

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Castles of Augustusburg and Falkenlust at Brühl

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Germany

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

288

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1984


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

| Name | Coordinates (longitude / latitude) | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| Castles of Augustusburg and Falkenlust at Brühl | 50.825 / 6.91 | 89 | 0 | 89 | 1984 |
| Total (ha) | | 89 | 0 | 89 | |

Comment

Property (ha): 91

1.4 - Map(s)

| Title | Date | Link to source |
|---|------------|---|
| Castles of Augustusburg and Falkenlust at Brühl - Map of the inscribed property | 25/08/1993 |  |

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Birgitta Ringbeck
Auswärtiges Amt
National World Heritage Focal Point
Referat 603-9
Multilaterale Kultur- und Medienpolitik

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Harald Gries
Schlossverwaltung Schloss Brühl und Jagdschloss Falkenlust
Site Manager
- Christiane Winkler
UNESCO-Welterbestätte Schloesser Augustusburg und Falkenlust
- Johannes Reusteck

Comment

New Director, please change into: UNESCO Welterbestätte Schlösser Augustusburg und Falkenlust Heinz R. Kracht Director Schlossstr. 6 50321 Brühl Germany Telephone: +4922329443110, Fax: +4922329443128 E-Mail: heinz.kracht@vsb.nrw.de Please cancel the names and E-Mail addresses of Harald Gries and Johannes Reusteck

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

- [Schlösser Augustusburg und Falkenlust in Brühl \(Deutsche UNESCO-Kommission - german only\)](#)

Comment

www.schlossbruehl.de

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Draft retrospective Statement of OUV submitted by 1st February 2012

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (ii) Augustusburg and Falkenlust represent the first important creations of Rococo style in Germany. For more than a century, they were a model in the majority of the princely courts. Criterion (iv) Like the Residence of Würzburg (included on the World Heritage List), the castles and gardens are the eminent example of the large princely residence of the 18th century.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

none

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

none

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

| | Name | Impact | Origin |
|---------------|---|-----------|----------|
| 3.1 | Buildings and Development | | |
| 3.1.1 | Housing | | |
| 3.1.2 | Commercial development | | |
| 3.1.3 | Industrial areas | | |
| 3.1.4 | Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure | | |
| 3.1.5 | Interpretative and visitation facilities | | |
| 3.2 | Transportation Infrastructure | | |
| 3.2.4 | Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure | | |
| 3.3 | Services Infrastructures | | |
| 3.3.2 | Renewable energy facilities | | |
| 3.5 | Biological resource use/modification | | |
| 3.5.7 | Subsistence wild plant collection | | |
| 3.6 | Physical resource extraction | | |
| 3.6.2 | Quarrying | | |
| 3.8 | Social/cultural uses of heritage | | |
| 3.8.6 | Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation | | |
| 3.13 | Management and institutional factors | | |
| 3.13.1 | Low impact research / monitoring activities | | |
| 3.13.3 | Management activities | | |
| Legend | Current | Potential | Negative |
| | Positive | Inside | Outside |

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

| | Spatial scale | Temporal scale | Impact | Management response | Trend | |
|------------|---|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| 3.1 | Buildings and Development | | | | | |
| 3.1.1 | Housing | localised | on-going | significant | medium capacity | static |
| 3.2 | Transportation Infrastructure | | | | | |
| 3.2.4 | Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure | localised | on-going | significant | no capacity and / or resources | static |
| 3.5 | Biological resource use/modification | | | | | |
| 3.5.7 | Subsistence wild plant collection | restricted | intermittent or sporadic | insignificant | high capacity | decreasing |
| 3.6 | Physical resource extraction | | | | | |
| 3.6.2 | Quarrying | localised | on-going | significant | no capacity and / or resources | decreasing |

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners**.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Although the boundaries of the World Heritage property are adequate to maintain the property's OUV, it is necessary to establish a buffer zone to protect the property's unique situation in the surrounding cultural landscape

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, October 18, 2005

- **Question 6.02**

Law on the Protection of Monuments,
Law on the Protection of Nature,
Law on the Protection of Landscape,
Land Use Plan/Area Development Plan

Comment

Comment: The castles of Augustusburg and Falkenlust at Brühl are listed monuments according to § 2 and § 3 of the Act

on the Protection and Conservation of Monuments in the State of North Rine-Westfalia. Building activities within and outside the property are regulated by § 9 (2) Protection Law, Local Building Plans and Land Use Plans (according to the Federal Building code)

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Comment to 4.2.5.4: Inside the boundaries of the property the legislative framework is enforced without any problems

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, October 18, 2005

- **Question 5.02**

Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

- **Question 5.03**

Set up date: 1949

Function: Coordination of appropriate conservation, establishing basic guidelines for dealing with the World Heritage site

Mandate: Budgetary control, authorizing and monitoring the building programme

Constituted: formal

- **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party

- Management under protective legislation

Comment

Addition to Question 5.02, Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2: Members of the steering group are the Palace's Administration, the representatives of the State, the Cologne Government Regional Office and the Monument Conservation Office.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Local communities / residents | Fair |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Poor |
| Indigenous peoples | Fair |
| Landowners | Fair |
| Visitors | Fair |
| Researchers | Fair |
| Tourism industry | Good |
| Industry | Non-existent |

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and /

or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

| | |
|---|-----|
| Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc) | |
| International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | |
| Governmental (National / Federal) | |
| Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State) | 94% |
| Governmental (Local / Municipal) | |
| In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | |
| Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.) | 2% |
| Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.) | |
| Other grants | 4% |

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Full-time | 50% |
| Part-time | 50% |

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Permanent | 50% |
| Seasonal | 50% |

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|------|
| Paid | 100% |
| Volunteer | 0% |

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| | |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Research and monitoring | Fair |
| Promotion | Good |
| Community outreach | Fair |
| Interpretation | Fair |
| Education | Fair |
| Visitor management | Fair |
| Conservation | Fair |
| Administration | Fair |
| Risk preparedness | Fair |
| Tourism | Good |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Poor |

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Research and monitoring | Medium |
| Promotion | Medium |
| Community outreach | Not applicable |
| Interpretation | Medium |
| Education | Low |
| Visitor management | Low |
| Conservation | Medium |
| Administration | High |
| Risk preparedness | High |
| Tourism | High |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Not available |

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Schlösser Brühl (Hg.): Schloss Augustusburg in Brühl /Augustusburg palace Brühl / château d' Augustusburg à Brühl). Berlin, München 2010. Schlösser Brühl (Hg.) Eine Republik rollt den Teppich aus. Staatsempfänge auf Schloss Augustusburg 1949-1996 (a republic unrolls the carpet. state receptions at Augustusburg palace) Berlin, München 2008, Ströter-Bender, J: Lebensräume von Kunst und Wissen. 2004

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Scientific studies and research projects should be extended.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Local communities / residents | Average |
| Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property | Excellent |
| Local Indigenous peoples | Average |
| Local landowners | Poor |
| Visitors | Average |
| Tourism industry | Excellent |
| Local businesses and industries | Average |

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

| | |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Visitor centre | Adequate |
| Site museum | Adequate |
| Information booths | Adequate |
| Guided tours | Adequate |
| Trails / routes | Adequate |
| Information materials | Adequate |
| Transportation facilities | Poor |
| Other | Not needed |

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Last year | Minor Increase |
| Two years ago | Minor Increase |
| Three years ago | Minor Increase |
| Four years ago | Minor Increase |
| Five years ago | Static |

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

| |
|------------------------------|
| Entry tickets and registries |
| Accommodation establishments |
| Transportation services |
| Tourism industry |

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

| | |
|--|--------------|
| World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff | Excellent |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Non-existent |
| Local communities | Non-existent |
| Researchers | Average |
| NGOs | Non-existent |
| Industry | Non-existent |
| Local indigenous peoples | Non-existent |

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

| | World Heritage criteria and attributes affected | Actions | Monitoring | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment | |
|------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|------|
| 3.1 | Buildings and Development | | | | | | |
| 3.1.1 | Housing | IV the visual integrity of the property is affected by new settlements in the neighbourhood. | Surveillance of the urban development by the steering group | the steering group will survey the development of the Land Use Plan | actual surveillance | The Palace Administration, representatives of the State, The Cologne Government Regional Office an die Monument Conservation Office (=steering group) | none |
| 3.2 | Transportation Infrastructure | | | | | | |
| 3.2.4 | Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure | IV the visual integrity of the property is affected by Freeway A 553 | The Freeway had been built in the 1970ies - no actions are planned | Surveillance of the Land Use Plan and the Area Development plan by the steering group | current | The Palace Administration, representatives of the State, The Cologne Government Regional Office an die Monument Conservation Office (=steering group) | none |
| 3.5 | Biological resource use/modification | | | | | | |
| 3.5.7 | Subsistence wild plant collection | IV: every spring, the natural protected area ist affected by collectors of wild plants and herbs | The area is fenced by the members of the palace nursery and the people are informed in the local newspapers | Surveillance by the head-gardener | every spring (march and april) | Palace Administration | none |
| 3.6 | Physical resource extraction | | | | | | |
| 3.6.2 | Quarrying | IV: the mining of gravel and a large gravel pit are affecting the property in the neighbourhood of hunting lodge Falkenlust | The gravel pit is situated on the ground of the town of Wesseling which makes it difficult to communicate. | Surveillance of the Area Development Plan by the steering group. Relevant to protect the property is the law on the protection of Landscape and the law on the protection of monuments. | the minig of gravel will be finished in 2030 | The Palace Administration, representatives of the State, The Cologne Government Regional Office an die Monument Conservation Office (=steering group) | none |

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

| 4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|------|--|
| | Actions | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment | | |
| 4.1.1 | There is a need for a buffer zone | an expertise for a buffer zone is existing. It will be revised and examined by the steering group. | The examination has started and should be finished in 2015/16 | The Palace Administration, representatives of the State, The Cologne Government Regional Office an die Monument Conservation Office (=steering group) | none | |
| 4.1.4 | The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners | the palace administration is planning an information campaign in 2014 in connection with the 30th anniversary of the inscription of the castles of Augustusburg and Falkenlust in the list of the World Heritage | 2014 | the Palace Administration | none | |
| 4.3 Management System / Management Plan | | | | | | |
| 4.3.9 | Indigenous peoples have no input into decisions relating to management | Information about activities within the property are currently given in the local newspapers or on the property's homepage | current | the Palace Administration | none | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|------|
| 4.3.10 | There is little or no contact with industry regarding management | if necessary, the industry will be informed by the steering group | if required | The Palace Administration, representatives of the State, The Cologne Gouvernment Regional Office an die Monument Conservation Office (=steering group) | none |
| 4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects | | | | | |
| 4.5.3 | No active outreach of research results to national or international agencies | Cooperation with national or international agencies will be improved. Recently, there is a cooperation with the University of Bonn concerning the research of the principality of Cologne in the 18th century. | Cooperations will start soon | the Palace Administration | none |
| 4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building | | | | | |
| 4.6.3 | There is a limited education and awareness programme | Educational projects will be started in cooperation with the local schools, educatcional documents and materials for teachers can be found on the homepage www.schlossbruehl.de and there are projects in cooperation with the university of Paderborn | Material and documents can be rent immediatly. A newsletter will be sent to the schools of North Rine-Westfalia in autumn 2013 | The Palace administration | none |

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Conservation | Very positive |
| Research and monitoring | Positive |
| Management effectiveness | No impact |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | Very positive |
| Recognition | Positive |
| Education | Positive |
| Infrastructure development | No impact |
| Funding for the property | No impact |
| International cooperation | Positive |
| Political support for conservation | Negative |
| Legal / Policy framework | Negative |
| Lobbying | Positive |
| Institutional coordination | Positive |
| Security | Very positive |

| | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Other (please specify) | | 15 - |
| | | array |
| 1 | 4 | |
| 2 | 3 | |
| 3 | 2 | |
| 4 | 4 | |
| 5 | 3 | |
| 6 | 3 | |
| 7 | 2 | |
| 8 | 2 | |
| 9 | 3 | |
| 10 | 1 | |
| 11 | 1 | |
| 12 | 3 | |
| 13 | 3 | |
| 14 | 4 | |
| | | array |
| 1 | Rating on a 4 point scale | |
| 2 | Not applicable | |
| 3 | Negative | |
| 4 | No impact | |
| 5 | Positive | |
| 6 | Very positive | |

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

| |
|--|
| Governmental institution responsible for the property |
| Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff |
| Staff from other World Heritage properties |
| Advisory bodies |

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

| | |
|----------------------------|------|
| UNESCO | Good |
| State Party Representative | Good |
| Advisory Body | Good |

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

| |
|--|
| The concept of Outstanding Universal Value |
|--|

| |
|--|
| The property's Outstanding Universal Value |
| The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity |
| The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity |

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| | |
|-----------------|--------------|
| UNESCO | Satisfactory |
| State Party | Satisfactory |
| Site Managers | Satisfactory |
| Advisory Bodies | Satisfactory |

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: Draft retrospective Statement of OUV submitted by 1st February 2012
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: Property (ha): 91

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise