

Name of Institute/Centre	Regional Heritage Management Training Centre “Lucio Costa”, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Year of creation	2010
UNESCO focal point	Nuria Sanz WHC/LAC
Statutes and agreements	<p>The agreement for the creation of the Center was signed during the 34th session of the World Heritage Committee in Brasilia, in July 2010.</p> <p>The main focus of the Centre will be training and dissemination of best practices in the field of heritage management.</p>
Thematic specialization	Heritage Management
Geographic coverage	Latin America, and Portuguese Speaking Countries in Africa and Asia
Is the agreement in compliance with UNESCO’s integrated comprehensive strategy (35 C/22 and Corr.) adopted at the 35 th session of UNESCO’s General Conference in 2009?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The final legal agreement with a proposal of the Action Plan between UNESCO and the SP will be signed in Spring 2012.</p>
Governing Board	<p>The Centre comprises: a Governing Board, an Executive Committee, an Advisory Committee and a Secretariat.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Governing Board is composed of Government representatives, (b) a representative of the Director-General of UNESCO; (c) up to seven representatives of Participant States, including those of the Region. • Executive Committee is composed of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) two representatives from the Government, one of them being the President of IPHAN or his/her appointed representative, who shall chair the Executive (b) one representative of the Director-General of UNESCO; (c) up to three representatives from Participant States which are members of the Board participating in the Governing Board. • The Advisory Committee acts as a consultative and advisory body to the Executive Committee and provide technical advice for planning, execution, review and monitoring of activities of the Centre. • The Director appointed by the Chairperson of the Governing Board

	upon recommendation of the Executive Committee and in consultation with the Director-General of UNESCO. The Director act as Head of the Secretariat.	
UNESCO staff detached	None	
Financial contributions	2010-2011	
	Regular programme (please provide details, i.e. cost of undertaking the feasibility study, activities, implemented through contractual arrangements, costs of participating in the governing boards, other)	No financial contribution from UNESCO has been requested. Feasibility study was covered by the State Party
	Extrabudgetary (please provide details)	None
	Total	
	Centre or Institute's Budget and sources of financing	For the initial activities, budget allocations were foreseen from the Federal Government's long-term budget, the "PPA" (Pluriannual Programme of Action), was made available in the framework of the Programa Brasil Patrimônio Cultural which is managed by IPHAN. Financial resources for 2009 amounted R\$2,040,000.00 (roughly less than US \$1 million). The staff of the Centre will initially comprise a Director, an administrative officer, an administrative assistant, a technical coordinator, the head of the Observatory and the head of the documentation centre. Other staff will be seconded by IPHAN or other institutions.
	Has the Institute or Centre been audited, if yes by whom and what were the results?	Not yet
Contribution to UNESCO's programme results at the Main Lines of Action (MLA) level in the 35 C/5	<p>MLA1: Protecting and conserving immovable cultural properties and natural properties, in particular through the effective implementation of the World Heritage Convention</p> <p>The category 2 centre in Rio de Janeiro has played an important supporting role in the organization of the sub-regional meetings for the</p>	

	<p>preparation of the Second Cycle of the Periodic Reporting exercise in the Latin America and Caribbean (LAC) Region and will also play a fundamental role in the implementation of the process. The primary objective of the sub-regional meetings was the training of representatives (Focal Points, site managers and national authorities) from all the States Parties of the region on the activities related to the Second Cycle of the Periodic Reporting exercise, which are: Analysis of all retrospective Statements of Outstanding Universal Value which have been submitted; the decisions of the World Heritage Committee concerning policies affecting the LAC Region; the preparation of guidelines for advances made in the Retrospective Inventory process; the training of participants in the completion of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire (Sections I and II) and the establishment of thematic discussion groups.</p> <p>The second sub-regional meeting was held in Rio de Janeiro (Brazil), from 7 to 10 December 2010, at the Headquarters of the category 2 centre. The first day was devoted to retrospective Statements of Outstanding Value, with the managers of the Brazilian sites. The following days were spent on the Periodic Reporting exercise, where national focal points and site managers of South America took part. One hundred and twenty participants from 10 countries attended, including national focal points, site managers and Brazilian Authorities in charge of the protection of World Heritage, representatives of the category 2 centre in Rio de Janeiro and Advisory Bodies (IUCN, ICOMOS, and ICCROM). The four-day meeting was organized by the World Heritage Centre in cooperation with the category 2 centre of Rio de Janeiro, the National Institute of Historic and Artistic Heritage (IPHAN) and the international Social Institute Chico Mendes.</p>
<p>Does the institute or centre contribute to the implementation of a UNESCO sector strategy, if yes, please indicate how?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • World Heritage Global Strategy • Conservation • Research collaboration in the implementation of the World Heritage Convention
<p>Was a review carried out by UNESCO on the Centre/Institute's contribution to the Strategic Programme Objectives of the Organization prior to the expiration of the Institute/Centre's agreement? If yes, please provide a short synopsis of the results of this review (no more than 100 words max)</p>	<p>None</p>
<p>Last external evaluation</p>	<p>None</p>
<p>Has this centre/institute promoted best practices</p>	<p>The mandate of the Centre 2 in Rio is aligned with the Brazilian international cooperation programme, which noted South-South cooperation as a first</p>

in promoting South-South, North-South and triangular cooperation, if yes, please provide a brief synopsis (100 words max)

priority. Training programmes and capacity building activities are being designed for African countries as a priority.