The following text was originally published in *Prospects:the quarterly review of comparative education* (Paris, UNESCO: International Bureau of Education), vol. XXIV, no. 3/4, 1994, p. 471–485. ©UNESCO:International Bureau of Education, 2000

This document may be reproduced free of charge as long as acknowledgement is made of the source.

LEV S. VYGOTSKY¹

(1896–1934)

The scientific work of Lev S. Vygotsky has had a remarkable destiny. The man himself, one of the greatest psychologists of the twentieth century, never received any formal training in psychology. His death at the age of 37 put an end to his research after only ten years or so, and he did not see the publication of his most important works. And yet this 'Mozart of psychology' (as the philosopher S. Toulmin called him) constructed one of the most promising theories in psychology. More than fifty years after his death, now that his major works have been published, Vygotsky has become an avant-garde writer. According to one of his best exponents, 'There is no doubt that, in many respects, Vygotsky is far ahead of our own time' (Rivière, 1984, p. 120).

Such a phenomenon, so rare in the history of science, may perhaps be explained by two closely connected factors: first, the scope and originality of his scientific writings over a relatively short period offer clear proof of his genius. Second, he was working at a time of dramatic historical change, namely the October Revolution in Russia. At the heart of the psychological system constructed by Vygotsky we find an ontogenetic theory of mental development that is also in many aspects a historical theory of individual development. In other words, it is a genetic conception of a genetic phenomenon. No doubt there is an epistemological lesson to be drawn from this: it would seem that historical periods of revolutionary change sharpen the sensitivity of human thought and predispose it in favour of everything that concerns genesis, transformation, dynamic evolution and the future.

The life and work of Vygotsky

Lev Semionovich Vygotsky was born at Orsha, a small town in Belarus, on 17 November 1896. After attending the gymnasium at Gomel, he began his university studies in law, philosophy and history at Moscow in 1912. His school and university education provided him with an excellent training in the humanities—language and linguistics, aesthetics and literature, philosophy and history. At the early age of 20 he wrote a voluminous study on *Hamlet*. He displayed a lively interest in poetry, drama, language and questions of signs and meaning, the theory of literature, the cinema, and the issues of history and philosophy, long before he began his research in psychology. It is important to note that the first book by Vygotsky, which was to point him once and for all towards psychology, was *The Psychology of Art*, published in 1925.

An interesting parallel can be drawn with Jean Piaget. They were born in the same year, and neither received any formal training in psychology; like Piaget, Vygotsky became the author of a remarkable theory of mental development. From adolescence onwards throughout his long life, however, Piaget was attracted by biology, and this difference in inspiration may account for the difference between two important paradigms in developmental psychology: Piaget placed the emphasis on structural aspects and on the essentially universal laws (of biological origin) of development, whereas Vygotsky stressed the contribution of culture, social interaction and the historical dimension of mental development.

After university Vygotsky returned to Gomel, where he engaged in a wide variety of intellectual activities. He taught psychology, began to take an interest in the problems of

handicapped children and continued his study of the theory of literature and the psychology of art. After his first professional successes in psychology (papers submitted to national congresses), in 1924 he settled in Moscow and began work at the Institute of Psychology. It was there that Vygotsky, surrounded by fellow workers as passionately interested as himself in a thorough reconstruction of psychology, created in one prodigious decade (1924-34) his historical-cultural theory of psychological phenomena.

The essential writings and professional activities of Vygotsky, long neglected, have only recently been gradually rediscovered and reconstituted. The interested reader can now find them in the following works: Levitin (1982), Luria (1979), Mecacci (1983), Rivière (1984), Schneuwly and Bronckart (1985), Valsiner (1988) and, of course, in the six-volume collection of works by Vygotsky (Vygotsky, 1982-84).

In the course of those few years of research Vygotsky wrote some 200 works, a number of which have been lost. The principal source remains his *Complete Works*, published in Russian between 1982 and 1984; despite its title, however, this does not contain all his writings that have been preserved, and several of his previously published books and articles have not yet been reissued.

The most complete bibliography of the works of Vygotsky, together with a list of translations and studies on him, is to be found in the sixth volume of the *Complete Works* and in Schneuwly and Bronckart (1985). It should be noted in passing that certain presentations of Vygotsky, particularly some of those in English, have been rather unfortunate and, in particular, have occasioned many misunderstandings. This is especially true of the highly distorted presentation in English of Vygotsky's most important work *Thought and Language*, published in 1962. It is to be hoped that the editions of the *Complete Works* currently being prepared in several languages (English, Italian, Spanish, Serbo-Croat, etc.) will help foreign scholars to gain a more accurate understanding of Vygotsky's real thinking. The bibliographical data in the original version of the *Complete Works*, together with the commentaries to be found in each volume, will, moreover, make it easier to reconstruct the origin and growth of his ideas. Such a reconstruction will, among other things, make for a sounder interpretation of his thinking, particularly those ideas that were formulated in various ways in works written at different times.

Be this as it may, there will always remain a further difficulty for readers unable to study the texts of Vygotsky in Russian: in creating an original theoretical system, Vygotsky at the same time invented a terminology that was capable of expressing the new approach. In consequence, any translation runs the risk of distorting those ideas, at least to some extent.

From the corpus of Vygotsky's ideas we shall attempt here a brief analysis of those that are relevant to education, leaving aside his thinking concerning the methodology of science, general psychology, the psychology of art, handicapped children, etc. Our discussion will therefore concentrate on two points: the educational implications of Vygotsky's theory of mental ontogenesis; and the analysis of his strictly and explicitly educational ideas.

The interpretations offered are, needless to say, our own. Having long studied the texts of Vygotsky we shall, rather than reproduce his words, attempt to capture the deeper meaning of his ideas, to develop those ideas and to present them in language that is understandable for readers unfamiliar with his works. Then, going a step further than the mere presentation of Vygotsky's ideas about education, we shall briefly consider the application of those ideas in educational research and in everyday teaching practice.

Theory of mental development and problems of education

If we were to characterize Vygotsky's theory by employing a series of keywords or expressions, the following at least could not fail to be mentioned: human sociability, social interaction, sign and instrument, culture, history, and higher mental functions. And if we were to link these words

and expressions together in a single formula, we could say that the theory of Vygotsky is a 'socio-historico-cultural theory of the development of higher mental functions' as against the more frequent description of it as simply a 'historical-cultural theory'.

For Vygotsky, the human being is characterized by a 'primary sociability'. The same idea is expressed more categorically by Henri Wallon: 'The individual is genetically social' (Wallon, 1959). During the lifetime of Vygotsky, that principle was no more than a purely theoretical hypothesis. Today, however, it is safe to say that the idea of a primary sociability, to some extent genetically determined, has virtually achieved the status of an established scientific fact. This is due to the convergence of two currents of research: on the one hand biological research on, for instance, the role of sociability in anthropogenesis or on the morpho-functional development of the infant (for example, there is increasing evidence that the areas of the brain governing social functions, such as the perception of a human face or voice, reach maturity earlier and more quickly than others); on the other hand, recent empirical research on social development in earliest childhood offers abundant proof of the existence of a primary and very early sociability (Bowlby, 1971; Schaffer, 1971; Zazzo, 1974, 1986; Thoman, 1979; Lamb and Scherrod, 1981; Tronick, 1982; Lewis and Rosenblum, 1974; Stambak et al., 1983; Zaporozec and Lissina, 1974; Lissina, 1986; Ignjatovic-Savic et al, 1989).

Theoretical analysis led Vygotsky to advance some quite visionary ideas on the early sociability of the child and take them to their logical conclusion in constructing a theory of child development. He wrote in 1932 (Vygotsky, 1982-84, vol. 4, p. 281):

It is through the mediation of others, through the mediation of the adult, that the child undertakes activities. Absolutely everything in the behaviour of the child is merged and rooted in social relations. Thus, the child's relations with reality are from the start social relations, so that the newborn baby could be said to be in the highest degree a social being.

The sociability of children is the basis for their social interactions with the people around them. The problems raised by the psychology of social interaction are now well known; we shall therefore confine ourselves here to some brief comments on a few distinctive traits of Vygotsky's theory. Human beings, by reason of their origin and nature, can neither exist nor develop in the normal way for their species as isolated monads: part of them is necessarily anchored in other human beings—in isolation they are not complete beings. For the development of the child, particularly in early infancy, the most important factor is asymmetrical interaction, that is, interaction with adults who are vectors of all the messages of that culture. In this type of interaction the essential role is played by signs and various semiotic systems whose initial purpose, from the genetic standpoint, is to assist communication and, later, individuation, when they begin to be used as tools for the organization and control of individual behaviour.³ That is the crux of Vygotsky's conception of social interaction, which plays a formative role, a constructive function, in the child's development. In other words, certain types of higher mental functions, such as deliberate attention, logical memory, verbal and conceptual thought and complex emotions, could not emerge and take form in the development process without the constructive assistance of social interaction.⁴

This idea led Vygotsky to generalizations whose heuristic value is far from exhausted, even today. We are thinking here of his famous theory concerning the transformation of interpsychic phenomena into intrapsychic phenomena. Here is one formulation of that idea (Vygotsky, 1982–84, vol. 4, p. 56):

The most important and the most fundamental of the laws that account for genesis and towards which we are led by the study of higher mental functions could be expressed as follows: each instance of semiotic behaviour by the child originated as a form of social collaboration, which is why semiotic behaviour, even in the more advanced stages of development, remains a social mode of functioning. The history of the development of higher mental functions is thus seen to be the history of the process by which the tools of social behaviour are transformed into instruments of

individual psychological organization.

The admirable research done by Vygotsky on the basis of that idea focuses on the relationship between thought and language during ontogeny. Indeed, this is the central theme of his work *Thought and Language*. We now know that the child's capacity to acquire language is strongly determined by heredity.

Vygotsky's research reveals that, even so, heredity is not a sufficient condition and that a contribution from the social environment in the form of a quite specific type of teaching process is also needed. According to Vygotsky, this teaching process is simply the process of constructing in common during activities involving the child and the adult, that is, in social interaction. During this preverbal collaboration, the adult introduces language, which, building on pre-verbal communication, serves in the beginning as a tool for communication and social interaction. In his book on the subject Vygotsky describes the subtleties of the process by means of which language, as an instrument of social relations, is transformed into an instrument of internal psychic organization for the child (apparition of private language, internal language and verbal thought).

For our purpose, which is to explore the implications for education of the theory of development, there are several important conclusions to be drawn here. In the first place we are confronted with an original answer to the question of the relationship between development and the teaching process: even for a function determined largely by heredity (such as language acquisition), the contribution of the social environment (the teaching process) is nevertheless constructive and is therefore more than a mere trigger mechanism, as it is for instinct, or a mere stimulant that simply speeds up the development of forms of behaviour that would have emerged anyway. The contribution of the teaching process derives from the fact that it provides the individual with a powerful tool, namely language. During the acquisition process this tool becomes an integral part of the psychic structure of the individual (with the development of internal language). But there is something in addition: the new acquisitions (such as language), which are of a social origin, start to interact with other mental functions such as thought. This encounter engenders new functions such as verbal thought. Here we meet a Vygotsky hypothesis that has not yet been sufficiently assimilated and exploited in research, even in present-day psychology: the crucial factor in development is not the progress of each function considered separately, but the changing relationship between different functions, such as logical memory, verbal thought, and so forth. In other words, development consists in the formation of composite functions, systems of functions, systemic functions and functional systems.

Vygotsky's analysis of the relationship between development and learning in the case of language acquisition leads us to define the first model of development: in a natural process of development, learning is a means that reinforces this natural process by making available to it culture-generated tools that extend the natural possibilities of the individual and restructure his mental functions.

The role of adults as representatives of the culture in children's language acquisition process and in their assimilation of a part of the culture—the mother tongue—leads to the description of a new type of interaction besides social interaction that is of decisive importance to Vygotsky's theory, namely interaction with the products of culture. Needless to say, it is impossible to separate or to distinguish clearly between these two types of interaction, which often take the form of socio-cultural interaction.

To elucidate these ideas of Vygotsky, we shall draw upon Meyerson (1948), whose central idea is as follows; 'everything that is human tends to become objectified and to be projected in works' (p. 69). The task of psychology is 'to seek out the mental content in the facts of civilization described' (p. 14), or 'to discern the nature of the mental operations that are involved' (p. 138).

In analysing the role of culture in individual development, Vygotsky advanced similar

ideas. Among all the acquisitions of culture, he focused his attention on the ones that would subsequently control mental processes and human behaviour, that is, the various instruments and techniques (even technologies) that people assimilate and turn towards themselves in order to influence their own mental functions. There thus emerges a gigantic system of 'artificial and external stimuli' by means of which people gain control over their own inner state. In Vygotsky, we encounter once again, but from a different angle, the phenomenon of interpsychism: from a psychological point of view, part of the individual is anchored in other individuals and another part in his or her works and culture, which, according to Marx, is the individual's 'non-organic body'. Marx's expression is highly appropriate: culture forms an integral part of the individual but it is, nevertheless, outside him. Hence the development of a person cannot be reduced solely to the changes taking place within the individual; it is also an allomorphic development capable of taking two different forms—the production of external aids as such and the creation of external tools that can be used to produce internal (psychological) changes. Thus, besides the instruments that human beings have invented throughout the course of their history and use to exercise control over objects (external reality), there exists another series of tools that, directed towards themselves, they can use to control, master and develop their own capacities.

These tools include—to mention just a few—spoken and written language (and, in McLuhan's phrase, the whole 'Gutenberg galaxy'), rituals, models of behaviour depicted in works of art, systems of scientific concepts, techniques that assist the memory or thinking, tools that improve motility or human perception, etc. All these cultural tools are 'extensions of man' (McLuhan, 1964), that is, extensions and amplifiers of human capacities.

To a cultural anthropologist, such a statement may appear commonplace, but in psychology, where concepts are traditionally coloured by subjectivity, it is very rare for such cultural factors to be taken into account. Even cultural anthropologists, however, often confine themselves to a single aspect, the objectification of human capacities in the products of culture.

For McLuhan, and even more so for Vygotsky at a much earlier date, what is important are the psychological consequences, the impact of the existence of such tools on the development of the individual, namely, the interaction between the individual and these tools.

In his analysis of those consequences, Vygotsky starts from the famous aphorism of Francis Bacon, which crops up several times in his works: *Nec manus, nisi intellectus, sibi permissus, multum valent: instrumentis et auxilibus res perficitur* [The human hand and intelligence, without the necessary tools and aids, are relatively powerless; on the other hand, their strength is reinforced by the tools and aids provided by culture].

In the first place culture creates an ever-growing stock of powerful external aids (tools, apparatus, technologies) that back up psychological processes. From knots in a handkerchief or notches on a stick for the purpose of remembering certain events, up to powerful computerized data banks or modern information technologies, the progress in 'psychological technology' never ceases. Alongside the individual and natural memory or intelligence, there exists an external and artificial memory and intelligence. How effective would Europeans of today be if deprived of these technologies and left to themselves, 'with naked hand and intelligence'? Could psychology produce valid conceptualizations of higher mental processes without these external aids? The fact is, the very existence of these aids changes the nature of the process, which still takes place within the individual; to be convinced of this, one has only to observe the changes in the performance of straightforward arithmetical operations by people who have become accustomed to using pocket calculators. The real tasks for research are the analyses of the restructuring of inner processes when such aids are present and of the interaction between the external and internal aspects of those processes.

In addition to external aids, however, there exist in cultural works psychological tools that are capable of being internalized. These include all semiotic systems, all those skills and intellectual procedures and techniques of the media, intellectual operations and structures, and

the models of intellectual activities to be found every time the acquisition of culture occurs.

Vygotsky, like McLuhan, did not conclude his analysis at the superficial level of such acquisition. He wanted to grasp its hidden and deeper meanings. The direction of his exploration is expressed in McLuhan's famous maxim: 'The medium is the message.' In other words, it is the medium that carries the profound meanings. This approach can be made more understandable by taking the example of a tool, such as written language (both authors considered this example). An individual—the same also applies to a cultural group, for that matter—who has mastered written language is not just one who also possesses a technical skill. Written language and book-based culture have a profound impact on the ways in which perception, memory and thought function. This is because written language contains within itself a model for the analysis of reality (treatment in discrete units, linearity and temporality in the organization of thoughts, loss of the sense of totality, etc.) and psychological techniques including, in particular, an enhanced power of memory that alters the relationship between memory and thought. Hence individuals, in gaining access to the written language, appropriate for their own use the psychological techniques available in their culture, techniques that become 'internal techniques' (Vygotsky borrows this term from Claparède). Thus, a cultural tool takes root in individuals and becomes personal to them. When we consider present-day changes in technology, a question of considerable importance is raised: What are the consequences of the employment of modern intellectual (in my view, a more appropriate term than 'information') technologies, such as computers or computerized data banks, for individual cognitive processes?

Vygotsky's admirable research on the appropriation of cultural tools to serve as internal techniques deals with the formation of concepts: comparative studies on experimental concepts, spontaneous concepts and scientific concepts. The outcome of this research is presented in his book, *Thought and Language*.

At the heart of this research lies the acquisition of systems of scientific concepts, the most important acquisition during the period when a child is of school age. Vygotsky regards the system of scientific concepts as a cultural tool that is yet another vehicle for profound messages, and its assimilation by children induces profound changes in their mode of thought.

The essential property of scientific concepts is their structure, the fact that they are organized in hierarchical systems (other possible systems would include 'networks', 'groups', 'genealogical trees', etc.). When children interiorize a hierarchical structure they extend considerably the possibilities of their thinking process because such a structure enables them to carry out a series of intellectual operations (different types of definition, logical quantification operations, etc.). The advantages of this structure become obvious when compared with 'practical' structures, for example, categories such as 'furniture', 'clothes' and so forth. If, for example, we attempt to give a logical definition of the term 'furniture', we quickly discover the limitations of practical categories or categories based on experience which lack the formal structure of scientific concepts. The advantages all individuals draw from the assimilation of such powerful intellectual tools are obvious.

The assimilation of systems of scientific concepts is made possible by systematic education of the type received at school. Organized systematic education is essential for this, unlike oral language acquisition in which teaching has a constructive role but requires no more than the presence of adults with a command of the language to act as partners in shared activities.

This brings us to the second model of development. Vygotsky calls this 'artificial development': 'education may be defined as the artificial development of the child . . . Education is not limited simply to influencing developmental processes; it restructures in a fundamental manner all behavioural functions' (Vygotsky, 1982-84, vol. 1, p. 107).

The essential point is that education becomes development: whereas, in the first model of development, it was merely the means of reinforcing the natural process; in the second model,

it is a relatively independent source of development. Using Vygotsky's theory, it is possible to identify several models of development—a point he explained himself on several occasions—by focusing on the period of development concerned, on the nature of the cultural tools, on the extent to which functions are determined by heredity, etc.

If allowance were made for the enormous range of cultural tools and techniques a person might or might not be given the opportunity to assimilate in particular cultures or periods of history, it would be fairly easy to conceptualize intercultural or historical differences in the cognitive development of groups and of individuals. With such a conception of the development of human intelligence it seems paradoxal to speak of 'culture-free tests of intelligence' (which Bruner calls 'intelligence-free tests') or to maintain that the only possible scientific definition of intelligence is one that reduces it to indicators such as reaction time, evoked potential, etc., as Eysenck (1988) does.

His analysis of this second model of development, the model of 'artificial development', exemplified in the process by which systems of concepts are assimilated, leads Vygotsky to his discovery of the metacognitive dimension of development. The fact is that the assimilation of knowledge systems based on such a degree of generalization, the interdependence of concepts within a network which smoothes the transition from one concept to another and simplifies the execution of intellectual operations, and the existence of external models (in books or demonstrated by the teacher) for the conduct of these operations, all facilitate the individual's realization (in Russian, *osoznanie*) and command (*ovladenie*) of their own cognitive processes. This process of deliberate self-regulation can be helped by the type of learning process (verbal learning, explanation of intellectual methods of approach, description of the concept-building process, concept-building in common, monitoring of the learning process by the adult expert, etc.).

In these conditions, the individual boy or girl would be able to achieve a fairly clear understanding of his or her own knowledge-acquisition processes and to exert deliberate control—the very essence of metacognitive processes—over them. Here it should be made clear that the writings of Vygotsky constitute the most important theoretical and historical source for the conceptualization and empirical study of metacognitive processes. Vygotsky's scientific achievement in this field is evident: instead of regarding metacognitive process as no more than practical techniques for self-mastery (like mnemonics, for example) or as an isolated question (like most questions of metamemory), Vygotsky offers a theoretical framework. For him, the problems of metacognitive processes are integrated into a general theory concerning the development of higher mental functions. In his theory, these processes are seen as a stage that is necessary, in certain specified conditions, for development. In return, they play an important role in the restructuring of cognition in general. This role provides the clearest illustration of Vygotsky's conception of development as the process whereby the relationships between particular mental functions are transformed. In this context, for example, even the term 'metamemory' (Flavell and Wellman, 1977) is inappropriate, since Vygotsky is not concerned here with the working of memorization techniques in the activity of memorization, but with the working in such activities of thought processes that have become conscious and deliberate. In other words, he is speaking about a new relationship between two distinct functions.

Even today, Vygotsky's theory is the only one that offers, at least in principle, the possibility of conceptualizing scientifically metacognitive processes, the only one that makes it possible to link up this dimension of cognitive development with cognitive development in general and to understand the source of a person's capacity to control his or her own inner processes (as a result of the transition, outlined by Vygotsky and mentioned above, from external inter-individual control to personal intrapsychic control).

We shall conclude this part of our study by sketching in some possible ways in which Vygotsky's theory of mental development could be utilized in educational research and practice.

In our view the most important ones are as follows:

First, no other psychological theory of development attaches so much importance to education. In Vygotsky's theory, education contains nothing that is external to development. As J. P.Bronckart rightly states (in Schneuwly and Bronckart, 1985, author's emphasis): 'The school becomes the natural arena of psychology because it is the scene of learning processes and of the genesis of psychic functions'. That is why the theory could be effectively employed to improve our understanding of education-related phenomena—especially their role in development—to design educational research projects and to test practical applications.

Second, as a direct or indirect consequence of Vygotsky's theory, a whole series of new empirical research problems of capital importance for education have been incorporated into present-day psychology.

Research on the sociability of the infant (see sources already mentioned), a rapidly expanding area of research, has improved our understanding of early childhood, and there have already been some practical applications in the education of young children.⁵

The relationship between social interactions and cognitive development is one of Vygotsky's typical themes and is very much in fashion in present-day psychology; it stands at the interface between social psychology and cognitive psychology and has obvious practical applications in education (for example, Perret-Clermont, 1979; Doise & Mugny, 1981; CRESAS, 1987; Hinde et al., 1988; Rub≠ov, 1987; Wertsch, 1985a, 1985c).

Current research on semiotic mediation, on the role of semiotic systems in mental development, and on the development of language are manifestly strongly influenced by the ideas of Vygotsky (Ivic, 1978; Wertsch, 1985*b*; and others).

Third, Vygotsky's theory is historically and scientifically the only significant source in present-day psychology of research on metacognitive processes. It would be impossible to overestimate the importance of these processes in education and development. Even though highly productive theoretical and empirical research could be conceived within the framework of Vygotsky's theory, the absence of such research is the sole explanation for the continued neglect of these processes in education. They are now both on the agenda of psychology and pedagogy.

Fourth, it would be easy to develop an analytical grid and set of instruments for research and diagnosis on the basis of Vygotsky's concept of 'artificial development', namely, the socio-cultural development of cognitive functions. To start with, it would be enough simply to build up a list of the external aids, the tools and the 'internal techniques' at the disposal of individuals and social and cultural groups in order to determine parameters in the light of which comparisons could be made. It is obvious that such instruments, developed within a theoretical framework of this nature, would eliminate the dangers of racist and chauvinistic interpretations.

Fifth, besides the two models already mentioned in this article, a whole series of learning patterns have been conceptualized on the basis of Vygotsky's or similar ideas. These include cooperative learning, guided learning, learning based on the socio-cognitive conflict, knowledge construction in common, etc. (Doise and Mugny, 1981; Perret-Clermont, 1979; Stambak et al., 1983; CRESAS, 1987; Rub≠ov, 1987; Brown and Palincsar, 1986).

Finally, the recent emergence of modern audio-visual media and information technologies, their applications in teaching and their short- and long-term place in the lives of children, raise new and serious problems. What instrument could be more relevant and more useful for research into the impact of these new cultural tools than a theory like Vygotsky's, which sets their role in psychological, historical and ontogenetic development precisely at the centre of its concerns? This theory offers an ideal conceptual framework for such research, but there remains the hard task of putting it on an operational footing and conducting empirical research.⁵

When we attempt a critical appraisal of Vygotsky's ideas, the first observation that springs to mind is that his theory has remained in many respects a mere sketch, insufficiently

developed and operational. In many cases, for instance, his theoretical arguments are not illustrated or supplemented by appropriate methodology. These omissions cannot be blamed on Vygotsky, whose ideas were often simply restated rather than built upon by his disciples. Nor can Vygotsky be blamed for the fact that present-day psychology has wasted effort and resources in conducting research based on much less fruitful paradigms than his.

There has been frequent criticism of the distinction drawn by Vygotsky between two channels of mental development (which he actually regards as intertwined), that is to say, natural (spontaneous and biological) development and artificial (social and cultural) development. We are in agreement with Liders⁷ on the necessity of retaining this scientifically productive contrast in preference to the facile claim that all human development is cultural.

In our opinion the true starting-point for any critical appraisal of Vygotsky's theory should be the absence of criticism of social and cultural institutions (and 'tools'). Vygotsky, fascinated by the constructive contributions made by society and culture, never really managed to work out a critical analysis, in the modern sense, of those institutions.

The fact is that the perturbation of social relations (in the social group, the immediate environment or the family) may be capable of proving seriously pathogenetic, precisely through the action of the mechanisms discovered by Vygotsky. Similarly, the cultural 'tools', again through the action of Vygotskian mechanisms, cannot be agents solely of mind formation; they also contribute to general development—for example, the formation of narrow-minded, dogmatic or sterile attitudes—precisely because the individuals concerned have experienced interactions with the cultural carriers of such profound tools and messages.

The critical analysis of institutions, including schools, and of social and cultural agents could clarify the conditions in which socio-cultural 'tools and instruments' become the formative factors of development.

Vygotsky's ideas on teaching

In the first part of this profile we looked into the consequences for education of Vygotsky's theory of development. We shall now briefly review his more explicit ideas on education. It must be said, however, that we regard the analysis conducted in the previous section to be of greater importance for this subject.

Vygotsky was himself a very active and, it is said, very gifted teacher. As a member of various bodies in charge of national education, he had a hand in dealing with the practical problems facing the Soviet education system at the time, including the transition from a holistic to a discipline-centred approach in primary schools, and throughout his life he was interested in the education of handicapped children.

We shall make a few comments here on the educational problems raised by the relationship between development and the learning process, on the 'proximal zone of development' concept and on specific aspects of formal education.

Vygotsky regarded the question of the relationship between development and the learning process as primarily a theoretical one. Since his theory regards education as being closely connected with development, however, and development as taking place in the actual socio-cultural environment, his analyses are focused directly on education of the type provided in schools.

We have already seen that one of his models of development (Model II—artificial development) depends, in fact, upon formal education, the core of which is the acquisition of systems of scientific concepts.

For Vygotsky, therefore, education cannot be reduced to the acquisition of a body of information; it is one of the sources of development and is even defined as the artificial

development of the child. Hence, the essence of education is to ensure the child's development by the provision of tools, internal techniques and intellectual operations. On many occasions Vygotsky even speaks of the acquisition (learning) of different types of activity. If we applied his approach to botanical classification, for example, we could say that for Vygotsky the essential thing is not a knowledge of taxonomic categories but a mastery of the classification procedure (definition and application of taxonomic criteria, the classification of ambiguous or borderline cases, determination of new members of a class and, most important of all, learning to execute the logical operations that interlink various classes, etc.).

All this goes to show that Vygotsky attached the greatest importance to the content of educational curricula but placed the emphasis on the structural and instrumental aspects of that content, the significance of which was mentioned in our analysis of the implications of McLuhan's phrase 'the medium is the message'. In this connection, it must be said that Vygotsky did not take these fruitful ideas far enough. In this approach it is quite possible to regard the school itself as a 'message', that is, a fundamental factor of education because, as an institution and quite apart from the content of its teaching, it implies a certain structuring of time and space and is based on a system of social relations (between pupils and teachers, between the pupils themselves, between the school and its surroundings, and so on). Indeed, the impact of formal education depends to a considerable extent on these aspects of the 'educational medium'.

Secondly, we have already seen that Vygotsky did not take his criticism of formal education very far despite its pertinence to his system of thought: the school does not always teach systems of knowledge but in many cases overburdens its pupils with isolated and meaningless facts; school curricula do not incorporate tools and intellectual techniques; all too often, schools do not provide a setting for social interactions conducive to knowledge construction, etc. Lastly, Elkonin (Elkonin and Davidov, 1966) rightly reproaches Vygotsky for not paying enough attention to teaching methods.

Vygotsky's concept of 'the proximal zone of development' has first of all theoretical implications. In the socio-cultural conception of development children cannot be regarded as cut off from their social and cultural environment like young Robinson Crusoes. Their ties with other people form part of their very nature. It is thus impossible to analyse their development, aptitudes or education without taking social ties into consideration. The concept of the proximal zone of development illustrates this view precisely. This zone is defined as the difference (expressed in units of time) between the performance of the same child working with and assisted by an adult. For example, two children pass tests for 8-year-olds on a psychometric scale; with standardized assistance, the first attains the 9-year level and second the 12-year level; in this case the proximal zone is one year for the first child and four years for the second.

In this concept of the proximal zone, the view of the child as a social being engenders a methodological approach with far-reaching implications, since the child's development is regarded as a dynamic and dialectical process. Applied to pedagogy, the concept of the proximal zone offers a way out of the eternal dilemma of education: should we wait until children have attained a particular level of development before beginning formal education or should we expose them to a certain education so that they may attain a particular level of development? Following the dialectic of the relationship between the learning and development processes examined earlier, Vygotsky adds that development is more productive if children are exposed to new learning precisely in their proximal zone of development. In this zone and with adult assistance children would be able to assimilate more easily what they would be incapable of assimilating if left to themselves.

The actual forms taken by adult assistance in the proximal zone vary enormously: the demonstration of methods to be imitated, examples, maieutic questions, monitoring by the adult and, most important of all, shared activities (*sovmestnaja deatel'nost*) as a constructive factor of development.

The heuristic value of the proximal zone concept has not been sufficiently exploited. The nature of the concept translates into operational terms the theoretical conception of the child as a social being. But its applications need to be taken further and, in fact, a new approach to the theoretical and practical construction of diagnostic tools based on that concept is currently being developed. It involves studying the dynamics of the development process (rather than present performance) and the capacities of normal or handicapped children, in order to draw maximum benefit from the assistance and learning opportunities offered.

A second direction that could be explored in the application of this concept is education in the family and at school. There is evidence that many parents spontaneously direct their teaching efforts precisely towards the proximal zone (Ignjatovic-Savic et al., 1989). Bearing in mind Vygotsky's oft-repeated view that the education of a child should ideally be aimed at the proximal zone in which that child experiences his/her encounters with the culture, assisted by an adult acting initially as a partner in shared constructions and later as the organizer of the learning process, formal education could be regarded as a powerful support for natural development (Model I) or as a relatively independent source (Model II). The references to formal education that we find in Vygotsky should be taken not as descriptions of actual educational situations, however, but rather as an outline for the renewal of education. Vygotsky's theory, formulated over fifty years ago, has such heuristic potential that it could very well become one of the instruments for this renewal of present-day formal education.

Notes

- 1. This profile was first published in *Prospects*, vol. 19, no. 3, 1989.
- 2. I have developed these ideas in a monograph dealing with the origin and development of the semiotic function in the child (Ivic, 1978).
- 3. I have analysed in a recent article one of the possible interpretations of this constructive role of social interactions (CRESAS, 1987).
- 4. I have attempted to integrate these ideas about early sociability into an educational programme designed for nursery-school children, a programme that is now being employed in the Republic of Serbia (Ivic et al., 1984). In a piece of empirical research (Ignjatovic et al., 1989) my colleagues have brilliantly succeeded in putting this general idea of Vygotsky's into practice.
- 5. In a thesis defended at the University of Belgrade, M. Kovac ('The Visual Media and Cognitive Development') has shown empirically how the specific features of video may be employed to influence the cognitive development of children.
- 6. Liders, in a thesis, has powerfully restated Vygotsky's fundamental idea of the two channels of development (natural and artificial); this text is published in a volume containing the proceedings of a conference devoted to Vygotsky. See *Nau_noe tvor_estvo Vygotskogo i sovremennaja psihologia* [The Scientific Achievement of Vygotsky and Contemporary Psychology], Moscow, APN SSSR.
- 7. I was unfortunately unable to consult for this article the study by Rogoff and Wertsch (1984) on the proximal zone of development.

References

Bowlby, J. 1971. Attachment. Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.

Brown, A.; Palincsar, A. 1986. *Guided, Co-operative Learning and Individual Knowledge Acquisition*. Champaign, Ill., Center for the Study of Reading, University of Illinois. (Technical Report, no. 372.)

CRESAS. 1987. On n'apprend pas tout seul: Interactions sociales et construction des savoirs [We do not learn by ourselves: social interactions and the construction of knowledge]. Paris, ESF.

Doise, W.; Mugny, G. 1981. *Le développement social de l'intelligence* [The social development of intelligence]. Paris, InterÉditions.

El'konin, D.; Davidov, V. (eds.). 1966. *Vozrastnye vozmo_nosti usvoenija znanil* [Learning possibilities at different ages]. Moscow, Prosveš_enie.

Eysenck, H. 1988. The Concept of 'Intelligence': Useful or Useless. *Intelligence* (Norwood, N.J.), vol. 12, p. 1-16.

Flavell, J.; Wellman, H. 1977. Metamemory. In: R. Kail and J. Hagen (eds.), *Perspectives on the Development of Memory and Cognition*. Hillsdale, N.J. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

- Hinde, R.; Perret-Clermont, A. N.; Stevenson-Hinde, J. (eds.). 1988. *Relations interpersonnelles et développement des savoirs* [Interpersonal relationships and the development of knowledge]. Fribourg, Cousset, Fondation Fyssen-Del Val.
- Ignjatovic-Savic, et al. 1989. Social Interaction in Early Childhood and its Developmental Effects. In: J. Valsiner (ed.), *Child Development within Culturally Structured Environment*, vol. 1: *Parental Cognition and Adult-Child Interaction*. Norwood, N.J., Ablex Publishing Corp.
- Ivic, I. 1978. Covek kao animal symbolicum [Man as animalis symbolicum]. Belgrade, Nolit.
- —. 1987. Le social au coeur de l'individuel [The Social in Each Individual's Heart]. In: CRESAS, *On n'apprend pas tout seul: Interactions sociales et construction des savoirs*, Paris, ESF.
- Ivic, I. et al. 1984. Vaspitanje dece ranog uzrasta [Education of Infants]. Belgrade, Zavod za Udzbenike.
- Lamb, M.E.; Scherrod, L. R. 1981. Infant Social Cognition. Hillsdale, N.J., Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Levitin, K. 1982. One is Not Born a Personality: Profiles of Soviet Educational Psychologists. Moscow, Progress.
- Lewis, M.; Rosenblum, L. (eds.). 1974. The Effect of the Infant on its Caregiver. New York, John Wiley.
- Lissina, M. 1986. *Problemy ontogeneza obš_enija* [Problems of the Ontogenesis of Communication]. Moscow, Obšienija Pedagogika.
- Luria, A. 1979. *The Making of Mind: A Personal Account of Soviet Psychology*. Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press.
- McLuhan, M. 1964. Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man. New York, McGraw-Hill.
- Mecacci, L. 1983. La vita e l'opera. L. S. Vygotsky: Lo sviluppo psichico del bambino. Bologna, Mulino.
- Meyerson, I. 1948. Les fonctions psychologiques et les oeuvres [Psychological functions and achievements]. Paris, Vrin.
- Perret-Clermont, A. N. 1979. La construction de l'intelligence dans l'interaction sociale. Berne, Peter Lang.
- Rivière, A. 1984. La psicología de Vygotsky. Infancia y Aprendizaje (Madrid), no. 27/28.
- Rogoff, B.; Wertsch, J. 1984. Children's Learning in the 'Zone of Proximal Development'. *New Directions for Child Development* (San Francisco), no. 23.
- Rub≠ov, V. 1987. Organizacija i razvitie sovmestny deistvel u detel v process obu_enija [Organization and development of shared activities in the education -rocess]. Moscow, Pedagogika.
- Schaffer, H. 1971. The Growth of Sociability. Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.
- Schneuwly, B.; Bronckart, J. P. (eds.). 1985. *Vygotsky aujourd'hui* [Vygotsky Today]. Neuchâtel/Paris, Delachaux & Niestlé.
- Stambak, M. et al. 1983. Les bébés entre eux [Betwixt Babies]. Paris, Presses Universitaires de France.
- Thoman, E. (ed.). 1979. Origin of Infant Social Responsiveness. Hillsdale, N.J., Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Tronick, E. (ed.). 1982. Social Interchange in Infancy. Baltimore, Md., University Park Press.
- Valsiner, J. 1988. Developmental Psychology in the Soviet Union. Brighton, United Kingdom, Harvester Press.
- Vygotsky, L. S. 1956. *Izbrannie psihologi_eskie issledovanija* [A selection of research in psychology]. Moscow, APN RSFSR.
- —. 1960. *Razvitie vysših psihi_eskih funkcii* [Development of higher psychological function]. Moscow, APN RSFSR.
- —. 1962. Thought and Language. Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press.
- —. 1978. *Mind in Society*. Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press.
- —. 1982-84. Sobranie so_inenii [Complete Works], Vols. I-VI. Moscow, Pedagogika.
- Wallon, H. 1959. Le rôle d'autrui et conscience de soi [The Role of Others and Self-awareness]. *Enfance* (Evry, France), p. 27986. (Special Issue.)
- Wertsch, J. 1985a. Culture, Communication and Cognition. New York, Columbia University Press.
- ——. (ed.). 1985b. The Semiotic Mediation of Mental Life. In: E. Mertz and R. A. Parmentier (eds.), Signs in Society: Psychological and Socio-Cultural Studies in Semiotic Mediation. New York, Academic Press.
- Zaporo ec, A.; Lissina, M. (eds.) 1974. *Razvitie obš_enija u doskol'nikov* [Development of Communication in Preschool Age Children]. Moscow, Pedagogika.
- Zazzo, R. 1974. L'attachement [Attachment]. Neuchâtel/Paris, Delachaux & Niestlé.
- (ed.). 1986. La première année de la vie [The first year of life]. Paris, Presses Universitaires de France.

Works by Lev S. Vygotsky

Prepared by Dijana Plut

EDUCATIONAL TEXTS

The main source is:

Zaporo ec, A.V. et al. (eds.). 1982–84. *Vygotsky: Sobranie so_inenii* [Collected works]. vols. 1-6. Moscow, Pedagogika.

Another important source is:

Leontiev, A.N.; Luria, A.R. (eds.). 1956. *L. S. Vygotsky: Izbrannye psikologi_eskie issledovanya* [Selected Psychological Investigations]. Moscow, APN RSFSR. (An Anthology including the following texts on education: 'Thinking and Speech' (written in 1934); 'Development of Higher Forms of Attention in Childhood' (1929); 'Instruction and Development in Preschool Ages' (1934); 'The Problem of Instruction and Cognitive Development during the School Years' (1934); 'The Problem of Mental Deficiency' (1935).)

In chronological order

- 1926. *Pedagogi eskaia psikhologia* [Educational Psychology]. Moscow: Rabotnik prosveš enia. (Also partially in: Il'iasov, I. I.; Liaudis, V. I. (eds.). 1980. *Krestomatia po vozrastnoi i pedagogi_eskoi psikhologii* [Reader in developmental and educational psychology], p. 49−52. Moscow, Izdatel'stvo MGU.)
- 1928a. Pedologia skol'nogo vozrasta [Pedology of school age]. Moscow, Izdatel'stvo BZO.
- 1928b. 'Problema kul'turnogo razvitia rebenka' [The problem of the cultural development of the child]. *Pedologia*, no. 1, p. 58–77.
- 1930. 'Socialisti eskaia peredelka eloveka' [Socialist transformation of 0an]. Varnitso, no. 9–10, p. 36–44.
- 1935. *Umstvennoie razvitie detei v protsesse obu_enia* [The child's intellectual development in the process of instruction]. Moscow; Leningrad, U_pedgiz. (An Anthology including the following texts: 'The Child's Intellectual Development Dynamics connected with Instruction' (written in 1933); 'On Pedological Analysis of Educational Process' (1933); 'Instruction and Development during Preschool Ages' (1934); The Problem of Instruction and Cognitive Development during the School Years (1934).)
- 1960. *Razvitie vysšik psiki_eskih funktsii* [The development of higher mental functions]. In. A. N. Leontiev, A. R. Luria, and B. M. Teplova (eds.). ? Moscow: APN RSFSR. [An anthology including the following texts on education: 'The history of the development of higher mental functions' (1931); 'The instrumental method in psychology' (1930); 'Lectures on psychology' (1932); 'The problem of the development and decomposition of higher mental processes' (1934); 'Man's and animal's behaviour' (1929–30).)
- 1966. 'Igra i ego rol' v psiki_eskom razvitii rebenka' [Play and its role in the mental development of the child]. *Voprosy psikologii*, no. 6, p. 62–76. (Original work written in 1933.)
- 1967. *Voobra_enie i tvor_estvo v škol'nom vozraste* [Imagination and creativity during school age]. Moscow, Prosveš_enie. (First published in 1930.)
- 1972. 'Problema periodizatsii etapov v detskom vozraste' [The problem of stage periodization in child development]. *Voprosy psikologii* (Moscow), no. 2, p. 114-23. (Original work written in 1934.)
- 1976. Razvitie vysših form vnimania v detskom vozraste [On the Development of Higher Forms of Attention in Childhood]. In: *Krestomatia po vnimaniu* [Readings on Attention], p. 184–229. Moscow, Izdatel'stvo MGU. (First published in 1929.)
- 1980. Predystoria pismennoi re_i [The prehistory of written language]. In: I. I. II'iasov and V. I. Liaudis (eds.), *Krestomatia po vozrastnoi i pedagogi_eskoi psikologii* [Readings on developmental and pedagogical psychology], p. 72–81. Moscow, Izdatel'stvo MGU. (Original work written in 1929–30).
- 1982a. Myšlenie i re_' [Thinking and Speech]. In: V. V. Davydov (ed.), *Sobranie so_inenii*, op. cit., vol. 2, p. 5–361. (First published in 1934.) [Some chapters include some previously published texts: 'The Problem of Speech and Language in J. Piaget's Theory' (published in 1932); 'The Problem of Development of the Language in the Theory of V. Stern' (1929); 'Developmental Roots of Thinking and Speech' (1929); 'On the Question of the Intelligence of Anthropoids, connected with the Work of V. Keler' (1929); 'The Development of Spontaneous and Scientific Concepts during School Age' (1933).]
- 1982b. Problema soznania [The problem of the knowledge]. In: A. R. Luria and M. G. Iaroševskii (eds.), *Sobranie so_inenii* op. cit., vol. 1, p. 156-67). (Original work written in 1933, first published in 1968.)
- 1983. K voprosu o mnogoiazy_ii v detskom vozraste [The Question of Poly-lingualism in Children]. In: A. M. Matiušin (ed.). *Sobranie so_inenii* [Collected Works], vol. 3., p. 329–37. Moscow, Pedagogika. (Original work written in 1928; first published in 1935.)
- 1984a. Orudie i znak v razvitii rebenka [Tools and Signs in Child Development]. In: M. G. Iaroševskii (ed.), *Sobranie so_inenii*, op. cit., vol. 6, p. 5-90. (Original work written in 1930).
- 1984b. Pedologia podrostka [Adolescent pedology]. In: D. B. El'konin (ed.), *Sobranie so_inenii*, op. cit., vol. 4, p. 5-242. (First published in 1931.)
- 1984c. Problema vozrasta Igra [The problem of age. the play]. In: D. B. El'konin (ed.), Sobranie so inenii, op. cit.,

Texts on the psychology of the handicapped

- 1983a. Defektologia i u_enie o razvitii i vospitanii nenormal'nogo rebenka [Psychology of the Handicapped and the Theory of the Development and Education of the Handicapped Child]. In: T. A. Vlasova (ed.), *Vygotsky, Sobranie so_inenii: Osnovy defektologii* [Collected Works: The Fundamentals of Special Education], vol. 5, p. 166–73. Moscow, Pedagogika. (Date of first publication unknown.)
- 1983*b*. Diagnostika razvitia i pedologi_eskaia klinika trudnogo detstva [The Diagnosis of Development and Clinical Work with the Handicapped]. Ibid., p. 257–311. (Original work written in 1931, first published in 1936.)
- 1983c. Kollektiv kak faktor razvitia anomal'nogo rebenka [Peer Group as a Factor in the Development of a Handicapped Child]. Ibid., p. 196–218. (First published in 1931.)
- 1983*d*. K psikologii i pedagogike detskoi defektivnosti [Towards the Psychology and Education of Handicapped Children]. Ibid., p. 62–84. (First printed in 1924.)
- 1983e. K voprosu o kompensatornyh protsessah v razvitii umstvenno otstalogo rebenka [On the Compensatory Processes in the Development of the Developmentaly Handicapped Child). Ibid., p. 115–36. (Original work written in 1931.)
- 1983 f. Osnovnyie polozenia plana pedologi_eskoi issledovatel'skoi raboty v oblasti trudnogo detstva [Basic Assumptions of Pedological Study on Developmental Disturbances in Childhood]. Ibid., p. 188–195. (First published in 1929.)
- 1983g. Osnovnyie probley sovremennoi defektologii [Basic Problems of Contemporary Psychology of the Handicapped]. Ibid., p. 6–83. (First published in 1929.)
- 1983h. Osnovy raboty s umstvenno otstalymi i fizi_eski defektnymi det'mi [Principles of Work with the Intellectualy Retarded and Physically Handicapped Child]. Ibid., p. 181–87. (Date of first publication unknown.)
- 1983*i*. Printsipy sotsial'nogo vospitania glykonemyh detei [The Principles of Social Education of Deaf and Dumb Children in Russia]. Ibid., p. 101–14. (First printed in English in 1925.)
- 1983*j*. Printsipy vospitania fizi_eski defektivnyh detei [The Principles of Education of Physically Handicapped Children]. Ibid, p. 49–62. (Original work written in 1924, first printed in 1925.)
- 1983k. Problema umstvennoi otstalosti [The Problem of Intellectual Retardation]. Ibid., p. 231–56. (First printed in 1935.)
- 1983*l*. Razvitie trudnogo rebenka i evo izu_enie [The Development of Problem Children and Their Study]. Ibid., p. 175–80). (First published in 1928).
- 1983m. Slepoi rebenok [The Blind Child]. Ibid. p. 86–100. (Date of first publication unknown.)
- 1983n. Trudnoie detstvo [Developmental Disturbances in Childhood]. Ibid., p. 137–49). (First published in 1929.)

Translations of Lev S. Vygotsky's work

Prepared by Dijana Plut

WORKS TRANSLATED INTO ENGLISH

Rieber, R. W.; A. S. Carton (eds.). *The Collected Works of L. S. Vygotsky*. (Trans. by N. Minick.) New York, Plenum Press, 1987.

In chronological order

- 1925. The Principles of Social Education of Deaf and Dumb Children in Russia. *Proceedings of the International Conference on the Education of the Deaf*, p. 227–37. London.
- 1929. 'The Problem of the Cultural Development of the Child.' *Journal of Genetic Psychology* (Washington, D.C.), vol. 36, no. 3, p. 1415–34.
- 1939. 'Thought and Speech.' *Psychiatry* (New York), vol. 2, p. 29–54. (Idem. 1961. In: S. Saporta (ed.). *Psycholinguistics*, p. 509–37. New York, Holt, Rinehart & Winston.)
- 1962. *Thought and Language*. (Ed. and trans. by E. Haufmann and G. Vakar.) Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press. (Idem. 1962. New York, London, Wiley; Idem. 1986. (Ed. by A. Kozulin.) Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press.)
- 1963. The Problem of Learning and Mental Development at School Age. In: B. Simon and J. Simon (eds.), *Educational Psychology in the USSR*, p. 21–34. London, Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- 1966. Development of the Higher Mental Functions. In: A. N. Leontiev, A. R. Luria and A. A. Smirnov (eds.). *Psychological Research in the USSR*, vol. 1, p. 11–46. Moscow, Progress Publishing.

- 1967. 'Play and Its Role in the Mental Development of the Child.' *Soviet Psychology* (Armonk, N.Y.), vol. 5, no. 3, p. 6–18.
- 1977. 'Development of Higher Psychological Functions.' *Soviet Psychology* (Armonk, N.Y.), vol. 15, no. 3, p. 60–73.
- 1978. Mind in Society. In: M. Cole et al. (eds.), *The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press.
- 1979. 'On the Development of Higher Forms of Attention in Childhood.' *Soviet Psychology* (Armonk, N.Y.), vol. 18, no. 1, p. 67–115. (Idem. 1981. In: J. Wertsch (ed.). *The Concept of Activity in Soviet Psychology*, p. 189–240. Armonk, N.Y., M. E. Sharpe.)
- 1981. The Instrumental Method in Psychology. In: J. Wertsch (ed.). *The Concept of Activity in Soviet Psychology*, p. 134–43. Armonk, N.Y., M. E. Sharpe.
- 1981. The Genesis of Higher Mental Functions. In: J. Wertsch (ed.), op. cit., p. 144–88. Armonk, N.Y., M. E. Sharpe. (Idem.: 1991. In: p. Light, S. Sheldon and M. Woodhead (eds.), *Learning to Think*, p. 32–42. London; New York, Routledge.
- 1983. The Prehistory of Written Language. In: M. Martlew (ed.). *The Psychology of Written Language: Developmental and Educational Perspectives.* New York, Wiley.
- 1987. Thinking and Speech. In: R. W. Rieber and A. S. Carton (eds.). *The Collected Works of L. S. Vygotsky*. (Trans. by N. Minick.) New York, Plenum Press.

WORKS TRANSLATED INTO FRENCH

- 1985. La méthode instrumentale en psychologie. In: B. Schneuwly and J. p. Bronckart (eds.), *Vygotsky aujourd'hui*, p. 39–47. Neuchâtel; Paris, Delachaux & Niestlé.
- 1985. Les racines linguistiques du langage et de la pensée. In: Ibid., p. 49–65.
- 1985. La pensée et le mot. In: Ibid., p. 67-94.
- 1985. Le problème de l'enseignement et du développement mental à l'âge scolaire. In: Ibid., p. 95–117.
- 1985. Pensée et langage. Paris, Editions Sociales.

WORKS TRANSLATED INTO GERMAN

- 1929. 'Die genetischen Wurzen des Sprechens und Denkens.' *Unter dem Banner des Marxismus*, no. 3, p. 450–69; no. 4, p. 607–23.
- 1964. Denken und Sprechen. Berlin, Akademie Verlag.
- 1977. Denken und Sprechen. Frankfurt/Main, Fischer.
- 1980. Das Spiel und seine Bedeutung in der psychologischen Entwicklung des Kindes. In: D. El'konin (ed.). *Psychologie des Spiels*. Berlin, Volk & Wissen.
- 1985-87. Ausgewahlte Schriften, vols. 1 and 2. Cologne, Pahl-Rugenstein.

WORKS TRANSLATED INTO ITALIAN

- 1966. Pensiero e linguaggio. Florence, Giunti.
- 1969. Apprendimento e sviluppo intellettuale nell'eta scolastica. In: L. S. Vygotsky, A. Luria and A. N. Leontjev (eds.). *Psicologia e pedagogia*. Rome, Editori Riuniti.
- 1973. Immaginazione e creatività nelletà infantile. Rome, Editori Riuniti.
- 1973. Lo sviluppo psichico del bambino. Rome, Paideia.
- 1974. Storia dello sviluppo delle funzioni psichiche superiori. E altri scritti. (Trans. by M. Serena Veggetti.) Florence, Giunti.
- 1976. Il problema della periodizzazione dello sviluppo infantile. In: L. Mecacci (ed.), *La psicologia sovietica 1917–1936*. Bologna, Mulino.
- 1976. Il problema dello sviluppo e dalla disintegrazione delle funzioni psichiche superiori. In: L. Mecacci (ed.). *La psicologia sovietica 1917–1936*. Bologna, Mulino.
- 1976. Il problema dello sviluppo culturale del bambino. In: L. Mecacci (ed.). *La psicologia sovietica 1917–1936*. Bologna, Mulino.

WORKS TRANSLATED INTO SPANISH

- 1964. Pensamiento y lenguaje. Buenos Aires, Lantaro
- 1977. Pensiamento y lenguaje. Buenos Aires, La Pleyade.

- 1979. El desarollo de las funciones psíquicas superiores. Barcelona, Crítica.
- 1983. La imaginación y el arte en la infancia. Madrid, Akal.
- 1984. Infancia y Aprendizaje. Madrid, Akal.
- 1984. 'Aprendizaje y desarrollo intelectual en la edad escolar.' *Infancia y Aprendizaje* (Madrid), no. 27–28, p. 105–16.
- 1987. Historia del desarrollo de las funciones psíquicas superiores. (Trans. by L. Ruiz.) Havana, Científico Técnica.

Texts on education inspired by Vygotsky

Prepared by Dijana Plut In alphabetical order of author

- Aidarova, L. Child Development and Education. Moscow, Progress, 1982.
- Bain, B. (ed.). The Sociogenesis of Language and Human Conduct. New York, Plenum Press, 1983.
- Bakhurst, D. J. 'Thought, Speech, and the Genesis of Meaning: On the Fiftieth Anniversary of Vygotsky's *Myšlenie i Rec'*.' *Studies in Soviet Thought* (Dordrecht, Netherlands), no. 131, 1986, p. 102–29.
- Blanck, G. La determinación social de la actividad psíquica específicamente humana. Buenos Aires, Stokoe, 1977.
- —. (ed.). Vigotski: Memoria y vigencia. Buenos Aires, Cultura y Cognición, 1984.
- Blanck, G.; Van der Veer, R. Vigotski: Una introducción crítica. Buenos Aires, Dialéctica.
- Bo_ovich, L. I. 'The Concept of the Cultural-Historical Development of the Mind and Its Prospects.' *Soviet Psychology* (Armonk, N.Y.), vol. 26, no. 1, 1977, p. 5–22.
- Brown, A. L.; French, L. A. 'The Zone of Proximal Development: Implications for Intelligence Testing in the Year 2000.' *Intelligence* (Norwood, N.J.), no. 3, 1978, p. 255–77.
- Brown, A. L.; Rogoff, B. (eds.). Advances in Developmental Psychology. vol. 3. Hillsdale, N.J., Erlbaum, 1984.
- Bruner, J. S.; Hickmann, M. La conscience, la parole et la 'zone proximale': réflexions sur la théorie de Vygotsky. In: J. S. Bruner, *Savoir faire, savoir dire*. Paris, PUF, 1983.
- Brušlinskii, A. V. Psikologia myšlenia i problenoie obu enie. Moscow, Pedagogika, 1983.
- Cole, M.; Scribner, S. Culture and Thought: A Psychological Introduction. New York, Wiley, 1974.
- Cole, M. et al. The Cultural Context of Learning and Thinking. New York, Basic, 1971.
- Davydov, V. V. Vidi obobš_enia v obu_enii. Moscow, Pedagogika, 1972.
- ——. (ed.). *Nau_noie tvor_estvo L. S. Vygotskogo i sovremennaia psikologia*. Moscow, APN SSSR (IOPP), 1981. (Papers presented at an All-Union Conference, Moscow, 23–25 June 1981.)
- Davydov, V. V.; Lompscher, J.; Markova, A.K. (eds.). *Ausbildung der Lerntätigkeit bei Schülern*. Berlin, Volk & Wissen, 1982.
- Del Río, P.; Alvarez, A. Aprendizaje y desarollo: La teoría de actividad y la zona de desarollo próximo. In: C. Coll (ed.), *Psicología de la educación*, p. 1–34. Madrid, Alianza, 1988.
- Doise, W.; Mackie, D. On the Social Nature of Cognition. In: J. p. Forgas (ed.), *Social Cognition: Perspectives on Everyday Understanding*. London, Academic Press, 1981.
- Doise, W.; Mugny, G. The Social Development of the Intellect. Oxford, Pergamon, 1984.
- El'konin, D. B. 'Problema obu_enia i rayvitia v trudah L. S. Vygotskogo.' *Voprosy psikhologii* (Moscow), no. 6, 1966, p. 33–42.
- —. Psikologia obu_enia mladšego škol'nika. Moscow, Znannie, 1974.
- . 'Problemy psikologii detskoi igry v rabotah L. S. Vygotskogo, ego sotrudnikov i posledovatelei.' *Voprosy psikhologii* (Moscow), no. 6, 1976, p. 94–102.
- El'konin, D. B.; Davidov, V. V. (eds.). Vozrastnye vozmo_nosti usvoeniia znanii. Moscow, Prosveš_enie, 1962.
- Ellis, S.; Rogoff, B. Problem Solving in Children's Management of Instruction. In: E. Mueller and C. Cooper (eds.), *Process and Outcome in Peer Relationships, p.* 301–25. New York, Academic Press, 1986.
- Feinman, S. (ed.). Social Referencing and the Social Construction of Reality in Infancy. New York, Plenum, 1992.
- Forman, E. A.; Kraker, M. J. The Social Origins of Logic: The Contributions of Piaget and Vygotsky. In: M. W. Berkowitz (ed.), *Peer Conflict and Cognitive Growth*, p. 23–39. San Francisco, Calif., Jossey-Bass, 1985.
- Gal'perin, p. Stages in the Development of Mental Acts. In: M. Cole and I. Maltzman (eds.), *A Handbook of Contemporary Soviet Psychology*, p. 249–73, New York, Basic, 1969.
- Gellatly, A.; Rogers, D.; Sloboda, J. A. (eds.). *Cognition and Social Worlds*. Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1989. Hedegaard, M.; Hakkarainen, P.; Engeström, Y. *Learning and Teaching on a Scientific Basis*. Risskov, Aarhus University, 1984.
- Hinde, R. A.; Perret-Clermont, A. N. (eds.). *Interindividual Relations and Cognitive Development*. Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1985.
- Hinde, R. A.; Perret-Clermont, A. N.; Stevenson-Hinde, J. (eds.). *Social Relationships and Cognitive Development*. Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1985.
- Ivic, I. Man as Animal Symbolicum. Belgrade, Nolit, 1978. (In Serbian.)
- ——. (1991). Theories of Mental Development and the Problem of Educational Outcomes. Paris, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, Centre for Educational Research and Innovation (CERI), (91) 8.
- Kaye, K. Infant's Effects upon their Mother's Teaching Strategies. In: J. D. Glidewell (ed.), *The Social Context of Learning and Development*. New York, Gardner Press, 1977.

- Kolominskii, L.; Lisina, M. I. (eds.). Geneti_eski problemy sotsial'noi psikologii. Minsk, Universitetskoie, 1985.
- Kozulin, A. Vygotsky in Context. In: L. S. Vygotsky, *Thought and Language*, p. xi-lvi. (Ed. by A. Kozulin.) Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press, 1986.
- Laboratory of Comparative Human Cognition. Culture and Cognitive Development. In: W. Kessen (ed.), *Mussen's Handbook of Child Psychology*, 4th ed., vol. 1. New York, Wiley, 1983.
- Leontiev, A. N. Activity, Consciousness, and Personality. Englewood Cliffs, N.J., Prentice-Hall, 1978.
- ——. Problems in the Development of Mind. Moscow, Progress, 1981.
- —. The Mastery of Scientific Concepts by Students as a Problem of Educational Psychology. In: A. N. Leontiev (ed.), *Selected Psychological Works*, vol. 2. Moscow, Pedagogika, 1983. (Original work published in 1935.)
- Levitin, K. One Is Not Born a Personality: Profiles of Soviet Educational Psychologists. Moscow, Progress, 1982.
- Light, P.; Sheldon, S.; Woodhead, M. (eds.). Learning to Think. London; New York, Routledge, 1991.
- Liphanova (Šakhlevi_), T. M. Bibliographia trudov L. S. Vygotskogo. In: M. G. Iaroševskii (ed.), *Sobranie so_inenii*, vol. 6, p. 360–75. Moscow, Pedagogika, 1984.
- Lisina, M. I. Genezis form obš_eniia u detei. In: L. I. Antsiferova (ed.), *Printsip razvitia v psikhologii*. Moscow, Nauka, 1978.
- —... (ed.). Isledovania po problemam vozrastnoi i pedagogi_eskoi psikhologii. Moscow, APN SSSR, 1980.
- —... (ed.). Obš_enie i ego vliyanie na razvitie psikhiki doškol'nika. Moscow, NII Obš_ei Pedagogiki, 1974.
- Lomov, B. F.; Belyaeva, A. V.; Nosulenko, V. N. (eds.). *Psikhologi_eskie issledovaniya obš_eniya*. Moscow, Nauka, n.d.
- Luria, A. R. *Cognitive Development: Its Cultural and Social Foundations*. Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press, 1976.
- —. Language and Cognition. New York, Wiley, 1982.
- ——. *Ob istori_eskom izu_enii poznavatel'nyh protsessov*. Moscow, 1974.
- —. The Role of Speech in the Regulation of Normal and Abnormal Behaviour. New York, Irvington, 1961.
- McLane, J. B. Interaction, Context, and the Zone of Proximal Development. In: M. Hickmann (ed.), *Social and Functional Approaches to Language and Thought*. San Diego, Calif., Academic Press, 1987.
- Manacorda, M. A. 'La pedagogia di Vygotskij.' Riforma della scuola (Rome), no. 26, 1979, p. 31-39.
- Markova, A. K. The Teaching and Mastery of Language. White Plains, N.Y., Sharpe, 1979.
- Mecacci, L. 'L. S. Vygotskij: per una psicologia dell'uomo.' Riforma della scuola (Rome), no. 27, 1979, p. 24-30.
- Mertz, E.; Parmentier, R. J. (eds.). Semiotic Mediation: Psychological and Sociocultural Perspectives. New York, Academic Press, 1985.
- Minick, N. Implications of Vygotsky's Theories for Dynamic Assessment. In: C. S. Lidz (ed.), *Dynamic Assessment: An Interactional Approach to Evaluating Learning Potential*, p. 116–40. New York, Guilford, 1987.
- Moll, L. C. (ed.). Vygotsky and Education. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- Mugnu, G.; Perret-Clermont, A. N.; Doise, W. Interpersonal Coordinations and Social Differences in the Construction of the Intellect. In: G. M. Stephenson and J. M. Davis (eds.), *Progress in Applied Psychology*, vol. 1. New York, Wiley, 1981.
- Newman, D.; Griffin, P.; Cole, M. *The Construction Zone: Working for Cognitive Change in School.* Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- Olson, D. (ed.). The Social Foundations of Language and Thought: Essays in Honor of Jerome S. Bruner. New York, Norton, 1980.
- Perret-Clermont, A. N. *Social Interaction and Cognitive Development in Children*. London, Academic Press, 1980. Poddiakov, N. N. *The Thinking of the Preschooler*. Moscow, Pedagogika, 1977.
- Raphael, T. E. (ed.). The Contexts of School-based Literacy. New York, Random House, 1986.
- Riegel, K. F. Foundations of Dialectical Psychology. New York, Academic Press, 1979.
- Rivière, A. La psicología de Vygotski. Madrid, Visor, 1984.
- Rogoff, B. Apprenticeship in Thinking: Cognitive Development in Social Context. New York, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1990.
- —. Integrating Context and Cognitive Development. In: M. E. Brown and A. L. Brown (eds.), *Advances in Developmental Psychology*, vol. 2, p. 125–70. Hillsdale, N.J., Erlbaum, 1982.
- —. The Joint Socialization of Development Logistics of the Methods Pupils Use to Organize Joint Activity in Dealing with a School Task. *Soviet Psychology* (Armonk, N.Y.), vol. 23, no. 2, 1984, p. 65–84.
- —. Schooling and the Development of Cognitive Skills. In: H. C. Triandis and A. Heron (eds.), *Handbook of Cross-cultural Psychology*, vol. 4. Boston, Allyn & Bacon, 1981.
- Rogoff, B.; Lave, J. (eds.). *Everyday Cognition: Its Development in Social Context.* Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press, 1984.

- Rogoff, B.; Wertsch, J. (eds.). *Children's Learning in the 'Zone of Proximal Development'*. San Francisco, Calif., Jossey-Bass, 1984.
- Saxe, G. B.; Guberman, S. R.; Gearheart, M. *Social Processes in Early Number Development*. Chicago, Ill., Society for Research in Child Development, 1988. (Monographs, Serial no. 216, vol. 52, no. 2.)
- Schneuwly, B.; Bronckart, J. p. (eds.). Vygotsky aujourd'hui. Neuchâtel; Paris, Delachaux et Niestlé, 1985.
- Schribner, S.; Cole, M. *The Psychological Consequences of Literacy*. Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press, 1981.
- Sharp, D.; Cole, M.; Lave, C. *Education and Cognitive Development: The Evidence from Experimental Research.* Chicago, Ill., Society for Research in Child Development 1979. (Monographs, Serial no. 178, vol. 44, Nos. 1-2.)
- Siguán, M. (ed.). Actualidad de Lev S. Vigotski. Barcelona, Anthropos, 1987.
- Slavin, R. E. Cooperative Learning. New York, Longman, 1983.
- Sokovnin, V. M. (ed.). Voprosy pedagogiki i psikologii obš_enia. Frunze, Mektep, 1975.
- Talyzina, N. The Psychology of Learning. Moscow, Progress, 1981.
- Tharp, R.; Gallimore, R. Rousing Minds to Life: Teaching, Learning, and Schooling in Social Context. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- Tudge, J. R. H.; Rogoff, B. Peer Influences on Cognitive Development: Piagetian and Vygotskian Perspectives. In: M. Bornstein and J. Bruner (eds.), *Interaction in Human Development*. Hillsdale, N.J., Erlbaum, 1989.
- Valsiner, J. Culture and the Development of Children's Action: A Cultural-historical Theory of Developmental Psychology. New York, Wiley, 1987.
- ——. (ed.). *Child Development within Culturally Structured Environments*, vol. 1. Norwood, N.J., Ablex Publishing Corporation, 1988.
- Van der Veer, R. Cultuur en cognitie. Groningen, Wolters-Noordhoff, 1984.
- Van der Veer, R.; Vanijzendoorn, M. H. 'Vygotsky's Cultural Historical Theory: Critique of the Distinction between Lower and Higher Psychological Processes.' *Gedrag: Tijdsschrift voor Psychologie* (Nijmegen), no. 114, 1983, p. 155–68.
- Vlasova, T. A.; Pevzner, M. S. (eds.). Deti s vremennoi otstalost'yu razvitia. Moscow, Pedagogika, 1971.
- Wertsch, J. V. (ed.). The Concept of Activity in Soviet Psychology. Armonk, N.Y., Sharpe, 1981.
- —. Culture, Communication, and Cognition: Vygotskian Perspectives. New York, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- ——. 'From Social Interaction to Higher Psychological Process: A Clarification and Application of Vygotsky's Theory.' *Human Development* (Basel), vol. 22, 1979, p. 1–22.
- —. The Regulation of Human Action and the Given-new Organization of Private Speech. In: G. Zivin (ed.), *The Development of Self-Regulation through Private Speech*, p. 79–98. New York, Wiley, 1979.
- —. Vygotsky and the Social Formation of Mind. Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press, 1985.
- Wertsch, J. V.; Hickman, M. Problem Solving in Social Interaction: A Microgenetic Analysis. In: M. Hickmann (ed.), *Social and Functional Approaches to Language and Thought*. San Diego, Calif., Academic Press, 1987.
- Wertsch, J. V.; Youniss, J. Contextualizing the Investigator: The Case of Developmental Psychology. *Human Development* (Basel), vol. 30, 1987, p. 18–31.
- Yegorova, T. V. Osobennosti zapominania i myšlenia v škol'nikah razrušitel'nogo razvitia. Moscow, Izdatel'stvo MGU, 1973.
- Zaporo_ec, A. V.; Lisina, M.I. (eds.). Razvitie obš_eniia u doškol'nikov. Moscow, Pedagogika, 1974.
- Zebroski, J. T. Soviet Psycholinguistics: Implications for Teaching of Writing. In: W. Fravley (ed.), *Linguistics and Literacy*. New York, Plenum, 1981.