

Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization



International Institute for Educational Planning



Zimbabwe **Ministry of Primary and Secondary Education** Ministry of Higher and Tertiary Education, Science and Technology Development Zimbabwe National Statistics Agency



Cultural Organization

INSTITUTE for STATISTICS United Nations Educational, Scientific and

UNESCO



ZIMBABWE – TECHNICAL HANDBOOK ON DATA PROCESSING

An approach to national education accounts





United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization



International Institute for Educational Planning



Global and Regional Activities programme Education Financing Development of methodologies to improve national reporting on financial flow

Pôle de Dakar of the International Institute for Educational Planning (IIEP – UNESCO) is a platform for expertise in education policy analysis.

Founded in 2001, the Pôle de Dakar has been offering its expertise to African countries for nearly 15 years. Its activities contribute to UNESCO's support for the development of effective, feasible, equitable and endogenous education policies in Africa.

The Technical manual for data processing has received financing from the Global Partnership for Education

Published in 2016 by:

IIEP - Pôle de Dakar Almadies - Route de la plage de Ngor BP 3311 Dakar – Sénégal Tél : + 221 33 820 57 56 www.iipe-poledakar.org

Attribution:

Zimbabwe – Technical handbook on data processing An approach to national education accounts IIEP Pôle de Dakar - UNESCO, 2016.

Reference: IIPE/PDK/WEB/2016/01

Graphic Design : Imprimerie Graphi Plus Cover design : IIEP Paris Impression : Imprimerie Graphi Plus

Unesco 2016



This publication is available in Open Access under the Attribution- NonCommercial - NoDerivs 3.0 IGO (CC-BY-NC-ND 3.0 IGO) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/igo/). By using the content of this publication, the users accept to be bound by the terms of use of the UNESCO Open Access Repository (http://en.unesco.org/open-access/terms-use-ccbyncnd-en).

The present license applies exclusively to the text content of the publication.

Context of the study

Focusing on equity and efficiency in Zimbabwe from June 2014 to September 2015, the study on public resources allocation in education is one component of a broader GPE funded project related to improving reporting on education finance, jointly implemented by UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS), UNESCO International Institute for Educational Planning (IIEP Paris and IIEP Pôle de Dakar).

For all countries involved in the project, the work includes an analysis of the public spending for education and the preparation of financing tables. Zimbabwe participated in stream A of the project, which deals with the allocation of public resources. In Zimbabwe, the analysis of public spending for education was complemented by additional analyses on equity in resource allocation and public spending efficiency.

This technical manual explains the methodology that was used to develop the various analyses described in the report.

Table of contents

1. Detailed picture of government spending for education 2012–2014	5
1.1 Framework for analysis	5
1.2 Sources of information	7
1.3 Data processing	7
1.4 Adding subsequent years for expenditure analysis	15
2. Trends in government spending for education	16
2.1 Sources of information	16
2.2 Calculating trends	
2.3 Adding a new year for trend analysis	20
3. Analysis of Equity	21
3.1 Distributive equity in allocation of teachers	21
3.2 Distributive equity in textbooks	22
3.3 Structural equity	23
4. Analysis of efficiency	25
4.1 International comparison	25

1. Detailed picture of government spending for education 2012–2014

The objective is to provide a detailed analysis of public spending for education and within the education sector from 2012 to 2014 in order to address the following questions:

- How much is the government spending for education?
- How much is being spent for each level of education?
- How much is being spent for staff and other types of expenditure?
- What is the unit spending per student?

Data are organized to produce a comprehensive picture of government spending on education as well as unit costs at various levels of the education system.

1.1 Framework for analysis

The framework for the analysis follows the general lines set for analysing the financing of education in the participating countries and allows for the creation of financing tables to establish the structure of government spending for education and to calculate unit costs. The framework is based on a vision of the domain of education seen as a list of activities within levels of education.

Economic agents involved in the domain are classified in two categories: the providers or producing units, mainly educational institutions that are delivering the activities, and the financing units that are funding but not delivering the educational services. Beneficiaries, represented by the students, are recorded by level of education and production units.

To complete this framework, economic transactions are analysed by nature of operation or object of expenditure (remuneration, recurrent, or capital).

Each dimension is associated with a list or classification; those classifications form the structure of the education accounts. However, in order to design the financing tables providing the comprehensive picture of government funding, some dimensions are combined. Levels of education and production units are combined, as providers are typically delivering at a specific level of education. Activities and economic transactions are also combined, though sufficient details are maintained to support analyses of production costs.

Levels of education and production units

The education system of Zimbabwe is organized into the following levels: Infant, Junior, Secondary, Teacher Education, Technical and Vocational, and University.

The cost of education cannot be reduced to teaching activities at the school/institution level alone; activities such as the general administration of the system and school supervision done by the central and district offices of the ministries in charge of education must also be included.

Levels of education and status of institutions are the main criteria to characterize educational institutions. Educational institutions are, for the most part, state-run or grant-aided, and their activity is most often limited to one level of education. Parallel to those publicly funded schools, private schools are contributing to the delivery of education services.

The categories of providers can easily be combined with levels of education to form a common nomenclature of both levels and providers. Categories of private providers are not reflected when they are not receiving government funding.

Table 1. Classification of production units by level of education

Infant education Government and government-aided schools Independent schools Administrative offices	Teacher education Teacher colleges Administrative offices
Junior education	Technical education
Government and government-aided schools	MOHTESTD Polytechnics
Independent schools	Technical colleges
Administrative offices	Administrative offices
Secondary education	Higher education
Government and government-aided schools	Universities
Independent schools	Colleges
Administrative offices	Administrative offices

Economic transactions and activities

The classification by object of expenditure used for analysing the financial flows for education is based on the classical distinction between personnel costs, other recurrent expenses, and investments, but also strives to set apart pedagogical expenses (teachers, equipment, and materials).

Activities of educational providers include teaching activities, management, school meals and accommodation, medical care, and other support to students. As for a National Education Account, the activities of educational providers have been grouped as follows to highlight the difference between activities of a day school and activities for ancillary services: teaching activities and management; and meals, boarding, medical care, and transport organized by the school.

Scholarships or assistance grants paid to families and students are analysed as transfers between financing units and are recorded separately.

Table 2.	Classific	ation of	⁻ activities	and	economic	transactions

Activities	Object of expenditure	
Teaching activities	Teaching staff	
	Non-teaching staff	
	Teaching materials	
	Other recurrent expenditures	
	Capital	
Ancillary services	Expenses for school meals and boarding	
Administration and supervision	Staff salaries and allowances	
	Other recurrent expenditures	
	Capital	
Scholarships and support to families		

Financing tables

The classifications outlined in Tables 1 and 2 are combined to form financing tables used for establishing the structure of government spending for education and for calculating unit costs. A financing table can be created for each government department involved in education financing.

1.2 Sources of information

Government expenditure for education is allocated according to different votes within the government's budget, corresponding to the ministries in charge. The Ministries of Primary and Secondary Education (MOPSE) and the Ministry of Higher and Tertiary Education, Science and Technology Development (MOHTESTD) are the main departments in charge of the education sector. In addition, the Ministry of Public Service, Labour and Social Welfare; the Ministry of Defence; the Ministry of Agriculture, Mechanisation and Irrigation Development; and the Ministry of Youth, Indigenisation and Economic Empowerment supervise technical colleges or training institutions.

Data analysed included actual MOPSE expenditures for 2012 to 2014, data from the payroll (to distinguish budget costs for teaching and non-teaching staff), and enrolment data from the EMIS. Expenditures for culture and arts that were included until 2013 in the Ministry of Education's budget were not considered.

Similar data were mobilized for the Ministry of Higher Education. For other ministries, information was taken from the budget estimates books.

This picture was complemented by an estimate of government "social charges" for pensions and funeral assistance for permanent teachers and non-teaching staff. The pension scheme for retired civil servants is managed by the pension office within the Civil Service Commission. Pensions are paid from the government budget under the constitution and statutory appropriation, without contributions charged to the various ministries. A similar scheme exists for funeral assistance. Temporary teachers are not part of those schemes; they contribute to the National Social Security Authority, as do private sector employees.

1.3 Data processing

For the purpose of the analysis, all data are gathered and processed into one Excel file, Government Spending for Education Structure & Trend September 2015.xlsx, with a worksheet for calculating each of the four components of government expenditure (MOPSE, MOHTESTD, other ministries, and social charges), and a worksheet to recap data in the financing tables.

Enrolment figures by level of education and provider are then introduced to calculate unit costs directly from the financing tables.

Calculating MOPSE actual expenditure

Data are processed in the 'MOPSE' worksheet of the Excel file using the following steps:

- Step 1: Initial classification of budget lines. This classification aims to regroup all budget lines with the same classification by level, provider, activity, and object of expenditure. The initial classification of levels follows the budget structure (Primary, Secondary in 2012 and 2013, Infant, Junior, and Secondary in 2014). General administration costs are grouped under level "All".
- Step 2: Budget figures are totalled following the initial classification.
- Step 3: Classification is further refined using data from the July payroll to separate employment costs for teaching staff and non-teaching staff. When it's necessary, enrolment figures by grade are used to disaggregate expenditures for primary education between Infant and Junior levels. Managed funds by levels are used to disaggregate administrative costs initially classified as level "All".
- Step 4: At this stage, budget expenditures are entirely classified.

Table 3. Calculating MOPSE actual expenditure

Step1: initial classification of budget lin	nes by level	provider, a	ctivity and	d object		
	level	provider	activity	object	YEAR ENDING 31	DECEMBER 2012
Service					Vote	Expenditure
					\$	\$
1. ADMINISTRATION AND GENERAL						
CURENT EXPENDITURE						
A. Employment costs	All	Offices	Admin	Staff	5.953.000	6.110.968
Basic salaries	-				3.899.000	3.137.305
Housing allowance	-				874.000	1.235.723
Transport allowance	-				1,016,000	1,123,342
Other Allowances					164,000	614 598
B. Goods and services	All	Offices	Admin	Staff	2,678 000	2125,916
Comminication,Information and services					645,000	230,182
Education materials, supplies and services	-				37,000	3,998
Hospitality					6,000	0
Medical supplies and services					24,000	0
Office supplies and service					194,000	89,586
Rental and hire expenses					881,000	1,085,761
Training and development expenses	_				91,000	128,494
Domestic travel expenses					245,000	241,225
Foreign travel expenses					110,000	94,858
Utilities and other services					236,000	107,316
Financial Transactions					18,000	839
Institutional provisions					169,000	138,658
Other goods and services not above					22,000	4,999
C Maintenance					391,000	457,438
Physical Infrastructure	All	Offices	Admin	Capital	22,000	3,700
Technical and office equipment	All	Offices	Admin	Capital	40,000	21,862
Vehicle and mobile equipment	All	Offices	Admin	Capital	125,000	146,360
Fumigation and cleaning services	All	Offices	Admin	recurrent	24,000	5,000
Fuels oils and lubricants	All	Offices	Admin	recurrent	180,000	280,516
1V.PRIMARY EDUCATION	1					
CURRENT EXPENDITURE						
A. Employment costs	primary	gvt	teaching	staff	449,784,000	430,445,033
Basic salaries					265,299,000	222,236,667
Housing allowance					90,695,000	87,287,105
Transport allowance					87,742,000	84,891,513
Other Allowances					6,048,000	36,029,748
B. Goods and services					840,000	225,345
Comminication,Information and services	primary	gvt	teaching	staff	73,000	29,395
Education materials, supplies and services	primary	gvt	teaching	staff	300,000	0
Office supplies and service	primary	gvt	teaching	staff	25,000	5,951
Rental and hire expenses	primary	gvt	teaching	staff	110,000	20,699
Training and development expenses	primary	gvt	teaching	staff	180,000	88,660
Domestic travel expenses	primary	gvt	teaching	staff	90,000	77,948
Foreign travel expenses	primary	gvt	teaching	staff	10,000	0
Utilities and other services	primary	gvt	teaching	staff	32,000	0
Institutional provisions	primary	gvt	teaching	staff	10,000	2,692
Other goods and services not above	primary	gvt	teaching	staff	10,000	0

Step2: sub-totals according to initial classification							
	level	provider	activity	object	YEAR ENDING 31	DECEMBER 2012	
Service					Vote	Expenditure	
					\$	\$	
	infant	gvt	teaching	staff			
	infant	gvt	teaching	teaching Materiel			
	infant	gvt	teaching	recurrent	100,000	50,000	
	primary	gvt	teaching	staff	449 784,000	430,445,033	
	primary	gvt	teaching	teaching Materiel	300,000	0	
	primary	gvt	teaching	recurrent	630,000	265,890	
	primary	gvt	teaching	capital	0	0	
	primary	gvt	teaching	support	235,000	0	
	primary	gvt	teaching	recurrent	500,000	31,215	
	primary	gvt	teaching	recurrent	0	0	
	primary	gvt	teaching	recurrent	7,200,000	0	
	secondary	gvt	teaching	staff	227,927,000	225,554,255	
	secondary	gvt	teaching	teaching Materiel	840,000	220,180	
	secondary	gvt	teaching	recurrent	810,000	275,370	
	secondary	gvt	teaching	capital	1,530,000	6,894	
	secondary	gvt	teaching	support	0	0	
	secondary	gvt	teaching	recurrent	0	0	
	secondary	gvt	teaching	recurrent	0	0	
	secondary	gvt	teaching	recurrent	4,800,000	799,999	
	non formal	nfc	teaching	recurrent	70,000	0	
	all	offices	teaching	staff	7 291,000	6,410,321	
	All	offices	admin	recurrent	4 301,000	3,080,346	
	All	offices	admin	capital	4,792,000	3,447,604	
	all	gvt	teaching	staff	93,000	0	
					711,203,000	670,587,107	
					711,203,000	670 587,107	

Step3: refining detailed class	ification					
			1			
	junior	pvt	support	support		
	primary	gvt	teaching	staff	449,784,000	430,445,033
					payroll	july 2012
					Teaching staff	Non Teaching Staff
				Bulawayo	1,600,291	9,670
				Midlands	5,136,068	4,183
				Manicaland	5,724,811	2,944
				Mash west	4,283,009	3,314
	-			Mash East	3,854,221	1,388
				Mash Central	2,946,498	353
	-			Masvingo	5,273,713	927
				Mat North	2,142,760	353
	-			Mat South	2,713,089	740
	-			Harare	2 989,414	26,766
				All	36,663,874	50,638
	-		payroll	teaching		36,663,874
	-		payroll	non teaching		50,638
	primary	gvt	teaching	teaching	99,86%	429,851,347
	primary	gvt	teaching	non teaching	0,14%	593,686
		gvt & pvt	enrolment	: ecd		352,846
	_	gvt & pvt	enrolment	: g1&2	32%	850,996
	_	gvt & pvt	enrolment	g3&7	68%	1,815,555
	-		enrolment	primary		2,666,551
	-		ecd qualifi	ed teachers		2,880
	_		primary te	achers		72,591
	Infant	gvt	teaching	teaching	34,5%	148,349,942
	junior	gvt	teaching	teaching	65,5%	281,501,405
	Infant	gvt	teaching	non teaching	39,87%	236,704
	junior	gvt	teaching	non teaching	60,13%	356,981

Step4 : Final classification						
	level	provider	activity	object	YEAR ENDING 3	1 DECEMBER 2012
	_					
Service	_				Vote	Expenditure
	-				\$	\$
	-		to o object	kaa ahiin a	Ý	140.240.042
	Infant	gvt	teaching	teaching		148,349,942
	Inidit	gvt	teaching	non teaching		230,704
		gvt	tooching	tooching motorials		195,290
		gvt	tooching	capital		0
	Infant	gvt	fooding	rocurront		0
	infant	offices	admin	non teaching		1 079 865
	Infant	Offices	admin	recurrent		518 907
	Infant	Offices	admin	canital		580 774
	Infant	gyt	sunnort	support		0
	Infant	nvt	teaching	recurrent		0
	=	pve	least	t s s h's s		201 501 405
	Junior	gvt	teaching	teaching		281,501,405
	junior	gvt	teaching	non teaching		350,981
	Junior	gvt	teaching	recurrent		120,600
	junior	gvt	teaching	capital		0
	junior	gvt	fooding	rocurront		21 215
	junior	gvi	admin	non tooching		2 046 941
	junior	Offices	admin	rocurrent		2,040,041
	junior	Offices	admin	capital		1 100 922
	junior	ont	support	cupport		1,100,633
	junior	gvt	teaching	recurrent		0
	secondary	gvt	teaching	teaching		224,829,616
	secondary	gvt	teaching	non teaching		/24,639
	secondary	gvt	teaching	recurrent		2/5,3/0
	secondary	gvt	teaching	teaching materials		220,180
	secondary	gvt	teaching	Capital		6,894
	secondary	gvi	leaching	non toaching		2 202 616
	secondary	Offices	admin	rocurrent		5,205,010
	secondary	Offices	admin	capital		1,577,675
	secondary	onces	support	cupport		1,705,997
	secondary	gvt	teaching	recurrent		700 000
	secondary	pvi	teaching			155,555
	non formal	nfc	teaching	teaching		0
	non formal	nfc	teaching	non teaching		0
	non formal	nfc	teaching	recurrent		0
	non formal	nfc	teaching	teaching materials		0
	non formal	nic nfo	teaching	Capital		0
	non formal	officer	leaching	needing		0
	non formal	Officer	admin	recurrent		0
	non formal	Offices	admin	capital		0
	non formal	offe	aunnart	cupport		0
	non iormal	IIIC	support	support		0
						670,587,107

Note: Regarding the non-formal sector, appropriations foreseen in the budget vote were not realized and no actual expenditure was recorded.

Calculating MOHTESTD actual expenditure

Data are processed in the "MOHTESTD" worksheet of the Excel file; the steps are similar as those described for processing MOPSE budgets.

Calculating other ministries' expenditures

Data are processed in the "Others" worksheet of the Excel file. Data from the various ministries supervising colleges or training institutions are classified according to the four previously mentioned dimensions.

Table 4. Calculating other ministries' actual expenditures

Step1: Initial classification of but	iget intes i	by level pro	vider, acti	vity and ob	Ject	2012 Actual	2014 Actual
	level	provider	activity	Object	2012 Budget	2013 Actual Estimated	2014 Actual Estimate
						Lotiniated	Lotinate
Ministry of Defence Vote 4							
I Administration & General							
E Programmes							
National Defence College	Tertiary	Colleges	Teaching	recurrent	1,100 000	1,170,214	1,037,677
capital transfer	Tertiary	Colleges	Teaching	Capital	147,376		
Ministry of Agriculture Vote 8							
I Administration & General							
Current Expenditure							
F Agricultural Colleges							
Chibero							
Esigodini							
Gwebi							
Kashinga Phikelela							
Maozwe Veterinary							
Mlezu							
Rio Tinto							
Shamva							
Head Office							
All	Tertiary	Colleges	Teaching	recurrent	1,300,080	1,377,110	382,454
Construction							
construction for colleges	Tertiary	Colleges	Teaching	Capital			0
Ministry of Mines Vote 9							
Capital Transfer							
Zimbabwe School of Mines	Tertiary	Colleges	Teaching	recurrent	0	0	324,848
Ministry of Health Vote 15							
Nothing Provided							
Ministry of Youth Vote 17							
II Vocational Training Centres							
A Employmen Costs	Technical	T Colleges	Teaching	staff	1 626 319	1 933 415	2 198 228
B Educational Materials	Technical	T Colleges	Teaching	material	80.628	17.436	2,190,220
B Goods & services Others	Technical	T Colleges	Teaching	recurrent	349,104	251,833	825.010
C Maintenance	Technical	T Colleges	Teaching	recurrent	89.367	14.639	025,010
E Capital	Technical	T Colleges	Teaching	capital	82.833	,	0
Ministry of Justice.Legal and Pal	iamentary 4	Affairs					
Judicial College	Tertiary	colleges	teaching	recurrent	0		0
		0	3				
				Total sum	4,775,706	4,764,647	4,768,218

Step3: refining	detailed classificatio	n			
Teacher Ed	Colleges	Teaching	Staff	33,023,000	34,160 816
		teaching	7	<mark>0%</mark>	
		non teaching	3	<mark>0%</mark>	
Teacher Ed	Colleges	Teaching	teaching	23,116,100	23,912,571
Teacher Ed	Colleges	Teaching	non teaching	9,906,900	10,248,245
Teacher Ed	Colleges	Teaching	recurrent	1,150,000	781,627
Teacher Ed	Colleges	Teaching	capital	2,075,000	1 069,306
TecVoc	Tcolleges	Teaching	Staff	6,674,000	6,805,029
		teaching	7	0%	
		non teaching	3	0%	
TecVoc	Tcolleges	Teaching	teaching	4,671,800	4,763,520
TecVoc	Tcolleges	Teaching	non teaching	2,002,200	2,041,509
TecVoc	Tcolleges	Teaching	recurrent	2,000,000	959,991
TecVoc	Tcolleges	Teaching	capital	2,727,000	1,053,750
Tertiary	university	Teaching	recurrent	186,814,000	176,703,946
Tertiary	university	Teaching	capital	30,226,000	20,032,356

Calculating social charges for pensions

Data are processed in the "CSC" worksheet of the Excel file

At Step 1, a percentage of social charges for the whole civil service is calculated from the actual expenditures for pensions (excluding war pensions) reported in the budget estimates books and the total employment costs of government reported in the budget outturns (available on Zimtreasury.gov.zw website). In 2013, the percentage of social charges for pensions was thus calculated to be 15.33 per cent (see Table 5).

Table 5. Calculating social charges for pensions

Step1 : calculation of % charges from Civil Service Commission Budget							
Detail expenditures by scheme - Civil Service Commission budge							
Period	2012, up to September	2013, up to November	2014, up to October				
Source	2013 estimate book	2014 estimate book	2015 estimate book				
State Service pensions	162,139,019	222,482,448	236,571,040				
Refunds of contributions	8,442,927	16,021,313	9,767,337				
Commutation of pensions	44,126,750	38,121,901	34,999,075				
Awards on supplementary Act	3,700	1,524	1,250				
Funeral Assistance	8,100	0	0				
State Service Disabilities benefits	2,410,710	3,399,393	3,763,074				
Old Age pension	5,359	4,202	3,670				
Sub total non war pensions	217,136,565	280,030,781	285,105,446				
War pensions	23,672	26,226	27,131				
War victims compensation	5,166,351	6,664,537	8,023,601				
War veteran pensions	63,550,464	81,121,312	88,418,476				
Ex Political prisoners pensions	12,622,981	15,392,344	15,984,346				
Sub total war pensions	81,363,468	103,204,419	112,453,554				
Total for the period	298,500,033	383,235,200	397,559,000				
Number of months	9	11	10				
estimate for 12 months	398,000,044	418,074,764	477,070,800				

Calcule of % charge for pension

From Government Outurns	From 2012 Outurns	From 2013 Outurns	From 2013 Outurns
Employment Cost	1,771,392,883	1,925,745,687	
Pensions	401,588,398	418,135,200	
revenue from pension contributions	2,149,984	10,265,934	
Net cost of pensions	399,438,415	407,869,266	
% change for pensions, including war pension	22.55%	21.18%	
calculation using detailled data to exclude war pensions			
Pensions excluding war pension	292,125,681	305,532,286	
revenue from pension contributions	2,149,984	10,265,934	
Net cost of pensions	289,975,697	295,266,352	
% change for pensions, excluding war pension	16.37%	15.33%	15.33%

Step2 : Application to employment costs for education

	f			Social Charges for pension								
Social Cha	arges for pen	ision		2012	2013	2014						
To add anothe	er year, just copy rig	ght the formulae, a	fter having completed	d the previous rows								
Charges for	pensions MOPSE											
Infant	gvt	teaching	teaching	24,284,775	24,102,506	25,704,070						
Infant	gvt	teaching	non teaching	38,748	35,609	39,110						
Infant	offices	admin	non teaching	176,773	158,553	197,503						
junior	gvt	teaching	teaching	46,081,571	48,211,013	52,195,001						
junior	gvt	teaching	non teaching	58,438	54,954	58,448						
junior	offices	admin	non teaching	335,056	317,777	401,508						
secondary	gvt	teaching	teaching	36,804,441	38,892,415	41,545,258						
secondary	gvt	teaching	non teaching	118,623	109,177	116,623						
secondary	offices	admin	non teaching	537,525	512,149	638,014						
non formal	nfc	teaching	teaching	0	0	0						
non formal	nfc	teaching	non teaching	0	0	0						
non formal	offices	admin	non teaching	0	0	0						
Charges for	pensions MOHE											
Teacher Ed	Colleges	teaching	teaching	3,914,470	4,996,736	5,817,501						
Teacher Ed	Colleges	teaching	non teaching	1,677,630	2,142,315	2,493,215						
Teacher Ed	offices	admin	non teaching	106,175	120,848	151,322						
TecVoc	Tcolleges	teaching	teaching	779,785	833,692	935,477						
TecVoc	Tcolleges	teaching	non teaching	334,193	357,297	400,919						
TecVoc	offices	admin	non teaching	26,001	20,495	24,456						
Tertiary	offices	admin	non teaching	580,046	541,208	540,799						
Charges for	pensions Other n	ninistries										
technical	T colleges	teaching	teaching	186,359	207,510	235,931						
technical	T colleges	teaching	non teaching	79,858	88,933	101,113						
Tertiary	Colleges	teaching	teaching	0	0	0						
Tertiary	Colleges	teaching	non teaching	0	0	0						
				116,120,488	121,705,586	131,596,269						

Financing tables

Social charges for pensions and expenditures of MOPSE, MOHTESTD, and other ministries automatically transfer to financing tables such as Table 6. Enrolment figures for government and government-aided institutions are then entered in column Q and used to calculate unit costs, as shown in Table 7.

		Teaching	g activities			Ancillary services	Gene	eral administi	ration	Total
	Sala	ries	Other re	current		Meals boarding	Staff	Other recurrent	Capital	
	Teaching staff	Non- teaching staff	Teaching material	Other	capital .					
Infant	193,348	294	255	236	400	0	1,486	433	36	196,488
Gvt Aided schools	193,348	294	255	5	400	0				194,302
Private schools				231						231
Admin Offices							1486	433	36	1,955
Junior	392,614	440	745	777	812	0	3,020	881	73	399,362
Gvt Aided schools	392,614	440	745	8	812	0				394,619
Private schools				769						769
Admin Offices							3020	881	73	3,974
Secondary	312,506	877	300	717	797	0	4,799	1,400	115	321,511
Gvt Aided schools	312,506	877	300	17	797	0				314,497
Private schools				700						700
Admin Offices							4799	1400	115	6,314
Teacher	43,760	18,754	0	241	0	0	1,138	290	2	64,185
Teacher colleges	43,760	18,754		241	0					62,755
Admin Offices							1,138	290	2	1,430
Technical	8,812	3,777	0	908	0	0	184	47	0	13,728
MOHTESTD Polytechnics	7,037	3,016		83	0					10,136
Technical colleges	1,775	761	0	825	0					3,361
Admin Offices							184	47	0	231
Higher	0	0	0	190,277	6,041	0	4,068	1,038	6	201,430
Universities				188,532	6,041					194,573
Colleges	0	0	0	1,745	0					1,745
Admin Offices							4,068	1,038	6	5,112
TOTAL	951,040	24,142	1,300	193,156	8,050	0	14,695	4,089	232	1,196,704

Table 7. Financing table showing unit costs in 2014

Average per stud	lent, 201	.4						US\$	
		Teaching	activities		Ancillary services	Gener	al administ	ration	
	Sala	ries	Other	Contral	Meals and	61- <i>1</i> 4	Other	Carribal	Total
	Teaching	Non-	recurrent	Capital	boarding	Staff	recurrent	Capital	
	staff	teaching							
Infant Education	156.26	0.24	0.40	0.32	0.00	1.20	0.35	0.03	158.80
Junior Education	212.32	0.24	0.82	0.44	0.00	1.63	0.48	0.04	215.97
Secondary education	319.00	0.90	1.04	0.81	0.00	4.90	1.43	0.12	328.19
Teacher education	2,114.01	905.99	11.64	0.00	0.00	54.98	14.01	0.10	3,100.72
Technical Education	216.33	92.72	2.55	0.00	0.00	5.66	1.44	0.00	318.70
Higher education	0.00	0.00	3,123.77	100.09	0.00	67.40	17.20	0.10	3,308.56
All levels	227.54	5.78	46.52	1.93	0.00	3.52	0.98	0.06	286.31

1.4 Adding subsequent years for expenditure analysis

Instructions are available in every worksheet of the Excel file to update the calculations for an additional year (such as 2015 when data becomes available).

Updating can be done by copying columns and formulae and entering data for the additional year. This has to be done step by step, with special attention to the information required to disaggregate financial estimates. To facilitate the process, cells are coloured green or yellow where data to be entered are replaced provisionally by estimates.

An additional financing table has to be copied and formulae have to be re-written or adjusted. Tables in the worksheet "For Report" have to be adjusted to the new year.

2. Trends in government spending for education

The trend analysis utilizes data from the functional classification of government spending in the national accounts (COFOG) over a period of 12 to 15 years. Statistical series are perturbed by the currency turmoil in Zimbabwe; hyper-inflation has led to the adoption of the currency of the United States of America for economic exchanges and accounting. Due to availability of data, the analysis covers the 2000–2013 period.

Government expenditure for education results from the combination of the share of government budget devoted to education, which shows the relative priority of education compared to other sectors, and the weight of government budget in relation to Gross Domestic Product (GDP), which shows the government's capacity to raise resources from the wealth generated by the national economy.

The analysis includes comparison of government expenditure for education to GDP and to government budget, structure by level (limited to school education and higher education in the functional classification), expenditure at constant prices, and comparison to the number of students. Data and calculations of indicators can be found in the Excel file "Government Spending for Education Structure & Trend September 2015.xlsx" worksheet "Long Trend".

2.1 Sources of information

Data from three main sources is entered at Step 1 in the green coloured cells between rows 12 and 27.

Table 8 shows data related to GDP current and constant prices collected from the national accounts (specifically the national institute for statistics, ZIMSTAT). Government total expenditure and government expenditure for education data come from the COFOG classification in the national accounts (see Table 9); available in Zim\$ until 2008 and US\$ since 2009, these would need to be converted to a single currency to create a clear picture of patterns and trends. Table 10 shows enrolment data from the EMIS of MOPSE.

			Fror	n National Accounts			
	GDP current prices millions Zim\$	GDP constant prices millions Zim\$	GDP PRICE INDEX	economic growth	GDP current prices millions USD	GDP constant prices 2009 US\$	GDP prices
1999	230,207						
2000	315,003	22,582	1,395		9,512.7	8,779.3	
2001	1,776,910	22,534	7,886	-0.2%	9,445.8	8,762.3	-0.5%
2002	3,612,407	21,197	17,042	-5.9%	8,961.8	8,241.9	0.9%
2003	10,814,615	19,628	55,098	-7.5%	8,450.2	7,626.9	1.9%
2004	49,013,658	18,917	259,093	-3.6%	8,090.0	7,349.1	-0.6%
2005	205,488,364	18,152	1,132,062	-4.1%	7,842.2	7,050.5	1.0%
2006	1,010,078,001	17,494	5,773,883	-3.6%	7,011.8	6,795.0	-7.2%
2007	15,677,869,165	16,922	92,647,382	-3.3%	6,962.2	6,572.9	2.6%
2008	1,468,474,717,521,740	15,242	9,634,103,378,976	-4.7%	6,450.5	6,261.3	-2.7%
2009				30.3%	8,157.1	8,157.1	-2.9%
2010				11.4%	9,456.8	9,085.0	4.1%
2011				11.9%	10,956.2	10,166.6	3.5%
2012				10.6%	12,472.4	11,240.8	3.0%
2013				4.5%	13,490.2	11,744.8	3.5%
2014				3.9%	14,197.0	12,197.0	1.3%

Table 8. GDP data from national accounts

		COFOG classi	fication of Gov	ernment expendit	ure	
	Total Central Gvt Expenditure (COFOG)	Education Affairs & Services	Education Affairs & Services in USD	Education constant US\$ 2009	Preprimary, Primary & Secondary Education	Tertiary Education
1999	89,682,841	17,358,986	0		13,853,137	3,505,849
2000	159,620,560	31,412,459	948,618,302	875,480,610	25,630,963	5,781,496
2001	169,087,521	42,061,350	223,592,178	207,412,616	34,463,537	7,597,814
2002	343,589,455	72,848,729	180,725,531	166,207,917	57,944,849	14,903,880
2003	1,307,868,754	353,145,953	275,937,484	249,052,352	280,445,221	72,700,732
2004	8,747,727,208	2,156,693,272	355,974,230	323,372,897	1,537,502,069	619,191,203
2005	33,335,204,570	8,679,233,641	331,230,283	297,791,599	6,612,800,840	2,066,432,801
2006	415,512,715,670	79,722,794,792	553,423,168	536,309,080	60,258,152,214	19,464,642,578
2007	85,090,341,875,730	12,850,622,890,092	0	0	7,244,532,605,809	5,606,090,284,283
2008			0	0		
2009	847,257,786	129,102,127	129,102,127	129,102,127	45,894,851	83,207,276
2010	2,444,314,015	928,292,385	928,292,385	891,797,196	626,608,923	301,683,462
2011	3,592,949,880	1,418,685,751	1,418,685,751	1,316,443,333	1,015,468,891	403,216,860
2012	3,537,778,330	921,595,079	921,595,079	830,586,704	674,171,178	247,423,901
2013	4,026,636,518	1,018,200,000	1,018,200,000	886,459,800	748,141,296	270,084,347
2014	3,947,987,775	1,059,600,000	1,059,600,000	910,329,027	796,466,175	263,175,014

Table 9. Government expenditure data from COFOG classification

Table 10. Enrolment data from EMIS and population data

		En	rolment From EMI	s		
	Pre-pri ma ry	Primary	Lower secondary	Upper secondary	total enrolment	Population
1999						13.100
2000	437,454	2,460,669	435,932	408,251	3,742,306	13.500
2001	420,712	2,534,796	451,201	414,970	3,821,679	13.900
2002	403,970	2,399,250	424,947	403,509	3,631,676	11.632
2003	448,124	2,361,588	386,683	371,546	3,567,941	11.640
2004	442,097	2,395,462	394,355	383,708	3,615,622	11.730
2005	436,070	2,429,335	402,026	395,870	3,663,302	11.830
2006	430,043	2,463,209	409,698	408,033	3,710,983	12.010
2007	424,016	2,497,083	417,370	420,195	3,758,663	12.040
2008	417,989	2,530,956	425,041	432,357	3,806,344	12.122
2009	411,962	2,564,830	432,713	444,519	3,854,024	12.231
2010	405,935	2,598,704	440,385	456,682	3,901,705	12.336
2011	399,908	2,632,577	448,056	468,844	3,949,385	13.060
2012	393,881	2,666,451	455,728	481,006	3,997,066	
2013					3,994,773	
2014					4066160.0	

2.2 Calculating trends

Indicators are automatically calculated at Step 2 in successive tables (see Table 11) that show the following:

- 1. The economic and fiscal context, with the percentage of total government spending within GDP.
- 2. Education expenditure compared to government total expenditure, and compared to GDP.
- 3. Expenditures by level of education.
- 4. Expenditures per student, infant to secondary levels.

Table 11. Trend analysis

	Total Central Gvt Expenditure (COFOG) thousand zim\$ or US\$	% of government expenditure within GDP
1999	89,682,841	39%
2000	159,620,560	
2001	169,087,521	10%
2002	343,589,455	10%
2003	1 307,868 ,754	12%
2004	8,747,727,208	18%
2005	33,335,204,570	16%
2006	415,512,715,670	
2007	85,090,341,875,730	
2008	0	
2009	847,257,786	10%
2010	2,444,314,015	26%
2011	3,592,949,880	33%
2012	3,537,778,330	28%
2013	4,026,636,518	30%
2014	3,947,987,775	28%

	,,				
	Education Affairs & Services	Education Affairs &	Education constant	% of education expenditure	% of education
		Services in USD	US\$ 2009	within govt budget.	expenditure within GDP
1999	17,358,986	0		19%	-
2000	31,412,459	948,618		20%	
2001	42,061,350	223,592	207,412,616	25%	2,4%
2002	72 848,729	180,726	166,207,917	21%	2,0%
2003	353,145,953	275,937	249,052,352	27%	3,3%
2004	2,156,693,272	355,974	323,372,897	25%	4,4%
2005	8,679,233,641	331,230	297,791,599	26%	4,2%
2006	79,722,794,792	553,423	536,309,080	19%	
2007	12,850,622,890,092		0	15%	
2008	0		0		
2009	129,102,127	129,102,127	129,102,127	15%	1,6%
2010	928,292,385	928,292,385	891,797,196	38%	9,8%
2011	1,418,685,751	1 418,685,751	1,316,443,333	39%	12,9%
2012	921,595,079	921,595,079	830,586,704	26%	7,4%
2013	1,018,200,000	1,018,200,000	886,459,800	25%	7,5%
2014	1 059.600.000	1,059,600,000	910,329,027	27%	7.5%

	Preprimary, Primary & Secondary Education	Tertiary Education	% of expenditure preprimary & secondary expenditure within education budget.	% of expenditure tertiary education expenditure within education budget.
1999	13,853,137	3,505,849	79,8%	20,2%
2000	25,630,963	5,781,496	81,6%	18,4%
2001	34,463,537	7,597,814	81,9%	18,1%
2002	57,944,849	14,903,880	79,5%	20,5%
2003	280,445,221	72,700,732	79,4%	20,6%
2004	1,537,502,069	619,191,203	71,3%	28,7%
2005	6,612,800,840	2 066,432,801	76,2%	23,8%
2006	60,258,152 214	19,464,642,578	75,6%	24,4%
2007	7 24,532,605,809	5 606,090,284,283	56,4%	43,6%
2008				
2009	45,894,851	83,207,276	35,5%	64,5%
2010	626,608,923	301,683,462	67,5%	32,5%
2011	1,015,468,891	403,216,860	71,6%	28,4%
2012	674,171,178	247,423,901	73,2%	26,8%
2013	748,141,296	270,084,347	73,5%	26,5%
2014	706 466 175	262 175 014	75.2%	24.9%

	Preprimary, Primary & Secondary Education	in constant US\$	Enrolment	Average per student in constant
1999	13,853,137		0	
2000	25,630,963	714,347,494	3,742,306	
2001	34,463,537	169,946,334	3,821,679	44,5
2002	57,944,849	132,203,990	3,631,676	36,4
2003	280,445,221	197,780,950	3,567,941	55,4
2004	1,537,502,069	230,531,854	3,615,622	63,8
2005	6,612,800,840	226,890,601	3,663,302	61,9
2006	60,258,152,214	405,367,050	3,710,983	109,2
2007	7,244,532,605,809		3,758,663	
2008			3,806,344	
2009	45,894,851	45,894,851	3,854,024	
2010	626,608,923	601,974,216	3,901,705	154,3
2011	1,015,468,891	942,285,668	3,949,385	238,6
2012	674,171,178	607,596,144	3,997,066	152,0
2013	748,141,296	651,342,745	3,994,773	163,0
2014	796,466,175	684,264,136	4,066,160	168,3













2.3 Adding a new year for trend analysis

Instructions are available in every worksheet of the Excel file to update the calculations for an additional year (such as 2015 when data becomes available).

Updating the trend analysis can be done by adding a row for the additional data to the table at Step 1. Cells where data should be entered are coloured green.

In Step 2, tables can be updated by copying down the last row. Data references in the charts have to be adjusted (select data, "modify series by" to select one row more).

3. Analysis of Equity

3.1 Distributive equity in allocation of teachers

For this analysis, information on students and teachers for each individual school has been extracted from the EMIS database of the Ministry of Primary and Secondary Education. These analyses are developed in the Excel file "Government Spending for Education Equity & Efficiency September 2015.xlsx" worksheet "Teachers Allocation".

The deployment of teachers paid by the government to government and government-aided schools is based on norms of 1 teacher for every 20 pupils at the pre-primary level and 1 teacher for every 40 students at the primary level. If the number of permanent teachers appointed to the school is not sufficient to achieve these norms, the school can recruit temporary teachers to be paid by the government. Government-aided schools are authorized to recruit additional teachers using fees and contributions from parents.

Figure 1 compares the number of students with the number of teachers by school. Analyses are developed first for all teachers and then for government-paid teachers; the regression lines are shown to display the trend and the correlation coefficients.

This analysis can be updated by copying the new data in columns A to J and adjusting the data section in the charts.



Figure 1. Analysis of distributive equity in allocation of teachers

3.2 Distributive equity in textbooks

Information on students and textbooks for each individual school has been extracted from the EMIS database of the Ministry of Primary and Secondary Education. Analyses are developed separately for core textbooks and total textbooks, in relation to enrolment in government schools. These analyses are developed in the Excel file "Government spending for education Equity & Efficiency September 2015.xlsx" worksheets "Core Textbooks" and "Txtbks_Gvt".

Similar to the analyses related to allocation of teachers, Figure 2 compares the enrolment with the number of textbooks. The regression lines are shown to display the trend and the calculated correlation coefficients.

This analysis can be updated by copying the new data in the tables and adjusting the data section in the charts.

Figure 2. Analysis of distributive equity in textbooks

SchoolLev	Registration	Name			Schoolnum	Year_id	Level_name	op_mode_name	Enrol	CoreTexts														L
Primary	Registered	ADMIRAL T/	AIT		1001	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	1394	9447			Col	re T	extho	nk	s Re	lat	ed to	n F	nrolr	nen	+	
Primary	Registered	ALEXANDR/	A PARK		1002	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	580	2158	<u> </u>				CACDO	on	5.00							
Primary	Registered	ALFRED BET	г		1003	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	1484	15294	- 3	0000								Ŷ	= 4.1x + 3	39.06		
Primary Primary	Registered	ANDBENNIE			1004	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	1346	4180	2	5000	+								R ³ = 0.65	83		
Primary	Registered	AVONLEA			1005	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	953	3540	H,	0000							•					
Primary	Registered	ST. CATHERI	INE SPEC		1007	2013	ECD and Primary	Day and Boarding	103	42	Ξ.							٤.				•		
Primary	Registered	BATSIRAI SP	PECIAL		1008	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	60	496	1	5000	-		+	2	2.0			۰.	-	_		
Primary	Registered	TINOKWIRIF	RA SPEC.		1009	2013	Primary	Day School	44	360	1	0000	•	_	Z 1.3	X	<u> </u>		-	-				
Primary	Registered	RUVIMBO SI	PECIAL		1010	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	125	740				ŝe		2	شغرا	52	×					
Primary	Registered	BATANAI			1011	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	1371	0861		5000	F.	_		-	47			•		•		
Primary Primary	Registered	BLAKISTON	TINIOR	_	1012	2013	ECD and Primary ECD and Primary	Day School	11224	4269		0	-			-		-	•					
Primary	Registered	BORROWDA	LE		1013	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	595	2850	1	_	0	500	1000	- 1	500	200	0 25	00	5000	3500	4000	
Primary	Registered	CHEMBIRA			1015	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	1246	10327				Î						Ĩ				Î
Primary	Registered	CHENGU			1016	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	742	2840														
Primary	Registered	CHINEMBIRI	1		1017	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	2242	12527		_		_		-		_		_				ļ
Secondary	Registered	ST MARY CURRENTRED	E		1018	2013	"A" Level	Day School	1204	5636		-		-		+		-		-		+-		
Primary	Registered	CHIRODZO	E		1019	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	1293	4410	1	-		-		+		-		\rightarrow				
Secondary	Registered	NYATSIME	OLLEGE		1021	2013	"A" Level	Boarding School	700	5210						1						-		1
Primary	Registered	CHITSERE			1022	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	1115	4318		Ì		Ì						Ì				1
Secondary	Registered	ST. PETERS H	KUBATAN	NA HE	1023	2013	"A" Level	Day School	907	5460	<u> </u>													<u> </u>
Primary	Registered	COURTENEY	Y SELOU	S	1024	2013	ECD and Primary	Day School	1198	4445						_								
																			_				_	
SchID	Stype	LevType	Student	Texti	books								- i						_			-		
1001	Gout	ECD and Pri	1394		9447						<u> </u>					-i						-		
1002	Gout	ECD and Pri	590		2158																			
1002	Gout	ECD and Pri	1494		15294					Distri	ibutio) n (ofCo) re '	Texbo	0 k	s to	Go	ovt S	che	ools			
1003	Gout	ECD and Pri	1050		4186																			
1004	Govt	ECD and Pri	1050		7961			25000	0															
1005	GOVE	ECD and Pri	1346		2540																			
1006	GOVE	ECD and Pri	953		3340			2000	n											•				
1011	Govt	ECD and Pri	1371		0801			2000					R	2 = 0	5592									
1012	Govt	ECD and Pri	1224		3850			1.500										•						
1013	Govt	ECD and Pri	1131		4269			15000	0									•						
1014	Govt	ECD and Pri	595		2850										I			•			l			
1015	Govt	ECD and Pri	1246		10327			10000	0				• •		•		•	•••	بد	-	-	•		
1016	Govt	ECD and Pri	742		2840											÷	11		÷.		• '	t –		
1017	Govt	ECD and Pri	2242		12527			5000	0			-		-	5.0	2	-31	_	•					
1019	Govt	ECD and Pri	1443		5444					منتعب			-6-		1		•							
1020	Govt	ECD and Pri	1293		4410			(a series and a series of the s	e				•									
1022	Govt	ECD and Pri	1115		4318				0	500		1	1000		150	00		- 2	2000		25	00		3000
1024	Govt	ECD and Pri	1198		4445										Enrolm	ent								
1025	Govt	ECD and Pri	2151		8137																	_		
1026	Gout	ECD and Pri	1426		5771						<u> </u>		Ť			T				<u> </u>		-		
1027	Gout	ECD and Pri	2004		8679								-			-i				1		-	_	
1027	Cout	ECD and Pri	1700		4010		_				<u> </u>		-			-i				-		-		
1028	GOVE	ECD and Pri	1/60		6794								-			-			_	-		_		
1029	GOVE	ECD and Pri	1026		10083								_			-				-				
1030	Govt	ECD and Pri	1216		10982											_				-				
1032	Govt	ECD and Pri	2498		7780											_				-				
1033	Govt	ECD and Pri	1421		6002											_				-				
1034	Govt	ECD and Pri	1862		16230											_				_				
1035	Govt	ECD and Pri	1426		5860															_				
1036	Govt	ECD and Pri	1790		11362																			
1037	Govt	ECD and Pri	2247		11950																			

3.3 Structural equity

This analysis emphases the high consumption of public resources by those who benefit from longer studies. The main indicator is the share of public resources consumed by the 10 per cent that represent the more educated group.

To develop the analysis, information on unit spending per student and access rates at every grade of the education system (also known as "school profile") are combined to determine the percentage of the cohort leaving the education system at every stage and the accumulated benefit of government spending for education.

Access rates are calculated in the worksheet "School Prof". Education levels and accumulated resources consumed are calculated in the worksheet "Lorentz". Concentration in the consumption of resources is visualized with a Lorenz curve (Figure 3).

To update the analysis, data related to enrolment by grade, repeaters, and population must be updated to calculate access rates, and new unit costs must be entered.

