

Fourth International Policy Dialogue Forum

PPP in Teacher Education

Delhi - May 29, 2012

The Three Critical Players for Development

Government

- **Legitimacy (in democracies, elected by popular mandate) & Permanency**
 - **Constitutional responsibility for universal quality education**
 - **Have resources – people, finances, organization structure**
-
- **Serious gaps in execution**
 - **Absence of accountability – uncertain tenure, lack of risk-reward system**
 - **Mismatch between challenges and available talent**

Civil society organisations (not-for-profits)

- **Passionate, competent, qualified people**
 - **Credible with the communities**
-
- **Dependence on external funding – unable to plan long term**
 - **Lack of outcome orientation and accountability**
 - **Do not network adequately and effectively**

The Corporates

- **Have resources – people and finances – backed by risk rewards**
 - **Performance / results oriented**
 - **Some - models in good governance**
-
- **Too self-centred – profits, shareholders, customers and employees**
 - **Too focussed on brand leverage - “showing” than “doing”**
 - **Social and environment consciousness – not deep enough**

Myths about public private partnership

1. **Private sector is synonymous to efficiency, good governance, quality & results**
2. **Private sector has the necessary competence to deliver quality education**
3. **Private partner means – corporate organisation**
4. **Many private partners will be willing to establish schools under their CSR**
5. **It is possible to run a high quality school in a sustainable manner thru fees**

General caveats on PPP

1. **Any partnership is a difficult proposition – both at organizational and individual level**
2. **Partners come with different objectives, expectations, cultural and human settings**
3. **Successful partnerships are based on position of strength, mutual respect and deeper connect with the larger philosophical approaches to work**
4. **Commercial approach of PPP in Indian education are unlikely to work**
5. **High clarity needed on objectives of PPP and criteria to choose partner**

For serious PPP the Government will need

1. **Enormous political will and continuity – flavour of the year will not work**
2. **A serious mindset to create enabling frameworks – e.g. Single window clearances**
3. **Flexibility to suit individual cases**
4. **Going beyond the rhetoric**

Public Private Partnership by Azim Premji Foundation

Possible approaches to drive change

Approach	Example organization/ situation	Some Challenges
Funder	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Michael & Susan Dell Foundation ▪ Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (also partly catalyst and doer) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Prioritization of areas to avoid fragmented funding ▪ Selection of projects that are well-designed for impact and long term sustainability ▪ Strong tracking
Catalyst	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ford Foundation and Rockefeller Foundation in the Green Revolution 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Bringing together relevant stakeholders ▪ Strong program management abilities (if the organization is also the program manager) ▪ Access to expertise and funds as required (potentially from outside the organization)
Doer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Some large foundations do this, in addition to funding/catalysing ▪ Most smaller scale non-profits 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Clarity of linkage between near term activities and outputs, to bigger picture of change ▪ Strong tracking of outputs and longer term outcomes ▪ Sustained funding model
Talent creator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ TISS ▪ Aga Khan University ▪ Teach for America/India 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Appropriate selection and orientation of incoming people, to ensure that they become change agents in the long term

Our approach to partnership with Government

1. **Working with Government and larger Eco-system:** If you want to contribute to changing the system you must understand it thoroughly. Need to get a benign entry.
2. **No Silver Bullets:** Spending time in understanding issues and evolving possible solutions together
3. **Focus on change at larger scale:** Islands of excellence have limited or no value. A policy level change that creates a smaller but large scale change is more relevant
4. **Building human resources and competence:** a long term commitment to building necessary human resources is important to continuously evolve, sustain and meet ever changing challenges.
5. **Supplement Government work and not substitute it:** focus on issues that the Government is not focussing, do not invest in infrastructure
6. **Ground level connect and value building:** senior leaders constantly change for political and organizational reasons – it is critical build value at ground level

Our overall strategy

- **Our strategy has four distinct strands that will unfold synergistically, creating the possibility of deep, at-scale and sustained impact :**
 1. **TALENT**: Develop a critical mass of people with vision, competence & deep motivation to engage in social action. This includes developing fresh talent and enhancing capacity in the existing talent (estimated at 7 mln. people)
 2. **KNOWLEDGE**: Create knowledge & evidence to provide deeper insights into the solutions to challenges in education and development in Indian context and culture. Create a culture of evidence based decisions in policy & program
 3. **INSTITUTIONS**: Establish strong institutions that will develop talent, build knowledge, and work for reform in education and allied developmental areas on a sustained basis
 4. **SOCIAL PRESSURE/DEMAND**: Through strong institutions, continuing education, field impact and awareness building, change the way communities and functionaries think about issues in education and development – so that they begin to demand higher quality

Areas of PPP

1. **Capacity Building:** At Institutional level (E.g. SCERT, DIET, District and Block Offices) Individual Levels (School Leaders, Teacher Educators) Academic Support System, Leadership & Management area, Teacher Learning Centres
2. **Institution Building:** Participate in visioning, designing, execution of institutions such as DIETs, SIEMAT, SISLEP etc. Contribute through relevant people resources
3. **Policy & Research:** Participate in issues such as curriculum development, teacher education policy, research in areas such as gender, structuring of a school, role of technology in education etc
4. **Evaluation & Assessment:** evaluation of Teacher Education institutions, teacher assessment, learner assessment,
5. **Community education:** Illustratively, awareness of RtE, capacity building among SMCs, NGOs, SHGs etc

5 Year Goal and Where We Stand

5 Year Goal

Current Status

University

350 faculty, 3500 full-time students
Reach out to 500,000 existing functionaries

2 Masters programs, 90 students
Admissions announced for 200 students
Preparation on for increasing range of programs for internal and external audience

Field Institutions

50 District & 8 State Institutes

7 District & 3 State Institutes

Schools

100 own schools

6 schools commissioned i

Institute for Assessment & Accreditation

Establish as a credible national resource for institutional assessment.

Working on developing ready to use assessment frameworks for school, teachers and learners

A Dream of a Just, Equitable, Humane and Sustainable Society



Thank You