

Submission #77

Personal information

Name Tom Mackenzie

Organisation ITEMS International

Email address tom.mackenzie1@gmail.com

Country/region France

Gender M

How would you define the stakeholder community or communities to which you belong? Internet technical and professional community

Questions

1. What are your priorities for issues that should be addressed through the Internet Universality framework in each of these five categories?

Rights	Privacy, Economic, Social & Cultural rights, Right to online security, Right to freedom of expression, Right to equality, inclusion, non-discrimination, Right to be forgotten
Openness	Open data (government collected data)
Accessibility	Net neutrality, universal broadband connectivity,
Multistakeholder participation	Genuine multistakeholder participation and accountability in Internet governance
Cross-cutting indicators	Indicators in connection with UN SDGs

2. Are there any existing indicators with which you are familiar that you think it would be useful to include in the ROAM indicators framework?

Rights	Open Technology Institute "Ranking Digital Rights" (https://rankingdigitalrights.org/index2017/)
--------	---

Openness	World Wide Web Foundation "Web Index" (http://thewebindex.org/)
----------	---

Accessibility	OECD "Digital Economy Outlook" (Connectivity)
---------------	---

Multistakeholder participation	ICANN "Accountability Indicators"
--------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Cross-cutting indicators	Boston Consulting Group "E-Friction Indicator"
--------------------------	--

3. What do you think are the most important gaps in data/evidence required for monitoring Internet Universality and the ROAM principles? What approaches do you think could help to address these in your country, region or area of work?

Rights

Openness

Accessibility

Multistakeholder participation

Cross-cutting indicators

4. What experience or views do you have of indicators relating to the Internet which are concerned with gender and with children and young people?

There are a growing number of studies/reports on women and gender issues in ICT. These include:

- "Women and Gender in ICT Statistics and Indicators for Development", 2007.
- The World Wide Web Foundation "Women's Right Online", 2015
- "Gender and ICT", SIDA, 2015 (<http://www.sida.se/contentassets/3a820dbd152f4fca98bacde8a8101e15/gender-and-ict.pdf>)
- "Child Online Protection Statistical Framework", ITU, 2010

It would be useful to have a centralised database of authoritative indicators on these issues. Perhaps a role for UNESCO?

5. How do you think you might use the indicator framework for Internet Universality once it has been developed?

Research on many aspects of internet governance. It would be extremely useful if UNESCO compiled a list of authoritative reports and indicators on these issues.

6. How do you think that other stakeholders might use the framework?

- Research on internet governance.
- Impact Assessments of ICT development programs.
- Preparing feasibility studies for ICT funding initiatives.
- Evaluating the accountability of Internet Governance organisations (ICANN, ISOC, ITU etc.)
- Evaluating the impact of ICT public policies in different countries / regions of the world.
- Engaging broader public input in the creation of indicators.

7. Please add any other comments that you think will be helpful to UNESCO in developing the indicators framework.

It would be extremely useful - and relevant to its mission (!!) - if UNESCO published a regular (annual or bi-annual) report on Internet Universality Indicators, including indicators that are produced internally by UNESCO, and others that are produced by authoritative organisations such as the World Wide Web Foundation. In addition, UNESCO could organise a regular conference on this issue, with the participation of all relevant organisation working in the area of Internet governance / accessibility / rights etc..

8. Please upload any documents that you think will be helpful here.