

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Monuments of Oviedo and the Kingdom of the Asturias

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Spain

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

312bis

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List






1985, 1998

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
San Miguel de Lillo, Municipality of Oviedo , Province of Asturias , Autonomous Community of Asturias , Spain	43.38 / -5.868	0.009	306.26	306.269	1985
Santa María del Naranco, Municipality of Oviedo , Province of Asturias , Autonomous Community of Asturias	43.379 / -5.866	0.13	306.26	306.39	1985
Santa Cristina de Lena, Municipality of Pola de Lena , Province of Asturias , Autonomous Community of Asturias	43.127 / -5.814	0.01	23.74	23.75	1985
Cámara Santa de Oviedo, Municipality of Oviedo , Province of Asturias , Autonomous Community of Asturias	43.363 / -5.843	0.003	10.52	10.523	1998
Basilica of San Julián de los Prados, Municipality of Oviedo , Province of Asturias , Autonomous Community of Asturias	43.368 / -5.838	0.05	8.65	8.7	1998

La Foncalada, Municipality of Oviedo , Province of Asturias , Autonomous Community of Asturias	43.365 / -5.846	0.001	1.58	1.581	1998
Total (ha)		0.203	657.01	657.213	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Monuments of Oviedo and the Kingdom of the Asturias. Map showing Santa Maria del Naranco and San Miguel de Lillo	01/12/2011	
Monuments of Oviedo and the Kingdom of the Asturias. Map showing Santa Cristina de Lena	01/12/2011	
Monuments of Oviedo and the Kingdom of the Asturias. Map showing the Cámara Santa de Oviedo	01/12/2011	
Monuments of Oviedo and the Kingdom of the Asturias. Map showing the Basilica of San Julián de los Prados	01/12/2011	
Monuments of Oviedo and the Kingdom of the Asturias. Map showing La Foncalada	01/12/2011	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Elisa de Cabo de la Vega
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Laura de Miguel Riera
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte

Subdirección General de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico

- Esther Rodríguez
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora General Adjunta de Protección del Patrimonio Histórico

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- María Hernández Cabrera
Gobierno del Principado de Asturias. Consejería de Cultura y Deporte. Dirección General de Patrimonio Cultural
Arquitecta

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Oviedo \(Ayuntamiento de Oviedo - only in spanish\)](#)
2. [World Heritage Sites in Spain \(Tourist Office of Spain\)](#)

Comment

Official web of the Government of Asturias about pre-Romanesque Art: <http://www.prerromanicocasturiano.es/>
<http://www.mcu.es/patrimonio/MC/PatrimonioMundial/BienesDec/ListadoBienes/Asturias.html>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Approved in Decision 38 COM.8E (2014).

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

- Criterion (i): Pre-Romanesque Asturian architecture represents a unique artistic achievement which is neither a metamorphosis of Paleo-Christian art nor a feature of Carolingian art. - Criterion (ii): The Asturian monuments have exerted decisive influence on the development of medieval architecture on the Iberian peninsula. - Criterion (iv): This buildings provide eminent testimony to the civilization of the small Christian Kingdom of Asturias during the splendor of the emirate of Cordoba

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

No other additional factors are identified.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.13	Management and institutional factors						
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

The conclusions will be analysed in the context of current monitoring surveys of the monuments.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Buffer zones are considered appropriated for the preservation of the monuments values.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

All of Oviedo's pre-Romanesque monuments (Cámara Santa, Santa María del Naranco, San Miguel de Lillo, La Foncalada and San Julián de los Prados) are within the confines of the protected area in accordance with the 11 February 1955 Decree granting monumental area status for different sectors of the city of Oviedo. This declaration was reinforced by the 1996 Special Plan for the protection of Monte Naranco and several provisional studies delimiting the buffer zone around San Julián de los Prados and the Foncalada.

Moreover, all of these monuments have been individually

declared Cultural Interest Sites with Monument status: San Miguel de Lillo, Santa María del Naranco and Santa Cristina de Lena in 1885; the Church of San Julián de los Prados in 1917 and the Foncalada and the Oviedo Cathedral (where the Cámara Santa is found) in 1931.

The fact that all of the pre-Romanesque monuments in Asturias are legally considered Cultural Interest Sites means that they are protected by the safeguard measures laid down in Title II, Chapter II of the Cultural Heritage Act, Law 1/2001 of the Principality of Asturias of 6 March regulating aspects such as the undertaking of major construction projects and conservation or restoration initiatives on the buildings, prohibition of demolition, intervention criteria and implications for urban and territorial planning. This protection regime also applies to the buffer zones of the different monuments stipulating that "initiatives undertaken and uses of these areas may not alter the nature of the architecture or landscape of the area, disrupt the view of the site or exert a negative effect on its physical integrity".

