

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property
Churches of Peace in Jawor and Świdnica

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details
State(s) Party(ies)

- Poland

Type of Property
cultural



Identification Number
1054

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List
2001

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Lutheran Church of Peace under the invocation of the Holy Ghost, Jawor , Poland	51.054 / 16.196	0.12	7.5	7.62	2001
Lutheran Church of Peace under the invocation of the Holy Trinity, Świdnica , Poland	50.844 / 16.495	0.11	4.3	4.41	2001
Total (ha)		0.23	11.8	12.03	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Site Map - Church of Peace in Jawor	16/12/2001	
Site Map - Church of Peace in Swidnica	16/12/2001	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Bogdan Zdrojewski
Ministry of Culture and National Heritage
Minister of Culture and National Heritage

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Bożena Pytel
Parish office of Church of Peace in Świdnica
PR specialist

Comment

Ms Bożena Pytel has been designated to prepare the report for both Churches of Peace. The Parish in Jawor is administered by Rev. Tomasz Stawiak, the Parish in Świdnica by Rev. Waldemar Pytel.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

Comment

www.kosciolpokoju.pl

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The draft of the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is waiting for an assessment.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The attributes will be defined after adoption of the retrospective SOUV.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
3.7.1	Wind							
3.7.7	Pests							
3.7.8	Micro-organisms							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events							
3.10.1	Storms							
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events							
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)							
3.13	Management and institutional factors							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3	Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.7	Pests	restricted	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	restricted	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

As the Churches of Peace in Jawor and Świdnica are a serial heritage site, the answers selected in some cases represent the average of the two.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are **not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Churches of Jawor and Swidnica are both property of the Diocese of Wrocław.

The Church of Jawor and its surrounding area (former cemetery and a Soviet army cemetery) are legally protected as monuments (No 990/173/L, as of 1963). The current master plan contains provisions for the protection of the site (1988, amended in 1994 and 1996).

The Church of Świdnica and its auxiliary buildings are legally protected as monuments (No 9/131 of 1950) and have been placed in Zone A (strict conservation and archaeological protection) of the historic town, designated in the current master plan (1994).

Comment

Entry number in the new Register of Historic Monuments: Jawor A/1905/990 of 4.9.1963, Świdnica A/1677/131 of 2.1.1950. The local spatial development plan for Świdnica "Centre-North" adopted by resolution no. XII/145/11 of the Municipal Council of Świdnica on 25.11.2011. The local spatial development plan for Jawor: Rynek - Stare Miasto, pl. Wolności, Park Pokoju, Narutowicza adopted by resolution no. LXIV/327/06 of the Municipal Council of Jawor on 29.03.2016.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The Churches of Jawor and Świdnica are regularly used for religious purposes and there is no intention to change this. The general management and routine maintenance are carried out and financed by the respective parishes. The conservation management of the church of Jawor is the responsibility of the Lower Branch of Historical Monuments Protection Service in Legnica and in the case of Świdnica of the corresponding office in Wałbrzych. The protection is supervised by the Voivodship Conservator's office in Wrocław and the Commissioner for Historic Monuments in Warsaw. Regular monitoring is carried out by specialists.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities directly **participate** in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Each Parish is an separate organizational unit. Its management is based on model of autonomous Parish existing several hundred years now.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	1%
Governmental (National / Federal)	90%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	3%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	1%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	1%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	4%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Poor
Administration	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Neither of the churches receives regular financial support, therefore funds for conservation work are obtained through calls for proposals.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

- Podróż szwedzka, Ch. Czepko, Świdnica, 2008 2. Instrukcja organizacyjna oraz Matrykuła Kościoła Pokoju, Świdnica, 2011 3. Grafika w starych drukach, P. Oszczanowski, Świdnica, 2011 4. Laura Gräfin von Pilos, P. Viol, Świdnica, 2011 5. Spacer po placu Pokoju, A. Augustyn, Świdnica, 2012 6. Pierniki dla króla, M. Urbanek, Świdnica, 2013

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

The Parish, drawing upon the rich history of the Churches of Peace, publish, in addition to contemporary books describing the place (item 5 in the preceding paragraph), also source materials from the parish archives (item 2), materials related to the past of the church (item 1,4), scholarly literature (item 3), stories inspired by historical events (item 6).

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations**, but **not easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Poor
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable

Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Not provided but needed
Trails / routes	Not provided but needed
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property

which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Non-existent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.7	Pests	III, IV, VI	Ongoing protective measures	Continuous monitoring of the site	Ongoing task	The Lutheran Parish in Świdnica There are stone martens in the Church of Peace in Świdnica.
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	III, IV, VI	Renovation and conservation work. Ongoing preservation of the monument.	Continuous monitoring of the site	Ongoing task	The Lutheran Parish in Jawor, the Lutheran Parish in Świdnica, Regional Office for Monument;s Protection. Due to the type of building material used, both churches are exposed to a high risk of deterioration caused by microorganisms.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	Dissemination of the information about the boundaries of the buffer zone, implementation of the relevant provisions in local spatial development plans.	ongoing task/ when the spatial plans are changed and when new plans are under preparation.	Both Parishes/ City authorities	-	
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	There is no significant industrial activity in the area where both churches are located. There is no need to develop cooperation.	-	Both Parishes	-	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
4.4.3	The budget is inadequate for management needs	The State Fund for World Heritage properties in Poland should be established to support and secure funds for conservation works.	long-term activity	State Administration	This activity has to be based on systemic changes.	
4.4.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	The State Fund for World Heritage properties in Poland should be established to support and secure funds for conservation works.	long-term activity	State Administration	This activity has to be based on systemic changes.	
4.4.13	Promotion	Continuous publishing of information materials and brochures about both churches, in accordance with the adopted plan.	Long-term action	Both Parishes	-	
4.8 Monitoring						
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	Key indicators will be defined just after adoption of the SOUV and the description of the attributes.	Key indicators will be defined just after adoption of the SOUV and the description of the attributes.	Both Parishes in cooperation with the National Heritage Board of Poland.	-	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	No impact

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Completion of the form is made difficult by the fact that the world heritage property is a serial one consisting of two components.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very poor
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The draft of the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is waiting for an assessment.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise