Submission #23

Personal information

Name A De Silva-Mitchell

Organisation

Email address amalidesilva@yahoo.com

Country/region UK/SriLanka

Gender F

How would you define the stakeholder community or communities to which you belong? Individual capacity

Questions

1. What are your priorities for issues that should be addressed through the Internet Universality framework in each of these five categories?

Rights	Fairness, minimum rights , rights to data correction, protections, privacy, , UN Human Rights, Disabled Persons, Children's etc
Openness	Freedom of expression , collaboration , royalty free minimums
Accessibility	universal , egov must serve every person, no language barriers , hearing any income , any gender, disabled, elder kids access
Multistakeholder participation	must be inclusive and seek view points with open data inputs
Cross-cutting indicators	SDGs, happiness, lack of fear, freedom of expression ,

2. Are there are any existing indicators with which you are familiar that you think it would be useful to include in the ROAM indicators framework?

Rights	see: https://cyber.harvard.edu/wsis/DeSilva.html
Openness	see:https//cyber.harvard.edu/wsis/DeSilva.html
Accessibility	see:https//cyber.harvard.edu/wsis/DeSilva.html
Multistakeholder participation	see:https//cyber.harvard.edu/wsis/DeSilva.html
Cross-cutting indicators	MDG+SDG+free net and isoc..values , creative commons , open source abilities

3. What do you think are the most important gaps in data/evidence required for monitoring Internet Universality and the ROAM principles? What approaches do you think could help to address these in your country, region or area of work?

Rights	bottom up surveys from grass roots first hand feedback
Openness	survey ordinary people not the head of the ngo, thd teacher etc but man on the side of the street , random person at bus stand e
Accessibility	grassroots feed back ..random person on the road
Multistakeholder participation	have good visible presence on web and seek blogging and feedback from all ..access in libraries for anyone
Cross-cutting indicators	ask the ordinary man and woman , keep updating , enhancing and adding to, main idea can a person get their life done with ease

4. What experience or views do you have of indicators relating to the Internet which are concerned with gender and with children and young people?

Safe environments are key

5. How do you think you might use the indicator framework for Internet Universality once it has been developed?

Provide feedback, as a benchmark , allow for integration across standards , integrate in to professional standards , develop detailed values for application as relevant , build structured and inclusive policy for the future

6. How do you think that other stakeholders might use the framework? As above

7. Please add any other comments that you think will be helpful to UNESCO in developing the indicators framework.

Attainable , realistic , mile stones , level of sophisticated access to information and it's analysis and presentation , identifiable , understandable , value added , accountable , relevant , supported , universal human rights focused, human focused , AI vs simple ICT comparatives. Keep eye on AI robots crossing human needs ..time lag of development between communities and how to keep minimum integration . Ethics. Cultural differences . No one left behind or forgotten must be key ...

8. Please upload any documents that you think will be helpful here.