

HERITAGE EMERGENCY FUND (HEF) RESULTS FRAMEWORK (2020-2021)

Impact: Culture protected and cultural pluralism promoted in emergencies related to conflicts and disasters caused by natural or human-made hazards to strengthen peace, security and resilience (ER5 of 39 C/5)				
Outcome N° 1: Member States improve their preparedness to prevent, mitigate and recover the loss of cultural heritage and diversity as a result of emergencies				
Quantitative and/or qualitative performance indicator (PI):	Baseline (B):	Source and means of verification (M):	Target (T):	Assumptions and risks
PI 1. Percentage of Member States supported in 2018-2019 who have undertaken steps to adopt procedures and measures to improve their preparedness	N/A	Survey	80%	Member States have the necessary human and financial resources
Output N° 1: Capacities of national and local authorities reinforced and technical assistance provided to them for the implementation of emergency preparedness and risk mitigation interventions on cultural heritage and diversity				
Quantitative and/or qualitative performance indicator (PI):	Baseline (B):	Source and means of verification (M):	Target (T):	Assumptions and risks
PI 1. Percentage of Member States whose request of capacity reinforcement or technical assistance has been met	100%	Letters of response to requests/information to beneficiary countries	100%	
PI 2. Percentage of emergency preparedness and risk mitigation interventions on culture, supported by the HEF, including a capacity-reinforcement component	60%	Activity reports	90%	
Activities:				Assumptions and risks
1. Provision of technical assistance ((four) (4) activities) for the implementation of emergency preparedness and risk mitigation interventions on cultural heritage and diversity				Technical assistance is requested by Member States
2. Development of one (1) capacity-reinforcement material on the implementation of emergency preparedness and risk mitigation interventions on cultural heritage and diversity				
3. Organization of three (3) training workshops in different regions, including one for Small Island Developing states (SIDS), on the implementation of emergency preparedness and risk mitigation interventions on cultural heritage and diversity, for professionals in cultural heritage as well as disaster risk reduction, crisis response and emergency management, including women				Representatives of different institutions (national and local) attend
4. Development of one (1) study on cultural heritage and diversity in emergencies				
5. Organization of or participation in two (2) coordination meetings with potential or current partners				
Output N °2: Awareness of Member States raised on the importance to protect and promote cultural heritage and diversity in emergencies				

Quantitative and/or qualitative performance indicator (PI):	Baseline (B):	Source and means of verification (M):	Target (T):	Assumptions and risks
PI 1. Percentage of Member States who have been reached by the awareness-raising material on culture in emergencies developed or updated with the support of the HEF	70%	Transmission letters/ distribution lists	100%	
PI 2. Percentage of Member States who have been invited to information and statutory meetings, or promotional and fundraising events, where the importance to protect and promote cultural heritage and diversity in emergencies was advocated for	10%	Invitation letters/ lists of invitees	20%	
Activities:				Assumptions and risks
1. Development or update and dissemination of one (1) awareness-raising material on culture in emergencies				
2. Advocacy for the importance to protect and promote cultural heritage and diversity in emergencies at six (6) information and statutory meetings, or promotional and fundraising events				
Outcome N°2: Member States improve their emergency response capacity to prevent, mitigate and recover the loss of cultural heritage and diversity as a result of emergencies				
Quantitative and/or qualitative performance indicator (PI):	Baseline (B):	Source and means of verification (M):	Target (T):	Assumptions and risks
PI 1. Percentage of supported Member States whose loss of cultural heritage and diversity during an emergency has been prevented, mitigated and recovered	100%	Activity reports	100%	Emergencies occur and Member States request UNESCO's support
Output N° 1: Technical support provided to Member States through rapid interventions, monitoring, coordination and planning for recovery in favour of cultural heritage and diversity				
Quantitative and/or qualitative performance indicator (PI):	Baseline (B):	Source and means of verification (M):	Target (T):	Assumptions and risks
PI 1. Percentage of Member States whose requests of support have been met	100%	Letters of response to requests	100%	
PI 2. Percentage of emergency response interventions on culture including a capacity-reinforcement component	60%	Reports	90%	
Activities:				Assumptions and risks
1. Deployment of 10 assessment and advisory missions, including Post-Disaster Needs Assessments (PDNA) and Recovery and Peace-Building Assessments (RPBA)				Emergencies occur and Member States request UNESCO's support
2. Implementation of 10 urgent interventions on the ground				Same as above
3. Two (2) documentation and monitoring activities				Same as above

4. Implementation of one Post-Conflict or Post-Disaster training activity targeting professionals in cultural heritage as well as disaster risk management, crisis response and emergency management, including women	Same as above
5. Organization of or participation in two (2) coordination, advocacy or fundraising meetings	Same as above
6. Temporary staff support (two (2) staff) to UNESCO Field Offices for emergency response	Same as above

Outcome N°3: Member States engage in outreach and mobilization of resources for the HEF to support the protection and the promotion of cultural heritage and diversity in emergencies

Quantitative and/or qualitative performance indicator (PI):	Baseline (B):	Source and means of verification (M):	Target (T):	Assumptions and risks
PI 1. Percentage of Member States authorities who have referred to the HEF in their public statements at UNESCO and outside	3%	Records and press files	20% (including 50% of donors and beneficiaries)	
PI 2. Percentage of Member States who have supported the HEF through the provision of human, financial or in-kind resources	5%	Financial and administrative records	10%	

Output N°1: Awareness of Member States raised on the existence of the HEF and its programme of activities

Quantitative and/or qualitative performance indicator (PI):	Baseline (B):	Source and means of verification (M):	Target (T):	Assumptions and risks
PI 1. Percentage of Member States who have been reached by the HEF promotional materials	70%	Transmission letters/ distribution lists	100%	
PI 2. Percentage of Member States who have been invited to information meetings/promotional events where the HEF was presented	8%	Invitation letters/ lists of invitees	20%	
Activities:				Assumptions and risks
1. Development or update and dissemination of four (4) promotional and fundraising materials related to the HEF				
2. Presentation of the HEF and its programme of activities at two (2) information meetings, statutory meetings or promotional events				