

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Landscape of the Pico Island Vineyard Culture

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Portugal

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1117rev

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2004


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

| Name | Coordinates (latitude/longitude) | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| part I , Portugal | 38.556 / -28.405 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2004 |
| part II , Portugal | 38.556 / -28.405 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2004 |
| buffer zone 3 , Portugal | 0 / 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2004 |
| Total (ha) | | | 0 | | |

Comment

Landscape of the Pico Island Vineyard Culture: - Coordinates 38.513251,-28.536987 - Property 987 ha - Buffer Zone 1924 ha -Total 2911 ha

1.4 - Map(s)

| Title | Date | Link to source |
|---|------------|---|
| Landscape of the Pico Island Vineyard Culture_map of the inscribed property | 01/01/2004 |  |

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Luís Pinho Lopes
Direção-Geral do Património Cultural
Architecte

Comment

Directorate General for Cultural Heritage Nuno Vassalo e Silva, PhD Director-General Direção Geral do Património Cultural Palácio Nacional da Ajudá 1349-021 Lisboa Portugal Telephone: +351213614200 Fax: +351213637047 Email: nvsilva@dgpc.PT Regional Directorate for the Environment Hermâni Hélio Jorge Regional Director Rua Cônsul Dabney - Colónia Alemã, Apartado 140, 9901-014 HORTA Portugal Telephone: (+351) 292 207 300 Fax: (+351) 292 391 568 Email: info.dra@azores.gov.pt

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Manuel Paulino Costa
Regional Government of Azores /Regional Secretariat for Natural Resources / Regional Directorate for the Environment
Director
Landscape of Pico Island Vineyard Culture
Management Cabinet

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

Comment

There is no official Website. Information is available on the following websites: a)http://www.patrimoniocultural.pt/ b)http://www.siam.azores.gov.pt/patrimonio-cultural/vinhas-pico/_intro.html c)http://parquesnaturais.azores.gov.pt/en/pico-eng/what-visit/interpretation-centers/interpretation-center-of-the-landscape-of-the-culture-of-the-vine d)http://www.unescoportugal.mne.pt/ e)http://www.unescoportugal.mne.pt/ f)http://www.monumentos.pt/

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was submitted to the World Heritage Committee and is awaiting approval.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(v)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(iii) With wisdom and creativeness, the people from Pico triumphed over natural adversities and turned unproductive stone into their sustenance by planting vines, protecting plants from strong winds and from the salty sea breezes, by building a huge and structured mesh of walls, where the “currais” are prominent. (v)This peculiar landscape is the result of a balanced partnership between Man and Nature that has lasted many centuries, from the first settler until the present time.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

| | Name | Impact | | | | | | Origin | |
|---------------|---|-----------|----------|----------|--------|---------|--|--------|--|
| 3.1 | Buildings and Development | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.4 | Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.5 | Interpretative and visitation facilities | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2 | Transportation Infrastructure | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2.2 | Air transport infrastructure | | | | | | | | |
| 3.3 | Services Infrastructures | | | | | | | | |
| 3.3.2 | Renewable energy facilities | | | | | | | | |
| 3.3.5 | Major linear utilities | | | | | | | | |
| 3.5 | Biological resource use/modification | | | | | | | | |
| 3.5.5 | Crop production | | | | | | | | |
| 3.8 | Social/cultural uses of heritage | | | | | | | | |
| 3.8.1 | Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses | | | | | | | | |
| 3.8.2 | Society's valuing of heritage | | | | | | | | |
| 3.8.6 | Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation | | | | | | | | |
| 3.11 | Sudden ecological or geological events | | | | | | | | |
| 3.11.1 | Volcanic eruption | | | | | | | | |
| 3.11.2 | Earthquake | | | | | | | | |
| 3.11.3 | Tsunami/tidal wave | | | | | | | | |
| 3.12 | Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species | | | | | | | | |
| 3.12.2 | Invasive/alien terrestrial species | | | | | | | | |
| 3.13 | Management and institutional factors | | | | | | | | |
| 3.13.3 | Management activities | | | | | | | | |
| Legend | Current | Potential | Negative | Positive | Inside | Outside | | | |

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

| | Spatial scale | Temporal scale | Impact | Management response | Trend | |
|---------------|---|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|
| 3.3 | Services Infrastructures | | | | | |
| 3.3.5 | Major linear utilities | extensive | on-going | insignificant | low capacity | static |
| 3.8 | Social/cultural uses of heritage | | | | | |
| 3.8.2 | Society's valuing of heritage | extensive | intermittent or sporadic | significant | high capacity | decreasing |
| 3.12 | Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species | | | | | |
| 3.12.2 | Invasive/alien terrestrial species | extensive | frequent | minor | medium capacity | static |

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Nearly all decisions affecting the area, including the discharge of international obligations, are the responsibility of the Autonomous Regional Government of the Azores.

