



General Assembly Security Council

Distr.: General
2 March 2018

Original: English

General Assembly
Seventy-second session
Agenda item 65
Peacebuilding and sustaining peace

Security Council
Seventy-third year

Identical letters dated 2 March 2018 from the Secretary-General addressed to the President of the General Assembly and the President of the Security Council

I have the honour to transmit, pursuant to Security Council resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#), the progress study on youth and peace and security.

On 12 August 2016, my predecessor appointed Graeme Simpson (South Africa) as the independent lead author to develop the study and recommendations for action. He also appointed an Advisory Group of Experts to provide guidance and support in the preparation of the study. The Advisory Group of Experts was composed of the following independent experts: Farea Al-Muslimi (Yemen), Scott Attran (United States of America), Chernor Bah (Sierra Leone), Ikram Ben Said (Tunisia), Malual Bol Kiir (South Sudan), Kessy Martine Ekomo-Soignet (Central African Republic), Ilwad Elman (Somalia), Matilda Flemming (Finland), Terri-Ann Gilbert-Roberts (Jamaica), Luz Alcira Granada Contreras (Colombia), Saba Ismail (Pakistan), Thevuni Kavindi Kotigala (Sri Lanka), Nur Laiq (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland), Mieke Lopes Cardozo (Netherlands), Robert Muggah (Canada), Hussein Nabil Murtaja (State of Palestine), Funmi Olonisakin (Nigeria), Salim Salamah (Syrian Arab Republic), Ali Saleem (Pakistan), Hajer Sharief (Libya) and Marc Sommers (United States). I am grateful for the work of Mr. Simpson and the experts and their contribution to our understanding of young people's role in relation to peace and security.

I encourage Member States to consider this study and its recommendations carefully. I stand ready to report, if requested, on how the United Nations is following up on the implementation of the recommendations emanating from the study.

I should be grateful if the progress study, which has been transmitted to me in the enclosed letter dated 23 February 2018 from the independent lead author, could be circulated as a document of the General Assembly, under agenda item 65, and of the Security Council.

(Signed) António **Guterres**



Letter dated 23 February 2018 from the independent lead author of the progress study on youth and peace and security mandated by the Security Council in its resolution 2250 (2015) addressed to the Secretary-General

I have the honour of submitting the progress study on youth and peace and security mandated by the Security Council in its resolution 2250 (2015).

It has been my immense privilege to work with the talented and visionary Advisory Group of Experts appointed by the Secretary-General. I am deeply indebted to their contributions on policy, practice and scholarship.

I am also grateful for the strategic guidance and advice from the Steering Committee for the study composed of 33 organizations. Members of the Steering Committee and other partners generously supported the research process through the provision of background papers and the organization of consultations and focus group discussions with young people throughout the world.

Over the course of 18 months, while conducting research and drafting the study, I had the chance to engage with thousands of young people in all regions of the world. Our methodology was deliberately organized to provide access and voice to many young people who would not ordinarily have had the chance to participate in this sort of policy process. Through consultations, country studies, focus group discussions and online contributions, they shared their hopes and aspirations for a peaceful world and described their incredible initiatives, commitments and dedication to peace and security. They took a leap of faith in trusting that their uncensored perspectives would be visible through the study. I gladly carry the burden of this responsibility and only hope in transmitting this to you, that I can come close to doing justice to their voices.

I would also like to acknowledge the support provided by an extraordinary secretariat jointly put in place by the Peacebuilding Support Office and the United Nations Population Fund.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to you and your office for the trust you placed in me and the privilege it has been to undertake this task.

(Signed) Graeme **Simpson**
Lead author

The missing peace: independent progress study on youth and peace and security

I. Introduction

1. There are extraordinary young people creatively seeking ways to prevent violence and consolidate peace across the globe, in devastated and conflict-affected societies as well as in those enjoying relative peace. The present progress study, prepared in response to Security Council resolution 2250 (2015), offered a unique opportunity to listen to them and learn about the multiple ways they work for peace and security. Their work promises the potential of a tremendous peace and security dividend for Governments and international actors. However, many young people are frustrated by the tendency of their Governments and international actors to treat youth as a problem to be solved, instead of as partners for peace. Young people throughout the world expressed their loss of faith and trust in their Governments, the international community and systems of governance that they feel excluded from, contributing to a strong and ongoing sense of injustice. This must be addressed in order to benefit from and support young people's contributions to peace and to realize the potential of 1.8 billion young people globally. In the progress study, Governments and international actors are called upon to undergo a seismic shift and recognize young people as "the missing peace".

2. The preparation of the present study involved a participatory process with young people at its heart. A diverse group of young people was engaged in the research, with efforts made to involve those frequently excluded from global policy processes, such as refugee youth, former gang members and youth living in hard-to-reach locations. Face-to-face consultations were held with a total of 4,230 young people, including 281 focus group discussions in 44 countries, as well as 7 regional and 5 national consultations. Research also included 27 country-focused studies, 19 thematic submissions from partners, 5 online thematic consultations, a global survey of youth-led civil society peacebuilding organizations and mapping exercises of interventions by Member States and United Nations entities focused on young people in relation to peace and security. A full, in-depth version of the study will be published in the course of 2018.

3. The study is divided into five parts. Section II reflects on stereotypical conceptions of youth and debunks a series of policy myths that have misguided policy and programmatic approaches related to youth, violence and conflict. Section III illustrates the breadth and diversity of young people's contributions to peace, from local to transnational networks. Section IV explores the social contract between young people and their Governments, as well between youth and their communities, offering insights on political participation, economic inclusion and educational opportunities. Section V provides a framework for partnering with and investing in young people to prevent violence, to promote their inclusion and to translate the demographic dividend into a peace dividend — the core strategy for the implementation of the youth and peace and security agenda.

II. Tackling stereotypes and policy myths

“We’ve been categorized, we’ve been made into an outsider group.” (Côte d’Ivoire)¹

A time of passage

4. Youth, unlike other forms of identity, such as gender, ethnicity, caste or race, is a transitional phase of life. Across the globe, young people and analysts alike describe how the transition from youth to adulthood is associated with diverse milestones that signal the acquisition of relative autonomy and adult status, based on evolving capacities and social standing. These milestones vary considerably depending on culture, gender and context and may be associated with diverse events or rites of passage that facilitate young people’s transition into adulthood. Social dislocation produced by violent conflict and criminality is one of many factors that may disrupt this transition, leaving youth in a limbo that has become known as “waithood”. This is fundamentally gendered, as young women and men struggle to transition into adulthood in different ways. Although some young women may acquire the status of adulthood more quickly, as a result of childbearing or marriage, many experience the same struggles to transition as young men. Factors inhibiting young men’s ability to marry, including financial constraints, may add to their problems in attaining adult status. For both young men and women, difficulty in acquiring land, jobs, education and a home mean many find themselves trapped in youthhood, affecting their status in society and potentially contributing to a sense of frustration.

5. There is no consensus on a universal chronological definition of youth. The Security Council, in its resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#), defines youth as 18 to 29 years of age; however, age parameters vary significantly across Governments, regional organizations and United Nations entities. In the present study, the age definition in the resolution is respected, but a flexible approach, reflecting the diversity of sociocultural practice, is adopted. The approach encourages early intervention with children and young adolescents for the purposes of protection from and prevention of violence. In the present study, the terms “youth” and “young people” are used interchangeably.

6. For peace and security policy and programming to be effective, it is essential to understand how the experiences of young people vary over time in response to changing social, political and cultural landscapes. As a microcosm of wider society, young people are diverse, sometimes divided and anything but homogenous. Beyond just differences in age, young people, as a group, are characterized by diversity based on gender, race, religion, ethnicity, caste, class, culture, context and competing political affiliations. Recognition of these differences is necessary to tackle sometimes wishful assumptions about youth unity.

The youth and peace and security policy context

7. For the young people consulted during the preparation of the present study, youth and peace and security cannot be disconnected from the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. They asserted the symbiotic relationship between peace and security, development and human rights, and specifically addressed the interdependence among peace, justice and inclusive institutions. From a human rights perspective, youth occupy a grey area between the rights and protections afforded to children and the rights and political entitlements that they should — but often do not — enjoy as young adults. This rights realization gap must be addressed, fully

¹ All quotations in the present progress study come from young people involved in the research undertaken for the study. For a full list of consultations, focus group discussions, country case studies and thematic papers, see www.youth4peace.info/ProgressStudy.

establishing youth as rights bearers. Security Council resolution 2250 (2015) builds upon Council resolution 1325 (2000) on women and peace and security, especially its emphasis on civil society actors, opening avenues of participation for traditionally excluded stakeholders and the pivotal role of young women for peace. In their work, young people address different phases of peace and conflict — from preventing the outbreak of violence to post-conflict peacebuilding — demonstrating their commitment to peacebuilding and sustaining peace.

Debunking assumptions

“Even if you make the effort to change, society gives you an eternal tattoo, a label of an offender, a failure or a source of problems.” (Tunisia, male)

“You are seen as something negative by the media, by society, and then it is easy to start seeing yourself that way... Maybe they are talking about me?” (Sweden, male)

8. In 2016, an estimated 408 million youth (aged 15–29) resided in settings affected by armed conflict or organized violence.² This means that at least one in four young people is affected by violence or armed conflict in some way. Estimates of direct conflict deaths in 2015 suggest that more than 90 per cent of all casualties involved young males. However, conflict, crime and other forms of violence impact young people’s lives in more ways than mortality. While it often goes unrecorded, young people suffer from a wide range of short-, medium- and long-term effects ranging from repeat victimization to psychological trauma, identity-based discrimination, and social and economic exclusion. Currently, poor data makes it challenging to accurately estimate how many young people are living in situations in which they are exposed to those diverse forms of violence and violation.

9. In an increasingly interconnected world shaped by pervasive security concerns, stereotypes associating young people with violence are widespread and contagious. The stigmatization of youth manifests in prevailing stereotypes of young men as violent predators or potential spoilers of peace. It is true that young men are the primary perpetrators of many forms of violence, but these assumptions reinforce images of a universally violent masculinity, which has the erroneous effect of demonizing all young men. Young women are characterized as passive victims at best, or invisible at worst, which denies their agency. In many instances, these perspectives have been internalized by young people, who may not see themselves this way, but project these prevailing stereotypical views onto other young people.

10. Violent youth identities, however, are more often systematically shaped from above. It is primarily Governments and political leaders who seek to mobilize, and often to manipulate, the role and function of youth for political ends. Research findings indicate that such stereotypes are also widely sustained and reinforced by the media. From African-American youth in Chicago and New York and second-generation immigrant youth in Sweden, to young gang members in Central America and young peacebuilders in Burundi, young people complained of excessive media focus on youth violence at the expense of any attention to less newsworthy, ordinary young people or those involved in building peace.

The counter-productive impact of “policy panic”

Youth bulge

11. Early research on “youth bulges” claimed to find a correlation between a large youth cohort and an increased risk of criminal and/or political violence. These claims

² See Institute for Economics and Peace, *Data for Youth, Peace and Security: a Summary of Research Findings* (forthcoming).

were contradicted by evidence demonstrating that numerous countries with high youth populations continued to experience relative peace, including Benin, Botswana, Malawi, Nicaragua and Zambia. Subsequent research findings showed that age was not the sole criteria determining participation in violence, and that broader socioeconomic, cultural and political factors were critical in its onset. Evidence suggested that States with youth bulges were more likely to experience outbreaks of violence when economic and educational opportunities for youth were limited or non-existent.

12. The youth-bulge theory relies to too great an extent on quantitative data and neglects the views and perspectives of young people, which may help challenge this assumed correlation. The theory also ignores power differences in society and reinforces governmental responses that lean towards more repressive action targeting young people. While the youth-bulge theory overemphasizes youth violence, the resulting political and policy discourses downplay the role of structural inequalities and exclusion that contribute to youth poverty and powerlessness. Evidence shows that many Governments in societies with large youth populations pre-emptively adopt repressive approaches in anticipation of youthful dissent.³

Youth on the move

“We young people have three opportunities: to die assassinated, to migrate or to join a gang” (Central America)

13. Apprehension about youth bulges is not just about demographics, it is also directly associated with sudden and rapid population movements and growing youth urbanization, resulting in increasing rhetoric casting forcefully displaced young people as potential security threats. This tends to produce racial, ethnic and religious prejudice, sparking anti-immigrant populist movements that stimulate violence and social discord. Young migrants, refugees and internally displaced people are frequently treated as potential threats and a drain on the social security system, rather than resilient and economically innovative. In fact, their migration or forced displacement often represents a deliberate choice of flight over fight.

14. In a globalized world, notwithstanding differential access to technology, young people’s horizons are being reshaped by their growing access to information and varied world views via social media and the Internet. They are increasingly aware of their rights and deprivation relative to other young people around the world, and have better visibility of human rights abuses and horizontal inequality among groups. This changes, both positively and, potentially, negatively, the spheres of influence young people are exposed to, reshaping their expectations and aspirations. Growing tension between these hopes and the constraints on free movement produces dissonance and frustration. Young people are mobile both physically through migration and virtually through globalization. Their capacity to transport themselves outside of the boundaries of their lives is potentially transformative, but is viewed by some as a threat, producing an instinct to shut down such sites rather than allowing them to flourish.

Violent extremism

15. Another key area in which stereotypical representations of youth have significantly misshaped policy priorities is the recruitment and participation of young men and women in violent extremist groups. Young people may account for the majority of those engaged in extremist violence, but only a minute proportion of the youth population is involved in violence. Most young people, even in the face of

³ See Ragnhild Nordås and Christian Davenport, “Fight the youth: youth bulges and State repression”, *American Journal of Political Science*, vol. 57, issue 4 (October 2013), pp. 926–940.

legitimate social, political and economic grievances, remain peaceful. Although some approaches to the prevention of violent extremism do acknowledge the importance of the empowerment of youth, policy orientations continue to taint the youth population as a whole, exacerbating their marginalization. Many of the young people who participated in the present study noted that the language of violent extremism was being imported from a particular geopolitical context and failed to adequately apply to their peace and security concerns.

16. According to the young people consulted, concerns about youth and violent extremism result in policy responses that denigrate and often repress the legitimate participation of youth in political processes, social movements, peaceful protest and expressions of dissent. This brings to mind the following statement by the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms while countering terrorism: “Several Governments already routinely label political opponents and journalists as terrorists. Identifying ‘extremism’ as the problem only provides more grounds to crush dissent.”⁴ Suspicion of violent extremism has facilitated the restriction and suspension of human rights and narrowed civic spaces for the voices of youth, who have frequently been labelled as “terrorists” or “extremists” when opposing Government policy. As a result, many young people have been arrested and jailed without due process and have suffered abuse at the hands of security and law enforcement institutions. In countries facing insurgencies led by violent extremist groups, community members have reported feeling more fearful of their Governments’ violations of human rights and abuse by security forces than of extremist groups.⁵ Such repressive action is identified as motivating young people’s participation in violent extremist groups, particularly in North and sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East.

17. Such hard-fisted law enforcement and security approaches are being widely applied across different country contexts and types of violence. There is mounting evidence that these measures — including punitive policing, harsh sentencing and mass incarceration — are not only counter-productive, they are also simply not cost-effective. An excessive focus on strengthening public security not only diverts funds away from social services that are necessary to tackle the drivers of violence, but is also more costly when compared to alternative prevention-based harm- and risk-reduction models. Examples of successful measures in Latin America, where hard security responses have been detrimental, include integrated strategies that prioritize early childhood and family support, mentoring and work opportunities for at-risk young men, alternative sentencing for non-violent offenders and investment in disadvantaged communities.

18. The political urgency for Governments to respond to the threat of global terrorism has contributed to a discourse in which sweeping characterizations of youth as fundamentally at risk of violent extremism have produced unnuanced, counter-productive policy responses. The “policy panic” fed by these myths and assumptions, which are not based on sound evidence, is further alienating young people and diminishing their trust in their Governments and the multilateral system. Instead of offering proactive prevention approaches to violent conflict, it risks cementing young people in these roles, giving them a sense that there are no alternative pathways available to them. In addition, policy panic has driven policy and programmatic approaches that view education and employment as stand-alone solutions to the problem of youth participation in violence or recruitment into violent extremism, despite the lack of supporting evidence.

⁴ See [A/HRC/31/65](#), para. 21.

⁵ See United Nations Development Programme, “Journey to Extremism in Africa” (New York, 2017).

The upside: youth resilience for peace

19. The resilience of youth has the potential to manifest in either positive or negative ways. Where young people are excluded, a small minority may forge alternative places of belonging, status and power that exacerbate the risk of violence, creating a potential vicious cycle. However, the vast majority of young people are not involved in, or in danger of participating in, violence. Young people's manifestations of positive resilience in the face or wake of conflict range from being protective, survivalist or adaptive (through migration or finding alternative sources of income) to being transformative (by driving political change, rebuilding damaged relationships and even addressing the underlying causes of conflict). It is therefore imperative to focus on this virtuous cycle and invest in the upside, that is, in the positive contributions of young men and women and the vibrant spaces most occupy in their everyday lives.

III. Youth for peace

Definitions of peace

"We have not had peace for over 26 years. I just want to experience it once in my lifetime." (Somalia, male)

20. Young people across the globe articulated the view that peace and security are more than just the absence of violence and, as such, are of universal concern. They stressed the importance of ending violence and addressing its symptoms (negative peace) as well as engaging the underlying causes of corruption, inequality and social injustice (positive peace). Peace and security, in their view, depended on human rights-based protections and redress, especially for young people working in societies affected by violence. Young people talked about their desire to safeguard the planet and identified the risk of climate change as a progenitor of conflict impacting future generations. They spoke about the scourge of terrorism and extremist violence as well as experiences of forced migration. Young people were clear that conflict might be unavoidable, but ensuring that there were social and political channels for navigating it was critical to the prevention of violence. They also acknowledged the divided nature of their own communities, including among their peers, and understood that peace must be built horizontally across these divides, as well as vertically, between young people and the State.

21. For most young people, conceptions of peace and security were also deeply personal, associated with well-being and happiness. Peace was described as physical, structural and psychological, touching upon issues of belonging, dignity, hope and the absence of fear. It was also seen as fundamentally gendered, particularly in relation to personal safety, with sexual and gender-based violence as a core concern. For some, however, the discussions of peace felt abstract and remote owing to the situations of extreme violence to which they were exposed and the sense of abandonment and disillusionment they felt with regard to their Governments and the international community.

Agency, ownership and leadership

22. In keeping with the principles of local agency and leadership in peace and development, it is important to draw specific attention to the unique contributions of youth-led civil society organizations. A survey undertaken as part of the present

study⁶ offered a picture of the work being done by these peacebuilding organizations, mostly at the local level, in contexts of poor governance, instability or violence. The organizations surveyed varied greatly in size, depth and impact. Their most commonly self-identified goal was “empowering youth to develop their skills in understanding conflict resolution”, followed by “reducing violence and promoting a culture of peace in communities”. They aimed to assist in restoring or supporting social cohesion within divided communities and to change the generalized mistrust of youth in communities to a view of them as “positive and constructive agents”. The strength of their organizations, respondents believed, was rooted in their deep understanding of local conditions and meaningful community relationships, which enabled them to work with populations that other actors could not easily access. A representative of an organization in Kenya stated: “We are able to penetrate areas that have been perceived as terror hotspots and feared by many, for instance... [an] Al-Shabaab militia stronghold in East Africa”.

23. The survey showed that most youth-led organizations depended heavily on volunteers. As a whole, the work described by the organizations was primarily community- or family-based or individually oriented and had low visibility. Many of the organizations were modestly funded or underfunded. Funding constraints determined the types of activities these organizations could undertake, as well as the span and reach of their projects. Half of the 399 organizations that answered the survey operated with less than \$5,000 per year, and only 11 per cent did so with more than \$100,000.

24. The organizations themselves recognized that assessing the impact of their initiatives was often difficult. This is not for lack of will, or because their impact cannot be assessed, but is due rather to lack of capacity and funds and limited time to monitor and evaluate their work. These conditions inhibit these grassroots organizations from further developing their initiatives. Additional research on the peacebuilding impact of the work carried out by youth organizations needs to be conducted to move beyond self-reported successes towards more systematic and rigorous evaluation. The strengths that youth-led organizations reported were, however, integral to peace and security. Their capacity to mobilize their peers and other community members and to understand local dynamics and priorities that might be easily overlooked by others involved in peacebuilding is critical.

25. Youth-led organizations are an important source of youth leadership and agency for peace and security, but not the only one. Many organizations doing important work with or for young people are not led by young people. Youth leadership is found in diverse institutions and arenas of civic life, as well as in civil society organizations and remote communities. Importantly, youth leadership is also frequently found outside formal political institutions and youth organizations, in seemingly unorganized systems and informal movements that function along a horizontal axis instead of through top-down leadership. It is important to recognize these diverse sources of organic youth leadership in order to maximize youth ownership and agency — their autonomous capacities to act and drive change — with respect to the peace and security issues that affect their lives.

Typology of youth engagements

26. Young people and youth organizations are actively engaged in different phases of peace and conflict cycles. They contribute to the prevention of the outbreak of violent conflict through early intervention approaches, including, for example,

⁶ See United Networks of Young Peacebuilders and Search for Common Ground, *Mapping a Sector: Bridging the Evidence Gap on Youth-Driven Peacebuilding* (2017). Available from www.youth4peace.info/ProgressStudy.

through intercommunal dialogue to prevent electoral violence in Kenya or by promoting peace education among young school-aged children in Myanmar. They build peace in situations of ongoing conflict, for example, through peer-to-peer dialogue in conflict-affected communities in Kyrgyzstan or through disengagement and reintegration of former extremist fighters in Somalia. They use their access to local communities to provide humanitarian support during escalating conflicts, whether through feeding those affected in Yemen or documenting human rights violations during the conflict in Colombia. In post-conflict settings, youth have contributed to consolidating peace through participation in formal and informal peace processes in the Philippines, and in truth and reconciliation processes in Liberia and Sierra Leone. In Japan, decades after the atomic bomb, young people are actively campaigning for nuclear disarmament. These examples illustrate how youth are modelling the engagement before, during and after conflict that is required to sustain peace.

27. Youth peace work engages in innovative ways with different typologies of violence, including in the prevention of and resilience to violent extremism, political conflict, organized criminal violence, intercommunal and community-level violence, sexual and gender-based violence, resource-based conflict and violence in prisons. This work covers a wide spectrum of activities, such as young women organizing to address female genital mutilation in the Gambia, young people providing alternatives to gang membership in Honduras, youth working to address the political conflict in the Occupied Palestinian Territories and organizing around police abuse of power against transgender individuals in Turkey and school children mobilizing against gun violence in the United States of America.

28. Young people's work on peace and security draws on a wide range of methodologies for engaging diverse stakeholders: working with individuals, families and communities, peer-to-peer or cross-generationally, and with national and international actors. Many organizations are specialized and highly localized. Others operate as intermediaries, working at the community level but also connecting with local and national authorities, and sometimes with the international community. For organizations working at national, regional and global levels, awareness campaigns, youth forums and leadership programmes are common interventions for building capacity, exchanging knowledge and enhancing impact. The adoption of Security Council resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#) is itself a testament to the power of young people's work, as youth organizations were the first to advocate for such a resolution.

29. Partnerships were consistently highlighted by young people and their organizations as a key priority and means for expanding their impact. Across the world, young people and youth organizations, movements and networks described how they had forged partnerships and working relationships with their Governments, often through local authorities and institutional engagement with community leaders, educational institutions, media and cultural organizations. There are important examples of youth working within key State institutions, including in formal and non-formal learning spaces, and community policing and criminal justice institutions in countries as diverse as Canada, South Africa and Yemen. Partnerships across generational divides with elders and older generations were also highlighted, as were partnerships with national and international civil society organizations.

30. Youth-led peace and security work is noticeably innovative and resourceful in using art, sport and media. From interpersonal engagements to spontaneous, autonomous and leaderless social movements mobilized online, the diversity of youth initiatives in this sphere is significant. Examples include a poetry festival organized by young people in Guatemala to deal with past violence against indigenous communities, the use of radio in Uganda to facilitate dialogue between refugee groups and host communities, a boxing academy to demobilize gang members in Brazil, an

online application to indicate unsafe urban zones for young women in India, or rap music and spoken words to critique the status quo.

31. Youth peacebuilding actors are extremely diverse. They are also, like any social group, often divided. Individual ambitions, sociopolitical divisions and competition for resources and visibility are all challenges that affect youth organizations, as they do many others. It is critical to recognize these divisions and realities in order to avoid romanticizing young people by incorrectly assuming that they all share the same yearning to engage for the common good. It is also important to guard against the co-optation or cultivation of youth elites, who may sometimes speak too readily for the most marginalized or less formally organized youth. In Côte d'Ivoire, the challenges faced by the leadership of some youth-led organizations were described as the "me first" phenomenon, in which some young leaders put individual ambitions before community interests and "road-blockers", hired to help identify participants for projects, selected their friends or peers.⁷ In other contexts, such as Burundi and Honduras, young people described how political participation was tightly controlled and almost impossible outside of affiliation with established political parties.

32. Young people are powerful challengers of the status quo through peaceful protest, social critique, cultural expression and, in recent years, online mobilization. This is a longstanding and perhaps universal dimension of the change agency of youth, with numerous examples throughout history of young women and men at the forefront of political and social change, such as the civil rights movement in the United States, the initially peaceful student protests against apartheid in South Africa, the Indignados Movement in Spain, the anti-corruption protests in Guatemala, movements such as Le balai citoyen in Burkina Faso and Y'en a marre in Senegal, and the youth uprisings in Egypt and Tunisia. Such movements have often faced State violence in reaction to their mobilization against corruption or entrenched political power. However, protests and dissent remain some of the most important tools for youth-based movements struggling for political change and justice. They offer attractive alternatives to violence, and may also stimulate positive change within societies. It is critical that the space for these social movements be protected, and this depends on the realization of the rights to freedom of association, assembly, movement and expression, which young people passionately advocated for throughout the research for the present study, all of which are enshrined in the Charter of the United Nations.

IV. Addressing the "violence of exclusion"

"Youth shouldn't be on the table, but around the table." (participant in the West and Central Africa consultation)

33. Young people around the world described their experiences of exclusion as a form of structural and psychological violence that is indivisible from their political, social, cultural and economic disempowerment. This manifests in both mistrust by young people of State-society relations and in mistrust of young people by their communities and wider society. The present section addresses and counters this "violence of exclusion" by advocating for the meaningful inclusion of young people all over the world.

⁷ See Interpeace and Indigo Côte d'Ivoire, *Youth Speak Out! Participatory Analysis of Their Engagement for Peace and Security in Côte d'Ivoire* (2017). Available from http://www.interpeace.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/2017-Cote_dIvoire-Youth_Peace_Security-Eng-WEB-v7-1.pdf.

Inclusive politics

“We young people are only called up when it’s time to wave flags or put up posters. When we want to share proposals they don’t take us into account and when we voice criticism we are sidelined.” (Central America)

34. Over the course of the preparation of the present study, young people consistently raised two important and related frustrations: their exclusion from meaningful civic and political participation and their mistrust of systems of patronage and corrupt governance that lack the will and capacity to address their exclusion. This has driven young people’s demand for greater participation in electoral processes and policymaking through youth councils, assemblies and parliaments, as well as decision-making forums at the local, national, regional and global levels. However, for many young people, their mistrust has triggered scepticism and a loss of confidence in democratic governance itself. In response, many young people have withdrawn from formal politics, electoral systems and other institutions, instead creating alternative avenues for participation. Although spaces for political participation are often severely narrowed in contexts of ongoing or escalating violent conflict, young people can and do play important political roles. These roles may more often be adaptive rather than transformative. Nonetheless, they contribute to the political positioning and standing of youth and their organizations.

35. Meaningful engagement with youth lays the groundwork for the stability and enhanced legitimacy of Governments. However, such engagement needs to be reflected in institutional governance mechanisms that are accountable to youth and include them as key stakeholders, especially with respect to justice, security, educational and other State institutions that have a particular impact on the lives of young people. In order for political participation to be meaningful, young women and men need to be broadly represented and consulted in all arenas, without being subjected to co-optation, manipulation or control by political parties. The goals of peace and security demand that these civic and political spaces for youth promote full inclusivity and are respected, protected and supported as a priority.

36. The roles that young people play and the way in which they participate in informal peace processes are diverse; however, their participation in formal peace processes remains limited. Perversely, violent, predominantly male youth have a remote prospect of participating as leaders of warring factions, while those who have remained peaceful or who have been actively working towards peace are excluded almost entirely. The recognition and participation of young people as peacemakers is critical to ensuring their investment in the future of peace processes and guaranteeing the durability of peace through generations. The legitimacy, credibility and accountability of participating youth is extremely dependent on the use of selection processes that are fair and involve diverse groups of young people who are well connected to other young people on the ground and operating within civil society. Similar concerns apply to the use of youth quotas, which may have the benefit of fast-tracking progress, but demand the participation of youth who maintain credible links to broader informal youth peacebuilding efforts.

37. Social media and communications technologies are increasingly being pioneered by young people as an alternative means to exercise their political agency, demand accountability, amplify their voices, foster connectivity and create new networks. Online platforms are particularly important for those who may be vulnerable, unable to access deliberative political processes or constrained by restrictive institutional politics, even though such spaces may also be used for criminal activity or to propagate hate speech. Governments and political processes can benefit from the innovation and leadership youth demonstrate by embracing these spaces and the transnational connectivity they offer. An important limitation

identified by young people relates to the digital divide between those with and those without access to technology, which has prompted the creative use of traditional communications tools.

Beyond just jobs: advancing the economic inclusion of youth

38. Irrespective of country context and levels of violence, concerns over economic well-being and livelihoods were key issues in relation to peace and security for youth consulted in the preparation of the present study. For many, economic inclusion was defined as fair access to meaningful and reliable employment, largely driven by the fact that the vast majority of young people are employed in the informal economy. Concerns about employment are hardly surprising given the global youth unemployment rate of 13 per cent, which is approximately three times the adult unemployment rate.⁸ However, these rates are often unable to account for informal and illicit subeconomies, rendering much of the economic activity of young people statistically invisible.

39. In large part, peace and security programming and policies continue to be driven by a widely presumed causal relationship between youth unemployment and violence. In fact, there is little reliable evidence for a correlation, let alone causation, between youth unemployment and violent conflict. In various countries, such as Afghanistan and Somalia, there is strong evidence that economic well-being does not lower support for armed groups. Instead, research suggests that violent conflict is more likely explained by experiences of horizontal inequality and identity-based factors, including stunted economic and social mobility, political exclusion, disillusionment with corrupt institutions, rigid intergenerational social structures that contribute to discrimination against youth, perceptions of injustice, unmet social needs and little recognition of young people's search for meaning and belonging. Although economic opportunities are a necessary factor in young people's overall well-being, their contributions to sustaining peace must be understood within a broader ecological framework so as not to reinforce stereotypes about the dangers of unemployed youth.

40. Globally, three out of four young people are employed in the informal economy.⁸ While many young people are employed in licit informal economies, a large number are employed in illicit and war-based subeconomies, which have an enduring influence on youth that can persist long after the violence ends. This is especially the case when armed or criminal groups are able to provide better social services, employment and opportunities, supplanting formal governance structures and fuelling resentment as a result of unmet promises. The importance of this phenomenon must not be underestimated, particularly when interventions aimed at promoting young people's economic inclusion focus on giving them a marginal or temporary stake in the formal economy, ignoring the detrimental impact and limitations of broader social issues. Young people working in informal economies often face increased exposure to abusive and arbitrary treatment by law enforcement officials, who lump together legitimate informal work and illicit activities, framing both as threats to State security. This is particularly true for young women and sexual and gender minorities in developing countries, who are employed primarily through informal economies and are subjected to harassment and violence with little recourse to justice.

41. Employment interventions to date have been heavily informed by the supply and demand of local labour markets, with little focus on young people's needs, aspirations and yearning for dignity. They often offer non-skilled and casual employment, ignoring young people's desires for meaningful jobs with a living wage and access to social protections. At other times, these programmes take the form of necessity

⁸ See International Labour Organization, *Global Employment Trends for Youth 2017: Paths to a Better Working Future* (Geneva, 2017).

entrepreneurship, which requires young people to become self-employed for lack of alternative options. Here, young people's positive attributes, such as creativity and innovation, can be used against them to suggest they carry the risks associated with a volatile labour market. The vast majority of employment interventions are limited by the underlying assumption that changes in individual behaviour will produce positive outcomes for development and peace at the community level.

42. Horizontal inequality and elite capture have left young people feeling deeply distrustful of the economic systems from which they continue to be excluded. Growing and deeply intertwined economic, social and political inequalities heavily influence young people's mobility within society and their ability to participate in the decisions that affect their lives. Interventions targeted at improving young people's economic stake in society must take a transformative approach, rather than focusing solely on opening up access to systems from which they have been historically excluded. For many young people, participation in local and national development policy processes and programme implementation, serves the dual purpose of expanding their economic stake, beyond just jobs, and cultivating spaces for their political and civic engagement.

Disengagement from violent groups and reintegration

43. The rebuilding of trust is vital both to young people's disengagement from violence and to their reintegration into non-violent society. Disengagement and reintegration programmes can themselves generate conflict within communities when they are seen as privileging young combatants over victimized communities and prioritizing investment in young men, who are perceived to be at higher risk, at the expense of young women. Employment and vocational training opportunities may help in the short term, but cannot substitute for longer-term psychosocial and economic support. Recent policies and programming aim to address this issue by implementing holistic interventions that work with local communities to address the stigma and discrimination young combatants experience, especially young women who are viewed as having transgressed strict gender norms and roles. In addition, with the limited trust disengaging combatants have in State-run programmes, mentors, who are themselves young former combatants, and youth organizations are uniquely positioned to play an active role. Through their presence on the ground and their better understanding of young disengaging combatants' needs and local realities, they may serve as a bridge between disengaging youth and the community.

