



United Nations
Educational, Scientific and
Cultural Organization



Intangible
Cultural
Heritage

7 GA

Paris, 29 June 2018
Original: English/French

**CONVENTION FOR THE SAFEGUARDING OF THE
INTANGIBLE CULTURAL HERITAGE**

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATES PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION

**Seventh session
UNESCO Headquarters, Room II
4 to 6 June 2018**

Feedback on the organization of the seventh session of the General Assembly of the States Parties to the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage



Results

Survey 46443

Number of records in this query:	30
Total records in survey:	30
Percentage of total:	100.00%



Field summary for 101(e1)


Overall assessment of the session
[Pre-meeting materials clearly presented issues and recommendations to support informed decision-making]

Answer	Count	Percentage
Strongly agree (e1)	14	46.67%
Agree (e2)	15	50.00%
Disagree (e3)	0	0.00%
Strongly disagree (e4)	1	3.33%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 101(e1)

Overall assessment of the session
[Pre-meeting materials clearly presented issues and recommendations to support informed decision-making]





Field summary for 101(e2)


Overall assessment of the session
[Agenda was well focused]

Answer	Count	Percentage
Strongly agree (e1)	16	53.33%
Agree (e2)	13	43.33%
Disagree (e3)	1	3.33%
Strongly disagree (e4)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 101(e2)

Overall assessment of the session
[Agenda was well focused]





Field summary for 101(e3)


Overall assessment of the session
[Appropriate time was allotted to items in the agenda]

Answer	Count	Percentage
Strongly agree (e1)	13	43.33%
Agree (e2)	17	56.67%
Disagree (e3)	0	0.00%
Strongly disagree (e4)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 101(e3)

Overall assessment of the session
[Appropriate time was allotted to items in the agenda]





Field summary for 101(e4)


Overall assessment of the session
[Purpose of the meeting was accomplished]

Answer	Count	Percentage
Strongly agree (e1)	16	53.33%
Agree (e2)	14	46.67%
Disagree (e3)	0	0.00%
Strongly disagree (e4)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 101(e4)

Overall assessment of the session
[Purpose of the meeting was accomplished]





Field summary for 102(e1)


Preparation of the session
[Timely delivery of working and information documents]

Answer	Count	Percentage
Excellent (e1)	10	33.33%
Good (e2)	15	50.00%
Adequate (e3)	4	13.33%
Poor (e4)	1	3.33%
Not applicable (e5)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 102(e1)

Preparation of the session
[Timely delivery of working and information documents]





Field summary for 102(e3)


Preparation of the session
[Quality of the working and information documents]

Answer	Count	Percentage
Excellent (e1)	12	40.00%
Good (e2)	15	50.00%
Adequate (e3)	3	10.00%
Poor (e4)	0	0.00%
Not applicable (e5)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 102 (e3)

Preparation of the session
[Quality of the working and information documents]





Field summary for 102(e5)


Preparation of the session
[Quality of the translation of the working and information documents]

Answer	Count	Percentage
Excellent (e1)	11	36.67%
Good (e2)	13	43.33%
Adequate (e3)	4	13.33%
Poor (e4)	0	0.00%
Not applicable (e5)	2	6.67%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 102 (e5)

Preparation of the session
[Quality of the translation of the working and information documents]





Field summary for 102(e4)

Preparation of the session

[Clarity and effectiveness of the Secretariat's communication prior to the meeting]


Answer	Count	Percentage
Excellent (e1)	10	33.33%
Good (e2)	16	53.33%
Adequate (e3)	4	13.33%
Poor (e4)	0	0.00%
Not applicable (e5)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 102 (e4)

Preparation of the session

[Clarity and effectiveness of the Secretariat's communication prior to the meeting]





Field summary for 103(e1)

Your experience during the session

[Quality of interpretation in the working languages of the Assembly during the session]


Answer	Count	Percentage
Excellent (A1)	14	46.67%
Good (A2)	12	40.00%
Adequate (A3)	4	13.33%
Poor (A4)	0	0.00%
Not applicable (A5)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 103(e1)

Your experience during the session

[Quality of interpretation in the working languages of the Assembly during the session]





Field summary for 103(e2)


Your experience during the session
[Quality of the audio-cast transmission (live stream)]

Answer	Count	Percentage
Excellent (A1)	4	13.33%
Good (A2)	6	20.00%
Adequate (A3)	6	20.00%
Poor (A4)	4	13.33%
Not applicable (A5)	10	33.33%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 103(e2)

Your experience during the session
[Quality of the audio-cast transmission (live stream)]





Field summary for 103(e3)


Your experience during the session
[Usefulness, clarity and ease of navigation of the Convention website]

Answer	Count	Percentage
Excellent (A1)	6	20.00%
Good (A2)	16	53.33%
Adequate (A3)	7	23.33%
Poor (A4)	1	3.33%
Not applicable (A5)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 103(e3)

Your experience during the session
[Usefulness, clarity and ease of navigation of the Convention website]





Field summary for 103(e4)


Your experience during the session
[Quality of real-time document revision during the session]

Answer	Count	Percentage
Excellent (A1)	9	30.00%
Good (A2)	11	36.67%
Adequate (A3)	9	30.00%
Poor (A4)	1	3.33%
Not applicable (A5)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 103(e4)

Your experience during the session
[Quality of real-time document revision during the session]





Field summary for 103(e5)


Your experience during the session
[Quality and timeliness of response by the Secretariat to various queries
pertaining to the session and the working documents]

Answer	Count	Percentage
Excellent (A1)	12	40.00%
Good (A2)	10	33.33%
Adequate (A3)	8	26.67%
Poor (A4)	0	0.00%
Not applicable (A5)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 103(e5)

Your experience during the session
[Quality and timeliness of response by the Secretariat to various queries
pertaining to the session and the working documents]





Field summary for 103(e6)


Your experience during the session
[Quality of meeting time management]

Answer	Count	Percentage
Excellent (A1)	15	50.00%
Good (A2)	13	43.33%
Adequate (A3)	1	3.33%
Poor (A4)	1	3.33%
Not applicable (A5)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%



Field summary for 103(e6)

Your experience during the session
[Quality of meeting time management]





Field summary for 104

In your opinion, how can the Secretariat further improve its practices?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	15	50.00%
No answer	15	50.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%

2 Should have projection of the document in Room 4 as well.

7 Every thing is ok

8 Communicate the entrance to use because we had to go to the main entrance and we were told to use the Northern entrance

11 Share more materials before GA

13 En étant renforcé en personnel

16 Il faut réguler la participation des ONGs

17 Le site internet n'est pas toujours clair. Il n'est pas toujours facile de retrouver des informations ou des documents

21 Secretariat of the 2003 Convention is well prepared and already doing well. No additional comment for improvement :)

22 It was difficult to acquire information pertaining to the session before arriving in France. An explanation regarding the process and one's participation in the proceedings in advance would significantly enhance the experience. A listing of preferred hotels for UNESCO would have been welcome as well.

24 -

25 The item on Revision of the Rules of Procedure of the General Assembly had to work with very poor and bad amendments; luckily it was not discussed but some delegations lost time by systematically reviewing them. We look forward to development of the results framework.

26 Please try next time to avoid having meetings during the sacred month for Muslims (Ramadan).

27 The work is well organized. An important element is awareness-raising on the application of the Convention (various seminars and workshops)


29 By providing more space for State Parties delegates. The meeting space was cramped and it was a times uncomfortable

33 Never organize the GA again in so small and uncomfortable room...



Field summary for 104

In your opinion, how can the Secretariat further improve its practices?





Field summary for 105

Any additional comments?


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	9	30.00%
No answer	21	70.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%

- 5 Well done. Very environmentally friendly!
- 8 Can the secretariate provide at least one bottle of frinking water during the session per day.
- 10 Consider part or full financial support to NGO participation in the General Assembly
- 11 audi equipments have to be upgraded
- 19 Thanks a lot for this very well prepared and organized conference!
- 22 No.
- 24 -
- 26 No particular comment
- 29 No



Field summary for 105

Any additional comments?





Field summary for 106

You are attending the seventh session of the General Assembly as:

Answer	Count	Percentage
State Party to the Convention (A1)	20	66.67%
State not party (A2)	0	0.00%
NGO (A3)	7	23.33%
Other	3	10.00%
No answer	0	0.00%
Not displayed	0	0.00%

Other:

- 15 Universidad del Rosario
- 22 Klahoose First Nation - Canada
- 34 Centre de catégorie 2



Field summary for 106

You are attending the seventh session of the General Assembly as:

