UNIT 42

Hand-out 7.b:

Evaluation of the final HADDO HARVEST FESTIVAL nomination

Take a look at the copy of the final nomination. It might be submitted in this form for evaluation, where it is more likely to be successful than the initial nomination, but some issues may remain problematic. This hand-out suggests some questions for discussion during the evaluation process. However, please feel free to raise other issues.

### Are the problems identified in the initial nomination resolved?

To guide the discussion, address the following questions:

* Has balance now been achieved in terms of the quantity and quality of information for the different countries concerned?
* Is the awareness and agreement of all communities and national authorities concerned regarding the shared nature of the proposed element now demonstrated?
* Has sufficient information now been provided to decide whether or not the element is viable in all three countries?
* Does the file now demonstrate that all stakeholders, including the communities concerned, are committed to the multinational character of the nomination[[1]](#footnote-1)?
* Have the concerns regarding environmental sustainability been adequately addressed?
* Does the file now indicate how the nomination could bring the concerned communities and State parties closer together?
* Is the community now at the centre of the safeguarding measures?
* Has the language adopted in the file fostered mutual respect and dialogue with other communities?
* Is the evidence of consent sufficiently diverse?

### Are there any remaining issues and concerns about the nomination?

To guide the discussion, address the following questions:

* What is the value added in this case of a multinational nomination as opposed to separate national ones?
* How should a multinational safeguarding plan address (if at all) the issue of varying degrees of community participation in its implementation in the different countries?
* Threats: what could be the threats to the element following inscription?
* What other safeguarding measures could be suggested?
1. . ITH/15/10.COM/10.para 24 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)