Submission #7

Personal information

Name Dr. David A. Bray

Organisation Harvard Visiting Executive In-Residence

Email address david.a.bray@gmail.com

Country/region USA

Gender Male

How would you define the stakeholder community or communities to which you belong?

- Government
- Intergovernmental organisation
- Internet technical and professional community
- Academic
- Individual capacity

Questions

1. What are your priorities for issues that should be addressed through the Internet Universality framework in each of these five categories?

Rights	Individuals have a locus of control over their own digital identity and data that they produce, vs. governments or industries.
Openness	Individuals are free to choose how open they are with their data, governments and industries have a similar choice.
Accessibility	Individuals have more choices for internet network access and the internet is available to people of all abilities and languages
Multistakeholder participation	Individuals have a locus of control over their own digital identity and data that they produce, vs. governments or industries.
Cross-cutting indicators	Individuals are free to choose how open they are with their data, governments and industries have a similar choice.

2. Are there are any existing indicators with which you are familiar that you think it would be useful to include in the ROAM indicators framework?

Rights	Do individuals feel like they have a locus of control over their digital identity data and data they produce?
Openness	Do individuals feel like they have a locus of control over their digital identity data and data theay produce?
Accessibility	
Multistakeholder participation	
Cross-cutting indicators	

3. What do you think are the most important gaps in data/evidence required for monitoring Internet Universality and the ROAM principles? What approaches do you think could help to address these in your country, region or area of work?

Rights	Individuals have a locus of control over their own digital identity and data that they produce, vs. governments or industries.
Openness	Individuals are free to choose how open they are with their data, governments and industries have a similar choice.
Accessibility	Individuals have more choices for internet network access and the internet is available to people of all abilities and languages
Multistakeholder participation	Individuals have a locus of control over their own digital identity and data that they produce, vs. governments or industries.
Cross-cutting indicators	Individuals are free to choose how open they are with their data, governments and industries have a similar choice.

4. What experience or views do you have of indicators relating to the Internet which are concerned with gender and with children and young people?

Recommend the UN engage Vint Cerf's "People Centered Internet" initiative. https://peoplecentered.net/

5. How do you think you might use the indicator framework for Internet Universality once it has been developed?

For part of Vint Cerf's "People Centered Internet" initiative. https://peoplecentered.net/

6. How do you think that other stakeholders might use the framework?

For part of Vint Cerf's "People Centered Internet" initiative. https://peoplecentered.net/

7. Please add any other comments that you think will be helpful to UNESCO in developing the indicators framework.

The most important question we should be asking is: Do individuals feel like they have a locus of control over their digital identity data and data theay produce?

8. Please upload any documents that you think will be helpful here. people_centered_internet.jpg

(https://en.unesco.org/sites/default/files/webform/people_centered_internet.jpg)