

**1. World Heritage Property Data**

**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**

Bauhaus and its Sites in Weimar and Dessau

**1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**

**State(s) Party(ies)**

- Germany

**Type of Property**

cultural

**Identification Number**

729

**Year of inscription on the World Heritage List**

1996

**1.3 - Geographic Information Table**

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Main building of the Weimar Academy for Architecture and Building Arts - University, Weimar , Geschwister-Scholl-Strasse 8 , Germany	50.975 / 11.33	0	0	0	1996
The Van-de-Velde building of the Academy for Architecture and Building Arts - University, Weimar , Geschwister-Scholl-Strasse 7 , Germany	50.975 / 11.329	0	0	0	1996
The "Haus am Horn", Weimar , Am Horn 61 , Germany	50.973 / 11.339	?	?	0	1996
The Bauhaus, Dessau , Gropiusallee 38 , Germany	51.838 / 12.227	?	?	0	1996
The Masters' Houses, Dessau , Ebertallee 63,65, 67,69,71 , Germany	51.843 / 12.222	?	?	0	1996
<b>Total (ha)</b>			<b>0</b>		

**Comment**

The Bauhaus in Dessau: property 1,73 ha, bufferzone 4.05 ha  
 The Masters Houses: property 1,26 ha, bufferzone 3,44 ha  
 The former school of Art: property 0,24 ha  
 The van de Velde building (the former School of Applied Arts): property 0,27 ha  
 The Haus Am Horn: property 0,25 ha  
 Leider ist die Schreibweise im Nomintion Document weder ganz korrekt noch einheitlich. Richtig wäre: The former school of Art  
 The van de Velde building (The former School of Applied Arts)  
 The Haus Am Horn

**1.4 - Map(s)**

**Comment**

The drawing of maps has been started.

**1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property**

- Birgitta Ringbeck  
 Auswärtiges Amt  
 National World Heritage Focal Point

Referat 603-9

Multilaterale Kultur- und Medienpolitik

**1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency**

- Omar Akbar  
 Foundation Bauhaus Dessau  
 Director
- Monika Markgraf

**Comment**

Foundation Bauhaus Dessau Philipp Oswalt Director  
 Gropiusallee 38 06845 Dessau Germany

**1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Bauhaus Dessau Foundation](#)
- [Bauhausstätten in Weimar und Dessau \(Deutsche UNESCO-Kommission\)\(german only\)](#)
- [UNESCO Commission of Germany](#)

**Comment**

www.dessau-rosslau.de www.uni-weimar.de  
 www.hausamhorn.de www.meisterhaeuser.de

**1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**

**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

**Comment**

The procedure of RSOUV has been started

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

(ii)(iv)(vi)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**




















**3. Factors Affecting the Property**

**3.14. Other factor(s)**

**3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>		
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	   	
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>		
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities	   	
3.13.3	Management activities	    	
<b>Legend</b>	 Current	 Potential	 Negative
	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The OUV of the property could be improved by an extension of ADGB School in Bernau School of the General Federation of German Trade Unions), built by Hannes Mayer and Hans Wittwer (1928 - 1930)

## 4.2. Protective Measures

### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, October 31, 2005

- **Question 6.02**

I – V Länder Laws on the Protection of Monuments  
IV also: Foundation Law of Land Saxony-Anhalt

### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

## 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

### 4.3.1 - Management System

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, October 31, 2005

- **Question 5.02**

Stering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

- **Question 5.03**

**Set up date:** 1994

**Function:** There is intensive cooperation. In Weimar there is close cooperation between the Friends of Weimar Bauhaus University (Use III) and the Bauhaus University (Use I and II). In Dessau there is the Friends of the Masters' Houses (Förderstiftung für die Meisterhäuser) which brings together representatives of the town of Dessau and the Bauhaus Foundation Dessau. The town of Dessau is also represented in the Foundation Council of the Bauhaus Foundation Dessau (Stiftungsrat der Stiftung Bauhaus Dessau). The work of the Bauhaus Foundation Dessau is supported by a Scientific Advisory Board, in which figures of scientific or artistic renown advise the Foundation on performing its scientific and artistic tasks.

**Mandate:** an interministerial coordination group has been set up for the development of the UNESCO sites which monitors the needs of the UNESCO sites and ensures developments are in line with monument conservation provisions.

**Constituted:** legal

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management under protective legislation

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Fair

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area**

**surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	12%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	35%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	35%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	12%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	4%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	2%
Other grants	

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	50%
Part-time	50%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	50%
Seasonal	50%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	90%
Volunteer	10%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

Van de Velde Kunstgewerbeschule in Weimar. Geschichte und Instandsetzung, hrsg. von Heidemarie Schirmer, Weimar 2011 Markgraf, Monika (Hg.): Archäologie der Moderne. Sanierung Bauhaus Dessau, Berlin 2006. Rehm, Robin: Das Bauhausgebäude in Dessau. Berlin 2005. Gebeßler, August (Hg.): Gropius. Meisterhaus Muche/Schlemmer. Stuttgart 2003

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Not needed
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Excellent
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

**5. Summary and Conclusions**

**5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

**5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

No factor is both current and negative.

**5.2. Summary - Management Needs**

**5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs**

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.1.2	<b>Boundaries could be improved</b>	Extansion by ADGB School Bernau (Scholl of the General Federation of German Trade Unions), built by Hannes Mayer and Hans Wittwer (1928 - 1930)	2016/2017	Federaly States of Saxony-Anhalt and Brandenburg	none

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive

Other (please specify)	15 -
	array
	1 4
	2 3
	3 3
	4 3
	5 3
	6 3
	7 3
	8 3
	9 4
	10 3
	11 3
	12 3
	13 4
14 3	
array	
1 Rating on a 4 point scale	
2 Not applicable	
3 Negative	
4 No impact	
5 Positive	
6 Very positive	

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Very good



Advisory Body	3 -	
	array	
	1	2
	2	4
	array	
	1	Rating on a 5 point scale
	2	Very poor
	3	Poor
	4	Fair
	5	Good
	6	Very good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

All required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
Monitoring and reporting

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

- Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**  
Reason for update: The procedure of RSOUV has been started
- Geographic Information Table**  
Reason for update: The Bauhaus in Dessau: property 1,73 ha, bufferzone 4.05 ha The Masters Houses: property 1,26 ha, bufferzone 3,44 ha The former school of Art: property 0,24 ha The van de Velde building (the former School of Applied Arts): property 0,27 ha The Haus Am Horn: property 0,25 ha Leider ist die Schreibweise im Nomintion Document weder ganz korrekt noch einheitlich. Richtig wäre: The former school of Art The van de Velde building (The former School of Applied Arts) The Haus Am Horn
- Map(s)**  
Reason for update: The drawing of maps has been started.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**