NOT FOR CIRCULATION









UIS-PIRLS Framework Alignment: Methodology and Results

GAML Fifth Meeting 17-18 October 2018 Hamburg, Germany



The information in this report may not be reproduced, in part or in whole, and by any means, without permission from the Organization. All requests should be submitted to: uis.LO@unesco.org



This paper aims to explain the methodology, and present the results, of an alignment process between two educational standard frameworks:

- 1) the UNESCO Reading Global Framework and
- 2) the reading portion of the PISA 2015 Assessment and Analytical Framework

The purpose of this alignment is to determine the suitability of the PISA 2015 Assessment and Analytical Framework to serve as a global metric for SDG 4, Indicator 4.1.1.

- 4.1: By 2030, ensure that all girls and boys complete free, equitable and quality primary and secondary education leading to relevant and effective learning outcomes.
- 4.1.1 Proportion of children and young people: (a) in grades 2/3; (b) at the end of primary; and (c) at the end of lower secondary achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading and (ii) mathematics, by sex.

Framework comparison

Beginning in 2001, the Progress in International Reading Literacy Study (PIRLS) assessment has been given to students in grade 4 every five years, being 2016 the last year in which it was applied. The content of the PIRLS assessment is based on the PIRLS 2016 Reading Framework. In the 2016 edition this framework, based on reading purposes and comprehension processes, provided the foundation for PIRLS assessment, PIRLS Literacy and ePIRLS.

PIRLS Literacy makes for a better measurement for the lower end of the scale, especially for those countries whose grade 4 students are still in the process of developing reading kills. On the other hand, ePIRLS, is designed with the aim of assessing online reading competencies, considering only the reading purpose of acquiring new information.

Reading literacy is defined by PIRLS as: "the ability to understand and use those written language forms required by society and/or valued by the individual. Readers can construct meaning from texts in a variety of forms. They read to learn, to participate in communities of readers in school and everyday life, and for enjoyment." (p.12)

Based on this conceptualization, PIRLS and PIRLS Literacy consider two purposes for reading: reading for pleasure and personal interest (literary experience) and reading to acquire and use new information. The assessments present a balanced amount of tasks for each purpose.

Given that most online reading is done with the aim of acquiring and using new information, ePIRLS will focus on this purpose for reading. The aim of adding this assessment was to evaluate reading performance by using other formats which include interconnected web pages that contain a high proportion of visual information such as pictures, graphs, maps, as well as dynamic elements such as videos, animations, links and pop-up windows.

While the literary experience is assessed through narrative texts, the assessment of the purpose of acquiring and using new information is done through texts with different formats, varying their content, organization and form. Therefore, young students may be reading



informational texts with different content: scientific, historical, geographical, or social, in a variety of organizational patterns.

In this framework, the PIRLS assessment considers for each of the two reading purposes, four comprehension processes: focus on and retrieve explicitly stated information, make straightforward inferences, interpret and integrate ideas and information, and evaluate and critique content and textual elements. Metacognitive processes and strategies transcend these comprehension processes, and are used by students to assess their level of comprehension and adjust their approach. Each comprehension process contains a number of components defined through abilities and skills that allows the student to show the comprehension level acquired.

Table 1 provides a summary of the four comprehension process and their components

Table 1. Comprehension processes and its components.

PROCESS Reading Tasks PIRLS Identifying information that is relevant to the specific goal of reading Looking for specific ideas Looking for specific ideas Looking for specific ideas Identifying the explicitly stated information related to a specific reading goal Searching for definitions of words or phrases Identifying the setting of a story (e.g., time and place) Finding the topic sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event Choosing among possible websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Describing the relationship Describing the relationship			
is relevant to the specific goal of reading Looking for specific ideas Looking for specific ideas Identifying the explicitly stated information related to a specific reading goal Searching for definitions of words or phrases Identifying specific information on a graphic Identifying the setting of a story (e.g., time and place) Finding the topic sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event Choosing among possible websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Summarizing the main intent of a web page	PROCESS	Reading Tasks PIRLS	
Focus on and retrieve explicitly stated information. Focus on and retrieve explicitly stated information, Searching for definitions of words or phrases information, Identifying the setting of a story (e.g., time and place) Finding the topic sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Identifying generalizations intent of a web page Identifying generalizations intent of a web page		, ,	Identifying the part of the
Focus on and retrieve explicitly stated information related to a specific reading goal Searching for definitions of words or phrases Identifying the setting of a story (e.g., time and place) Finding the topic sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Identifying generalizations stated information on a graphic information on a graphic information on a graphic Inferring that one event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Filtering the content of a web page for relevance to the topic Summarizing the main intent of a web page		is relevant to the specific	web page that contains the
Focus on and retrieve explicitly stated information related to a specific reading goal Searching for definitions of words or phrases Identifying the setting of a story (e.g., time and place) Finding the topic sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Stated information related to a specific reading goal Identifying specific information on a graphic information on a graphic Identifying sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Choosing among possible websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Source or main idea (when explicitly stated). Choosing among possible websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Source or main idea (when explicitly stated).		goal of reading	information
Focus on and retrieve explicitly stated information related to a specific reading goal Searching for definitions of words or phrases Identifying the setting of a story (e.g., time and place) Finding the topic sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Stated information related to a specific reading goal Identifying specific information on a graphic information on a graphic Identifying sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Choosing among possible websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Source or main idea (when explicitly stated). Choosing among possible websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Source or main idea (when explicitly stated).			
Focus on and retrieve explicitly stated information, Searching for definitions of words or phrases information on a graphic information, Identifying the setting of a story (e.g., time and place) Finding the topic sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments the topic Identifying generalizations made in the text Searching goal Identifying specific information on a graphic Identifying specific information on a graphic Identifying specific information on a graphic Identifying the setting of a story (e.g., time and place) Choosing among possible websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Summarizing the main intent of a web page		Looking for specific ideas	
Focus on and retrieve explicitly stated information, Searching for definitions of words or phrases Identifying the setting of a story (e.g., time and place) Finding the topic sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Identifying specific information on a graphic Information on a graphic Information on a graphic Identifying specific information on a graphic Information on a graphic Identifying sentence Choosing among possible websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Filtering the content of a web page for relevance to the topic Summarizing the main intent of a web page			
explicitly stated information, Identifying the setting of a story (e.g., time and place) Finding the topic sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Information on a graphic information			
information, Identifying the setting of a story (e.g., time and place) Finding the topic sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Identifying generalizations summarizing the main intent of a web page	Focus on and retrieve		, , ,
Finding the topic sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Finding the setting of a story (e.g., time and place) Choosing among possible websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Filtering the content of a web page for relevance to the topic	explicitly stated	words or phrases	information on a graphic
Finding the topic sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments the topic Identifying generalizations made in the text Finding the topic sentence or main titent of a web page Choosing among possible websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Filtering the content of a web page for relevance to the topic Summarizing the main intent of a web page	information,	Islantif in a the catting of a	
Finding the topic sentence or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments the topic Identifying generalizations made in the text states.			
or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Inferences Occupation of the topic of the topic Identifying generalizations made in the text Occupation of the topic of the topic of the topic of the topic occupation of the topic occupation of the text occupation o		story (e.g., time and place)	
or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Inferences Occupation of the topic of the topic Identifying generalizations made in the text Occupation of the topic of the topic of the topic of the topic occupation of the topic occupation of the text occupation o			
or main idea (when explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Inferences Occupation of the topic of the topic Identifying generalizations made in the text Occupation of the topic of the topic of the topic of the topic occupation of the topic occupation of the text occupation o		Finding the topic sentence	
explicitly stated). Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Choosing among possible websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Filtering the content of a web page for relevance to the topic Summarizing the main intent of a web page			
Inferring that one event caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Inferences Choosing among possible websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Filtering the content of a web page for relevance to the topic Summarizing the main intent of a web page			
caused another event websites to identify the most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments the topic Identifying generalizations made in the text web page web page for relevance to the topic Summarizing the main intent of a web page		expireitly statedy.	
most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text most appropriate, applicable, or useful one Filtering the content of a web page for relevance to the topic Summarizing the main intent of a web page		Inferring that one event	Choosing among possible
Applicable, or useful one Concluding what is the main Make Straightforward Inferences Doint made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Doint made applicable, or useful one web page for relevance to summarizing the main intent of a web page		caused another event	websites to identify the
Concluding what is the main Make Straightforward Inferences Concluding what is the main point made by a series of arguments Identifying generalizations made in the text Filtering the content of a web page for relevance to the topic Summarizing the main intent of a web page			most appropriate,
Make Straightforward point made by a series of arguments web page for relevance to the topic Identifying generalizations made in the text intent of a web page			applicable, or useful one
Inferences arguments the topic Identifying generalizations Summarizing the main intent of a web page		Concluding what is the main	Filtering the content of a
Identifying generalizations Summarizing the main intent of a web page	Make Straightforward	point made by a series of	web page for relevance to
made in the text intent of a web page	9	arguments	the topic
		Identifying generalizations	Summarizing the main
Describing the relationship Describing the relationship		made in the text	intent of a web page
		Describing the relationship	Describing the relationship
between two characters between text and graphic(s)		between two characters	between text and graphic(s)
Inferring the potential			
usefulness of links			usefulness of links



	Discerning the overall	Comparing and contrasting	
	message or theme of a text	information presented	
		within and across websites	
	Considering an alternative	Relating the information in	
	to actions of characters	one web page or site to	
		information in another web	
		page or site	
	Comparing and contrasting	Generalizing from	
Interpret and Integrate	text information	information presented	
Ideas and Information		within and across web	
		pages or sites	
	Inferring a story's mood or	Relating details from	
	tone	different web pages to an	
		overall theme	
	Interpreting a real-world	Drawing conclusions from	
	application of text	information presented in	
	information.	multiple websites	
		The desires	
	Judging the completeness	Critiquing the ease of	
	or clarity of information in	finding information on a	
	the text	website	
	Evaluation the Utable as 1	Francisco harrilla la de-	
	Evaluating the likelihood	Evaluating how likely the	
	that the events described	information would be to	
	could really happen	change what people think	
	Evaluating how likely an	Describing the effect of the	
Evaluate and Critique	author's argument would be	graphic elements on the	
Content and Textual	to change what people think	website	
Elements	and do		
	Judging how well the title of	Determining the point of	
	the text reflects the main	view or bias of the website	
	theme		
	Describing the effect of	Judging the credibility of the	
	language features, such as	information on the website	
	metaphors or tone		
	Determining an author's		
	perspective on the central		
	topic		

As stated previously, PIRLS is targeted specifically at grade 4 students. This differs with the UNESCO Global Framework for Reading (GF) as it was designed to consider students from the beginning of primary school up to the end of low secondary school, establishing the development of the reading competency for both educational cycles.

Moreover, the GF domains are three: reading competency, linguistic competency and metalinguistic competency. These competencies are defined by six sub domains, which correspond to the processes involved in each of them. The sub domains are divided into 21 constructs with 90 sub constructs that define the contents and skills involved.



Table 2 shows the GF's structure including domains, sub domains and constructs.

Table 2. Global Framework for Reading—domains, sub domains and constructs

Competencies	Sub domains	Constructs	
Reading	Decoding	Alphabetic principle	
		Precision	
		Fluency	
	Comprehension	Identify	
		Retrieve	
		Interpret	
		Reflect	
		Metacognition	
		Motivation and disposition	
Linguistic	Listening	Retrieve	
		Interpret	
		Reflect	
	Speaking	Form	
		Content	
		Use	
	Vocabulary	Acquire new words	
		Recognize	
Metalinguistic	Phonological	Distinguish	
	awareness	Blend	
		Generate words	
		from	
		Segment	

Methodology for framework alignment

Firstly, the level on both frameworks at which the comparison was going to be made was established. Considering the level required for making effective comparisons, the reference was chosen at the most specific and granular level from each framework. In the case of the GF it was the sub constructs, and for PIRLS was the level of skills and abilities for each process.

Given that the GF has a more exhaustive description for each of the constructs, this is the one that was considered to establish the comparison. The alignment process between the sub constructs from the GF with PIRLS, establishes that the skills defined by PIRLS include more than one of the GF's sub constructs.

Based on this, the aim was to compare each of the skills defined in PIRLS to the GF considering the descriptors that are involved in those skills. Therefore, establishing the level of overlap between both frameworks regarding the cognitive processes involved. Both frameworks are considered as aligned when the skills and sub constructs being compared include the same cognitive process or very similar ones. Given that the GF is more specific, a one to one correspondence is not possible, thus any skill from the PIRLS will include more than one sub construct defined by the GF.

Finally, it is important to consider that PIRLS`s aim, both in its traditional (PIRLS and PIRLS Literacy) and digital version (ePIRLS) is to assess the purposes and processes involved in reading comprehension. Therefore the level of alignment will be studied regarding the reading comprehension sub domain from the GF.

The characteristics of the assessment proposed by ePIRLS integrate traditional comprehension skills with the skills required for digital literacy. Even though the GF includes digital formats, it bases its structure in printed text formats. As it does not include the characteristic skills required to search and access web pages. Therefore, the criteria used for this alignment was to consider the skill in itself, in the understanding that this can be displayed in a traditional reading context as well as in online searches.

Summary of alignment results

The results from the alignment process will firstly be explained for the traditional PIRLS and then for ePIRLS.

The results from the alignment assessment show that a total of 11 sub constructs (22%) from the GF's Reading comprehension sub domain align with one or more abilities and skills from PIRLS. Moreover, ePIRLS also shows a total of 11 sub constructs (22%) that align with the GF. The alignment is found in the sub constructs related to the cognitive processes involved in reading comprehension (identify, retrieve, interpret, reflect), assuming as achieved decoding as well as the linguistic and metalinguistic competencies. There were no tasks or processes found in PIRLS, or ePIRLS that referred to the motivation and disposition nor the metacognition construct. This may be explained by the difficulty it could represent to include these for this type of assessment.

Even though the alignment percentage is the same for both formats, the distribution of the sub constructs differs. The highest alignment level for ePIRLS is given by the interpret and reflect constructs, which concentrated 9 of the 11 sub constructs. The two remaining ones go one for identify and one for retrieve. However, the alignment for PIRLS is more distributed among constructs, 3 for retrieve, 5 for interpret and 3 for reflect, but does not consider identify.

Table 3 Summary of alignment results by Global Framework domain.

			PIRLS Reading	ePIRLS Reading
Global	Global	Global	Tasks	tasks
Framework	Framework	Framework	Alignments/Total	Alignments/Total
Domain	Sub-domain	Construct	Number of Sub	Number of Sub
			constructs	constructs
		Identify	0/7	1/7
Reading competency	Reading Comprehension	Retrieve	3/5	1/5
		Interpret	5/11	5/11

Reflect	3/10	4/10
Metacognition	0/3	0/3
Motivation and disposition	0/3	0/3

Conclusions

The results from the alignment process between the GF and PIRLS and e PIRLS shows that the abilities and skills defined by both can be found in the GF. Corresponding all of these to sub constructs belonging to the reading comprehension sub domain. As stated before, this is expected given that the PIRLS assessment is designed for grade 4 students, while the GF covers from grade 2 to grade 9.

The fact that this assessment is designed for this grade, restricts its possibility of considering other processes, skills and contents that are suitable to be assessed in other educational levels, mainly in the first ones.