UNIT 42

Hand-out 6.b:

Evaluation of the final HANA nomination

Take a look at the copy of the final nomination. It might be submitted in this form for evaluation, where it is more likely to be successful than the initial nomination, but some issues may remain problematic. This hand-out suggests some questions for discussion during the evaluation process. However, please feel free to raise other issues.

### Are the problems identified in the initial nomination resolved?

To guide the discussion, address the following questions:

* Is the community concerned now more appropriately identified and its selection justified?
* Has the focus on festivals and tourism in the initial nomination changed? Where is the focus now?
* Has the full range of bearers and practitioners been sufficiently described?
* Will the safeguarding measures now promote continued practice of the element?
* Will the inscription increase the visibility of ICH beyond the element?
* How have the townspeople of Zabra participated in the nomination process? Is their participation sufficient?
* Is community participation sufficiently visible in the preparation and updating of the inventory?

### Are there any remaining issues and concerns about the nomination?

To guide the discussion, address the following questions:

* Can this procession, if shared across borders with Dolina, be the subject of a multinational nomination at this stage? If so, how might the name of the element be altered?
* If there are similar processions in other towns in the same country, does this mean that the State Party has to include them in this nomination? Is it advisable to do so?
* Did the element cease to be practised for too long to constitute ICH in terms of the Convention? Was it revived from nothing in the 1980s?
* Are there customary practices regarding the selection of participants in the procession that need to be addressed in the safeguarding plan?