



WORLD HERITAGE PROPERTY DATA

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR MANAGERS OF ROCK ART SITES ON THE WORLD HERITAGE LIST AND THE TENTATIVE LIST

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

N	QUESTION	RESPONSE
1.1	State Party	
1.2	Region	Africa / Arab States / Asia and the Pacific / Europe and North America / Latin America and the Caribbean
1.3	Name of property	
1.4	Type of property	Cultural / Cultural Landscape / Natural / Mixed
1.5	Identification number on the World Heritage List or Tentative List	
1.6	Year of inscription on World Heritage List/ year of submission to the Tentative List	
1.7	Year of inscription on World Heritage List in Danger, if applicable	
1.8	Year of removal from the World Heritage List in Danger, if applicable	
1.9	Criteria	Current
		Former
1.10	Approved extension of the	Entirely located within the territory of the State Party
	property	Transboundary
1.11	Presence of serial components	Not applicable
		Serial national
		Serial transnational
1.12	Year(s) previous Periodic Reporting Cycle(s) submitted	
1.13	Person/entity completing this questionnaire	NAME: E-Mail: Telephone: Fax: Postal address:

1.14	Government institution responsible for the property	NAME: E-Mail: Telephone: Fax: Postal address:
1.15	Site manager / coordinator	NAME: E-Mail: Web address for site: Telephone: Fax: Postal address:
1.16	Geographical Information	Province(s) Region(s)
		State (For Federal countries)
1.17	Other International Conventions related to the site	

2. OUTSTANDING UNIVERSAL VALUE OF THE PROPERTY

N.	QUESTION	RESPONSE	
2.1	What are the major characteristics	Paintings (Pictograms)	Yes/ No
	of the rock art?	Engravings (Petroglyphs)	Yes/No
		Other (specify)	
2.2	How many recorded rock art sites are there on the property?		
2.3	What is the approximate chronology of the rock art on the property?		
2.4	What is the current state of	Predominantly intact?	Yes/ No
	authenticity of the property?	Partially degraded?	Yes/ No
		Degraded and impacting on the OUV?	Yes/ No
		Severely degraded?	Yes/ No
2.5	What is the current state of	Predominantly intact?	Yes/ No
	integrity of the property?	Partially degraded?	Yes/ No
		Degraded and impacting on the OUV?	Yes/ No
		Severely degraded?	Yes/ No
2.6	Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to statement of outstanding universal value		
2.7	Can you mention any other site (related or not with this property) that could be considered for inclusion on the Tentative List or the World Heritage List?		

3. FACTORS AFFECTING THE PROPERTY

3.1	What are the main factors affecting the authenticity and integrity of the rock art on the property?	Describe the	major impacts:		
3.2	Impact on buildings and development on the property	Positive impact C=current P=potential	Negative impact C=current P=potential	Property C=current P=potential	Buffer Zone C=current P=potential
3.2.1	Housing				
3.2.2	Commercial development				
3.2.3	Industrial development				
3.2.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure				
3.2.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities				
3.3	Impact on transportation infrastructure				
3.3.1	Ground transport, public				
3.3.2	Ground transport, private				
3.3.3	Ground transport: trails				
3.3.4	Footpaths				
3.3.5	Air transport				
3.4	Impact on utilities or service infrastructure				
3.4.1	Water infrastructure				
3.4.2	Energy facilities				
3.4.3	Localized utilities				
3.4.4	Major linear facilities				
3.5	Impact of pollution				
3.5.1	Water pollution				
3.5.2	Air pollution				
3.5.3	Solid waste				
3.6	Impact on biological activities				
3.6.1	Change in land use				
3.6.2	Livestock in rock shelters				
3.6.3	Wild animals in rock shelters				
3.6.4	Crop production				
3.7	Physical resource extraction				
3.7.1	Mining				
3.7.2	Quarrying				
3.7.3	Oil/gas				
3.7.4	Water				
3.8	Local conditions affecting physical fabric at rock art sites				
3.8.1	Wind				
3.8.2	Relative humidity				
3.8.3	Temperature (heat and cold)				
3.8.4	Radiation / light				
3.8.5	Dust				
3.8.6	Water				
3.8.7	Insects				
3.8.8	Birds				
3.8.9	Small mammals				
3.8.10	Fungus				

3.8.11	Lichen				
3.8.12	Micro-organisms				
3.9	Social/Cultural uses of rock art	Positive	Negative	Property	Buffer Zone
	and heritage	impact	impact		
		C=current P=potential	C=current P=potential		
3.9.1	Ritual/spiritual/religious and	i -potentiai	i -potentiai		
	associative uses of rock art				
3.9.2	Social value of rock art to current				
	community				
3.9.3	Indigenous use of rock art				
3.9.4	Changes in traditional lifeways				
	and knowledge				
3.9.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes				
3.9.6	in local community Positive impacts of				
3.9.0	tourism/visitors/recreation				
3.9.7	Negative impacts of				
0.0	tourism/visitors/recreation				
3.9.8	Rock art research				
3.9.9	Public education programmes				
3.10	Other human activities				
3.10.1	Graffiti at rock art sites				
3.10.2	Damage to rock art				
3.10.3	Camping / living in site perimeters				
3.10.4	Political attitudes to rock art				
3.10.5 3.11	War / terrorism / civil unrest Climate and severe weather				
3.11	events affecting rock art				
3.11.1	Storms and wind				
3.11.2	Flooding				
3.11.3	Rising sea level				
3.11.4	Drought / desertification				
3.12	Sudden ecological or				
	geological events affecting				
2404	rock art				
3.12.1 3.12.2	Volcanic eruption Earthquake				
3.12.2	Tsunami / tidal wave				
3.12.4	Avalanche / landslide				
3.12.5	Erosion / deposition				
3.12.6	Fire				
3.13	Management and institutional				
	factors				
3.13.1	Legal framework				
3.13.2	Governance		1		
3.13.3	Management Systems /				
3.13.4	Management Plan Financial resources				
3.13.4	Human resources				+
3.13.6	Low impact research / monitoring				
	activities				
3.13.7	High impact research / monitoring				
	activities				
3.13.8	Management activities				

4. PROTECTION, MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING OF ROCK ART

4.1	Boundaries and buffer zone		
4.1.1	Area of the property		
4.1.2	Area of the buffer zone, if		
	applicable		
4.1.3	Total area		
4.1.4	Are the boundaries of the	Inadequacies make it difficult to maintain	Yes/No
	property adequate to maintain the	Boundaries could be improved	Yes/No
	outstanding universal value of the	Boundaries are adequate	Yes/No
4.0	rock art?		
4.2 4.2.1	Measures to protect rock art What kind of legal protection is in		
4.2.1	place?		
4.2.2	Is the legal framework adequate	The legal framework is inadequate	Yes/No
	to maintain the outstanding	The legal framework has some deficiencies	
	universal value, authenticity and	The legal framework is adequate	Yes/No
	integrity of the rock art?		
4.2.3	Can the legislative framework be	Yes	
	enforced?	No	
4.3	Management System / Manageme		
4.3.1	Is there a current management plan for the site?	The site management plan is in force	Yes/No
		It is in the process of approval	Yes/No
		It is in the process of revision	Yes/No
4.3.2	Is there a specific conservation	It is part of the general management plan	Yes/No
	plan for the rock art within the	There is a separate chapter for rock art	Yes/No
	Management Plan?	There are plans for individual rock art	Yes/No
		sites	
4.3.3	Is the management system/plan	There is no system or plan in place	Yes/No
	adequate to maintain the	The system/plan is not adequate	Yes/No
	outstanding universal value of the rock art on the property?	The system/plan is partially adequate	Yes/No Yes/No
4.3.4	Is the management system/plan	The system/plan is fully adequate No current management system is in	Yes/No
4.3.4	being implemented?	place	
		The system/plan is not being implemented	Yes/No
		The system/plan is partially implemented	
4.5 -		The system/plan is fully implemented	Yes/No
4.3.5	Is the cooperation and	Local communities/residents	
	relationship with the following groups excellent (4), average (3),	Local authorities	
	poor (2), non-existent (1) or not	Indigenous groups Descendants of the artists	
	applicable (0)?	Landowners	
		Researchers	
		Tour operators	
		Industry and commercial companies	
4.3.6	Do the local communities residing	No local communities are resident nearby	Yes/No
	in or near the property and/or	Local communities have no input	Yes/No
	buffer zone have input in management decisions that	Local communities have some input, but	Yes/No
	maintain the outstanding	no direct role in management	
	universal value of the rock art?	Local communities contribute to decisions	Yes/No
		Local communities participate fully	Yes/No
4.3.7	Is there cooperation with industry	There is little or no contact	Yes/No

	(tourism, mining, agriculture, etc.)	There is contact but little co-operation	Yes/No
	regarding management of the rock art and property?	There is contact but only some co-	Yes/No
		operation	
		There is regular contact re management	Yes/No
4.3.8	How are rock art records kept?	Digital database	Yes/No
		GIS	Yes/No
		Paper files	Yes/No
		Digital photographs	Yes/No
		Slides and prints	Yes/No
4.3.9	Where are the original rock art	Originals are kept at the WH property	Yes/No
4.3.3	records kept?	Copies are lodged elsewhere	Yes/No
	Toolide Rept.	Originals are kept at another facility	Yes/No
4.4	Financial and human resources		1
4.4.1	Is the conservation and	The management of rock art is	Yes/No
	management of rock art on the	outsourced	
	property part of the operating		
	budget or is it a separate line item on the budget?	There is no separate budget for rock art	Yes/No
	On the budget?	There is a separate budget for rock art	Yes/No
4.4.2	What percentage of the annual	Less than 0.5%	Yes/No
	operating budget for the World	Between 0.5 and 1%	Yes/No
	Heritage Property was spent on	Between 1 and 5%	Yes/No
	the conservation / management	Between 5 and 10%	Yes/No
	of rock art on the property over the last 5 years (excl. salaries)?	More than 10%	Yes/No
4.4.3	How much international	Less than US\$1000	Yes/No
4.4.3	assistance has been received for	· ·	
	rock art conservation and management for the property in	Between \$1000 and \$10,000	Yes/No
		Between \$10,000 and \$100,000	Yes/No
	2005-2008?	More than \$100,000	Yes/No
4.4.4	Is the current budget sufficient to manage the property effectively	There is no budget for management	Yes/No
	and retain the outstanding	The available budget is inadequate	Yes/No
	universal value of the rock art?	The available budget is acceptable but could be improved	Yes/No
		The available budget is sufficient	Yes/No
4.4.5	Does the local community receive economic benefits from the rock	The local community receives no direct economic benefits	Yes/No
	art?	There are a few jobs for local people at the site	Yes/No
		The local community receives a percentage of profits	Yes/No
4.4.6	Are available resources such as equipment, facilities, vehicles and	There are little or no facilities or equipment	Yes/No
	infrastructure sufficient to meet	Facilities and equipment are inadequate	Yes/No
	rock art management needs?	Facilities and equipment are adequate	Yes/No
4 4 7	How many name and involved the	More sophisticated equipment is needed	Yes/No
4.4.7	How many people are involved in managing the property?	Total number employed: Full-time contract	
	managing the property!	Full-time permanent	
		Part-time	
		Volunteer	
4.4.8	How many of the staff members	Rock art conservators/managers	
	listed in 4.4.7 have been trained	Rock art tourist guides	
	in rock art tourism, conservation	Rock Art researchers	
	or management?	1100K/III 1000GIOII013	

4.4.9	4.4.9 How many staff members who have not had formal training in rock art tourism, conservation or management are employed to manage the rock art?	Rock art tourist guide	
		Visitor administration	
		Rock art site monitoring	
4.5	Scientific Studies and Research	Projects	
4.5.1	Is there adequate knowledge about the rock art of the property	Rock Art researchers	Yes/No
	to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure	There's some research, but it is not planned	Yes/No
	that the outstanding universal	There is a lot not research but it is not	Yes/No
	value is maintained?	directed towards management needs or	
		improving outstanding universal value	
		There is a comprehensive, integrated	Yes/No
4.5.2	Are results from rock art research	programme of research Research results are not shared	Voo/No
4.3.2	programmes disseminated to staff	Shared, but no active outreach	Yes/No Yes/No
	and local participants at the	Shared with selected stakeholders	Yes/No
	property?	Shared with selected stakeholders Shared widely locally and internationally	Yes/No
4.5.3	Does the property have its own	Yes/No	100/140
	website?		
4.5.4	Is there specific information about	Yes/No	
	the rock art on the website?	When was it last updated?	
4.6	Education, Information and Awar		
4.6.1	Is there a planned education and	There is no planned education and	
	awareness-raising programme linked to the outstanding universal value of the rock art of the property?	awareness–raising programme for rock	
		The planned programme has not been	
		The planned programme has not been implemented	
		The programme has been implemented	
4.6.2	What kinds of education and/or	Guided tours to rock art sites	Yes/No
	awareness-raising programmes	Self-guided tours to rock art sites	Yes/No
	are planned?	Information pamphlets on rock art	Yes/No
		Special programmes for local	Yes/No
		communities	
		On-site signage and information	Yes/No
		Displays in an interpretation centre	Yes/No
		Information packs for schools and educators	Yes/No
		Films and TV programmes	Yes/No
4.6.3	Which of these programmes are	Guided tours to rock art sites	Yes/No
-1.0.0	already in place?	Self-guided tours to rock art sites	Yes/No
		Special programmes for local	Yes/No
		communities	
		Information pamphlets on rock art	Yes/No
		On-site signage and information	Yes/No
		Displays in an interpretation centre	Yes/No
		Information packs for schools and	Yes/No
		educators	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
4.5 :		Films and TV programmes	Yes/No
4.6.4	Have rock art images been used	Yes/No	
465	for branding the property?	Posters	
4.6.5	If yes, in what medium?	Posters T-shirts and clothing	
4.6.5		T-shirts and clothing	
4.6.5	If yes, in what medium?		