And lastly, the Third Additional Provision of the Cultural Heritage Act of the Principality of Asturias focuses on the "protection of Asturian pre-Romanesque" indicating that specific plans will be developed with a view to establishing surveillance systems and periodic control of the monuments included in this group and guided public visits. Efforts will likewise be made to dignify the surrounding area bearing in mind the aim of fostering the historical understanding of these sites and their dissemination beyond the boundaries of the region.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, November 14, 2005

• Question 6.02

All of Oviedo's pre-Romanesque monuments (Cámara Santa, Santa María del Naranco, San Miguel de Lillo, La Foncalada and San Julián de los Prados) are within the confines of the protected area in accordance with the 11 February 1955 Decree granting monumental area status for different sectors of the city of Oviedo. This declaration was reinforced by the 1996 Special Plan for the protection of Monte Naranco and several provisional studies delimiting the buffer zone around San Julián de los Prados and the Foncalada.

Moreover, all of these monuments have been individually declared Cultural Interest Sites with Monument status: San Miguel de Lillo, Santa María del Naranco and Santa Cristina de Lena in 1885; the Church of San Julián de los Prados in 1917 and the Foncalada and the Oviedo Cathedral (where the Cámara Santa is found) in 1931.

The fact that all of the pre-Romanesque monuments in Asturias are legally considered Cultural Interest Sites means that they are protected by the safeguard measures laid down in Title II, Chapter II of the Cultural Heritage Act, Law 1/2001 of the Principality of Asturias of 6 March regulating aspects such as the undertaking of major construction projects and conservation or restoration initiatives on the buildings, prohibition of demolition, intervention criteria and implications for urban and territorial planning. This protection regime also applies to the buffer zones of the different monuments stipulating that "initiatives undertaken and uses of these areas may not alter the nature of the architecture or landscape of the area, disrupt the view of the site or exert a negative effect on its physical integrity".

And lastly, the Third Additional Provision of the Cultural Heritage Act of the Principality of Asturias focuses on the "protection of Asturian pre-Romanesque" indicating that specific plans will be developed with a view to establishing surveillance systems and periodic control of the monuments included in this group and guided public visits. Efforts will likewise be made to dignify the surrounding area bearing in mind the aim of fostering the historical understanding of these sites and their dissemination beyond the boundaries of the region.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Monitoring surveys of some monuments are ongoing.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

There is a project to draft a Management Plan for the Asturian pre-Romanesque covering the ensemble of pre-Romanesque monuments in Asturias regardless of whether or not they have been declared World Heritage sites. This Plan should define the current state of the monuments and diagnose the actions needed to improve that state. It should also lay down corrective measures targeting the areas surrounding the said monumental elements. The management of the site is under protective legislation.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, November 14, 2005


• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group:
There is a project to draft a Management Plan for the Asturian pre-Romanesque covering the ensemble of pre-Romanesque monuments in Asturias regardless of whether or not they have been declared World Heritage sites. This Plan should define the current state of the monuments and diagnose the actions needed to improve that state. It should also lay down corrective measures targeting the areas surrounding the said monumental elements.

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management under protective legislation

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Document 10: Means of Preservation & Management Plan	N/A	Available	01/01/1989	

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

No management system / plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

No management system is currently in place

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer

zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is little or no contact with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

More coordination is required between administrations and property managers in relation to the management of human resources and visitor centers of pre-Romanesque monuments.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

No significant changes since the last Periodic report.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	15%
International donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	15%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	70%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

None.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is sufficient but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are adequate equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are well maintained

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Not applicable
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium

Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

- Gobierno del Principado de Asturias, Prerrománico asturiano [on line; web page], 2008, <http://www.prerromanicoasturiano.es/> - Gobierno del Principado de Asturias, Prerrománico asturiano [on line; app], 2014, <https://itunes.apple.com/es/app/prerromanico-asturiano/id734369220?mt=8>. - Arias Páramo, Lorenzo, Guía del arte prerrománico asturiano, Editorial Trea, Gijón 2008.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Non-existent

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

The Asturian Government is making the previous studies in order to elaborate a Management Plan. Some monuments are

being monitorised in order to know the real state of structure and ambience (available on web platform).

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

No factor is both current and negative.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.3.4	No management system / plan is currently in place	The Asturian government is working in making previous studies of conservation (i.e. monitoring the buildings). Major conservation works are on progress (i.e. in Santa María de Naranco, Cámara Santa, San Julián de los Prados...).	The monitoring will last at least one year, from the Summer of 2014. The conservation survey is constant.	Government of Asturias	-Monitoring on the State of conservation of the monuments is constant, but is not linked to any Plan.
4.3.5	No management system in place	Conservation studies are constantly made by the Asturian Government. This studies will be the bases for a future Management system. The Asturian Government tries to involve the property (the Catholic Church) in preventive conservation works.	Along the year	Government of Asturias	-
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	No actions foreseen.	-	-	Public administration leads the performances around the pre-Romanesque, regarding conservation and also in their promotion.
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.4	Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved	No actions foreseen.	-	-	Visitors centers are publicly owned, although the guides in the own monuments are managed by the property, the Catholic Church

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

The State of conservation of the monuments is subject of constant attention. At certain monuments monitoring controls are established in order to know environmental and structural conditions, to have a detailed and exhaustive knowledge of their current reality. Future decisions will be taken on the basis of it conclusions.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Public administrations are working in the improvement of the coordination of all stakeholders involved in the management of the pre-Romanesque of Asturias with a view to develop a management plan in the future.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	None

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: Approved in Decision 38 COM.8E (2014).

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise