

### Submission #102

#### Personal information

Name Courtney Radsch, Ph.D.

Organisation

Email address cradsch@gmail.com

Country/region United States

Gender F

How would you define the stakeholder community or communities to which you belong?

- · Civil society
- Academic
- Journalism/media
- · Individual capacity

#### Questions

## 1. What are your priorities for issues that should be addressed through the Internet Universality framework in each of these five categories?

| Rights                         | freedom of expression and anti-censorship  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Openness                       | interoperability and anti-censorship   |
| Accessibility                  | multilingualism  |
| Multistakeholder participation | ensuring adequate support for civil society engagement from historically under-<br>represented communities |
| Cross-cutting indicators       | freedom of association   |

| 2. Are there are any exi | sting indicators with which y | you are familiar t | hat you think it w | ould be useful to |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| include in the ROAM inc  | licators framework?           |                    |                    |                   |

| Rights                         | network shutdowns; censored platforms; due process for content or account removal; transparency and accountability mechanisms for public and private sector internet actors |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Openness                       |   |
| Accessibility                  |   |
| Multistakeholder participation |   |
| Cross-cutting indicators       |   |

# 3. What do you think are the most important gaps in data/evidence required for monitoring Internet Universality and the ROAM principles? What approaches do you think could help to address these in your country, region or area of work?

| Rights                         | reporting by Internet Referral Units on number of accounts referred for takedown; sites/pages removed under the "Right to Be Forgotten"/delisted  |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Openness                       |   |
| Accessibility                  | availability of content for the vision impaired or other disabled communities; availability and utility of translation services; % of content in various languages; cost of access and this cost as a % of average monthly income |
| Multistakeholder participation |   |
| Cross-cutting indicators       | IP, URL or other blocking by government   |

## 4. What experience or views do you have of indicators relating to the Internet which are concerned with gender and with children and young people?

Online harassment of women

Online sexualized threats against any user

Online harassment of children/cyberbullying

# and % of women who are represented on the boards and executive management teams of internet companies # and % of women who blog or are active on citizen media

5. How do you think you might use the indicator framework for Internet Universality once it has been developed?

as an assessment tool; in advocacy with governments and private sector

- 6. How do you think that other stakeholders might use the framework?
- 7. Please add any other comments that you think will be helpful to UNESCO in developing the indicators framework.
- 8. Please upload any documents that you think will be helpful here.