1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Syracuse and the Rocky Necropolis of Pantalica

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies) • Italy Type of Property cultural Identification Number 1200 Year of inscription on the World Heritage List 2005

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Necropolis of Pantalica , Italy	37.142 / 15.028	205.86	3699.7	3905.56	2005
Epipolae,Achradina,Tyche and Neapolis, Euryalus Castle, Dionysian forti fications and the Scala Greca area, Italy	37.096 / 15.225	635.96	874.45	1510.41	2005
Ortygia , Italy	37.059 / 15.293	56.64	945.25	1001.89	2005
Total (ha)		898.46	5519.4	6417.86	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title		Link to source
Syracuse and the Rocky Necropolis of Pantalica - maps of inscribed property	15/07/2006	æ

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Adele Cesi

Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali

Funzionario

Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

Comment

Adele Lagi Funzionario Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale, Servizio 1 Via del Collegio Romano, 27 00186 Roma Italy Telephone +39 06 67232683 e-mail adele.lagi@beniculturali.it

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Giuseppa Scialabba Sicily Region - Superintendence for cultural and environmental heritage of Syracuse Soprintendente

Comment

Sicily Region - Superintendence for cultural and environmental heritage of Syracuse Beatrice Basile Soprintendente Piazza Duomo 14 96100 Siracusa Italy Telephone: +39 0931 4598201 Fax; +39 0931 21205 Email: soprisr@regione.sicilia.it

Section II-Syracuse and the Rocky Necropolis of Pantalica

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. <u>View photos from OUR PLACE the World</u> <u>Heritage collection</u>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on teh Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to te evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name					Imp	act			Origi	in
3.1	Buildings and Developme	ent									
3.1.3	Industrial areas						0		9		G
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation	facilities				0		9		۲	
3.2	Transportation Infrastruc	ture									
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastruc	ture				0		9		۲	G)
3.3	Services Infrastructures					•	•				
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	3							9		S.
3.3.4	Localised utilities								9		S
3.3.5	Major linear utilities						۲		9		G
3.4	Pollution					1			-		
3.4.4	Air pollution								9		G
3.4.5	Solid waste								9		F
3.8	Social/cultural uses of he	eritage									
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious a	and associative uses				\odot		9		۲	G
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritag	e				0			9	۲	G
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							G			
3.11	Sudden ecological or geo	ological events				1					
3.11.2	Earthquake						0		9	۲	G
3.11.3	Tsunami/tidal wave								9	۲	G
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition							G			
3.11.6								Ś			
3.12	Invasive/alien species or	hyper-abundant spec	ies				-	I			
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial sp	ecies						9	9	۲	G
Legend	Current 6	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside		Č	Outs	ide		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale		Management response	Trend
3.12	3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The main protective measures can be found in the Laws for the protection of the patrimony of art, monuments, landscape, natural beauty, hydrogeology and forestry; that is: • The Legislative Decree of 29th October 1999 n. 490: a consolidation act of the legislative provisions on the subject of cultural and environmental assets, according to article 1 of the law of 8th October 1997, n° 352 (the Decree has uni- fied and integrated the provisions of the previous laws protecting the territory and the artistic historical patrimony, including law 1089/39; law 1497/39; law 64/74; law 431/85); • The Regional Law 15/91 (in particular article. - article.5): Modifications and integrations to the Regional Law n° 71/78 on the subject of town planning and the deferment of the restrictions on the subject of parks and nature reserves. Such laws foresee the establishment of special restrictions for the protection of the patrimony. Based on such restrictions every public and private intervention directed at physical modifications or at the use of the asset is subject to the control of special Territorial Offices; such offices being as follows: a) Architectonical and Environmental Superintendency, the main organism for the protection within the province, with the function of controlling and protecting the patrimony of architecture, monuments art and the environment. Such a body also has tasks and powers regarding the carrying out of conservation and restoration interventions. Within the Sicilian Region the Superintendency is directly under the authority of the Regional Council Office for Cultural Assets; b) The Municipal Technical Office - Vth Town Planning Department; its task is mainly that of verifying that the laws and regulations that protect the territory are respected, in order to grant the necessary authorisations and permits for the execution of works that lead to the physical modification or use of the assets and the territory;

c) Civil Engineers Office, with the task of controlling and the supervising the application of the norms relative to the static nature of the buildings, with particular reference to the antiseismic norms and modifications of the territory; such a body has also competences relative to the carrying out of interventions of the utmost urgency, mainly of a static nature; within the Sicilian Region the offices are directly under the authority of the Public Works Regional Council Office. d) Forestry Division Inspectorate, with the task of protecting and developing the hydrogeological and forestry resources; such an institution has also the task of supervising and controlling the territory that is subject to special restraints, in particular concerning the use of the land for agriculture and also for woods; within the Sicilian Region the Inspectorate is directly under the authority of the Agriculture and Forestry Regional Council Office.

The indications in the "Guidelines of the Regional Landscape Plan" have been established as a coordination of all the norms relative to running the whole territory. The work of controlling and safeguarding is carried out by the Syracuse municipality through urbanistic tools that are relative to the area in question and to the specific detailed norms that are drawn up. In particular, since 1990 the Executive Detailed Plan has been in force for the area of Ortygia.

Comment

The main protective measures can be found in the Laws for the protection of the patrimony of art, monuments, landscape, natural beauty, hydrogeology and forestry; that is: • The Legislative Decree of 22nd January 2004 n°42.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Most of the monuments and site are public property. They belong to:

- the Italian state (Ministry of the Interior)
- the region of Sicily,
- the province of Syracuse,

-the Municipality of Syracuse.

Thus:

The monuments of Ortygia and the archeological park of Neapolis are state property. The offices of the University of Catania, as a historic monument of Syracuse, is the University"s property, but intended for public access. The religious buildings (the Cathedral, a historic monument, and the catacombs) are the property of the archiepiscopal parish of Syracuse. Some civil historic buildings belong to private individuals or companies. However they are subject to public interest obligations.

Management structure:

At national level: The Ministry of Cultural and Environmental Properties, in accordance with Italian Legislative Decree no. 490 of 29 October 1997 on the preservation of the artistic and historic heritage.

At regional level: The Superintendency for Architecture and the Environment, in accordance with the provisions of Regional Law 15/91 of 1998.

At local level: The municipal technical office of Syracuse. Through a process of decentralisation, the Ministry of Cultural and Environmental Properties is represented in Syracuse by a Superintendency. Resources: Funds, subsidies and grants are available at several levels for the historic monuments and the heritage in general:

Italian State: Ordinary management and maintenance funds; Special funds for projects or emergency funds (in the event of natural disasters, for example); Grants for heritage preservation from the Italian national lottery.

Region of Sicily: Ordinary funds and special funds for the maintenance and restoration of the historic, artistic and monumental heritage; Ordinary funds for the management of the historic, artistic and monumental heritage.

Province of Syracuse: Grants for heritage management, including grants from private individuals; Grants for special heritage projects. There is another source of financing in addition to the above, which is large in amount and important in its regularity: the contribution under a programme of the European Commission, in connection with Agenda 2000 (Regional Operative Programme of Sicily, 2000-2006).

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ? The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Non-existent

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or

recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The answers of this page has been filled by collaborations of local administrations, GAL - Agenzia di Sviluppo Val d'Anapo and Azienda Regionale per le Foresta Demaniali

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	100%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are not secure

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

Potential economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	10%
Part-time	90%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	15%
Seasonal	85%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	95%
Volunteer	5%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are inadequate for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Not available
Interpretation	Not available
Education	Not available
Visitor management	Not available
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Not available
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The answers of this page has been filled by collaborations of local administrations, GAL - Agenzia di Sviluppo Val d'Anapo and Azienda Regionale per le Foresta Demaniali

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

The answers of this page has been filled by collaborations of local administrations, GAL - Agenzia di Sviluppo Val d'Anapo and Azienda Regionale per le Foresta Demaniali

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and** *ad hoc* education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate		
Site museum Adequate			
Information booths	Adequate		
Guided tours	Adequate		
Trails / routes	Adequate		
Information materials	Adequate		
Transportation facilities	Poor		
Other	Not needed		

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building The answers of this page has been filled by collaborations of

local administrations, GAL - Agenzia di Sviluppo Val d'Anapo and Azienda Regionale per le Foresta Demaniali

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	N/A
Five years ago	N/A

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

The answers of this page has been filled by collaborations of local administrations, GAL - Agenzia di Sviluppo Val d'Anapo and Azienda Regionale per le Foresta Demaniali

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Non-existent

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

The answers of this page has been filled by collaborations of local administrations, GAL - Agenzia di Sviluppo Val d'Anapo and Azienda Regionale per le Foresta Demaniali

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						
		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring		Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	All	systematic disinfection of the plants	each month		Fitopatologico di Acireale, Municipalities	the "red weevil" damaged many existing trees that are characteristic elements of the landscape

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.4.3 The budget is inadequate for management needs More budget needs It's necessary at least an annual budget program Municipalities		Municipalities	no comments			
4.4.12	Human resources inadequate for management needs	Increase human resources with dedicated professionals	monthly or two-monthly	Municipalities	no comments	
4.4.15	4.4.15 Capacity development plan not implemented The capacity development plan needs to be completed by a work group of professionals from municipalities		monthly or two-monthly	Municipalities	no comments	
4.8 Mor	4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.1	monitoring,	increase and coordinate the work group (see points 4.4.12 and 4.4.15)	twelvemonthly	Municipalities	no comments	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are predominantly intact

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

The protection activities of the Superintendence Office and of the Municipal Administrations has well preserved the values of the site

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	No impact
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	No impact

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Word heritage in now well preserved. However, a better organization would be required. Further relations among municipalities and other administrations are necessary, particularly to increase management and monitoring.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

Local community	
Advisory bodies	
Others	

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on teh Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to te evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

no comments