the Report by the Director-General for 1988-1989 (26 C/9).

(b) Working documents

Implementation of 25 C/Resolution 19 concerning UNESCO's contribution to the promotion of international co-operation with regard to young people: Report by the Director-General (26 C/16)

Implementation of 25 C/Resolution 22 concerning the follow-up to the International Congress on Peace in the Minds of Men -Yamoussoukro Declaration; Seville Statement on Violence: Report by the Director-General (26 C/18)

Implementation of 22 C/Resolution 18.4 concerning UNESCO's contribution to peace and its tasks with respect to the promotion of human rights and the elimination of colonialism and racism: Report by the Director-General (26 C/19)

Sexennial report on the progress achieved in the implementation of the Recommendation concerning Education for International Understanding, Co-operation and Peace and Education relating to Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1974) (26 C/32)

Desirability of replacing by a convention the Recommendation concerning Education for International Understanding, Co-operation and Peace and Education relating to Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1974) (26 C/38 and Corr.)

Implementation of 25 C/Resolution 7.2 on the Recommendation concerning Education for International Understanding, Co-operation and Peace and Education relating to Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1974): Report by the Director-General (26 C/82)

Implementation of the resolution concerning the implementation of the Declaration on Race and Racial Prejudice: Report by the Director-General (26 C/104)

Implementation of 25 C/Resolution 7.6 concerning the follow-up to the recommendations of the International Congress on Human Rights Teaching, Information and Documentation (1987) (26 C/110).

(6) On a proposal of the Chairman which was approved by the Commission, draft resolutions submitted by Member States were classified, according to their nature, in different categories to facilitate their examination.

(7) The Commission considered the items included in its agenda at 13 meetings, between 16 and 23 October 1991.

(8) It adopted its report on 25 October 1991. The report included the Commission's recommendations to the General Conference concerning each item on its agenda.

ITEM 3.5 - MAJOR PROGRAMME AREA VII - UNESCO'S CONTRIBUTION TO PEACE. HUMAN RIGHTS AND THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF DISCRIMINATION ITEM 4.5 - IMPLEMENTATION OF 25 C/RESOLUTION 22 CONCERNING THE FOLLOW-UP TO THE INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON PEACE IN THE MINDS OF MEN - YAMOUSSOUKRO DECLARATION; SEVILLE STATEMENT ON VIOLENCE ITEM 4.6 - IMPLEMENTATION OF 22 C/RESOLUTION 18.4 CONCERNING UNESCO'S CONTRIBUTION TO PEACE AND ITS TASKS WITH RESPECT TO THE PROMOTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND THE ELIMINATION OF COLONIALISM AND RACISM ITEM 6.4 - SEXENNIAL REPORT ON PROGRESS ACHIEVED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING EDUCATION FOR INTERNATIONAL UNDERSTANDING CO-OPERATION AND PEACE AND EDUCATION RELATING TO HUMAN RIGHTS AND FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS (1974) ITEM 6.10 - DESIRABILITY OF REPLACING BY A CONVENTION THE RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING EDUCATION FOR INTERNATIONAL UNDERSTANDING. CO-OPERATION AND PEACE AND EDUCATION RELATING TO HUMAN RIGHTS AND FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS (1974)

(9) During its second to sixth meetings, Commission V examined item 3.5 - Major Programme Area VII, items 4.5, 4.6, 6.4 and 6.10.

(10) Fifty-eight delegates including five representatives of non-governmental organizations took part in the discussion on items 3.5 - Major Programme Area VII, 4.5, 4.6, 6.4 and 6.10. One delegate exercised his right of reply.

Resolutions concerning the Programme and Budget

<u>Item 3.5 – Major Programme Area VII – UNESCO's</u> <u>contribution to peace, human rights and the</u> <u>elimination of all forms of discrimination</u>

(11) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt resolution 7.1 proposed for Major Programme Area VII (para. 07002), as amended following discussions on 26 C/DR.235 (submitted by Germany), 26 C/DR.250 (submitted by France) and 26 C/DR.251 (submitted by Poland) (26 C/Resolution 7.1). 26 C/DR.85 (submitted by Germany) was withdrawn in the light of the accompanying note by the Director-General. (12) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution proposed by the Director-General in paragraph 7 of document 26 C/82 as amended by 26 C/DR.233 (submitted by Germany) with the new formulation proposed in the accompanying note by the Director-General (26 C/Resolution 7.3).

(13) The Commission took note of the report by the Director-General on the application of the resolution concerning the implementation of the Declaration on Race and Racial Prejudice (26 C/104).

(14) The Commission further recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution proposed by the Director-General in paragraph 31 of document 26 C/110 (26 C/Resolution 7.5).

<u>Item 4.5 - Implementation of 25 C/Resolution 22</u> <u>concerning the follow-up to the International</u> <u>Congress on Peace in the Minds of Men -</u> <u>Yamoussoukro Declaration; Seville Statement on</u> <u>Violence</u>

(15) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution proposed

by the Director-General in paragraph 34 of document 26 C/18 (26 C/Resolution 7.2).

Item 4.6 - Implementation of 22 C/Resolution 18.4 concerning UNESCO's contribution to peace and its tasks with respect to the promotion of human rights and the elimination of colonialism and racism

(16) The Commission took note of the document entitled Implementation of 22 C/Resolution 18.4 concerning UNESCO's contribution to peace and its tasks with respect to the promotion of human rights and the elimination of colonialism and racism: Report by the Director-General (26 C/19).

Item 6.4 - Sexennial report on progress achieved in the implementation of the Recommendation concerning Education for International Understanding, Co-operation and Peace and Education relating to Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1974)

(17) The Commission took note of the Sexennial report on progress achieved in the implementation of the Recommendation concerning Education for International Understanding, Co-operation and Peace and Education relating to Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1974) (26 C/32).

<u>Item 6.10 – Desirability of replacing by a</u> <u>convention the Recommendation concerning</u> <u>Education for International Understanding</u>, <u>Co-operation and Peace and Education relating to</u> <u>Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1974)</u>

(18) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution proposed by the Director-General in paragraph 6 of document 26 C/38 (and 26 C/38 Corrigendum) as amended by 26 C/COM.V/DR.1 (submitted by Germany) (26 C/Resolution 7.4).

(19) As reflected above, 26 C/DR.235, 26 C/DR.233 and 26 C/COM.V/DR.1 (submitted by Germany) were adopted. Commission II discussed the resolutions proposed by the Director-General, as amended, in order to decide whether the substance would be taken up by the International Conference on Education in 1994 or by another body.

Other resolutions

(20) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.61 (submitted by Czechoslovakia and supported by Belarus, Monaco, Netherlands and USSR) as amended orally by its author (26 C/Resolution 7.6). The Commission decided to earmark provisionally for the implementation of this resolution a sum of US \$30,000 to be drawn from the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish. The work plan would reflect the implementation of 26 C/DR.61.

(21) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.80 (submitted by USSR, Belarus and Ukraine) as amended orally by France (26 C/Resolution 7.7). The Commission decided to earmark provisionally for the implementation of this resolution a sum of US \$20,000 to be drawn from the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish. The work plan will reflect the implementation of 26 C/DR.80.

<u>Work plan</u>

(22) The Commission approved 26 C/DR.97 (submitted by Hungary and supported by Austria) taking into account the accompanying note by the Director-General. Paragraph 07216 will reflect the purpose of this draft resolution.

(23) The Commission took note of 26 C/DR.31 and 26 C/DR.67 (submitted by Venezuela), 26 C/DR.177 and 26 C/DR.178 (submitted by Tunisia), 26 C/DR.194 (submitted by Sudan) and 26 C/DR.245 (submitted by Greece) together with the respective notes by the Director-General. It expressed approval of the spirit underlying them. The Secretariat was to take them into account in the implementation of the programme. would Paragraph 07112 reflect the new formulation proposed in the note by the Director-General accompanying 26 C/DR.177.

(24) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan for Major Programme Area VII (paras. 07102-07115, 07202-07226, 07302-07306 and 07402), with the amendments indicated above.

<u>Appropriation</u>

(25) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$6,690,600 for Major Programme Area VII (para. 07001), it being understood that this was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(26) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference note the budgetary provisions for Programmes VII.1 and VII.2 (in paras. 07101 and 07201) and for the Co-operation for Development and Participation Programme (paras. 07301 and 07401).

(27) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$30,000 and US \$20,000 for the implementation respectively of 26 C/DR.61 and 26 C/DR.80 out of the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish.

ITEM 3.5 - MOBILIZING PROJECT 2 - YOUTH SHAPING THE FUTURE PART II.B - CHAPTER 2: YOUTH AND ITEM 4.3 - IMPLEMENTATION OF 25 C/RESOLUTION 19 CONCERNING UNESCO'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE PROMOTION OF INTERNATIONAL CO-OPERATION WITH REGARD TO YOUNG PEOPLE

(28) During its sixth and seventh meetings, Commission V examined item 3.5 - Mobilizing Project 2, Part II.B - Chapter 2 and item 4.3.

(29) Thirty-eight delegates, including one representative of a non-governmental organization speaking in the name of 80 international non-governmental youth organizations enjoying consultative status with UNESCO, took part in the discussion on item 3.5 - Mobilizing Project 2, Part II.B - Chapter 2 and item 4.3.

Resolutions concerning the Programme and Budget

<u>Item 3.5 - Mobilizing Project 2 - Youth shaping</u> <u>the future</u>

(30) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt subparagraph 2(c) of the resolution proposed by the Director-General in paragraph 05002, relating to Major Programme Area V, of document 26 C/5, as amended by 26/DR.135 (submitted by Nigeria) and amended orally by France (in the French version). This subparagraph was included in the resolution on Major Programme Area V proposed to the General Conference by the Commission (see para. 83 below).

<u>Item 4.3 - Implementation of 25 C/Resolution 19</u> <u>concerning UNESCO's contribution to the</u> <u>promotion of international co-operation with</u> <u>regard to young people</u>

(31) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution proposed by the Director-General in paragraph 30 of document 26 C/16 as amended orally by France in the French version and amended by the Netherlands (26 C/Resolution 11.2).

<u>Work plan</u>

(32) The Commission decided to refer to

Commission II 26 C/DR.21 (submitted by Colombia), 26 C/DR.64 (submitted by Gabon), 26 C/DR.179 (submitted by Tunisia) and 26 C/DR.198 (submitted by Sudan) on physical education and sport, which concern Major Programme Area I.

(33) The Commission approved 26 C/DR.139 (submitted by Nigeria) taking into account the accompanying note by the Director-General. Paragraph 05307 would reflect the purpose of this draft resolution.

(34) The Commission took note of 26 C/DR.83 (submitted by Egypt), 26 C/DR.143 and 26 C/DR.144 (submitted by Nigeria), 26 C/DR.199 (submitted by Sudan), 26 C/DR.205 and 26 C/DR.210 (submitted by Syria) and 26 C/DR.278 (submitted by Guyana and Burkina Faso). The Secretariat would take them into account in the implementation of the relevant programme.

(35) The Commission approved 26 C/DR.36 (submitted by Uganda and supported by Costa Rica, Kenya and Nigeria). The Commission decided to earmark provisionally for the implementation of this resolution a sum of US \$25,000 to be drawn from the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish. The work plan would reflect the implementation of 26 C/DR.36.

(36) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan for Mobilizing Project 2 (paras. 05302-05309) as well as the work plan for the Transverse Theme: Youth (paras. 11201-11205), with the amendments indicated above.

Appropriation

(37) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$612,500 for Mobilizing Project 2 (para. 05301), it being understood that this was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decision taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(38) The Commission also recommended that

the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$25,000 for the implementation of 26 C/DR.36 out of the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish.

ITEM 3.5 - MAJOR PROGRAMME AREA V - THE SOCIAL AND HUMAN SCIENCES IN A CHANGING WORLD PROGRAMMES V.1 AND V.2

(39) In the course of its eighth to eleventh meetings, Commission V examined item 3.5 - Major Programme Area V, Programmes V.1 and V.2.

(40) Fifty-three delegates, including three representatives of international nongovernmental organizations, took part in the discussion on this item.

Resolutions concerning the Programme and Budget

(41) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution proposed by the Director-General for Major Programme Area V (para. 05002, 1 and 2(a) and (b)), as amended following discussions on 26 C/DR.136 and 26 C/DR.138 (submitted by Nigeria) and 26 C/DR.264 (submitted by Germany and amended orally by the author). These paragraphs were included in the resolution on Major Programme Area V proposed to the General Conference by the Commission (see para. 83 below).

Other resolutions

(42) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.79 (submitted by Spain, Switzerland and Czechoslovakia and supported by 36 Member States), it being understood that the financing of the feasibility study would be absorbed by the Director-General in the Programme and Budget for 1992-1993 (26 C/Resolution 5.2).

(43) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.230 (submitted by USSR) taking into account the accompanying note by the Director-General (26 C/Resol-ution 5.3).

(44) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.239 (submitted by USSR) as amended orally by its author (26 C/Resolution 5.4).

<u>Work plan</u>

(45) 26 C/DR.134 (submitted by Nigeria) was

withdrawn in line with the relevant note by the Director-General.

(46) The Commission took note of 26 C/DR.133 (submitted by Nigeria) which would be reflected in the work plan.

(47) The Commission approved 26 C/DR.27 and 26 C/DR.30 (submitted by Bulgaria) as amended orally by the author. The Commission decided to earmark provisionally for the implementation of these resolutions the sums of US \$10,000 and US \$15,000 respectively to be drawn from the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish. The work plan would reflect the implementation of 26 C/DR.27 and 26 C/DR.30.

(48) The Commission approved 26 C/DR.261 and 26 C/DR.268 (submitted by Turkey). The Commission decided to earmark provisionally for the implementation of these resolutions the sums of US \$6,000 and US \$7,000 respectively to be drawn from the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish. The work plan would reflect the implementation of 26 C/DR.261 and 26 C/DR.268.

(49) The Commission approved 26 C/DR.114 (submitted by the Islamic Republic of Iran) without budgetary implications according to the oral amendment by the author. The work plan would reflect the implementation of 26 C/QR.114 taking into account the accompanying note by the Director-General.

(50) The Commission took note of 26 C/DR.263 (submitted by USSR) taking into account the accompanying note by the Director-General.

(51) The Commission took note of: 26 C/DR.1 and 26 C/DR.2 (submitted by Egypt); 26 C/DR.6 and 26 C/DR.73 (submitted by Bulgaria); 26 C/DR.137, 26 C/DR.140, 26 C/DR.141 and 26 C/DR.142 (submitted by Nigeria); 26 C/DR.171, 26 C/DR.175 and 26 C/DR.178 (submitted by Tunisia); 26 C/DR.186 (submitted by India); 26 C/DR.207 (submitted by Sudan); 26 C/DR.317, 26 C/DR.318 and 26 C/DR.319 (submitted by the Islamic Republic of Iran), in the light of the observations formulated in the respective notes by the Director-General. The Secretariat was to take them into account as far as possible in the implementation of the relevant programme.