Education

"We need to engage young people at a younger age; the curricula for children should also include peacebuilding, so they grow up with this mindset." (Fiji, male)

44. Education featured universally as a core peace and security concern for young people, demonstrating the critical importance it has for young women and men all over the world. Educational institutions are of strategic importance and serve as critical sites for interlocution between young people, as beneficiaries, and the State or non-State actors, as providers. Qualitative studies illustrate that educational institutions may be places of social cohesion, reconciliation and belonging or flashpoints that exacerbate division and exclusion. Recent quantitative studies show a consistent statistical relationship, over a period of five decades, between higher levels of inequality in educational attainment among ethnic and religious groups and the likelihood that a country will experience violent conflict.⁹ In many respects,

⁹ Mieke T. A. Lopes Cardozo and Giovanni Scotto, "Youth, peacebuilding and the role of education", thematic paper of the Inter-Agency Network for Education in Emergencies/Advisory Group of Experts for the Progress Study on Youth and Peace and Security, December 2017.

educational systems reproduce issues of unequal access and quality along divisions of class, gender, caste, race, ethnicity, religion, politics and privilege.

45. Educational institutions are often the first casualties of violent conflict. In the Syrian Arab Republic, for example, it is estimated that at least 1.75 million school-aged children and over 40 per cent of Syrian refugee children have lost access to school owing to the ongoing conflict. There are also deliberate attacks on schools, as extremist groups seek to assert control over them, including assaults on individual students and teachers, the destruction of school buildings or the strategic use of school premises. Young women's access to education is heavily restricted in many parts of the world, owing to deeply entrenched patriarchal norms and the concomitant risk of violence. As a long-standing strategy across different typologies of violence — from organized crime to political or extremist groups — educational institutions have been used as a point of access to recruit young people. In the aftermath of such violence, however, education and educational institutions can contribute to psychosocial recovery, the restoration of normalcy, hope and the acquisition of values and skills for building and maintaining a peaceful future.

46. While education is extremely important to young people and their success, it is often touted as the solution to the youth “menace”.¹⁰ This has resulted in education, and young people's interest in it, being viewed as a rather narrow means to an end. However, the assumption of a linear relationship between violence and educational deficits has been challenged by cases in which highly educated and well-off young people join armed groups. Going forward, emerging research and programme interventions need to focus on the role of education and its potential to prevent young people from engaging in violence, as well as on how young people forge alternative non-violent pathways.

47. Young people expressed ambitious hopes for the role of education at the primary, secondary and tertiary levels, as well as non-formal mechanisms, as potentially transformative in building peace. They also pointed to the vital need for value-based education for peace and teaching of critical thinking skills and non-violent methods to address conflicts, with a particular focus on the celebration of diversity. Young people were mindful of the gap between their aspirations and the limited capacities and political will of their Governments and educational institutions to deliver on them. They pointed out that many civil society organizations, including youth-led organizations, have extensive experience in developing educational strategies and modules for peace, through both formal and non-formal means, signalling the importance of building strategic partnerships.

Gender

48. The perceived relationship between young men and violence has resulted in a tendency for youth programming in peace and security to prioritize young men. Young people around the world repeatedly discussed gender in relation to youth and peace and security. In their work on peace and security, young people engage in a variety of ways on gender, including by advocating for gender equality, organizing leadership and communications training sessions for young women, promoting sexual and reproductive rights, raising awareness of the multiple forms of sexual and gender-based violence and providing socio-emotional learning for young men and boys.

49. Sexual and gender-based violence disproportionately affect women of all ages across every phase of the peace and conflict continuum, although this is often exacerbated during violent conflict. Young women are at risk of violence in both the

¹⁰ Elisabeth King, “What Kenyan youth want and why it matters for peace”, *African Studies Review* (forthcoming).

public and the private sphere. Sexual and gender minorities also face an increased risk of violence and discrimination during conflict and into peacetime. For young people engaged in the present study, the protection and full enjoyment of rights for young people from the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer and intersex community was viewed as an essential contribution to inclusive and sustainable peace.

50. Over the past few decades, increasing attention has been paid to the role of women in peace and security as a result of a strong women's movement, which led to the adoption of Security Council resolution [1325 \(2000\)](#) and subsequent resolutions. These resolutions have been instrumental in demonstrating how women can and do actively participate in building peace in their communities and across the globe. However, the peacebuilding work undertaken, which is often initiated and led by young women, demands greater attention and visibility. Examples of this work include using digital platforms in Libya to share women's voices in peace and conflict, building leadership and advocacy skills in the Caucasus and the Balkans, and contributing to the peace process in Mali. However, for many young women, their roles in society continue to be restricted by an exclusive focus on their protection, and while it is important to acknowledge and address their disproportionate experiences of sexual and gender-based violence, they should not be seen solely as victims. Supporting their peacebuilding work and listening attentively to them is an important step towards recognizing their role as active contributors to peace and security.

51. To date, research on gender and peacebuilding has focused principally on the gendered impacts of violent conflict, but relatively little attention has been afforded to the gendered drivers of violent conflict. Understanding how gender identities feed into violent conflict is key, as is focusing more deeply on the interplay between different intersecting identities (age, race, ethnicity, social class, sexual orientation, ability, religion, urban/rural setting) and gender. The implementation of resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#) presents an invaluable opportunity to open a discussion and promote programmatic engagement on masculine identities, in an effort to address their negative associations with violence and overturn harmful stereotypes that are deeply embedded in traditional gender norms and roles. Youthhood is a vital phase in which young people's identities and views of themselves are being shaped and cemented, and on which families, elders, religious leaders and peers all exert great influence. Investing in youth peacebuilding work focused on promoting positive, gender-equitable and non-violent masculine roles and identities is an essential step towards fostering peaceful and inclusive societies. The identification of the persistent inequalities that increase young women's and sexual and gender minorities' exposure to violence and limit their ability to contribute to decision-making and their access to power and resources, is crucial to promoting gender equality.

Dealing with injustice and human rights

"We can't talk about peace and security with groups that do not have basic rights. We first need to secure people's basic rights." (participant in the Arab States consultation)

52. A prevailing concern expressed by young men and women throughout all the research undertaken for the progress study has been the disproportionate victimization and traumatization of young people as a result of conflict, armed violence, State violence, terrorism, gangs and organized crime, gender-based violence and violence against young migrants, refugees and internally displaced persons. Beyond physical violence, young people also expressed concerns about violations of their fundamental rights. It is important to note that young men and women are often themselves active and creative protagonists in the protection realm, from monitoring

and documenting human rights violations to supporting the design and implementation of protection measures, building networks and support structures and fighting for recognition of their civic, political and socioeconomic rights.

53. Grievances and frustration associated with experiences of injustice are central issues in the trajectory of young people's lives in a globalized world. Exposure to violence, especially at a young age, and particularly at the hands of the very institutions that are supposed to protect young people, is a key factor in escalating cycles of violence across generations. In countries from the global North and the global South alike, young people testified to the harassment and violence they regularly experienced at the hands of the police. In order to ensure protection and accountability, it is critical that the issues of civic trust and the rule of law, abuse of power by security institutions, and the realization of full socioeconomic, cultural and political rights for young people be addressed. This can make a vital contribution to guarantees of non-recurrence. Justice and human rights are therefore essential vehicles for prevention and lay the foundation for sustainable peace. From the perspective of transitioning societies, young people, as the purveyors of historical memory, are critical stakeholders and have an invaluable role to play in the design and implementation of transitional justice mechanisms.

