

Submission #90

Personal information

Name Ekaterina Sorokova

Organisation MGIMO University

Email address kathsorokova@gmail.com

Country/region Russia

Gender Female

How would you define the stakeholder community or communities to which you belong? Academic

Questions

1. What are your priorities for issues that should be addressed through the Internet Universality framework in each of these five categories?

Rights

Openness absence of restrictions on the use of the Internet on political, gender, religious, racial, social and other grounds; ability of all stakeholders to participate in the management of the Internet in accordance with their roles and duties; use of the Internet as a platform for obtaining knowledge, high-quality and reliable information; availability of mechanisms that take into account the opinions of citizens and the expert community when it comes to making decisions on the development and use of the Internet; balance between the openness of the Internet combined with the confidentiality of personal data and the security of Internet users.

Accessibility

Multistakeholder participation

Cross-cutting indicators

2. Are there any existing indicators with which you are familiar that you think it would be useful to include in the ROAM indicators framework?

Rights

Openness	level of use of the Internet by all segments of society (age, gender criteria); criteria for non-discrimination of the policy of organizations that have the right to technically "close" access to the Internet for citizens and entire states; quality of information on the Internet (the number of materials on the cultural heritage, encyclopaedias, electronic museums, scientific research, educational resources posted in the network); level of public participation in decision-making regarding the development and use of the Internet; availability of mechanisms to maintain a balance between the openness and information security; awareness of the population about the possibilities of the Internet for education, research, business contacts, employment; level of awareness of the population about information risks and threats to personal security in the Internet space.
----------	--

Accessibility

Multistakeholder participation	availability of national and international mechanisms for the allocation of roles and responsibilities in the management of the Internet network between stakeholders (government, technical organizations, expert community, private sector);
--------------------------------	--

Cross-cutting indicators

3. What do you think are the most important gaps in data/evidence required for monitoring Internet Universality and the ROAM principles? What approaches do you think could help to address these in your country, region or area of work?

Rights

Openness

Accessibility

Multistakeholder participation

Cross-cutting indicators

4. What experience or views do you have of indicators relating to the Internet which are concerned with gender and with children and young people?

In general, it is useful, but not at the expense of an integrated approach to assess the universality of the Internet.

5. How do you think you might use the indicator framework for Internet Universality once it has been developed?

Such framework will be a good instrument that will allow to assess the level of Internet openness in individual countries and conduct comparative studies according to the commonly agreed set of criteria. It will also be an important step towards the development of a common legal framework of Internet governance.

6. How do you think that other stakeholders might use the framework?

7. Please add any other comments that you think will be helpful to UNESCO in developing the indicators framework.

8. Please upload any documents that you think will be helpful here.