Government revival of the wine industry started in 1980 with the creation of the Vinicultural Region of the Verdelho of Pico (Regional Decree 25/80/A). Subsequent laws to protect the standards of wine production were passed in 1988 and 1994. In 1986, the area was classified by Decree as a Protected Landscape, banning mechanical farming within the lajido zones, and protecting the traditional architecture of the area. This Decree was amended in 2003 to allow for grants for improvement. In 1994, the Regional Directorate for the Environment established directing and consultative committees for the Protected Landscape of Regional Interest of the Viniculture of the Island of Pico. In the Regional Act of

Law 10 of 2002, four levels of protection were set out for these areas, including two zones of reticulated vineyards or currais – the small lajidos of Criação Velha and Santa Luzia – which were to be strictly protected for high quality wine production. The buffer zones are covered by other protective polices within the Protected Landscape, though the Protected Landscape also includes some other areas which are outside the buffer zone altogether.

Several plans apply to the area of the Protected Landscape. For example, a detailed four volume 'Safeguarding Plan' for the Protected Landscape was prepared in 1993 as a basis for the 1994 legislation. Furthermore, an action plan ('Dynamizing Plan') was adopted by the Regional Secretariat.

The programme was undertaken over the period 2001-2006 so as to co-ordinate the activities of vine growers and agencies responsible for environment, roads, ports, water and public lands, waste disposal, buildings, culture, tourism, licensing and funding.

The whole area of core and buffer zones falls within a Category V Protected Area, carefully zoned in a hierarchy of planning control. At one extreme, there is a complete ban on any new building and the use of mechanical equipment in the Criação Velha nominated area; at the other, although there are planning constraints on buildings, normal village life is lived in Lajido. A management plan for the proposed World Heritage site has recently been prepared and approved.

Comment

Law no. 107/2001 of 8 September is the basic cultural heritage law. The Regional Regulatory Decree 13/2004/A of 24 April laid down nine levels of heritage protection. The Regional Regulatory Decree no. 24/2006/A from July 13 was the first Special Territorial Management Plan implemented in the Azores Protected Landscape Area, and is being reviewed. In 2008, the Pico Island Natural Park (Regional Legislative Decree 20/2008/A of 9 July) was created including the Protected Vineyard Landscape.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Management is at the regional, island, municipality and protected landscape levels. A Management Committee, appointed by the Regional Secretary (Minister) for the Environment, is responsible for the Protected Landscape, which includes the World Heritage area. An executive Technical Department for the Protected Landscape area (and so for the World Heritage site), based in Madalena, receives support e.g. in a public awareness campaign, from other regional services. The Pico Island Department of the Environment provides in particular scientific expertise. The municipalities of La Madalena (Criação Velha) and San Roque (Santa Luzia) exercise planning control.

Regarding local management responsibilities:

- The vineyard plots and private buildings are the responsibility of the many private owners, though their actions and methods are tightly constrained by tradition, law and regulation (see above);

- The local roads are the responsibility of the Regional and local authorities;

- Small ports are administered by the Regional Secretary for Agriculture and Fishery;

Other Public Property is the responsibility of the Regional Directorate for Territory Ordinance and Hydraulic Resources.

Comment

The executive Technical Department for the Protected Landscape area (including the World Heritage site), that was based in Madalena, is now based at Lajido de Santa Luzia.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Local communities / residents | Good |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Good |
| Visitors | Good |
| Researchers | Good |
| Tourism industry | Good |
| Industry | Good |

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

| | |
|---|------|
| Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc) | 0% |
| International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 0% |
| Governmental (National / Federal) | 0% |
| Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State) | 100% |
| Governmental (Local / Municipal) | 0% |
| In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 0% |
| Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.) | 0% |
| Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.) | 0% |
| Other grants | 0% |

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|------|
| Full-time | 100% |
| Part-time | 0% |

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|------|
| Permanent | 100% |
| Seasonal | 0% |

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|------|
| Paid | 100% |
| Volunteer | 0% |

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| | |
|-------------------------|------|
| Research and monitoring | Poor |
| Promotion | Good |
| Community outreach | Fair |
| Interpretation | Fair |

| | |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Education | Fair |
| Visitor management | Good |
| Conservation | Good |
| Administration | Good |
| Risk preparedness | Poor |
| Tourism | Good |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Fair |