(52) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan for Programme V.1 – International development of the social and human sciences (paras. 05101–05120) and Programme V.2 – Social change (paras. 05201–05220) with the amendments indicated above.

Appropriation

(53) The Commission also recommended that

the General Conference note the budgetary provisions in paragraphs 05101 and 05201 for Programmes V.1 and V.2 respectively and for Co-operation for Development (para. 05501) and the Participation Programme (paras. 05601-05603).

(54) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference approve appropriations of US \$10,000 and US \$15,000 for the implementation of 26 C/DR.27 and 26 C/DR.30 respectively, and US \$6,000 and US \$7,000 for the implementation of 26 C/DR.261 and 26 C/DR.268 respectively out of the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish.

ITEM 3.5 - MAJOR PROGRAMME AREA VI - UNESCO'S CONTRIBUTION TO PROSPECTIVE STUDIES AND TO STRATEGIES CONCERNED WITH DEVELOPMENT AND PART II.B - CHAPTER 6: FUTURE-ORIENTED STUDIES

(55) During its eleventh to thirteenth meetings, Commission V examined item 3.5 - Major Programme Area VI and Part II.B - Chapter 6.

(56) Twenty-nine delegates, including one representative of an intergovernmental organization, took part in the discussion on item 3.5 - Major Programme Area VI and Part II.B - Chapter 6.

Resolutions concerning the Programme and Budget

<u>Major Programme Area VI – UNESCO's contribution</u> to prospective studies and to strategies concerned with development

(57) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt resolution 6.1 proposed by the Director-General for Major Programme Area VI (para. 06002) as amended following discussions on 26 C/DR.128, 26 C/DR.129 and 26 C/DR.130 (submitted by Nigeria) and 26 C/DR.262 (submitted by Germany) and taking into account the notes by the Director-General on these draft resolutions (26 C/Resolution 6.1).

Part II.B - Chapter 6: Future-oriented studies

(58) Commission V recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution proposed by the Director-General for the Transverse Programme: Future-oriented studies (para. 11602) as amended following discussions on 26 C/DR.42 (submitted by USSR) and taking into account the accompanying note by the Director-General (26 C/Resolution 11.6).

Other resolutions

(59) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.301 (submitted by Uruguay, Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Chile, Ecuador, Panama, Paraguay, Dominican Republic, Mexico, Venezuela and El Salvador and supported by Peru) as amended orally by its authors (26 C/Resolution 7.8).

<u>Work plan</u>

(60) The Commission took note of 26 C/DR.11 (submitted by Bulgaria) as amended orally by the People's Republic of China. The Commission decided to earmark provisionally for the implementation of this resolution the sum of US \$15,000 to be drawn from the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish. The work plan would reflect the implementation of 26 C/DR.11.

(61) The Commission took note of 26 C/DR.63 (submitted by Yemen and Mauritania). The Commission decided to earmark provisionally for the implementation of this resolution the sum of US \$250,000 to be drawn from the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish. The work plan would reflect the implementation of 26 C/DR.63. The Chairman consulted other commissions with a view to eventually increasing this sum.

Commission V

Commission took note of (62) The 26 C/DR.132, 26 C/DR.145, 26 C/DR.131, and 26 C/DR.147 (submitted by 26 C/DR.146 Nigeria) in the light of the notes by the concerning Director-General these draft resolutions.

(63) The Commission also took note of 26 C/DR.203 and 26 C/DR.214 (submitted by the Syrian Arab Republic).

(64) The Commission took note of 26 C/DR.234 (submitted by Senegal), in the light of the accompanying note by the Director-General.

(65) That part of 26 C/DR.176 (submitted by Tunisia) which referred to Major Programme Area VI was withdrawn by its sponsor, it being understood that the other part concerning Major Programme Area I would be discussed by Commission II.

(66) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan for Programme VI.1 - The human dimension of development (paras. 06101-06113), and for Programme VI.2 - Development strategies and outlook, support for the least developed countries (paras. 06201-06225), with the amendments indicated above.

(67) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan for the Transverse Programme – Future-oriented studies (paras. 11603-11608).

Appropriation

(68) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$5,414,000 for Major Programme Area VI (para. 06001), it being understood that this was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(69) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference note the budgetary provisions for Programme VI.1 (para. 06101), for Programme VI.2 (para. 06201), for Co-operation for Development (para. 06301) and for the Participation Programme (paras. 06401-06402).

(70) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$1,095,700 for the Transverse Programme – Future-oriented studies (para. 11601), it being understood that this was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(71) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the budgetary provisions for the Participation Programme of the Transverse Programme – Future-oriented studies (para. 11609).

(72) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation the implementation of of US \$15,000 for 26 C/DR.11 and of US \$250,000 for the implementation of 26 C/DR.63 out of the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish.

ITEM 3.5 - PART II.B - CHAPTER 5: STATISTICAL PROGRAMMES AND SERVICES

(73) During its fourteenth meeting, Commission V examined item 3.5 - Part II.B -Chapter 5: Statistical programmes and services.

(74) Eleven delegates took part in the discussion.

Resolutions concerning the Programme and Budget

(75) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution proposed by the Director-General for Part II.B -Chapter 5: Statistical programmes and services (para. 11502) as amended by 26 C/DR.4 (submitted by Germany) which was merged with 26 C/DR.293 (submitted by Ethiopia and the United Republic of Tanzania) and amended during the discussion (26 C/Resolution 11.5). The Commission decided to earmark provisionally for the implementation of this amendment the sum of US \$20,000 to be drawn from the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish.

<u>Work plan</u>

(76) The Commission took note of 26 C/DR.212 (submitted by the Syrian Arab Republic).

(77) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan of Part II.B - Chapter 5: Statistical programmes and services (paras. 11503-11518).

Appropriation

(78) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$4,771,600 for Statistical programmes and services (para. 11501) it being understood that this was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

(79) The Commission recommended that the

General Conference take note of the budgetary provisions for Co-operation for Development and the Participation Programme (paras. 11513-11518) of Transverse Programme - Statistical programmes and services.

(80) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$20,000 for the implementation of activities set out in 26 C/DR.4 merged with 26 C/DR.293 and incorporated in resolution 11.5, out of the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish.

ITEM 3.5 - PHILOSOPHY AND ETHICS AND MAJOR PROGRAMME AREA V AS A WHOLE

(81) During its fourteenth session, Commission V examined item 3.5 - Philosophy and ethics and Major Programme Area V as a whole.

(82) Fifteen delegates, including a representative of an international non-governmental organization, took part in this discussion.

Resolutions concerning the Programme and Budget

(83) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution proposed by the Director-General for Major Programme Area V (para. 05002, subpara. 2(d) previously amended by 26 C/DR.264 (submitted by Germany) and orally amended by its author). In addition, following discussions on Major Programme Area V and Mobilizing Project 2 - Youth shaping the future, the Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt the resolution proposed by the Director-General for Major Programme Area V (para. 05002) as amended following discussions on 26 C/DR.136 and 26 DR.138 (submitted by Nigeria) and 26 C/DR.264 (submitted by Germany and amended orally by the author) and 26 C/DR.135 (submitted by Nigeria and amended orally by France as far as the French version was concerned) (26 C/Resolution 5.1).

Other resolutions

(84) The Commission recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.265 (submitted by USSR), taking into account the observations formulated in the accompanying note by the Director-General (26 C/Resolution 5.5).

(85) The Commission also recommended that the General Conference adopt 26 C/DR.274 (submitted by Turkey and Peru as amended orally by France and Italy) (26 C/Resolution 5.6). <u>Work plan</u>

(86) The Commission approved 26 C/DR.187 (submitted by India) and 26 C/DR.316 (submitted by the Islamic Republic of Iran). The modification proposed, as reformulated in both cases in the relevant notes by the Director-General, would be reflected in the work plan.

(87) The Commission took note of 26 C/DR.286 (submitted by Congo). In the light of the accompanying note by the Director-General, this activity would be presented by its author under the Participation Programme.

(88) The Commission decided to include the revival and updating of the project for an International Centre for Humanities in Byblos, Lebanon, in the work plan, with no budgetary implications.

(89) Draft resolution 26 C/DR.28 (submitted by Bulgaria) was withdrawn by its author. The Commission took note of 26 C/DR.76 (submitted by Malta) in the light of the accompanying note by the Director-General.

(90) The Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the work plan for 'Philosophy and ethics' (paras. 05402-05410) with the amendments indicated above.

Appropriation

(91) The Commission recommended that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$11,607,500 for Major Programme Area V (para. 05001), it being understood that this was subject to alteration in accordance with adjustments resulting from the decisions taken by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling and by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the five Programme Commissions.

	(92) The	Commission	also	recomm	ended	that
the	General	Conference	note	the	budge	tary

provisions for 'Philosophy and ethics' in paragraph 05401.

CONCLUSIONS

(93) When Commission V was considering paragraph 31 of its draft report, the delegation of the Netherlands, supported by two other delegates, pointed out a discrepancy between the age limit for participants in youth activities of UNESCO (up to 35 years as far as possible) and the definition of youth given by the United Nations (15-25 years). The delegation of the Netherlands proposed that the Director-General examine, in consultation with Member States and international non-governmental organizations. the question of the age limit for participants in UNESCO's youth activities and present conclusions and proposals in this regard in the documentation prepared for the twenty-seventh session of the General Conference.

(94) The delegate of Austria expressed the hope that the 'feasibility study for the establishment of an intergovernmental programme in the social sciences' referred to in operative paragraph 1 of the resolution on that subject recommended by the Commission (see para. 42 above) would be carried out along the lines of the 'Explanatory note' submitted in support of draft resolution 26 C/DR.79 and in the light of the observations made in the accompanying note by the Director-General.

(95) On a proposal of the Chairman, the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$20,000 for the implementation of draft resolution 26 C/DR.97, out of the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish. Moreover, since draft resolutions 26 C/DR.21 (Colombia) and 26 C/DR.64 (Gabon) had been referred to Commission II, subject to the decisions taken by Commission II on the substance of these two draft resolutions. Commission V decided to recommend that the General Conference approve an appropriation of US \$32,000 for the implementation of both draft resolutions, out of the Reserve for Draft Resolutions submitted by Member States which the General Conference decided to establish.

(96) Finally, the report was adopted unanimously by the Commission.

II. REPORT OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMISSION¹

<u>Introduction</u>

<u>Item 3</u>	Programme and Budget					
	Item 3.2 – Methods of preparing the budget and budget estimates for 1992–1993					
	Detailed consideration of the Draft Programme and Budget for 1992-1993					
	Item 3.4 - Part I - General Policy and Direction					
	Chapter 1 - General Conference					
	Chapter 2 - Executive Board					
	Chapter 3 - Directorate					
	Chapter 4 - Services of the Directorate					
	A. Executive Office of the Director-General					
	B. Inspectorate-General					
	C. Office of the Mediator					
	D. Office of International Standards and Legal Affairs					
	E. Bureau for Studies, Programming and Evaluation					
	(i) Division of Studies and Programming (ii) Central Programme Evaluation Unit					
	F. Bureau of the Budget					
	Chapter 5 - Participation in the Joint Machinery of the United Nation System					
	Item 3.7 – Part IV – General Administrative Services					
	Chapter 1 - Bureau of the Comptroller					
	Chapter 2 - Bureau of Personnel					
	Chapter 3 – Bureau of Documentation, Informatics Services an Telecommunications					
	Chapter 4 - General Services Division					
	Item 3.8 - Part V - Maintenance and Security					
	Item 3.9 – Part VI – Capital Expenditure					

^{1.} The General Conference took note of this report at its twenty-fourth plenary meeting, on 4 November 1991.

	Item 3.10 - Part VII - Anticipated Cost Increases					
	Item 3.11 - Part VIII - Currency Adjustment					
	Appendices to document 26 C/5					
<u>Item 8</u>	Methods of work of the Organization					
	Item 8.1 - Proposals for an information-resources development plan					
	Item 8.3 – Report on the use of the six working languages of the General Conference					
<u>Item 9</u>	Financial questions					
	Item 9.1 - Financial report and audited financial statements relating to the accounts of UNESCO for the financial period ended 31 December 1989, and report by the External Auditor					
	Item 9.2 – Financial report and audited financial statements relating to the United Nations Development Programme at 31 December 1989, and report by the External Auditor					
	Item 9.3 – Financial report and interim financial statements as at 31 December 1990 for the financial period ending 31 December 1991					
	Item 9.4 - Scale of Member States' contributions					
	Item 9.5 - Currency of Member States' contributions					
	Item 9.6 - Collection of Member States' contributions					
	Item 9.7 - Working Capital Fund: Level and administration					
	Item 9.8 - Incentive scheme to induce the prompt payment of contributions					
<u>Item 10</u>	Staff questions					
	Item 10.1 - Staff Regulations and Staff Rules					
	Item 10.2 - Staff salaries, allowances and other benefits					
	Item 10.3 - Geographical distribution of the staff, and implementation of the medium-term overall plan (1990-1995) for the recruitment and renewal of the staff					
	Item 10.4 - United Nations Joint Staff Pension Fund: Report by the Director-General					
	Item 10.5 - UNESCO Staff Pension Committee: Election of Member States' representatives for 1992-1993					
	Item 10.6 - State of the Medical Benefits Fund: Report by the Director-General					

<u> Item 11</u>

Headquarters questions

Item 11.1 - Upkeep of Headquarters buildings and plant, and conservation and large-scale repair work: Report by the Director-General

Item 11.2 - Report by the Headquarters Committee

Item 11.3 - Mandate of the Headquarters Committee

INTRODUCTION

(1) The Administrative Commission elected its Chairman by acclamation at its first meeting and its four Vice-Chairmen and its Rapporteur, also by acclamation, at its second meeting. The Commission's officers were as follows: <u>Chairman</u>: Mr Ananda W.P. Guruge (Sri Lanka); <u>Vice-Chairmen</u>: Mr Oleg N. Laptenok (Belarus); Mr Raul Sanhueza (Chile); Mr Hassan Ahmed Yousif (Sudan); Mr Immanuel K. Bavu (United Republic of Tanzania); <u>Rapporteur</u>: Mr François Nordmann (Switzerland). (2) The Commission then went on to adopt its work plan and draft timetable as set out in documents 26 C/2 and 26 C/ADM/1 Rev. and proceeded to examine the items referred to it by the General Conference.

(3) In accordance with the decision of the General Conference the present report includes only draft decisions taken by the Commission which were presented orally by the Chairman of the Commission to the plenary for adoption.

ITEM 3 - PROGRAMME AND BUDGET

Item 3.2 - Methods of preparing the budget and budget estimates for 1992-1993 (26 C/5 and Rev. 1 and 2, 26 C/6 and Add., 26 C/7, 26 C/8, 26 C/ADM/DR.3 Rev., 26 C/ADM/DR.6)

(4) At its second and seventh meetings the Administrative Commission examined item 3.2.

(5) Forty-seven delegates took the floor.

(6) At the end of the debate, and following the recommendations of a working group convened by Canada, the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolution on item 3.2 (26 C/Resolution 32).

(7) The Commission took note of the reservations expressed by the delegates of Canada, Italy, Syrian Arab Republic, Chile and Switzerland concerning the last phrase of subparagraph 7 of the resolution, namely 'thereby entailing a negative growth in real terms'.

Detailed consideration of the Draft Programme and Budget for 1992-1993 (26 C/5)

(8) Under items 3.4, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10 and 3.11 of its agenda, the Commission examined, part by part, and where necessary, chapter by chapter, Parts I, IV, V, VI, VII and VIII of the Draft Programme and Budget for 1992-1993 (26 C/5).

(9) The Chairman invited the Commission to make recommendations for approval by the General Conference on those budget provisions which constituted separate appropriation lines and to take note of those estimates for chapters included within an appropriation line. It was understood that the budget estimates so recommended for approval or so noted by the Commission were also subject to modifications at the time of the adoption of the provisional budget ceiling and to adjustment at the time of the final adoption of the Appropriation Resolution, after examination by a joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the Programme Commissions.

Item 3.4 - Part I - General Policy and Direction (26 C/5 and Rev.1 and 2, 26 C/6 and Add., 26 C/7, 26 C/8)

(10) At its fourth meeting the Commission examined the five chapters under this part of the budget, each of which constitutes a separate appropriation line.

(11) Nineteen delegates took the floor.

(12) Under Chapter 1 - General Conference the Commission recommended that the General Conference approve the budget provision of \$5,633,100 under this chapter, subject to adjustment in the light of the decisions to be taken by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the Programme Commissions.

(13) Under Chapter 2 - Executive Board the Commission recommended that the General Conference approve the budget provision of \$6,912,900 under this chapter, subject to adjustment in the light of the decisions to be taken by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the Programme Commissions.

(14) Under Chapter 3 - Directorate - the Commission recommended that the General Conference approve the budget provision of \$1,678,700 under this chapter, subject to adjustment in the light of the decisions to be taken by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the Programme Commissions. (15) Under Chapter 4 - Services of the Directorate - the Commission recommended that the General Conference approve the budget provision of \$15,316,000 under this chapter as a whole, after taking note of the provisions under the subchapters (A) to (F) thereof, subject to adjustment in the light of the decisions to be taken by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the Programme Commissions.

(16) Under Chapter 5 - Participation in the Joint Machinery of the United Nations System the Commission recommended that the General Conference approve the budget provision of \$1,166,300 under this chapter, subject to adjustment in the light of the decisions to be taken by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the Programme Commissions.

<u>Item 3.7 - Part IV - General Administrative</u> <u>Services</u> (26 C/5 and Rev. 1 and 2, 26 C/6 and Add., 26 C/7, 26 C/8)

(17) At its fourth and sixth meetings the Administrative Commission examined item 3.7.

(18) Eight delegates took the floor.

(19) At the end of the debate the Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of the following budget provisions:

Chapter 1 - Bureau of the Comptroller, \$9,692,900;

Chapter 2 - Bureau of Personnel, \$13,639,300;

Chapter 3 - Bureau of Documentation, Informatics Services and Telecommunications, \$7,407,200;

Chapter 4 - General Services Division, \$4,713,000.

(20) For Part IV of the Budget - General Administrative Services - taken as a whole, the Commission recommended that the General approve a budget provision of Conference \$35,452,400, it being understood that this amount was subject to adjustment in the light of the decisions to be taken by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the Programme Commissions.

<u>Item 3.8 - Part V - Maintenance and Security</u> (26 C/5 and Rev.1 and 2, 26 C/6 and Add., 26 C/7, 26 C/8)

(21) At its sixth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 3.8.

(22) Two delegates took the floor.

(23) At the end of the debate the Commission recommended that the General Conference approve a budget provision of \$28,810,300, it being understood that this amount was subject to adjustment in the light of the decisions to be taken by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the Programme Commissions.

<u>Item 3.9 - Part VI - Capital Expenditure</u> (26 C/5 and Rev.1 and 2, 26 C/6 and Add., 26 C/7, 26 C/8)

(24) At its sixth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 3.9.

(25) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve a budget provision of 1,348,400 under Part VI – Capital expenditure, subject to adjustment in the light of the decisions to be taken by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the Programme Commissions.

Item 3.10 - Part VII - Anticipated Cost Increases (26 C/5 and Rev.1 and 2, 26 C/6 and Add., 26 C/7, 26 C/8)

(26) At its sixth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 3.10.

(27) Four delegates took the floor.

(28) At the end of the debate. the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve a budget provision of \$18,215,700 for Part VII - Anticipated cost increases, subject to adjustment in the light of the decisions to be taken by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the Programme Commissions. The Commission also recommended that paragraph 17005(c)(ii) be revised as proposed by the Director-General in document 26 C/5 Rev.1, paragraph 12.

Item 3.11 - Part VIII - Currency Adjustment (26 C/5 and Rev.1 and 2, 26 C/6 and Add., 26 C/7, 26 C/8)

(29) At its sixth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 3.11.

(30) Two delegates took the floor.

(31) At the end of the debate, the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference take note of the budget provision of \$29,561,000 for Part VIII - Currency adjustment, it being understood that this amount was subject to adjustment in the light of the decisions to be taken by the joint meeting of the Administrative Commission and the Programme Commissions.

Appendices to document 26 C/5

(32) At its seventh meeting the Administrative Commission examined the 26 C/5 Appendices.

(33) Four delegates took the floor.

(34) At the end of the debate, the Commission recommended that the General Conference take note of Appendices I to XIV of document 26 C/5, on the understanding that, in future C/5 documents, Appendix V would be expanded to show a more detailed distribution to all parts of the Budget of Part VII - Anticipated Cost Increases.

(35) The Commission took note of the

observations expressed, on the one hand, by the delegates of Spain, Chile, Venezuela and Colombia and, on the other hand, by the delegate of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics concerning, respectively, the limited use of Spanish and Russian as working languages in the conferences and meetings listed in Appendix XII.

ITEM 8 - METHODS OF WORK OF THE ORGANIZATION

<u>Item 8.1 – Proposals for an information-</u> <u>resources development plan</u> (26 C/45, 26 C/ADM/DR.4)

(36) At its fourth and fifth meetings the Administrative Commission examined item 8.1.

(37) Thirty-one delegates took the floor.

(38) At the end of the debate the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolution on item 8.1 (26 C/Resolution 33).

(39) The Commission took note of reservations expressed by the delegates of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and Chile due to the fact that the Secretariat was not able to present adequate information on the financial results of the implementation of this Plan. <u>Item 8.3 - Report on the use of the six working</u> <u>languages of the General Conference</u> (26 C/47, 26 C/ADM/DR.1 Rev.)

(40) At its third and seventh meetings the Administrative Commission examined item 8.3.

(41) Fifty-one delegates took the floor.

(42) At the end of the debate the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolution on item 8.3, proposed by an informal working group (Burundi, Canada, China, Spain, Sudan and Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), convened for the purpose of revising 26 C/ADM/DR.1 submitted by the delegate of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (26 C/Resolution 34).

ITEM 9 - FINANCIAL QUESTIONS

Item 9.1 - Financial report and audited financial statements relating to the accounts of UNESCO for the financial period ended 31 December 1989, and report by the External Auditor (26 C/51)

(43) At its tenth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 9.1.

(44) Eight delegates took the floor.

(45) At the end of the debate, the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolution on item 9.1 (26 C/Resolution 22.1). Item 9.2 - Financial report and audited financial statements relating to the United Nations Development Programme at 31 December 1989, and report by the External Auditor (26 C/52)

(46) At its tenth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 9.2.

(47) Three delegates took the floor.

(48) At the end of the debate the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolution on item 9.2 (26 C/Resolution 22.2). Item 9.3 - Financial report and interim financial statements as at 31 December 1990 for the financial period ending 31 December 1991 (26 C/53, 26 C/53 Add.)

(49) At its tenth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 9.3.

(50) Three delegates took the floor.

(51) At the end of the debate, the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolution on item 9.3 (26 C/Resolution 22.3).

Item 9.4 - Scale of Member States' contributions
(26 C/54)

(52) At its tenth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 9.4.

(53) Twelve delegates took the floor.

(54) At the end of the debate the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolution on item 9.4 (26 C/Resolution 23.1).

(55) The Commission took note of the observations of Germany, Canada and Chile concerning the texts of draft resolutions, which consist of mainly standard clauses. It was suggested that if such provisions concerning principles and methodology could be incorporated in a manual, the process of preparation and approval of resolutions could be simplified.

(56) The Commission also took note of the observations of Chile and Brazil concerning the scale of Member States' contributions.

<u>Item 9.5 - Currency of Member States' contributions</u> (26 C/55)

(57) At its tenth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 9.5.

(58) Seven delegates took the floor.

(59) At the end of the debate the Commission recommended that the General Conference approve the resolutions on item 9.5 (26 C/Resolutions 23.2 and 25.1).

(60) The Commission took note of the observations of the delegate of Canada concerning the the need to streamline methodology for drafting of resolutions on similar issues.

<u>Item 9.6 - Collection of Member States'</u> <u>contributions</u> (26 C/57, 26 C/57 Add. 1, 2, 3 and 4)

(61) At its twelfth and thirteenth meetings

the Administrative Commission examined item 9.6.

(62) Sixty-one delegates took the floor.

(63) At the end of the debate, and following the recommendations of a working group on Resolution (A) subparagraphs 11 and 12, convened by Chile and composed of the delegates of Argentina, Brazil, Canada, China, Denmark, France, Germany, Japan, Madagascar. Mauritania, Mozambique, Paraguay, Spain, Suriname, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and Venezuela, the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolutions on item 9.6 (26 C/Resolutions 23.31, 23.32, 23.33, 23.34, 23.35, 23.36 and 23.37.

(64) The Commission took note of the reservations expressed by the delegates of Germany, Japan, Switzerland, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and Netherlands concerning, notably, subparagraph 10 (authorization for the Director-General to negotiate and contract short-term loans); of those of Yemen and Iraq concerning the same subparagraph 10 of (non-consideration the possibility of contracting interest-free loans); of those of the delegate of Ukraine to subparagraphs 11 and 12; and of those of Mauritania, Nigeria, Equatorial Guinea, Madagascar, and Yugoslavia on subparagraph 13 (voting rights of Member States in arrears).

<u>Item 9.7 - Working Capital Fund: Level and administration</u> (26 C/58)

(65) At its thirteenth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 9.7.

(66) Twelve delegates took the floor.

(67) At the end of the debate the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolutions on item 9.7 (26 C/Resolutions 24.1 and 24.2).

(68) The Commission took note of the reservations expressed by the delegate of Germany concerning the increase in the level of the Working Capital Fund.

<u>Item 9.8 - Incentive scheme to induce the prompt</u> payment of contributions (26 C/59)

(69) At its tenth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 9.8.

(70) Eight delegates took the floor.

(71) At the end of the debate the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolution on item 9.8 (26 C/Resolution 23.4). <u>Item 10.1 - Staff Regulations and Staff Rules</u> (26 C/62, 26 C/62 Add.)

(72) At its eighth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 10.1.

(73) Twenty-one delegates took the floor.

(74) At the end of the debate the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolution on item 10.1 (26 C/Resolution 26).

(75) The Commission took note of the observations from certain Member States concerning extensions beyond the retirement age, which were reflected in the oral report of the Chairman to the plenary. An observation was also made about the simultaneous application of two different statutory ages of retirement.

<u>Item 10.2 - Staff salaries, allowances and other</u> <u>benefits</u> (26 C/63)

(76) At its eighth meeting, the Administrative Commission examined item 10.2.

(77) Fifteen delegates took the floor.

(78) At the end of the debate the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolution on item 10.2 (26 C/Resolution 27).

Item 10.3 - Geographical distribution of the staff, and implementation of the medium-term overall plan (1990-1995) for the recruitment and renewal of the staff (26 C/65, 26 C/ADM/DR.2)

(79) At its ninth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 10.3.

(80) Forty-six delegates took the floor.

(81) At the end of the debate the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolutions on item 10.3 (26 C/Resolutions 28.1 and 28.2). Item 10.4 - United Nations Joint Staff Pension Fund: Report by the Director-General (26 C/66)

(82) At its ninth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 10.4.

(83) The Commission, without debate, agreed to submit the report to the General Conference for information.

<u>Item 10.5 - UNESCO Staff Pension Committee:</u> <u>Election of Member States' representatives for</u> <u>1992-1993</u> (26 C/67)

(84) At its tenth meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 10.5.

(85) The Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolution on item 10.5 (26 C/Resolution 29).

<u>Item 10.6 - State of the Medical Benefits Fund:</u> <u>Report by the Director-General</u> (26 C/68, 26 C/68 Add., 26 C/68 Corr.)

(86) At its eleventh meeting the Administrative Commission examined item 10.6.

(87) Seventeen delegates took the floor.

(88) At the end of the debate the Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolution on item 10.6 (26 C/Resolution 30).

(89) The Commission took note of the observations of the delegate of Chile, concerning long-term measures to ensure the financial equilibrium of the Medical Benefits Fund, and of those of the delegates of Canada, Germany, Ukraine, Nigeria, Belgium, Costa Rica, Gabon, Pakistan and Spain concerning dispositions of the draft resolution referring to the composition of the Board of Management of the Medical Benefits Fund.

ITEM 11 - HEADQUARTERS QUESTIONS

Item 11.1 - Upkeep of Headquarters buildings and plant, and conservation and large-scale repair work: Report by the Director-General (26 C/70)

Item 11.2 - Report by the Headquarters Committee
(26 C/71)

Item 11.3 - Mandate of the Headquarters <u>Committee</u> (26 C/72, 26 C/ADM/DR.5)

(90) At its sixth and eighth meetings the Administrative Commission examined items 11.1,

11.2 and 11.3 simultaneously.

(91)	Nine	eteen	delega	tes	took	the	floor.	
(92)	At	the	end	of	the	e (debate	the

Commission decided to recommend that the General Conference approve the resolution on items 11.1, 11.2 and 11.3 (26 C/Resolution 31).

III. REPORT OF THE JOINT MEETING OF THE PROGRAMME AND ADMINISTRATIVE COMMISSIONS¹

ITEM 3.12 - ADOPTION OF THE APPROPRIATION RESOLUTION FOR 1992-1993

(1) The joint meeting of the five Programme Commissions and the Administrative Commission took place at noon on 5 November 1991 under the Chairmanship of Mr Ananda Guruge (Sri Chairman of the Administrative Lanka). Commission, with the following Vice-Chairpersons representing Programme Commissions and Rapporteur:

Ms Margaretha Mickwitz (Finland), Chairwoman of Programme Commission I

Mr Shuaïb Almansuri (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya), Chairman of Programme Commission II

Mr Komlavi Fofoli Seddoh (Togo), Chairman of Programme Commission III

Mr Miguel León-Portilla (Mexico), Chairman of Programme Commission IV

Mr Alexander Slipchenko (Ukraine), Chairman of Programme Commission V

Mr François Nordmann (Switzerland), Rapporteur.

(2) The Chairman opened the meeting, whose task was to set the final budget ceiling for 1992-1993. He introduced document 26 C/PRG/ADM/1 which integrated, in the Draft Appropriation Resolution for 1992-1993, the recommendations made by the five Programme Commissions on the distribution among the various major programme areas and transverse themes and programmes of the 1.5 million Reserve for Draft Resolutions, the amendment of paragraph A(c), Additional Appropriations, and the decision adopted by the General Conference on the provisional budget ceiling. This document served as a basis for the discussions.

(3) In the ensuing debate, the Chairman of Commission II and 11 delegates took the floor to raise questions concerning:

- the methodology and rules applied with respect to draft resolutions submitted by Member States;
- ways and means of absorbing, in the course of programme implementation in

1992-1993, the budgetary reinforcement recommended for technical and vocational education;

 budgeting techniques employed with respect to currency adjustment – presently dealt with under Part VIII of the budget – and whether the figure appearing under this Part should be deleted or maintained in document 26 C/5.

(4) In reply, the Chairman emphasized that the Executive Board would examine the future treatment of draft resolutions in the light of the amendments to the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference (Rules 78A and 78B) adopted by the General Conference at its present session, and propose a detailed set of guidelines to be followed at the twenty-seventh session of the General Conference.

(5) Concerning the absorption of the budgetary reinforcement recommended for vocational technical and education. the representative of the Director-General stated that this would be decided in the course of programme execution in 1992-1993 and that the programme priorities set by the General Conference would be respected in this context.

(6) With respect to Part VIII of the budget - Currency Adjustment - the joint meeting was informed that this Part, which was an UNESCO's integral component of budgeting techniques, had been maintained in the Draft Appropriation Resolution for 1992-1993 in conformity with a decision taken by the General Conference at its twenty-fifth session and confirmed in decisions of the Executive Board and in the Administrative Commission. These budgeting techniques would be re-examined, with

The General Conference took note of this report at its twenty-seventh plenary meeting, on 7 November 1991.

a view to further reforms, by the Executive Board's newly established Group of Experts on Financial and Administrative Matters.

(7) The joint meeting heard that the amount assessed on Member States for the 1992-1993 budget period would be \$402,655,000 (\$415,143,000 minus Miscellaneous Income of \$12,488,000) for Parts I to VII of the budget at the <u>constant dollar rate</u> of 6.45 French francs equal to one United States dollar and \$432,216,000 (i.e. the total Appropriation of \$444,704,000 under Parts I to VIII minus Miscellaneous Income of \$12,488,000) at the <u>current dollar rate</u> of 5.75 French francs equal to one United States dollar. However, the currency fluctuation element reflected in Part VIII had no effect on Member States' contributions in dollars and French francs, as noted by the Executive Board on several occasions.

(8) The delegates of Chile, Italy, Australia, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and Belarus did not agree with the treatment of currency fluctuation under Part VIII of the budget in the Draft Appropriation Resolution for 1992-1993. Another delegate, while not opposing the total appropriation in the draft, expressed concern about the unfavourable staff/programme ratio and the overall nominal increase in the budget for the forthcoming biennium.

<u>Recommendation</u>

(9) At the end of the debate the joint meeting recommended that the General Conference <u>adopt</u> the Appropriation Resolution for 1992-1993 (26 C/Resolution 14).

The Legal Committee elected by acclamation Mr Pierre Michel Eisemann (France) Chairman, Mr Ricardo Bocalandro (Argentina) Vice-Chairman, and Mr Abderraouf Mahbouli (Tunisia) Rapporteur.

FIRST REPORT

DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLES V AND VII OF THE CONSTITUTION AND RELATED PROPOSALS AIMED AT IMPROVING THE EFFICIENCY OF THE WORK OF THE EXECUTIVE BOARD

Item 5.4 of the agenda (26 C/27 and 26 C/27 Rev.)

(1) At the invitation of the Chairman, the Ambassador and Permanent Delegate of Japan to UNESCO described the circumstances in which these amendments and other proposals had been submitted and the reasons for which his government had submitted them. He pointed out that they had been designed to improve the efficiency of the Organization and particularly of the Executive Board by changing its composition and its methods of work. He reminded the Committee that the idea of changing the composition and methods of work of the Executive Board had originated within the Board itself at its 135th session in 1990. A draft resolution seeking to amend the Constitution had been sent to the Director-General by the Japanese Government in April 1991. That draft resolution had been followed by proposals for changes from other Member States (26 C/27), and negotiations followed. They had resulted in a had consolidated 'consensus' text (26 C/27 Rev.).

(2) The Permanent Delegate of Mauritania to UNESCO introduced this consolidated text on behalf of the delegations who had contributed to it. He said that the text was the result of very extensive consultations among the Organization's Member States. It thus had the support of all the regional groups and of Japan and the other Member States that had submitted proposals. (3) While taking note of the consensus thus achieved, the Committee wondered whether there were problems of admissibility with regard to the consolidated text in view of Rule 104 of the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference, which does not allow the Conference to consider substantive changes in draft amendments to the Constitution unless the text of those changes has been communicated to Member States and Associate Members at least three months before the opening of the session.

(4) The Legal Committee noted that the consolidated text made no substantive change to the proposals submitted by the Member States within the constitutional and statutory timelimits. The Committee therefore considered that there was no problem of admissibility with regard to the document and that it could be used as a working document. However, as pointed out by the delegates of Japan and Mauritania, this did not mean that the consolidated document replaced document 26 C/27, which contained the initial proposals of Japan and those of the other States.

The General Conference took note of this report at its sixteenth plenary meeting, on 24 October 1991.

Legal Committee

(5) The Committee appreciated the suggestion that the masculine and feminine genders should be used concomitantly in the provisions of the Constitution and of the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference that were the subject of the draft amendments, when reference was made to people. It abandoned the idea, however, because of the practical impossibility of proposing similar changes in all the statutory provisions of the Organization and the legal impossibility of doing so for the provisions of the Constitution.

(6) The Committee endorsed the proposals contained in the consolidated document as a whole, the only changes it made involving questions of formulation or sequence. Nevertheless, it considered that it would be necessary to retain on a transitional basis the provision of Article V.A.4 of the Constitution concerning the replacement of members of the Executive Board in the event of death or resignation.

(7) The Committee considered that the amendments to the Constitution were such that they could enter into force upon their adoption by the General Conference in view of the provisions of Article XIII, paragraph 1, of the Constitution.

(8) Concluding its work, the Committee recommended that the General Conference adopt a draft resolution amending the Constitution and the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference and inviting the Executive Board to take accompanying measures.¹

 This draft resolution was adopted by the General Conference (26 C/Resolution 19.3).

SECOND REPORT

DRAFT AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE II, PARAGRAPH 2, OF THE CONSTITUTION

Item 5.1 of the agenda (26 C/23)

(1) The Legal Committee examined this proposed amendment, the purpose of which is to reduce the majority required for admission to membership of UNESCO, by the General Conference, of States not members of the United Nations to a simple majority instead of a two-thirds majority.

(2) The Chairman recalled that the question had been submitted to the General Conference at its twenty-fifth session by Australia and New Zealand. These Member States considered, <u>inter</u> <u>alia</u>, that such a measure would encourage small States, which might not wish necessarily to become members of the United Nations, to request membership of UNESCO.

(3) In view of the impossibility of securing a consensus among the Member States on the matter, the General Conference, on the recommendation of the Legal Committee, had decided to postpone examination of the issue until its twenty-sixth session.

(4) At the present session, several

Committee members indicated their continued reticence as to the desirability of such an amendment. One member of the Committee expressed the view that it would be desirable for the Organization and Member States to reflect further on the matter, bearing in mind the pertinent rules existing in the other Specialized Agencies. In the light of the present international situation, some members of the Committee also suggested that the question be postponed anew.

(5) The Committee therefore decided to recommend to the General Conference to adopt a draft resolution on the item.²

The General Conference took note of this report at its twenty-second plenary meeting, on 2 November 1991.

^{2.} This draft resolution was adopted by the General Conference (26 C/Resolution 19.1).

THIRD REPORT

AMENDMENT TO RULES 78A AND 78B OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE GENERAL CONFERENCE

Item 5.3 of the agenda (26 C/26)

(1) The Legal Committee examined the proposed amendments to Rules 78A and 78B of the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference, as contained in document 26 C/26.

(2) As pointed out by the Chairman, the proposed measures were being submitted in of pursuance 25 C/Resolution 47.2 and corresponding recommendations contained in 134 EX/Decision 3.1.2 and 135 EX/Decision 3.1.2 concerning the working methods of the General Conference and the Executive Board, and were aimed at streamlining the organization and working methods of the General Conference and the Executive Board. Since they related to the of procedure pertaining to the adoption amendments to the Organization's draft programme and budget, and in view of certain guestions raised by Committee members, the representative of the Director-General and the Secretary of the General Conference explained the procedure in force in that regard and the reasons that had motivated the proposals under examination.

(3) In the general discussion that ensued, reference was made to several parts of the text which were found to warrant clarification and reformulation. This was the case notably as regards the precise meaning of 'draft resolutions' and 'proposed resolutions' in that context. A somewhat reformulated version of the proposed text was submitted to the Committee by the Chairman and obtained the latter's adhesion.

(4) Several members of the Committee observed that the proposed measures had the effect of imposing a rigid time-limit for the submission of draft resolutions by Member States

establishing rigorous or conditions of receivability for certain categories of draft resolutions. In addition, the envisaged measures raised problems of application of the rules. In this regard, it was explained that it would normally be for the Secretariat to ensure the application of the rules and, when necessary, not to distribute the draft resolution concerned. At all events the final decision would be with the competent organ of the General Conference.

(5) The Legal Committee decided to recommend to the General Conference the adoption of a draft resolution on the item.²

(6) Following the Committee's adoption of this item of the agenda, one Committee member made a statement to the effect that his government wished to register concern in regard to the amendments thus proposed to the General Conference since these had the effect of restricting the possibility for Member States to modify the draft programme and budget prepared by the Secretariat. While appreciating that these measures sought to preserve the delicate financial balance of the Organization, he expressed the wish that efforts be made to facilitate the participation of Member States in the elaboration of the said programme and budget.

The General Conference took note of this report at its twenty-second plenary meeting, on 2 November 1991.

^{2.} This draft resolution was adopted by the General Conference (26 C/Resolution 19.4).

FOURTH REPORT¹

INITIAL SPECIAL REPORTS BY MEMBER STATES ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION ON TECHNICAL AND VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

Item 6.1 of the agenda (26 C/29)

INITIAL SPECIAL REPORTS BY MEMBER STATES ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RECOMMENDATION ON THE SAFEGUARDING OF TRADITIONAL CULTURE AND FOLKLORE

Item 6.2 of the agenda (26 C/30)

(1) The Legal Committee examined the initial special reports submitted by Member States on the implementation of the Convention on Technical and Vocational Education. The Chairman stated that three Member States had submitted their report on 30 August 1991 (26 C/29). The Secretary of the Committee presented the replies of the five States which had reached the Secretariat after that date.

(2) The Legal Committee also examined the initial special reports by Member States on the implementation of the Recommendation on the Safeguarding of Traditional Culture and Folklore. The Chairman announced that two Member States had submitted their report on 30 August 1991 (26 C/30). The Secretary of the Committee informed the Committee of the reports submitted by three States after that date.

(3) The Committee took note of the

aforesaid reports. It also decided to recommend that the General Conference postpone adoption of its general reports for which provision is made in Article 18 of the Rules of Procedure concerning recommendations to Member States and international conventions covered by the terms of Article IV, paragraph 4, of the Constitution until such time as a larger number of Member States had submitted their special reports.

(4) The Committee therefore decided to recommend that the General Conference adopt a draft resolution on the items.²

 The General Conference took note of this report at its twenty-second plenary meeting, on 2 November 1991.

2. This draft resolution was adopted by the General Conference (26 C/Resolution 20).

FIFTH REPORT¹

DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLE II, PARAGRAPH 6, AND ARTICLE IX OF THE CONSTITUTION

Item 5.2 of the agenda (26 C/24)

(1) The Legal Committee examined the draft amendments relating to the legal and financial conditions for the withdrawal of a Member State.

(2) The Chairman reminded the Committee that the issue had been raised by Australia and Canada at the twenty-fifth session of the General Conference, the Committee, at which time, after protracted discussion, adopted a new form of wording for the amendments. In accordance with the decision taken by the Conference at that session the Director-General transmitted the text of the reworded amendments to Member States and Associate Members.

(3) Several Member States conveyed their governments' agreement to the texts submitted, as they had been invited to do by the General Conference; proposed modifications were submitted to the Director-General by Tunisia and Germany (26 C/24).

 The General Conference took note of this report at its twenty-second plenary meeting, on 2 November 1991. (4) At the current session the Legal Committee was told by the Comptroller that it would be necessary to amend the Financial Rules if the proposed amendments were adopted.

(5) In the light of that and of the fact that Tunisia and Germany had said the matter was not urgent, the Committee came to the conclusion that it would be preferable to make an overall review of the question taking into account any amendments to the Financial Rules that might be formally submitted by the Director-General.

(6) The Committee therefore decided to recommend to the General Conference that it adopt a draft resolution on the item.¹

SIXTH REPORT¹

REPORT BY THE CONCILIATION AND GOOD OFFICES COMMISSION RESPONSIBLE FOR SEEKING THE SETTLEMENT OF ANY DISPUTES THAT MAY ARISE BETWEEN STATES PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION AGAINST DISCRIMINATION IN EDUCATION ON ITS ACTIVITIES SINCE THE TWENTY-FIFTH SESSION OF THE GENERAL CONFERENCE

Item 3.5 of the agenda (26 C/77)

ELECTION OF MEMBERS OF THE CONCILIATION AND GOOD OFFICES COMMISSION RESPONSIBLE FOR SEEKING THE SETTLEMENT OF ANY DISPUTES WHICH MAY ARISE BETWEEN STATES PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION AGAINST DISCRIMINATION IN EDUCATION

Item 12.5 of the agenda (26 C/NOM/6)

(1) The Committee examined the recommendation made by the Executive Board to the General Conference that it invite the Commission 'to submit no more reports until it had specific activities which justified a report being established.

(2) Some members of the Committee considered that it might not be indispensable for the Commission to submit a report when it had not actually carried out any work. Other Committee members pointed out that the Protocol of 10 December 1962 instituting the Commission in question required it to submit a report to each ordinary session of the General Conference (Article 19).

(3) After a full discussion, the Committee considered that it was not for the General Conference to invite the Commission to act in a manner that would run counter to the expressed provisions of the Protocol.

(4) The Legal Committee also examined the recommendation of the Executive Board to the General Conference to the effect that it should not hold any other election after the present session, unless it became necessary to fill a seat as the result of death or resignation.

(5) Having regard to Article 5 of the Protocol which provides that members of the Commission are elected for six years, the Committee considered that it would not be appropriate for the General Conference to act in contradiction to the Protocol and that persons elected by the General Conference could serve only for a term of six years.

 The General Conference took note of this report at its twenty-second plenary meeting, on 2 November 1991 (26 C/Resolution 21).

^{1.} This draft resolution was adopted by the General Conference (26 C/Resolution 19.2).