	T	T	
		Mugs	
		Jewelry	
		Hand-painted copies on rock	
		Hand-painted copies on paper Other (please specify)	
		Other (piedae apeciny)	
4.7	Visitor Management		
4.7.1	Are there statistics on visitation to the property?	Yes / No	
4.7.2	If so, please provide the trend in	2004 -	
	annual visitation for the last five	2005 -	
	years, or for the years for which the figures are available.	2006 - 2007 -	
	the lightes are available.	2007 -	
4.7.3	If separate figures are available,	2004 -	
	how many people visited rock art	2005 -	
	sites on the property during the	2006 -	
	same years?	2007 -	
		2008 -	
4.7.4	Is there a visitor use policy, plan or set of guidelines for the rock art	Yes / No	
	sites?		
4.7.5	Are commercial tour operators	No	
	permitted to take visitors to the	Yes, but with strict protocols	
	rock art sites without a property	Yes, unrestricted access is allowed	
	staff member as a guide?		T. a.
4.7.6	Are fees charged?	For entry to the property?	Yes/No
		With an additional fee to visit rock art sites?	Yes/No
4.7.7	Do the fees contribute directly to	Yes	
	the conservation and	No, only indirectly	
4.7.8	management of rock art sites? Are visitors' books kept to record	No, the fees only go towards salaries Yes / No	
4.7.0	all visits to rock art sites in the	1637110	
	property?		
4.7.9	If so, approximately what	% of visitors local	
	percentage of visitors are local	% of visitors from State Party	
4740	and what percentage are foreign?	% of visitors from other countries	Vaa/Nia
4.7.10	Has the number of visitors to rock art sites increased or decreased	Increased	Yes/No
	since the property was inscribed?	Decreased	Yes/No
		Not known	Yes/No
4.8	Monitoring		
4.8.1	Is there a conservation monitoring	No monitoring programme for rock art	Yes/No
	programme specifically for rock art at the property?	The programme has not been	Yes/No
	art at the property?	implemented The monitoring is done intermittently	Yes/No
		Monitoring is done regularly	Yes/No
4.8.2	Are original condition reports,	Originals are kept at the property	Yes/No
	photographs and monitoring	Copies are lodged elsewhere	Yes/No
	forms archived about the	Originals are kept at another facility	Yes/No
4.8.3	property? What kind of monitoring has been	Temperature	1 2 3,1 1 9
7.0.5	done in the last two years?	Humidity	
	(Please underline relevant words)	Dust	
	,	Water / Frost / Ice / Snow	
		Salts	
		Insect activity	
		Bird activity	

		Mammal activity Vegetation Human impacts Other (please specify)
4.8.4	If monitoring has been done, have any interventions been necessary?	Yes/No
4.8.5	If so, what kinds of interventions have been made? (Please underline relevant words)	Removal of graffiti Removal of fungus Removal of bird droppings Removal of mammal droppings Removal of insect tracks or nests Removal/addition of vegetation Removal/addition of rubbish bin Installation of a gate, fence or barrier Installation of a boardwalk or floor covering Installation of drip lines Change in visitation policy The site was closed to the public Other (please specify)
4.8.6	Who made the interventions?	Maintenance staff member Professional staff member Qualified consultant Researcher
4.8.7	Is there a written record of the interventions with before and after photographs?	Yes / No

5. GENERAL ISSUES ABOUT ROCK ART AND WORLD HERITAGE

5.1	In your opinion, is there any outstanding universal value in rock art that is not yet represented on the World Heritage List or Tentative List?	Yes / No
5.2	Has the inscription of rock art sites on the World Heritage List helped to increase public awareness and appreciation of rock art?	Yes / No (please explain)
5.3	Has the inscription of rock art sites on the World Heritage List made rock art more vulnerable to damage?	Yes / No (please explain)