

PISA for Development: Out-of-school initiative (OOSi)

Michael Ward OECD Development Co-operation Directorate & Directorate for Education and Skills

7th meeting of the Global Alliance to Monitor Learning (GAML) – Wednesday, 21st October 2020



Key documents: Google PISA-D

PISA for Development Project Completion Report PISA PISA for Development Assessment and Analytical Framework READING, MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE





PISA for Development International Seminar 25 September 2019 London, United Kingdom



PISA, PISA-D and SDG 4 monitoring



PISA is a source of data for global monitoring of SDG 4.

Global Indicator 4.1.1.c

Proportion of children and young people: <u>(a) in grades 2/3</u>; (b) at the end of primary; and (c) **at the end of lower secondary achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading and (ii) mathematics, by sex**

 Equates to: Level 2 in PISA (at least 407 points for reading; 420 points for mathematics)

What was the problem we set out to address in OOSi? Percentage of 15year-olds covered by PISA

On OECD average, Pl 88.2% of the entire 15- across OECD countrie 70	PISA 2018 represents 5-year-old population ies
88.2% of the entire 15- across OECD countrie	5-year-old population ies
90 across OECD countrie 80	
80	
70	IIIIIIII
60	
	/
50	
40	
30	
20	
10	
0 0 0	
A provide the second se	allays ligation brace omborocca lords anana anana
ZHJOR ATG (GRCT TRACE CTORE 200 200 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	
ei D ei D Arak Arak Arak Arak Arak Arak Arak Arak	- C d
omii Bhu U S O C N C N C N C N C N C N C N C N C N C	Bak

FIGURE 1



Global number of out-of-school children, adolescents and youth, 2000-2017

Because out-of-school rates are high in many countries, indices of coverage in low-and-middle income countries especially can be as low as 30%, we wanted to achieve:

An approach and methodology for incorporating out-of-school youth in PISA assessments

PISA-D out-of-school target population

• 14-16 year-old youth who are either enrolled in school at grade 6 or below or who are outside of the school system (PISA-D country averages)



Went *much lower* on the reading scale



Illustrative examples	Reading
Level 1c	 Decodes and understands short sentences ("The red car has a flat tyre", "airplanes are made of dogs")
Level 1b	 Understands short text, finds a single piece of explicitly stated information (e.g. "what colour is the car?")
Level 1a	 Level 1b + Identifies the main theme or the author's intent in a text about a familiar topic
Level 2 (baseline)	 Reads and understands simple texts; connects pieces of information, draws inferences beyond the explicitly stated

Went *much lower* on the mathematics scale



Illustrative examples	Mathematics
Level 1c	 What is the price of orange juice at this restaurant?
Level 1b	 Which drink is most expensive?
Level 1a	 How much do you pay if you order 2 orange juices and a snack?
Level 2 (baseline)	 How much cheaper is the « breakfast deal » compared to ordering each item separately from the menu?

Enhanced the background questionnaires

- In addition to the PISA-D student questionnaire elements, **obtained** information about why the youth is not in school, barriers preventing the youth from returning to school, and about employment.
- Through the Parent (or the most knowledgeable person) questionnaire, obtained more information about the youth's background and childhood experiences.
- **Expanded** measure of economic, social and cultural status (ESCS) to adequately capture lower levels of parental education, income and risk factors of poverty



The assessment structure (1)

Results from out-ofschool linked to the scales used in in-school, thus requiring a large proportion of overlapping items between the two surveys

Linking across cycles and modes PISA 2015/18 and PISA-D



The assessment structure (2)

- Administration of out-of-school assessment via tablets
- Maximized the use of automatically scored items to capitalize on the use of tablets
- Focused on reading and mathematics only
- A routed design with two paths: a cognitive path more similar to PISA assessments of in-school populations, or to path with a set of tasks resembling components
- Youth interviewed first for completion of background questionnaire and then takes the test



Data Collection Design



PISA-D out-of-school assessment pilot achievements

- **Counted** and located the target population (sampling frame)
- **Found** and identified the target population (sampling strategy)
- **Developed and implemented** an assessment of **reading and mathematics** delivered in the household on a tablet computer
- Developed and implemented contextual
 questionnaires delivered in the household
- **Administered** a survey in the most costeffective way, given the strategy
- Linked the results to the PISA scale
- Achieved enough completed cases (7,500) to test the validity of the items and allow analyses that are useful to the pilot and relevant for the countries – Guatemala, Honduras, Panama, Paraguay and Senegal
- Will *report* on results, achievements and lessons learned on 1st and 3rd December 2020



Key lesson learned

- The approach and methodology works, but ...
- out-of-school assessment in households is expensive and main incountry costs are those related to identifying and locating respondents...
- ...a large amount of screening required to locate eligible youth and good local area data is essential...
- ...these costs of screening are prohibitive and will constrain scalingup of the initiative unless solutions are found to screening challenge...



What about countries and economies not in PISA?





Over half a million 15-year-olds from 79 countries and economies

OECD countries Partner countries and economies

PISA-D assessment *linked to* or *integrated with* household surveys solves the problems of cost and accessibility

- An international option as part of a future PISA cycle *linked to a household survey* (10 minutes core module and 35 minutes test with results linked to the PISA scale); and
- A shortened PISA-D test (15-20 minutes) integrated with a multi-topic household survey designed solely to discriminate whether respondent is above or below 406 points on reading and 419 points on mathematics – the SDG 4 benchmarks for minimum levels of proficiency - may be part of a future PISA cycle or a completely separate study.