54. The specific targeting of young people and their exposure to protracted violence have a detrimental impact on their psychosocial health and well-being. Uncertainty and instability discourage young people from investing in their future and, unless addressed, can lead to self-destructive coping mechanisms. We must better support young people's psychosocial well-being through efficacious, culturally relevant and context-specific community interventions.

55. Social services are a crucial component of the State-society relationship. They are, therefore, a logical arena in which States and institutions can enhance their legitimacy and work to regain young people's trust, including in addressing the consequences of young people's exposure to violence. The equitable provision and delivery of social services, including sexual and reproductive health, psychosocial and other services that are particularly critical for young people, are necessary to promote social cohesion and ensure that all young people have an equal start in life. Inequitable delivery of these services provokes mistrust in democratic institutions and can feed grievances that underpin conflict.

V. From a demographic dividend to a peace dividend

"What motivates me is [to] show them that we can also do very important things for our communities, that we have a voice and are willing to build a better future."
(Colombia, male)

56. Young people, even when they act locally, have critical contributions to make at the national, regional and global levels. For this to happen, they need to have opportunities to participate in peer-to-peer learning and directly in national, regional and international systems. Young people's work on peace and security is the "connective tissue" that bridges the silos of development, human rights, humanitarian affairs and peace and security, from the local to the global level. The work of young people on peace and security — across different phases of conflict, types of violence and regions of the world — is vital, not just because of the size of their demographic group: if the right investments in youth are in place, and their peacebuilding work is recognized and nurtured, societies may reap a peace dividend.

57. Realizing this peace dividend requires a commitment to ensuring that youth initiatives, organizations and individuals can operate in an environment that values

and respects them, rather than one which controls or represses them. This can be achieved by providing the political, financial, legal and social means for optimizing and multiplying young people's initiatives so that they may fully reach their potential to contribute to peace and security in their societies. Young women and men in general, and those investing in peace and the prevention of violence specifically, should be seen as indispensable allies in the quest for peace and security.

58. Building and sustaining peace through the transformative potential of young people demands a seismic shift and bold reorientation from Governments and the multilateral system, for which resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#) planted the seeds:

(a) First and foremost, this requires making the shift from reactive and remedial security responses, often informed by policy panic, to a comprehensive violence prevention approach with young people at its centre. Systematically addressing the violence of exclusion is the best means to prevent violence, including violent extremism, thus building and sustaining peace across the full peace and conflict continuum;

(b) The prevention approach demands that Governments and international organizations prioritize support for the positive resilience of the majority of young people, rather than exclusively reacting to the risk represented by just a few;

(c) Governments and multilateral organizations must commit to partnerships based on trust with diverse civil society partners working on peace and security, and, specifically, organizations led by and focused on youth. These partnerships will need to demonstrate sincerity and go beyond tokenistic and cursory endeavours;

(d) These changes demand the transformation of deeply entrenched attitudes and practices. It is therefore imperative to build on the foundation offered by resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#) in developing new societal norms and behaviours regarding youth and peace and security. This can be advanced through a combination of measures, including dialogue and accountability mechanisms that demand compliance and commitment by Governments; incentives and benefits for Governments and multilateral organizations to build youth-inclusive systems; training and capacity-building on youth and peace and security in national and international organizations, and ensuring that resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#) is fully socialized and integrated at the national level.

Recommendations

59. For societies and countries to fully harness and support the innovation of young people's contributions to peace and to begin to work towards the seismic challenges set out above, three mutually reinforcing strategies are needed. First, it is critical to invest in young people's capacities, agency and leadership through substantial funding support, network-building and capacity-strengthening, recognizing the full diversity of youth and the ways young people organize. Second, the systems that reinforce exclusion must be transformed in order to address the structural barriers limiting youth participation in peace and security. Third, partnerships and collaborative action, where young people are viewed as equal and essential partners for peace, must be prioritized.

Investing in the capacities, agency and leadership of young people

60. To ensure a substantial increase in financial resources to support youth organizations, initiatives and movements focused on peace and security, Member States, donors, international financial institutions and other international organizations should:

(a) Allocate \$1.8 billion, representing an investment of \$1 per young person, by 2025 for the tenth anniversary of resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#);

(b) Provide flexible funding designed with the specific needs of youth organizations in mind. The funding should provide opportunities for small-scale projects and initiatives, and innovative, risk-taking programmatic approaches;

(c) Create dedicated youth and peace and security windows under existing funds;

(d) Prioritize, as part of any funding support to youth organizations, the building of organizational capacities to increase their financial sustainability and the impact of their work.

61. In order to ensure that the capacities of youth organizations are enhanced, the leadership of youth is acknowledged and youth networking is nurtured, Member States and international and civil society organizations should:

(a) Support the establishment or strengthening of national, regional and global youth peace networks, online and offline, for young people and their organizations to connect, organize for action and exchange experiences, knowledge and resources;

(b) Make every effort to ensure that programmes related to youth and peace and security are designed, implemented, monitored and evaluated with and by young people themselves;

(c) Acknowledge and highlight the positive work of young women and men working on peace and security through the allocation of awards, grants and honours;

(d) Prioritize capacity-building within their own organizations by engaging young leaders and members of youth organizations in training and sensitization sessions on youth and peace and security.

From exclusion to meaningful inclusion

62. In order to ensure the meaningful and inclusive political participation of youth and increased civic trust, Member States and international and regional organizations should:

(a) Adopt and support the use of quotas for the direct and gender-equitable participation of young people in all phases of formal peace and political transition processes, from pre-negotiation to implementation, including in national dialogues, constitution-making, transitional justice and other political processes related to peace and security. These processes should include mechanisms for sustained interaction with a wide diversity of young people, paying particular attention to the inclusion of young women and to ensuring that funding and security measures are in place for the participation of young people;

(b) Institutionalize measures to close the gap between the youth population and the representation of youth in local and national governance institutions and processes by adopting youth quotas, establishing youth advisory boards and roles, and facilitating young people's access to elected positions by aligning the age of eligibility to run for office with the voting age;

(c) Prioritize opportunities for young refugees, internally displaced persons and migrants and young people from host communities to share their peace and security challenges through intergenerational dialogue and consultative forums and to take part in decision-making processes to ensure that their needs are addressed;

(d) Expand digital networks to remote communities to support the meaningful and inclusive participation of young people.

63. In order to maximize the protection of young people from violence and guarantee the realization of their rights, Member States, international organizations and human rights actors should:

(a) Respect, protect and uphold young people's universal and fundamental rights of freedom of organization, peaceful assembly, association, opinion and expression and participation in public affairs, to foster an enabling and safe environment for young people working on peace and security and ensure that they do not face reprisals for their work. The United Nations and human rights actors should give this utmost priority and support Member States in upholding those inalienable rights;

(b) Preserve the integrity of rule of law institutions by protecting young people's human rights, including safeguarding them from arbitrary arrest and incarceration, ending impunity and ensuring equal access to justice and accountability. Young women and men should be prioritized as key interlocutors and stakeholders, including in the design and implementation of security sector, penal and criminal justice reform processes;

(c) Broaden the engagement of human rights institutions and processes with young people by mainstreaming the rights and participation of young people into the work of the human rights treaty bodies, special procedures and other human rights mechanisms;

(d) Prioritize the mental health and well-being of young people through increased funding and the provision of age- and gender-sensitive, non-discriminatory and comprehensive health services, including psychosocial and sexual and reproductive health services.

64. In order to support young people's meaningful broader economic inclusion and advance the development of conflict-sensitive, inclusive and youth-centred employment programmes, Member States, international financial institutions, other international organizations and civil society organizations, should:

(a) Invest in the creation of safe community spaces for civic dialogue, where young people collectively identify peacebuilding and development priorities for action within their communities and partake in decision-making about the allocation of related funds;

(b) Continue to support inclusive labour policies and practices that ensure equal access for all young people to the labour market and the enjoyment of fundamental principles and rights at work, remove structural barriers for marginalized youth, involve young people in decision-making regarding labour policies, bolster social protections in both the formal and informal economy, advance gender equality and adopt a lifecycle approach;

(c) Engage young people, alongside community members, in an assessment of their economic needs prior to the design of any intervention, as well as in the design itself, and in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of employment programmes;

(d) Reach out proactively to the most marginalized young people in order to ensure that they are the primary target of employment programmes and to avoid limiting access to elite youth and exacerbating inequality.

65. In order to ensure that the disengagement of former combatants is effective and that social reintegration is sustainable, Member States, international organizations and civil society organizations should:

(a) Partner with young people to design and implement disengagement and reintegration processes, for example, by establishing official cooperation agreements between youth organizations and security institutions, that clearly define roles and responsibilities;

(b) Support pathways for young people to identify relevant strategic priorities, policies and programmatic approaches.

66. In order to ensure that education is optimized as a tool for peace and that educational institutions are protected from violence, Member States, educational institutions, the private sector and international and civil society organizations should:

(a) Make specific investments in education at the primary, secondary and tertiary levels that is inclusive and based on positive values, as well as in non-formal and informal educational initiatives. This should include a focus on the development of context-specific critical thinking skills, the values of diversity and non-violence, socioemotional learning and conflict resolution, as well as digital literacy training. It should be supported through multi-stakeholder “peace education partnerships”;

(b) Prioritize the protection of primary, secondary and tertiary educational institutions as spaces free from all forms of violence, and ensure that they are accessible to all young people, including young women and other marginalized youth.

67. In order to ensure that the unique experiences of young women and sexual and gender minorities in peace and security are addressed, and to support gender-equitable identities among young people, Member States and international and civil society organizations should:

(a) Systematically apply a gender and age lens to all conflict assessments and peacebuilding programming;

(b) Recognize and address harmful patriarchal attitudes that have an impact on the rights, integrity and agency of young women and sexual and gender minorities, while seeking always to create inclusive terms of engagement;

(c) Invest in youth peacebuilding work focused on promoting positive and equitable masculine identities that challenge restrictive social norms, including by working with traditional and religious leaders.

National, regional and global partnerships for youth and peace and security

68. In order to support the implementation of resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#), Member States, the United Nations system and non-governmental stakeholders should:

(a) Prioritize the creation of youth and peace and security coalitions to ensure a collective impact on youth and peace and security at the local, national, regional and global levels. Such coalitions should be multisectoral and cross-cutting partnerships between young people, youth organizations and multilateral, government and civil society actors, including the private sector, religious communities, private foundations and educational institutions;

(b) Consult and actively include young people in defining concrete objectives and global and country-specific indicators to monitor progress and measure impact in the implementation of resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#).

69. In order to support further research and data collection on youth and peace and security, Member States and international and civil society organizations should:

(a) Support qualitative and quantitative research and data collection on youth and peace and security (including, where feasible, youth perception data) at the

national, regional and global levels by allocating sufficient technical, financial and human resources. The information should be integrated into existing statistical efforts, stored in a central online repository and made publicly available to facilitate knowledge-sharing and good practice;

(b) Systematically disaggregate relevant data and national statistics by age, gender, socioeconomic background and geography.

70. In order to support the implementation of resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#) at the national level, Governments should:

(a) Establish safe spaces for and maintain regular and ongoing consultations with diverse young people to identify their peace and security needs and priorities;

(b) Undertake a review of the impact of their peace and security policies and practices on young people and make it mandatory for the security sector to consult young people;

(c) Ensure synergies with national plans on the implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals and of Security Council resolution [1325 \(2000\)](#) and define regular reporting and accountability mechanisms to monitor progress on implementation.

71. Regional bodies and mechanisms should support the development of policy frameworks that address youth and peace and security issues, led by regional organizations, networks and alliances of young peacebuilders working in partnership with other stakeholders to facilitate knowledge-sharing and information flow between countries.

72. At the global level, the United Nations system must create new mechanisms for dialogue and accountability by:

(a) Putting in place a tripartite monitoring structure to provide a common platform for Member States, the United Nations and young people to report on the implementation of resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#);

(b) Creating an informal expert group on youth and peace and security composed of a diverse group of young people, selected transparently with youth organizations, to track a clearly defined set of issues, in order to mainstream resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#) in the work of the Security Council;

(c) Making a priority of hearing directly from young people living in countries on the Security Council's agenda, through standard briefings or Arria Formula meetings;

(d) Including specific references to resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#) in the mandates and reports of peacekeeping and political missions;

(e) Appointing an elected Security Council member to co-lead, alongside a permanent Security Council member, the monitoring of progress on the implementation of resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#) to guarantee that key youth issues are raised in closed consultations with Council members;

(f) Requesting the Secretary-General to report annually to the Security Council on United Nations-wide efforts to implement resolution [2250 \(2015\)](#), within the framework of an annual open debate, during which young peacebuilders will brief the Security Council.

73. The United Nations system should reform its internal mechanisms to broaden the participation of young people, by taking the following measures:

(a) Making youth advisory boards and youth advisors a standard practice for each United Nations country presence;

(b) Placing a youth advisor in the lead offices of the United Nations in all countries and in the executive offices of the Secretary-General and all United Nations entities to ensure that youth is a core focus in all the work undertaken by the United Nations for peace and security;

(c) Ensuring that dedicated capacities and expertise are in place to engage young people and youth organizations in sustaining peace at the national, regional and global levels;

(d) Developing a system-wide road map on youth and peace and security to facilitate joint action, coordination and coherence in resourcing across all entities working in this area.

Conclusion

74. The recommendations set out in the paragraphs above are the starting point of large-scale transformation and offer a framework for action within which young people, Governments, multilateral organizations, civil society and other actors can work together to support young people's innovation and build sustainable peace.

75. This study is testimony to the voices of the thousands of young people who have taken the time to speak to us and who have trusted us to listen. The full richness of their insights and experiences will be reflected in greater depth in the long version of this study. To those young people, and all the partners who helped facilitate our access to them, we owe an enormous debt of gratitude.
