DQAF

Next steps

## Introduction to the DQAF package

- DQAF is a package that will be used for two main purposes:
  - For *reference* about best practice in learning assessment
  - For evaluation both self-evaluation and external evaluation

## Main products/processes of DQAF package

- DQAF will have the following main products/processes:
  - International Code of Practice in Learning Assessment (ICP-LA)

A document that describes and illustrates standards of best practice in learning assessment

Assessment of Data Process (ADP)

A process to judge the quality of learning assessment data

Global Common Content Framework for Reference (GCCFR)

Documents that describe how skills and proficiencies develop within the learning domains that are featuring in SDG 4 monitoring

## Off-shoot products/processes of ICP-LA, GCCFR and ADP

- Each of ICP-LA, ADP and GCCFR will have off-shoot products and processes.
- ICP-LA off-shoots:
  - Guidelines or 'how-to' guides
  - One or more glossaries of key terms
  - More detailed reference materials

# Off-shoot products/processes of ICP-LA, GCCFR and ADP (cont. 1)

- ADP off-shoots:
  - Data collection instruments and processes
  - Checklists
  - An evaluation framework and rubric
  - A template evaluation report
- GCCFR off-shoots:
  - Examples of how different skills and proficiencies can be tested
  - Theoretical documentation (eg discussing rationale for conception of domains, weak points of GCCFRs)

### General features of the DQAF

- DQAF should have a capacity-building aspect
  - Countries need to be able to locate their assessment systems in relation to the DQAF standards and see the way to improve those systems
  - Countries need to be supported to improve their assessment systems, and the support should be in line with the DQAF
- DQAF should be independent and technically rigorous but also 'friendly'
  - Inspired by existing quality assurance frameworks and articulations of standards, but not too closely resembling anything that is already out these (esp. something from IEA or OECD)
  - Technically rigorous but not too intimidating

## General features of the DQAF (cont.)

- UIS has given the following organising structure for the DQAF:
  - 0 -- Pre-requisites for quality
  - 1 -- Assurances of integrity
  - 2 -- Soundness of methodology
  - 3 -- Accuracy and reliability
  - 4 -- Consistency
  - 5 -- Accessibility
- UIS has said that the DQAF should cover institutional environments, statistical processes and data characteristics

## Next steps for DQAF

- Dimensions to be prioritised are:
  - Soundness of methodology
  - Accuracy and reliability
  - Consistency

(Other three dimensions will be addressed in a more comprehensive DQAF at a later date)

 We need to develop an ICP-LA, ADP and GCCFR that cover these three dimensions but that can be expanded at a later date to cover the additional dimensions.

#### ICP-LA — Research

- Standards related to learning assessments:
  - PISA 2015 Technical Standards (OECD)
  - PIAAC Technical Standards (OECD)
  - ETS Standards for Quality and Fairness (ETS)
  - Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing (AERA etc)
  - IEA Technical Standards (IEA)
  - Planning the quality of education: The collection and use of data for informed decision-making (IIEP), chapter called 'Improving data collection, preparation and analysis procedures: A review of technical issues'
  - <u>Large-scale Learning Assessments: A Handbook for the Indian Context</u> (ghost-written by ACER)

## ICP-LA — Research (cont.)

- Data standards more generally
  - European Statistical System <u>Quality Assurance Framework of the European</u> <u>Statistical System (2015)</u>
  - UN Statistics Division Fundamental Principles of Official Statistics (2015)
  - IMF <u>Data Quality Assessment Framework (2012)</u>
  - UN Statistics Division <u>Template for General National Quality Assurance Framework</u> (2012)
  - OECD Quality Framework and Guidelines for OECD Statistical Activities (2011)
  - ABS Data Quality Framework (2009)
  - WB/UIS <u>A Framework for Assessing the Quality of Education Statistics (2003)</u>
  - Statistics Canada Statistics Canada's Quality Assurance Framework (2002)

#### ADP Research – to do

- Need to think about different elements of ADP:
  - Desk review of assessment data and technical documentation
  - In-country visit
- Need to think about different products/processes of ADP:
  - Data collection tools
  - Checklists
  - An evaluation framework and rubric
  - A template evaluation report

#### ADP Research – to do

- Need to look at mechanisms to judge quality of learning assessment data:
  - SABER data collection tools
    - Sections for:
      - features of assessment program
      - assessment program in practice
      - enabling factors
      - quality assurance
  - What else? Anything from the PISA data adjudication process, for example?

#### ADP Research – to do

- Need to look at mechanisms to judge quality of data generally:
  - <u>Eurostat Handbook on Data Quality Assessment Methods and Tools</u> might be helpful
  - What else?

#### GCCFR Research

• What's the plan for this? – for later