Figure 3. Analysis of structural equity

School year 2013																	_	
			-												_			
Grade		Tot No of stud	Repeaters	New entrant	Pop of corres	s Age	Access Rat	e							_			
G1		419,532	14,327	405,205	387,441	6	105%											
G2		400,606	8,286	392,320	378,253	7	104%				2	choolinį	g Profile					
G3		395,169	6,670	388,499	369,283	8	105%		120%									
G4		379,124	5,840	373,284	360,525	9	104%			105%104%105	[%] 104%103%	0.00						
G5		369,310	5,445	363,865	351,976	10	103%		100%	-		95%						
G6		349.078	6.410	342,668	343.629	11	100%											
67		320 566	3 142	317 474	335.480	17	95%											
C1		2/12 21/	2 5/15	730 700	337,534	12	73%					6	70% 70%					_
F2		212,214	2,505	235,705	310,757		70%		Rat					62%				
F2		223,002	/30	222,002	313,737	19	70%		g 60%									
13		227,184	9,218	217,966	312,175	15	7070		Acc									_
F4		196,260	7,834	188,426	304,772	16	62%		40%					+				
F5		33,699	102	33,597	297,544	17	11%		_									
F6		33,379	59	33,320	290,488	18	11%		20%						11%			
Yl		22,052	-	22,052	283,599	19	8%							Ľ	-	8% 6%	4% 33	s
Y2		15,634	-	15,634	276,874	20	6%		- 0%								_	·
Y3		10,677		10,677	270,308	21	4%		0/3	G1 G2 G3	64 65	G6 G7 F	1 F2 F3	F4 F5	F6	Y1 Y2	Y3 Y	4
Y4		7,907		7,907	263,898	22	3%										_	
Y5		380		380	257,640	23	0%											
			ĺ	ľ	ĺ				1					1				
Gross Intake Rate	(GIR)	105%				1		_									T	
Primary Completi	on Rate (PCR)	95%						-										
Primary Retention	n Rate (PRR)	90%				1												
School Life Expect	tanev	10.3																
															_	[
					% of cohe	ort			Accumula	ited public ex	penditure	8						
		Unit cost per	Numbe	rof	F	inal level	Accumula	ted		Ressources	s Sha	re of						
Level	Grade	year	years	Acce	ss rate at	tained (a)	educatio	>n	(b)	accumulate	d resourc	es (%)						
	None	0	0	0	%	0%	0%	_	0%	0		-						
Primary	Gr1	201		1	105%	1%		1%	174	174 00	4	0.3%				_		
	G2 G3	201		1	104%	1.7%		394	335	174.08	3	0.5%						
	G4	201		1 1	03.5%	0%		3%	32	54	1	1.0%						
	G5	201		1	103%	4%		6%	735	1,27	5	2.3%						
	G6	201		1	100%	5%	1	1%	1026	2,30	2	4.1%						
	G7	201		1	95%	21%	3	3%	4307	6,60	9	11.7%						
Secondary	Fl	318		1	73%	3%	3	36%	1111	7,72	0	13.6%						
	F2 F3	318		1	70%	8%		14%	2543	10.26	3	18.1%						
	F4	318		1	62%	51%	9	5%	16070	26,33	3	46.5%						
	F5	318		1	11%	0.0%	9	5%	0	26,33	3	46.5%						
	F6	318		1	11%	4%	9	9%	1175	27,50	8	48.6%						
HE	Y1 V2	3812		1	8%	2%	10	11%	8116	35,62	4	63.0%						
	V3	3812		1	4%	270	10	3%	3636	42,09	7	80.8%						
	Y4	3812		1	3%	3%	10	6%	10859	56,58	7 1	00.0%						
	Y5	3812		1	0%	0	10	6%	0	56,58	7 1	00.0%						
						106%		_										
								-			-					-		
								-								-		
			1								-	-						
	snare of education (%)	share of resources (%)							Share of	resources	(%)							
0%	0%	0%			100%											1		
1%	1%	0%			90%										/	/		
1%	1%	0%			80%											1		
3%	2%	1%			T 10%											1		
	5%	1%			Ē,										- 4		-	
11%	11%	4%			000					/					7			
33%	31%	12%			S 50%									_	1			
36%	34%	14%			40%							_		_				
36%	34%	14%			S 30%			-										
44%	42%	18%			P 20%													
95%	89%	47%			*													
93%	89%	47%			10%												-	
101%	95%	63%			0%	6 10%	20%		30% 40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90/	55	100%		
102%	96%	74%			0.5		a.c./2		Educat	ion attainm	ent level	- 50.000	450.15	3440				
103%	97%	81%			0			-				-		_				
106%	100%	100%						_			_							
106%	100%	100%	-															
1.06228886				_				+										

4. Analysis of efficiency

4.1 International comparison

Based on international databases available at IIEP Pôle de Dakar, the efficiency analysis compares school life expectancy and share of GDP devoted to education.

To provide some indication of comparative levels of efficiency, countries with similar levels of expenditure can be compared with regard to school life expectancy, and countries with similar school life expectancy can be compared with regard to the level of resources devoted to education.

4.2 Results and resources

This analysis addresses issues related to how public resources are transformed into results, linking resources and outcome indicators at the school level. Calculations are made in the "Efficiency" worksheet of the Excel file.

"Results" are suggested by the average pass rate for the Grade 7 exam for the students in the school, and "public resources" are suggested by the number of students compared to the number of government paid teachers in the school (Figure 4).

This analysis can be updated by copying the new data in columns A to G and adjusting the data section in the charts.

Figure 4. Analysis of efficiency

SchID 🔻	Stype 🔻	Con 🔻	Perman	tot teach 👻 PTR	-	Pass	Ŧ														
1001	Govt	1	. 35	36	39) 8	9%														
1002	Govt		12	12	48	3 9	4%					fficia	200	in t	oack	oor all	000	tion			
1003	Govt		30	30	- 49) 8	0%					THCIE	ency	Int	eacr	ier all	ocai	lion			
1004	Govt	3	26	29	36	j 9	1%	10	00%							6	à .				
1005	Govt		32	32	42	2 9	8%	m	90%		1					R.	₹.		R2 =	0.0084	ł
1006	Govt	2	25	27	35	5 8	5%	201	70%					•		2		1.			
1011	Govt	3	32	35	39) 5	1%	ate	60%		.8			-							
1012	Govt	2	29	31	39) 8	9%	3551	50%		•		- 1	-		LP			-		
1013	Govt		29	29	39) 8	0%	pd 2	40%		٠			•	-		•••	•	-		
1014	Govt		14	. 14	43	3 8	9%	ade	30%				•	:						•	
1015	Govt	1	. 32	33	38	3 7	1%	5	20%							• •					
1016	Govt		18	18	41	4	0%		0%												
1017	Govt		53	53	42	2 9	1%		070	0	10		20		30	40	5	50	6	50	70
1019	Govt	6	32	38	38	3 9	5%					Stu	dent	per G	overn	ment pa	aid tea	acher 20)13		
1020	Govt	4	34	. 38	34	4 4	7%		1							1		_			
1022	Govt	4	27	31	36	6 6	6%				_										
1024	Govt	1	. 26	27	44	1 9	2%				_										
1025	Govt	4	54	. 58	37	/ 8	3%				_										
1026	Govt	4	33	37	39) 4	7%														
1027	Govt	11	. 50	61	34	6	2%														
1028	Govt	17	17	34	52	2 3	2%														
1029	Govt	1	. 26	27	38	3 4	4%														
1030	Govt	1	. 28	29	42	2 8	3%														
1032	Govt	2	52	54	46	5 8	1%														
1033	Govt	3	33	36	39) 7	9%														
1034	Govt	1	42	43	43	5 5	6%														

Technical manual for data processing Government Spending For Education in Zimbabwe Structure, Equity & Efficiency

> is available on: www.iipe-poledakar.org



"With funding from the Global Partnership for Education (GPE)'s Global and Regional Activities (GRA) programme, UIS, the International Institute for Educational Planning (IIEP) Paris and IIEP Pôle de Dakar (all UNESCO agencies) are combining their expertise in a project aimed at improving national reporting systems on education finance flows. The three partner organizations will provide direct and in-depth technical support to eight countries in three regions to develop and implement sustainable methodologies to collect, produce, and report and use quality education finance data."