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Research and monitoring | Medium |
| Promotion | Not available |
| Community outreach | Not available |
| Interpretation | Not available |
| Education | Low |
| Visitor management | Not available |
| Conservation | Not available |
| Administration | Not available |
| Risk preparedness | Medium |
| Tourism | Not available |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Low |

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Local communities / residents | Average |
| Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property | Excellent |
| Local Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Local landowners | Average |
| Visitors | Excellent |
| Tourism industry | Average |
| Local businesses and industries | Average |

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

| | |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Visitor centre | Adequate |
| Site museum | Adequate |
| Information booths | Poor |
| Guided tours | Adequate |
| Trails / routes | Excellent |
| Information materials | Adequate |
| Transportation facilities | Poor |
| Other | Not needed |

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

| | |
|-----------------|------------------------|
| Last year | Minor Increase |
| Two years ago | Major Increase (100%+) |
| Three years ago | Static |
| Four years ago | N/A |
| Five years ago | N/A |

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

| |
|------------------------------|
| Entry tickets and registries |
|------------------------------|

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

questions 4.7.1.4 and 4.7.1.5 - are not applicable because the visitor center opened in 2010.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

| | |
|--|----------------|
| World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff | Excellent |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Average |
| Local communities | Non-existent |
| Researchers | Non-existent |
| NGOs | Non-existent |
| Industry | Non-existent |
| Local indigenous peoples | Not applicable |

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

| | World Heritage criteria and attributes affected | Actions | Monitoring | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment | |
|--|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|-------------|
| 3.3 Services Infrastructures | | | | | | | |
| 3.3.5 | Major linear utilities | Landscape of viniculture | Installation of underground infrastructure | Situation is improving | No timeframe. | EDA - Electricity company of the Azores PT - telecommunications carrier Regional secretary for the environment Municipality of Madalena Municipality of São Roque | no comments |
| 3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage | | | | | | | |
| 3.8.2 | Society's valuing of heritage | Abandoned vineyards / landscape of viniculture | Financial incentives were created for: - recovery of private buildings in ruins; - elimination of architectural dissonances; - abandoned vineyards recovery; - maintenance of vineyards. | the situation is improving | No timeframe. | Regional Secretary for the Environment | no comments |
| 3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species | | | | | | | |
| 3.12.2 | Invasive/alien terrestrial species | Vineyard | To allow rabbit hunting on the inscribed property so as to minimize crop damages, control of invasive alien species | This situation is very difficult to control | No timeframe | Regional Secretary for the Environment | no comments |

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

| 4.3 Management System / Management Plan | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | Actions | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment | | |
| 4.3.6 | Few of the work plan activities implemented | monitoring and control actions for invasive species; rehabilitation of abandoned vineyards; maintenance of traditional vineyards; implementation of a territorial plan; implementation of a GIS project to help landscape management. | from 2004 to present date | Regional Secretary for the Environment | no comments | |
| 4.4 Financial and Human Resources | | | | | | |
| 4.4.13 | Promotion | awareness campaigns for enhancement, use and restoration of existent heritage; installation of the vineyard landscape interpretation center at Santa Luzia; tourist signage; merchandising materials. | from 2004 to present date | Regional Secretary for the Environment | no comments | |
| 4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects | | | | | | |
| 4.5.2 | Research in the property is not planned | SMARTPARKS project - Planning and Management System for Small Islands Protected Areas. | 2010-2013 | Azores University / Science and Technology Foundation | http://www.projectosmartparks.com/index.php?lang=en | |

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Conservation | Very positive |
| Research and monitoring | No impact |
| Management effectiveness | Very positive |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | Very positive |
| Recognition | Very positive |
| Education | Very positive |
| Infrastructure development | Positive |
| Funding for the property | Positive |
| International cooperation | No impact |
| Political support for conservation | Very positive |
| Legal / Policy framework | Very positive |
| Lobbying | No impact |
| Institutional coordination | Very positive |
| Security | Not applicable |
| Other (please specify) | Not applicable |

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

| |
|--|
| Governmental institution responsible for the property |
| Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff |

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

| | |
|----------------------------|------|
| UNESCO | Good |
| State Party Representative | Good |
| Advisory Body | Fair |

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

| |
|---|
| The concept of Outstanding Universal Value |
| The property's Outstanding Universal Value |
| Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value |

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| UNESCO | Not Applicable |
| State Party | Not Applicable |
| Site Managers | Not Applicable |
| Advisory Bodies | Not Applicable |

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was submitted to the World Heritage Committee and is awaiting approval.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Landscape of the Pico Island Vineyard Culture: - Coordinates 38.513251,-28.536987
- Property 987 ha - Buffer Zone 1924 ha -Total 2911 ha

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise