

## ANNEX II

### Quantitative Summary of the Outcomes for Section II

Important notice: The report for *Sanganeb Marine National Park and Dungonab Bay – Mukkawar Island Marine National Park* (Sudan) was submitted after the datasets were extracted, hence it was taken into consideration in the analysis of Section II of the Periodic Report but it was not included in this Quantitative Summary of Outcomes of Section II.

#### 1. World Heritage Property Data

---

Number of properties

	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>81</b>
Gulf	17	0	1	<b>18</b>
Maghreb	28	1	2	<b>31</b>
Middle East	29	2	1	<b>32</b>

1.1 Name of World Heritage property

Number of properties

<b>Validate</b>	<b>Update</b>
81	0

1.2 World Heritage property details

Number of properties

<b>Validate</b>	<b>Update</b>
80	1

1.3 Geographic information table

Number of properties

<b>Validate</b>	<b>Update</b>
78	3

1.4 Map(s)

Number of properties

<b>Validate</b>	<b>Update</b>
74	7

1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

Number of properties

<b>Validate</b>	<b>Update</b>
51	30

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

Number of properties


Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	5	1	1	0	0
Maghreb	1	2	1	1	0
Middle East	14	3	0	0	1
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	1	0
Middle East	1	0	1	0	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	2	1	0
Middle East	0	0	0	0	0

2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary  
Property specific

2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Number of properties


Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Not applicable	No	Yes
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>14</b>
Gulf	2	9	6
Maghreb	14	14	0
Middle East	9	12	8
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	1	0
Middle East	1	0	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	1	0	0
Maghreb	2	0	0
Middle East	1	0	0



2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Number of properties


Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Not applicable	No	Yes
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>4</b>
Gulf	8	7	2
Maghreb	12	14	2
Middle East	18	11	0
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0
Middle East	1	0	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	0	0	1
Maghreb	1	0	1
Middle East	1	0	0



2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Number of properties


Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Not applicable	No	Yes
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>5</b>
Gulf	6	10	1
Maghreb	12	15	1
Middle East	19	7	3
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0
Middle East	1	0	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Gulf	0	0	1
Maghreb	0	0	2
Middle East	1	0	0



2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Not applicable	No	Yes
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	7	10	0
Maghreb	12	16	0
Middle East	16	11	2
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0
Middle East	0	0	2
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	0	0	1
Maghreb	0	2	0
Middle East	0	1	0



2.7 Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes  
Percentage of properties where there is communication on a regular basis between the World Heritage Site Manager and the focal points of other designations/programmes


Region/Site Category/ Sub-Region	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	UNESCO Global Geoparks
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>18.5</b>	<b>16.0</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.5</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>20.3</b>	<b>17.6</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>2.7</b>
Gulf	41.2	47.1	5.9	0.0	5.9
Maghreb	3.6	3.6	3.6	0.0	0.0
Middle East	24.1	13.8	10.3	0.0	3.4
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Maghreb	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle East	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>50.0</b>	<b>50.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Gulf	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
Maghreb	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
Middle East	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)  
Property specific

2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Not aware	No	Yes
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>15</b>
Gulf	6	4	7
Maghreb	1	24	3
Middle East	10	14	5
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1
Middle East	1	0	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	1	0	0
Maghreb	0	2	0
Middle East	1	0	0



2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware.


Property specific



2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Not aware	No	Yes
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>6</b>
Gulf	10	4	3
Maghreb	2	26	0
Middle East	9	17	3
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	1	0
Middle East	2	0	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	1	0	0
Maghreb	0	2	0
Middle East	1	0	0



2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

Property specific

### 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee  
Number of properties

Validate	Update
79	2


3.2 Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall). Average values, missing values are not included in calculation

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>82.4</b>	<b>14.4</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>0.2</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>80.0</b>	<b>10.3</b>	<b>9.1</b>	<b>0.6</b>
Gulf	82.0	10.9	6.9	0.2
Maghreb	90.6	6.9	1.9	0.6
Middle East	67.4	13.1	18.5	1.0
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>96.7</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Maghreb	93.3	6.7	0.0	0.0
Middle East	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>70.6</b>	<b>29.4</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Gulf	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
Maghreb	91.7	8.3	0.0	0.0
Middle East	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0


3.3 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value.  
Property specific

## 4. Factors Affecting the Property


Number of properties




All Properties – Impact (Current/Potential and Negative/Positive):




All Properties - Origin (Inside/Outside and Positive/Negative):




All Properties - Trend (Decreasing/Stable/Increasing and Positive/Negative):




**Buildings and Development: Secondary factors impact**




**Transportation Infrastructure: Secondary factors impact**




**Services Infrastructures: Secondary factors impact**




**Pollution: Secondary factors impact:**




**Biological Resource Use/Modification: Secondary factors impact**



**Physical Resource Extraction: Secondary factors impact**




**Local Conditions Affecting Physical Fabric: Secondary factors impact**







**Social and Cultural Uses of Heritage: Secondary factors impact**




**Other Human Activities: Secondary factors impact:**




**Climate Change and Severe Weather Events: Secondary factors impact**




**Sudden Ecological or Geological Events: Secondary factors impact**



**Invasive/Alien Species or Hyper-Abundant Species: Secondary factors impact**




**Management and Institutional Factors: Secondary factors impact**



## Factor impacts on cultural properties

Number of properties


Cultural properties	Negative		Positive	
	Current	Potential	Current	Potential
<b>Total</b>	<b>863</b>	<b>714</b>	<b>663</b>	<b>461</b>
4.1 Buildings and Development	63	53	61	49
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure	45	24	36	26
4.3 Services Infrastructures	42	28	37	25
4.4 Pollution	71	44	5	3
4.5 Biological resource use/modification	21	23	50	26
4.6 Physical resource extraction	11	15	6	4
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric	205	142	11	12
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage	94	77	117	92
4.9 Other human activities	86	59	0	0
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events	74	101	3	9
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events	32	78	1	1
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species	17	25	0	0
4.13 Management and institutional factors	102	45	336	214



## Factor impacts on mixed properties

Number of properties


Mixed properties	Negative		Positive	
	Current	Potential	Current	Potential
<b>Total</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>16</b>
4.1 Buildings and Development	2	3	1	0
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure	1	2	0	1
4.3 Services Infrastructures	1	1	5	0
4.4 Pollution	2	2	0	0
4.5 Biological resource use/modification	4	3	6	1
4.6 Physical resource extraction	2	2	1	0
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric	15	3	3	0
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage	6	3	7	2
4.9 Other human activities	2	1	0	0
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events	8	3	1	0
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events	1	2	0	0
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species	0	3	0	1
4.13 Management and institutional factors	3	3	15	11



## Factor impacts on natural properties

Number of properties

Natural properties	Negative		Positive	
	Current	Potential	Current	Potential
<b>Total</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>69</b>
4.1 Buildings and Development	4	6	9	7
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure	5	5	5	2
4.3 Services Infrastructures	5	6	7	5
4.4 Pollution	9	9	1	0
4.5 Biological resource use/modification	14	15	15	15
4.6 Physical resource extraction	5	7	3	3
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric	11	14	14	10
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage	8	7	15	10
4.9 Other human activities	5	6	1	1
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events	12	12	0	1
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events	4	5	0	0
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species	8	9	1	1
4.13 Management and institutional factors	9	5	22	14



4.1.6 - 4.13.9 Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 - 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Property specific

**4.14 Other factor(s)**

4.14.1 Other factor(s)

Property specific

## 4.15 Factors Summary Table


### 4.15.1 Factors Summary Table

## 4.16 Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

Number of properties


Region/Site Category	Spatial scale			
	restricted	localised	extensive	widespread
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>668</b>	<b>694</b>	<b>420</b>	<b>270</b>
Culture	537	618	395	236
Mixed	38	39	10	16
Nature	93	37	15	18






Number of properties

Region/Site Category	Temporal scale			
	one off or rare	intermittent or sporadic	frequent	on-going
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>468</b>
Culture	383	512	466	424
Mixed	24	48	14	17
Nature	35	74	27	27




Number of properties

Region/Site Category	Impact			
	insignificant	minor	significant	major
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>744</b>	<b>657</b>	<b>132</b>
Culture	425	628	606	126
Mixed	27	58	13	5
Nature	66	58	38	1




Number of properties

Region/Site Category	Management response			
	high capacity	medium capacity	low capacity	no capacity and/or resources
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>701</b>	<b>732</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>191</b>
Culture	633	611	376	166
Mixed	26	51	12	14
Nature	42	70	40	11



Number of properties

Region/Site Category	Trend		
	decreasing	static	increasing
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>981</b>	<b>777</b>
Culture	232	888	665
Mixed	33	49	21
Nature	28	44	91



**4.17 Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)**

4.17.1 If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor.


Property specific

#### 4.18 Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

Average values, missing values are not included in calculation

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>78.2</b>	<b>13.3</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>0.5</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>78.9</b>	<b>12.9</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>0.6</b>
Gulf	76.3	15.0	8.8	0.0
Maghreb	90.0	7.6	1.6	0.8
Middle East	69.7	16.7	12.8	0.8
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>33.3</b>	<b>40.0</b>	<b>26.7</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Maghreb	0.0	80.0	20.0	0.0
Middle East	50.0	20.0	30.0	0.0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Gulf	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Maghreb	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle East	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0




## 5. Protection and Management of the Property

### 5.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	The boundaries are <b>inadequate to maintain</b> the property's Outstanding Universal Value because <b>some attributes</b> of the property are <b>outside the boundary</b>	The boundaries are <b>inadequate</b> , which makes it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	The boundaries <b>do not limit the ability to maintain</b> the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they <b>could be improved</b>	The boundaries are <b>adequate to maintain</b> the property's Outstanding Universal Value
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>50</b>
Gulf	1	0	4	12
Maghreb	0	2	10	16
Middle East	1	0	6	22
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Maghreb	0	0	0	1
Middle East	0	0	0	2
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>
Gulf	0	0	0	1
Maghreb	0	0	0	2
Middle East	0	0	0	1




5.1.2 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	The boundaries are <b>not known</b> by the management authority or local communities/landowners	The boundaries <b>are known</b> by the management authority <b>but are not known</b> by local communities/landowners	The boundaries <b>are known by both</b> the management authority and local communities/landowners
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>57</b>
Gulf	1	6	10
Maghreb	0	5	23
Middle East	0	5	24
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1
Middle East	0	0	2
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
Gulf	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	2
Middle East	0	0	1

**ARAB STATES**




- The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners
- The boundaries are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners
- The boundaries are not known by the management authority or local communities/landowners

5.1.3 Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b> and <b>does not need one</b>	The property has <b>no buffer zone, but there is a need for one</b>	<b>Inadequacies</b> in the buffer zones <b>make it difficult to maintain</b> the property's Outstanding Universal Value	The buffer zones <b>do not limit the ability to maintain</b> the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved	The buffer zones are <b>adequate to maintain</b> the property's Outstanding Universal Value
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>39</b>
Gulf	1	1	0	5	10
Maghreb	1	5	3	6	13
Middle East	4	1	2	6	16
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0	0	0
Middle East	0	0	0	0	2
<b>Nature</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Gulf	0	0	0	0	1
Maghreb	1	0	0	0	1
Middle East	0	0	0	0	1

**ARAB STATES**






5.1.4 Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	The property has <b>no known and recognised</b> buffer zone	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are <b>not known and recognised</b> by the management authority or local communities/landowners	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are <b>known and recognised</b> by the management authority <b>but are not known</b> by local communities/landowners	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are <b>known and recognised by both</b> the management authority and local communities/landowners
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>39</b>
Gulf	0	1	6	10
Maghreb	6	2	8	12
Middle East	4	0	8	17
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0	0
Middle East	0	0	1	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	1	0	0	1
Middle East	0	0	0	1



5.1.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property  
Property specific

## 5.2 Protective Measures

5.2.1 Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Number of properties

Validate	Update
59	22


5.2.2 Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category  
Property specific

5.2.3 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	There is <b>no legal framework</b> for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property	The <b>legal framework</b> for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is <b>inadequate</b>	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b>	The <b>legal framework</b> for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an <b>adequate basis for effective management and protection</b>
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>39</b>
Gulf	0	0	5	12
Maghreb	1	4	13	10
Middle East	0	3	9	17
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
Maghreb	0	0	0	1
Middle East	0	0	1	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	1	1
Middle East	0	0	0	1

### ARAB STATES




- The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection
- An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation
- The legal framework for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate
- There is no legal framework for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property

5.2.4 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

Number of properties

<b>Region/Site Category/Sub-Region</b>	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	There is <b>no legal framework</b> in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property	The <b>legal framework</b> in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is <b>inadequate</b>	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b>	The <b>legal framework</b> in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an <b>adequate basis for effective management and protection</b>
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>27</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	8	8
Maghreb	6	1	6	8	7
Middle East	4	0	4	9	12
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0	0	0
Middle East	0	0	0	2	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	0	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	1	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	0	0	0	1

## ARAB STATES




- An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation
- The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection
- The property has no buffer zone
- The legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate
- There is no legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property

5.2.5 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	There is <b>no legal framework</b> for controlling use and activities in the broader setting of the World Heritage property	The <b>legal framework</b> for the broader setting of the World Heritage property is <b>inadequate</b> to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b> which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	The <b>legal framework</b> for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an <b>adequate basis</b> for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>41</b>
Gulf	0	0	7	10
Maghreb	4	0	10	14
Middle East	0	4	8	17
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Maghreb	0	0	0	1
Middle East	0	1	0	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	0	2
Middle East	0	0	0	1

**ARAB STATES**




- The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property
- An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property
- The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property is inadequate to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property
- There is no legal framework for controlling use and activities in the broader setting of the World Heritage property

5.2.6 Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	There is <b>no effective capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property	There are <b>major deficiencies in capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property	There is <b>acceptable capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	There is <b>adequate capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>18</b>
Gulf	1	4	8	4
Maghreb	1	6	16	5
Middle East	0	3	17	9
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	0	2	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	2	0
Middle East	0	0	0	1

**ARAB STATES**



- There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain
- There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property
- There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property
- There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Property specific

5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

Property specific




### 5.3 Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 Please check the box(es) which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Public management system at national level	Public management system at provincial/regional level	Public management system joint national/local	Public management system joint regional/local	Traditional management system	Local community management	Charitable management (e.g. by NGO)	Private ownership/management	Other
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Gulf	8	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	0
Maghreb	8	1	15	2	0	0	0	0	2
Middle East	12	3	7	3	2	0	1	0	1
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Middle East	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maghreb	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Middle East	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

### ARAB STATES



5.3.2 Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property. Number of properties

	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property	45	1	3	<b>49</b>
Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)	25	3	1	<b>29</b>
Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups	23	1	2	<b>26</b>
Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property	23	0	2	<b>25</b>
Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management	21	2	2	<b>25</b>
Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups	13	3	2	<b>18</b>
A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property	12	1	2	<b>15</b>
A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups	9	0	2	<b>11</b>
A code of practice developed by industry	6	0	0	<b>6</b>
An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations	14	2	1	<b>17</b>
A management plan	35	2	3	<b>40</b>
An annual work plan or business plan	34	2	2	<b>38</b>
A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan	14	1	0	<b>15</b>
A visitor/visitation management plan	19	2	1	<b>22</b>
An environmental management framework	13	2	1	<b>16</b>
An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property	6	2	1	<b>9</b>
A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage	18	3	1	<b>22</b>
Other (please specify below)	9	0	0	<b>9</b>

5.3.3 Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property.

Property specific

5.3.4 Management Documents

Number of properties


<b>Validate</b>	<b>Update</b>
58	22

5.3.5 Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape	Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape	The policy for dealing with development proposals is <b>fully based</b> on the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape	The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is <b>not relevant</b> to this property
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>18</b>
Gulf	7	3	5	2
Maghreb	14	7	0	7
Middle East	10	7	3	9
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0	0
Middle East	1	0	0	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Gulf	1	0	0	0
Maghreb	0	0	0	2
Middle East	0	0	0	1

**ARAB STATES**




5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

Property specific

5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change	The policy for dealing with climate change is <b>fully based</b> on the agreed World Heritage policy
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>
Gulf	14	1	2
Maghreb	26	2	0
Middle East	21	5	3
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0
Middle East	1	1	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	1	0	0
Maghreb	2	0	0
Middle East	0	1	0




5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property.

Property specific

5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

Number of properties

<b>Region/Site Category/Sub-Region</b>	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties	The risk management policy is <b>fully based</b> on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>4</b>
Gulf	9	7	1
Maghreb	23	5	0
Middle East	11	15	3
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0
Middle East	1	1	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	1	0	0
Maghreb	2	0	0
Middle East	1	0	0



5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.


Property specific

5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	There is <b>no coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property	There is <b>little coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property	There is <b>coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it <b>could be improved</b>	There is <b>adequate coordination</b> between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>9</b>
Gulf	1	0	14	2
Maghreb	0	5	21	2
Middle East	0	3	21	5
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
Maghreb	0	0	0	1
Middle East	0	0	1	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	2	0
Middle East	0	0	0	1

### ARAB STATES



- There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved
- There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property
- There is little coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property
- There is no coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property




5.3.12 Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	The management system/plan is not adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>34</b>
Gulf	0	0	4	13
Maghreb	9	2	7	10
Middle East	5	3	10	11
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	0	0	2
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
Gulf	0	0	0	1
Maghreb	0	0	1	1
Middle East	0	0	0	1

**ARAB STATES**




- The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value
- The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value
- No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value
- The management system/plan is not adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 Is the management system being implemented?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	No management system is currently in place	The management system is <b>not</b> being implemented	The management system is being only <b>partially</b> implemented	The management system is being <b>fully</b> implemented and monitored
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>14</b>
Gulf	0	0	11	6
Maghreb	10	0	15	3
Middle East	6	1	17	5
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	0	1	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	0	0	0	1
Maghreb	0	0	2	0
Middle East	0	0	0	1

**ARAB STATES**




5.3.14 Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

Number of properties

<b>Region/Site Category/Sub-Region</b>	<b>No annual work/action plan exists and it is not needed</b>	<b>No annual work/action plan exists despite an identified need</b>	<b>An annual work/action plan exists but few of its activities are being implemented</b>	<b>An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented</b>	<b>An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored</b>
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>14</b>
Gulf	0	1	5	8	3
Maghreb	1	6	8	5	8
Middle East	1	5	9	11	3
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	1	0	1	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	0	1	0	0	0
Maghreb	0	0	1	1	0
Middle East	0	0	0	0	1


### ARAB STATES



- An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented
- An annual work/action plan exists but few of its activities are being implemented
- An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored
- No annual work/action plan exists despite an identified need
- No annual work/action plan exists and it is not needed

5.3.15 Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property? Average values, numeric values 2 = No mechanisms for participation; 3 = Some participation; 4 = Direct participation; 5 = Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes; value 1 and missing are not included in calculation.

	Culture	Mixed	Nature	Total
Local communities	3.1	3.3	3.8	<b>3.4</b>
Local authorities	3.9	3.3	4.2	<b>3.8</b>
Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	3.2	2.8	3.5	<b>3.1</b>
Indigenous peoples	3.1	0.0	3.5	<b>3.3</b>
Women	3.2	3.0	3.0	<b>3.1</b>
Other specific groups	3.1	0.0	3.0	<b>3.1</b>



5.3.16 Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups  
Average values, numeric values 2 = Non-existent, 3 = Poor, 4 = Fair, 5 = Good, value 1 and missing are not included in calculation.

	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
Local communities	4.1	4.8	4.7	<b>4.5</b>
Local/Municipal authorities	4.6	4.3	4.8	<b>4.5</b>
Indigenous peoples	3.9	4.0	4.5	<b>4.1</b>
Landowners	3.7	3.5	4.0	<b>3.7</b>
Women	3.8	4.3	4.0	<b>4.0</b>
Youth/Children	3.9	4.8	4.0	<b>4.2</b>
Researchers	4.3	4.5	4.5	<b>4.4</b>
Local Visitors/Tourists	4.1	4.5	4.8	<b>4.5</b>
National/International tourists	4.1	4.5	4.7	<b>4.4</b>
Tourism Industry	4.1	4.5	4.0	<b>4.2</b>
Local businesses and industries	3.5	3.3	3.5	<b>3.4</b>
NGOs	3.8	4.8	4.7	<b>4.4</b>
Other specific groups	3.4	0.0	3.0	<b>3.2</b>

5.3.17 Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention  
Average values, numeric values 2 = No contribution, 3 = Limited, 4 = Significant, 5 = Full achievement, value 1 and missing are not included in calculation.

	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	3.4	4.5	2.8	<b>3.6</b>
The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	3.2	4.0	3.8	<b>3.7</b>
The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	3.6	4.5	4.0	<b>4.0</b>
The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	3.5	4.8	3.5	<b>3.9</b>
The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood	3.8	4.0	4.2	<b>4.0</b>
The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	3.9	4.0	3.7	<b>3.9</b>

5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above.

Property specific

5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan.

Property specific

## 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1 Funding

6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

Average values, missing values are not included in calculation

<b>Project costs</b>	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	2.4	0.0	15.0	<b>5.8</b>
Bilateral international funding	6.1	0.0	53.2	<b>19.7</b>
World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	3.3	0.0	0.3	<b>1.2</b>
Contribution from other conventions and programmes	2.3	0.0	0.0	<b>0.8</b>
International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	6.8	0.0	3.0	<b>3.3</b>
Governmental (national/ federal)	52.9	75.0	26.8	<b>51.6</b>
Governmental (regional/ provincial/ state)	11.6	0.0	0.0	<b>3.9</b>
Governmental (local/ municipal)	7.0	25.0	0.0	<b>10.7</b>
In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	1.7	0.0	1.7	<b>1.1</b>
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	1.9	0.0	0.0	<b>0.6</b>
Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0.6	0.0	0.0	<b>0.2</b>
Other	3.4	0.0	0.0	<b>1.1</b>

Average values, missing values are not included in calculation



<b>Running costs</b>	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0.3	0.0	13.3	<b>4.6</b>
Bilateral international funding	3.1	0.0	14.3	<b>5.8</b>
World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0.8	0.0	0.0	<b>0.3</b>
Contribution from other conventions and programmes	2.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.7</b>
International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	2.8	0.0	0.8	<b>1.2</b>
Governmental (national/ federal)	55.8	75.0	64.8	<b>65.2</b>
Governmental (regional/ provincial/ state)	13.4	0.0	0.0	<b>4.5</b>
Governmental (local/ municipal)	11.8	17.5	0.0	<b>9.8</b>
In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0.6	0.0	0.0	<b>0.2</b>
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	2.8	7.5	0.0	<b>3.4</b>
Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	1.3	0.0	6.7	<b>2.6</b>
Other	5.3	0.0	0.0	<b>1.8</b>

6.1.2 Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above.


Property specific

6.1.3 Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	There is <b>no budget</b> for the effective management of the World Heritage property <b>despite an identified need</b>	The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage	The available budget is <b>acceptable but could be further improved</b> to fully meet the management needs	The available budget is <b>adequate</b> for effective management of the World Heritage property
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>9</b>
Gulf	2	2	8	5
Maghreb	3	13	10	2
Middle East	5	12	10	2
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	2	0	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	0	1	0	0
Maghreb	0	0	2	0
Middle East	0	0	1	0

**ARAB STATES**




6.1.4 Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	The existing sources of funding are <b>not secure</b>	The existing sources of funding are <b>secure over the medium-term</b> and <b>planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term</b>	The existing sources of funding are <b>secure over both the medium- and long-term</b>
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>19</b>
Gulf	4	3	10
Maghreb	8	13	7
Middle East	11	16	2
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1
Middle East	1	0	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	1	0	0
Maghreb	0	2	0
Middle East	0	0	1

**ARAB STATES**




- The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term
- The existing sources of funding are not secure
- The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure.

Property specific

6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities. Average values, missing values are not included in calculation

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	From local communities %		From elsewhere %	
	Men	Women	Men	Women
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>67.6</b>	<b>32.4</b>	<b>57.6</b>	<b>42.4</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>67.2</b>	<b>32.8</b>	<b>68.8</b>	<b>31.2</b>
Gulf	60.0	40.0	68.0	32.0
Maghreb	71.1	28.9	75.3	24.7
Middle East	70.3	29.7	63.0	37.0
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>62.5</b>	<b>37.5</b>	<b>37.5</b>	<b>62.5</b>
Maghreb	40.0	60.0	35.0	65.0
Middle East	85.0	15.0	40.0	60.0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>73.0</b>	<b>27.0</b>	<b>66.5</b>	<b>33.5</b>
Gulf	90.0	10.0	60.0	40.0
Maghreb	49.0	51.0	54.5	45.5
Middle East	80.0	20.0	85.0	15.0




6.1.7 Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	No human resources are dedicated to managing the property despite an identified need	Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for management needs	Human resources <b>partly meet</b> the management needs of the World Heritage property	Human resources are <b>adequate</b> for management needs
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>10</b>
Gulf	0	3	10	4
Maghreb	2	9	16	1
Middle East	2	9	13	5
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	0	2	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	0	0	0	1
Maghreb	0	0	2	0
Middle East	0	0	1	0

**ARAB STATES**



6.1.8 Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines  
Average values, numeric values 1 = Not available, 2 = Poor, 3 = Fair, 4 = Good, value 0 and missing are not included in calculation.


	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
Conservation	3.1	2.8	3.3	<b>3.1</b>
Environmental sustainability	2.2	3.0	3.3	<b>2.8</b>
Community participation and inclusion	2.4	3.0	2.8	<b>2.8</b>
Risk preparedness	2.1	1.5	1.5	<b>1.7</b>
Capacity development and education	2.3	2.8	2.7	<b>2.6</b>
Administration	3.1	3.0	3.2	<b>3.1</b>
Research and monitoring	2.8	3.0	2.7	<b>2.8</b>
Awareness raising and public information/communication	2.7	3.0	3.3	<b>3.0</b>
Marketing and promotion	2.1	2.0	2.2	<b>2.1</b>
Interpretation	2.7	2.8	3.0	<b>2.8</b>
Visitor management/ tourism	2.6	3.0	3.0	<b>2.9</b>
Enforcement (custodians, police)	3.0	3.3	3.2	<b>3.1</b>

6.1.9 Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines  
Average values, numeric values 1 = Not available, 2 = Poor, 3 = Fair, 4 = Good, value 0 and missing are not included in calculation.

	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
Conservation	2.8	2.8	3.2	<b>2.9</b>
Environmental sustainability	1.8	2.3	2.7	<b>2.2</b>
Community participation and inclusion	2.1	2.0	2.7	<b>2.2</b>
Risk preparedness	2.1	1.3	1.0	<b>1.4</b>
Capacity development and education	2.4	2.0	2.0	<b>2.1</b>
Administration	2.8	2.5	2.0	<b>2.4</b>
Research and monitoring	2.6	2.5	2.7	<b>2.6</b>
Awareness raising and public information/communication	2.3	2.5	2.2	<b>2.3</b>
Marketing and promotion	1.9	1.8	1.0	<b>1.6</b>
Interpretation	2.3	2.0	1.8	<b>2.1</b>
Visitor management/ tourism	2.4	2.5	2.0	<b>2.3</b>
Enforcement (custodians, police)	2.7	2.3	2.5	<b>2.5</b>

6.1.10 Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?  
Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building	Training and capacity building at this property is fully based on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>7</b>
Gulf	11	4	2
Maghreb	21	7	0
Middle East	12	12	5
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0
Middle East	0	2	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	0	1	0
Maghreb	2	0	0
Middle East	0	1	0



6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.  
Property specific




6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	There is <b>no</b> site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is <b>not implemented</b> and skills are not being transferred	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and <b>partially implemented</b> ; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and <b>fully implemented</b> ; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>8</b>
Gulf	4	1	11	1
Maghreb	15	3	8	2
Middle East	10	6	8	5
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	1	0	0	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	2	0	0
Middle East	0	0	0	1

**ARAB STATES**



- There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred
- A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff
- A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred
- A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training. Property specific


## 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	There is <b>no knowledge</b> available about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property	Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is <b>insufficient</b>	Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is <b>acceptable</b> for most key areas but there are gaps	Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is <b>adequate</b>
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>
Gulf	0	2	8	7
Maghreb	0	2	9	17
Middle East	1	5	14	9
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Maghreb	0	0	0	1
Middle East	0	0	0	2
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	2	0
Middle East	0	0	0	1

### ARAB STATES



- Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate
- Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps
- Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient
- There is no knowledge available about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property

7.2 Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?


Number of properties

Report on the results of the Third Cycle of Periodic Reporting exercise in the Arab States

WHC/21/44.COM/10A, p.312

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	There is <b>no research</b> taking place in the World Heritage property <b>despite an identified need</b>	There is a <b>small amount of research</b> , but it is <b>not planned</b>	There is <b>considerable research</b> but it is <b>not directed towards management needs</b> and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	There is a <b>comprehensive, integrated programme of research</b> , which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>16</b>
Gulf	2	4	3	8
Maghreb	7	5	13	3
Middle East	5	7	12	5
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	1	1	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
Gulf	0	0	0	1
Maghreb	0	0	1	1
Middle East	0	0	0	1

### ARAB STATES




- There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value
- There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value
- There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned
- There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need

7.3 Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Research results are not shared at any level	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies	Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>16</b>
Gulf	3	2	6	6
Maghreb	0	15	9	4
Middle East	6	14	3	6
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	2	0	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	0	1	0	0
Maghreb	1	0	0	1
Middle East	0	0	0	1

**ARAB STATES**



- Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies
- Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies
- Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences
- Research results are not shared at any level

7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects.

Property specific

## 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

---

8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Average values, numeric values 1 = Non-existent, 2 = Poor, 3 = Fair, 4 = Good, value 0 and missing are not included in calculation.


	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
Local communities	2.7	3.5	3.0	<b>3.1</b>
Local/municipal authorities	3.1	3.5	3.5	<b>3.4</b>
Indigenous peoples	2.7	3.0	1.5	<b>2.4</b>
Landowners	2.6	3.3	3.0	<b>3.0</b>
Women	2.5	3.0	2.0	<b>2.5</b>
Youth/children	2.4	3.3	3.2	<b>2.9</b>
Researchers	3.7	4.0	3.7	<b>3.8</b>
Local visitors	3.0	3.5	3.3	<b>3.3</b>
National/ international tourists	3.3	3.8	3.3	<b>3.5</b>
Tourism industry	3.3	3.8	3.8	<b>3.6</b>
Local businesses and industries	2.6	3.3	2.7	<b>2.8</b>
NGOs	3.0	3.5	4.0	<b>3.5</b>
Other specific groups	3.2	0.0	0.0	<b>3.2</b>

8.2 Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

Number of properties

<b>Region/Site Category/Sub-Region</b>	There is <b>no need</b> for an education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	There is <b>no</b> education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	There is a <b>limited and ad hoc</b> education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	There is a <b>planned</b> education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it <b>only partly meets the needs</b>	There is a <b>planned and effective</b> education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6</b>
Gulf	0	1	5	10	1
Maghreb	0	11	13	2	2
Middle East	0	10	12	4	3
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	0	1	1	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	0	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	2	0	0
Middle East	0	0	0	1	0

### ARAB STATES



- There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth
- There is no education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need
- There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs
- There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Number of properties

	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
Local communities	66	3	4	<b>73</b>
Local/municipal authorities	54	3	4	<b>61</b>
Indigenous peoples	27	2	2	<b>31</b>
Landowners	38	3	1	<b>42</b>
Women	42	2	3	<b>47</b>
Youth/children	65	3	4	<b>72</b>
Researchers	33	3	1	<b>37</b>
Local Visitors	51	3	2	<b>56</b>
National/international tourists	41	3	2	<b>46</b>
Tourism industry	42	2	2	<b>46</b>
Local businesses and industries	31	3	1	<b>35</b>
NGOs	37	3	2	<b>42</b>
Other specific groups	3	0	0	<b>3</b>



8.4 Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Average values, numeric values 0 = Not needed, 1 = Not provided but needed, 2 = Poor, 3 = Fair, 4 = Good, missing values are not included in calculation.

	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
Visitor centre	2.5	3.3	2.0	<b>2.6</b>
Site museum	2.6	3.3	2.7	<b>2.8</b>
Information booths	1.8	2.3	2.3	<b>2.1</b>
Guided tours	2.9	3.5	2.8	<b>3.1</b>
Trails/routes	2.9	3.5	3.2	<b>3.2</b>
Printed information materials	2.8	3.5	3.2	<b>3.1</b>
Online (website, social media, etc.)	2.3	2.5	3.0	<b>2.6</b>
Transportation facilities	2.3	2.8	2.0	<b>2.3</b>
Other	0.4	0.0	2.0	<b>0.8</b>

8.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building.  
Property specific

## 9. Visitor Management

9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report  
Property specific

9.2 What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?


Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Entry tickets and registries	Accommodation establishments	Transportation services	Tourism industry	Visitor surveys	Other
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>
Gulf	7	2	1	6	9	6
Maghreb	20	4	1	14	1	7
Middle East	20	5	4	3	10	7
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0	0	0	0
Middle East	1	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	1	0	0	1	0	0
Maghreb	2	1	0	0	1	0
Middle East	1	0	0	0	0	1

9.3 What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Number of properties

<b>Region/Site Category/Sub-Region</b>	<b>One to three hours</b>	<b>One day (no overnight stay)</b>	<b>Overnight stay</b>	<b>Two overnight stays</b>	<b>More than four overnight stays</b>
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	10	5	1	1	0
Maghreb	10	7	3	6	2
Middle East	17	5	1	5	0
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Maghreb	0	0	0	0	1
Middle East	1	0	1	0	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	0	0	0	0	1
Maghreb	0	1	0	1	0
Middle East	0	0	1	0	0



9.4 Please provide the source of information

Property specific

9.5 What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

Property specific

9.6 Please provide the source of information


Property specific

9.7 Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	There is <b>no strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	There is a <b>strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is <b>not implemented</b>	There is a <b>strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are <b>some deficiencies in implementation</b>	There is a <b>planned and effective strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>7</b>
Gulf	3	5	6	3
Maghreb	17	2	8	1
Middle East	11	6	9	3
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	0	2	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	2	0	0
Middle East	0	0	0	1

### ARAB STATES



- There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property
- There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation
- There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented
- There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7


Property specific

9.9 Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is <b>not being actively managed despite an identified need</b>	There is <b>some management</b> of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is <b>effectively managed</b> and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>14</b>
Gulf	2	4	6	5
Maghreb	9	2	12	5
Middle East	9	5	11	4
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	0	2	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	1	1
Middle East	0	0	0	1

### ARAB STATES




- Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made
- Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need
- Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value
- There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

9.10 Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	No	Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool	Yes, using a different system
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>20</b>
Gulf	11	3	3
Maghreb	19	0	9
Middle East	18	3	8
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1
Middle East	0	0	2
<b>Nature</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	1	0	0
Maghreb	1	0	1
Middle East	0	0	1

**ARAB STATES**




9.11 How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?  
Number of properties

<b>Region/Site Category/Sub-Region</b>	Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is <b>no contact</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry	There is <b>contact</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is <b>largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters</b>	There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	There is <b>good cooperation</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>12</b>
Gulf	4	3	5	5
Maghreb	5	9	10	4
Middle East	6	9	11	3
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Maghreb	0	0	0	1
Middle East	0	2	0	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	0	0	0	1
Maghreb	0	1	1	0
Middle East	0	0	0	1



### ARAB STATES




- There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation
- There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters
- There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation
- Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry

9.12 How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

Number of properties

<b>Region/Site Category/Sub-Region</b>	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is <b>not</b> presented or interpreted	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is <b>not adequately</b> presented and interpreted	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is <b>acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is <b>adequately</b> presented and interpreted
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>9</b>
Gulf	4	2	6	5
Maghreb	3	8	14	3
Middle East	6	9	13	1
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	0	2	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	2	0
Middle East	0	0	0	1

## ARAB STATES




- The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made
- The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted
- The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not presented or interpreted
- The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Number of properties

<b>Region/Site Category/Sub-Region</b>	<b>Not displayed at all</b>	<b>In one location, but not easily visible to visitors</b>	<b>In one location and easily visible to visitors</b>	<b>In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors</b>	<b>In many locations and easily visible to visitors</b>
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>18</b>
Gulf	4	2	5	4	2
Maghreb	4	4	9	3	8
Middle East	7	2	8	4	8
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Maghreb	0	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	1	0	0	0	0
Maghreb	0	0	0	1	1
Middle East	0	0	0	0	1

### ARAB STATES




9.14 How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Number of properties

<b>Region/Site Category/Sub-Region</b>	<b>No fees</b> are collected	While there is the <b>authority to collect fees, they are not collected</b>	<b>Fees are collected</b> , but make <b>no contribution</b> to the management of the World Heritage property	<b>Fees are collected</b> , and make <b>some contribution</b> to the management of the World Heritage property	<b>Fees are collected</b> and make a <b>substantial contribution</b> to the management of the World Heritage property
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>5</b>
Gulf	12	1	3	1	0
Maghreb	3	2	7	16	0
Middle East	12	0	8	4	5
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0	0
Middle East	0	0	1	1	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	1	0	0	0	0
Maghreb	1	0	1	0	0
Middle East	0	0	1	0	0

### ARAB STATES




- No fees are collected
- Fees are collected, but make no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property
- Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property
- Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property
- While there is the authority to collect fees, they are not collected

9.15 Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Not applicable	No	Yes
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>26</b>
Gulf	4	8	5
Maghreb	7	10	11
Middle East	4	15	10
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1
Middle East	0	0	2
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	0	0	1
Maghreb	0	2	0
Middle East	0	0	1






9.16 Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Not applicable	No	Yes
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>24</b>
Gulf	8	5	4
Maghreb	3	17	8
Middle East	6	11	12
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1
Middle East	0	1	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
Gulf	0	0	1
Maghreb	0	1	1
Middle East	0	0	1



9.17 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property  
Property specific


## 10. Monitoring

10.1 Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	There is <b>no</b> monitoring taking place at the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need	There is a <b>small amount</b> of monitoring, but it is not planned	There is <b>considerable</b> monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	There is a <b>comprehensive, integrated programme</b> of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>18</b>
Gulf	1	4	6	6
Maghreb	2	13	8	5
Middle East	1	7	14	7
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	1	1	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	1	1
Middle East	0	0	0	1

### ARAB STATES




- There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value
- There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned
- There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value
- There is no monitoring taking place at the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need

10.2 Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?  
Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	There is <b>little or no information available</b> on the values of the World Heritage property to define key indicators	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is <b>sufficient to define key indicators</b> , but this <b>has not been done</b>	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is <b>adequate</b> and <b>key indicators</b> have been <b>defined</b> but <b>monitoring</b> of the status of indicators <b>could be improved</b>	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is <b>adequate</b> and <b>key indicators</b> have been <b>defined</b> for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>9</b>
Gulf	1	2	12	2
Maghreb	7	4	14	3
Middle East	2	6	17	4
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	0	2	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	1	1
Middle East	0	0	0	1

### ARAB STATES



- Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved
- Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done
- Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained
- There is little or no information available on the values of the World Heritage property to define key indicators

10.3 Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

Number of properties where indicators have been (i) defined and (ii) defined and in use

	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
State of conservation	60	3	4	<b>67</b>
Effectiveness of the management system	56	3	4	<b>63</b>
Character of governance	40	2	3	<b>45</b>
Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	42	3	4	<b>49</b>
Contribution to sustainable development	44	2	4	<b>50</b>
Capacity development	50	3	4	<b>57</b>

10.4 Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property.

Property specific

10.5 Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:


Average values, numeric values 1 = Non-existent, 2 = Poor, 3 = Fair, 4 = Good, value 0 and missing are not included in calculation.

	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	3.6	3.5	3.2	<b>3.4</b>
Local/municipal authorities	2.7	2.5	2.3	<b>2.5</b>
Local communities	2.3	3.3	2.8	<b>2.8</b>
Indigenous peoples	2.3	2.0	2.0	<b>2.1</b>
Landowners	2.1	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>
Women	2.2	2.3	1.7	<b>2.0</b>
Researchers	2.9	2.8	3.8	<b>3.2</b>
Tourism industry	2.2	2.3	2.7	<b>2.4</b>
Local businesses and industry	1.8	1.8	1.0	<b>1.5</b>
NGOs	2.3	2.8	2.0	<b>2.4</b>
Other specific groups	2.3	3.0	0.0	<b>2.7</b>

10.6 Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?  
Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	No relevant Committee recommendations to implement	Implementation is planned, but has not yet begun	Implementation is underway	Implementation is complete
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>3</b>
Gulf	3	1	13	0
Maghreb	8	4	15	1
Middle East	3	6	18	2
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	1	1	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	1	0	1	0
Middle East	0	0	0	1

### ARAB STATES



10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

Property specific

10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Property specific

## 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 Identification of Priority Management Needs

Property specific

## **12. Summary and Conclusions**

---

### **12.1 Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

12.1.1 Summary - Factors affecting the Property

Property specific

### **12.2 Summary - Management Needs**

12.2.1 Summary - Management Needs

Property specific

### 12.3 Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property


12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

Number of properties

<b>Region/Site Category/Sub-Region</b>	<b>Not applicable</b> (sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x (natural World Heritage properties))	The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been <b>lost</b>	The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been <b>seriously compromised</b> by factors described in this report	The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been <b>compromised</b> by factors described in this report	The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been <b>preserved</b>
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>59</b>
Gulf	0	0	0	2	15
Maghreb	0	0	0	3	25
Middle East	0	0	3	6	19
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Maghreb	0	0	0	0	1
Middle East	0	0	0	0	2
<b>Nature</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Gulf	0	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	1	0	0	0	1
Middle East	1	0	0	0	0




## ARAB STATES



12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?  
Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	The Integrity of the World Heritage property is <b>intact</b>	The Integrity of the World Heritage property has been <b>compromised</b> by factors described in this report	The Integrity of the World Heritage property has been <b>seriously compromised</b> by factors described in this report	The Integrity of the World Heritage property has been <b>lost</b>
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	15	2	0	0
Maghreb	25	2	1	0
Middle East	17	5	6	0
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0	0
Middle East	2	0	0	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	0	1	0	0
Maghreb	2	0	0	0
Middle East	1	0	0	0

**ARAB STATES**




12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been <b>maintained</b>	The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been <b>impacted</b> by factors described in this report, <b>but this situation is being addressed</b> through effective management actions	The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been <b>seriously impacted</b> by factors described in this report, <b>but this situation can be addressed, or is currently being addressed</b> through management actions	The World Heritage property has <b>lost its Outstanding Universal Value</b>
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	13	3	1	0
Maghreb	25	3	0	0
Middle East	17	5	6	0
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	1	0	0	0
Middle East	1	1	0	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	0	1	0	0
Maghreb	2	0	0	0
Middle East	1	0	0	0

### ARAB STATES




- The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained
- The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions
- The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been seriously impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation can be addressed, or is currently being addressed through management actions

### 12.3.4 What is the current state of the property's other values?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Other important cultural and/or natural values are being <b>severely degraded</b> and have had an impact on the state of conservation of the World Heritage property	Other important cultural and/or natural values are being <b>degraded</b> and <b>have had an impact on the state of conservation</b> of the World Heritage property	Other important cultural and/or natural values are being <b>partially degraded</b> but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property <b>has not been significantly impacted</b>	Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are <b>intact</b>
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>44</b>
Gulf	0	0	7	10
Maghreb	1	2	9	16
Middle East	2	0	8	18
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Maghreb	0	0	0	1
Middle East	0	1	0	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	1	1
Middle East	0	0	0	1

#### ARAB STATES



- Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact
- Other important cultural and/or natural values are being partially degraded but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted
- Other important cultural and/or natural values are being severely degraded and have had an impact on the state of conservation of the World Heritage property
- Other important cultural and/or natural values are being degraded and have had an impact on the state of conservation of the World Heritage property

### 12.3.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property. Property specific

### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas  
Average values, numeric values 1 = Negative, 2 = No impact, 3 = Positive, 4 = Very positive, value 0 and missing are not included in calculation.

	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
Conservation	3.2	2.5	4.0	<b>3.2</b>
Research and monitoring	3.2	3.0	3.8	<b>3.3</b>
Management effectiveness	3.0	3.3	3.7	<b>3.3</b>
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	2.7	2.8	3.2	<b>2.9</b>
Recognition	3.1	3.0	3.7	<b>3.3</b>
Education	2.8	3.0	3.0	<b>2.9</b>
Infrastructure development	2.7	2.0	2.8	<b>2.5</b>
Funding for the property	2.8	2.3	2.5	<b>2.5</b>
International cooperation	2.9	2.5	3.0	<b>2.8</b>
Political support for conservation	3.1	2.8	3.7	<b>3.2</b>
Legal/Policy framework	3.1	3.3	3.8	<b>3.4</b>
Advocacy	2.9	2.5	3.7	<b>3.0</b>
Institutional coordination	2.9	3.0	3.2	<b>3.0</b>
Security	2.8	2.5	3.3	<b>2.9</b>
Gender equality	2.7	3.0	2.2	<b>2.6</b>
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	2.6	2.5	3.2	<b>2.8</b>
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	2.7	3.0	2.7	<b>2.8</b>
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	2.8	2.3	3.5	<b>2.8</b>
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	2.8	2.5	3.0	<b>2.8</b>
Other	2.9	0.0	0.0	<b>2.9</b>

13.2 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts.

Report on the results of the Third Cycle of Periodic Reporting exercise  
in the Arab States

WHC/21/44.COM/10A, p.347

Property specific

#### 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

---

14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level.

Property specific

14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level.

Number of properties

	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
Sustainable Development	46	3	3	<b>52</b>
Synergies	29	3	3	<b>35</b>
State of Conservation	64	2	4	<b>70</b>
Management	56	2	4	<b>62</b>
Governance	44	2	3	<b>49</b>
Capacity Building	45	2	2	<b>49</b>

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

---

### 15.1 Relevance of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1.1 Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?


Number of properties

	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
The World Heritage Convention	66	3	3	<b>72</b>
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value	64	3	3	<b>70</b>
The property's Outstanding Universal Value	60	3	4	<b>67</b>
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity	54	3	2	<b>59</b>
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity	52	3	3	<b>58</b>
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value	63	3	4	<b>70</b>
Monitoring and reporting	65	3	4	<b>72</b>

15.1.2 Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

Average values, numeric values 0 = Not needed, 1 = No follow-up, 2 = Poor, 3 = Fair, 4 = Good, missing values are not included in calculation.

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	State Party	Site Managers	UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>2.7</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>2.7</b>
Gulf	2.6	2.9	2.9	2.7
Maghreb	3.6	3.5	3.5	2.9
Middle East	2.9	3.3	3.1	2.5
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>1.5</b>
Maghreb	4.0	4.0	4.0	1.0
Middle East	2.5	3.5	2.0	2.0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.8</b>
Gulf	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Maghreb	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5
Middle East	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0





## 15.2 Use of Data

15.2.1 How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?  
Number of properties

	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage	69	3	3	<b>75</b>
Update of management plans	48	1	3	<b>52</b>
Fundraising	31	3	4	<b>38</b>
Awareness raising	58	2	3	<b>63</b>
Advocacy	42	3	3	<b>48</b>
Other	6	0	1	<b>7</b>

15.2.2 Comments on use of data from the Third Cycle of Periodic Reporting  
Property specific

### 15.3 Timing and resources

15.3.1 Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Number of properties


	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage	50	3	4	<b>57</b>
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff	69	3	4	<b>76</b>
Focal points of other international conventions/programmes	34	1	3	<b>38</b>
Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/programmes	19	1	2	<b>22</b>
Staff from other World Heritage properties	28	1	3	<b>32</b>
UNESCO National Commission	12	0	1	<b>13</b>
Local communities	15	2	2	<b>19</b>
Indigenous people	7	1	2	<b>10</b>
Other specific groups	3	0	0	<b>3</b>
Non-Governmental Organizations	13	1	1	<b>15</b>
ICOMOS International	10	1	0	<b>11</b>
ICOMOS national/regional	8	0	0	<b>8</b>
IUCN International	5	1	0	<b>6</b>
IUCN national/regional	3	0	0	<b>3</b>
ICCROM International/regional	8	1	0	<b>9</b>
External experts	12	1	1	<b>14</b>
Donors	5	1	0	<b>6</b>
Other	12	0	0	<b>12</b>

15.3.2 Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Gender balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process	Gender balance has been given limited consideration and implementation is in process	Gender balance has been explicitly considered in the process but there are still deficiencies in the implementation	Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>21</b>
Gulf	5	2	4	6
Maghreb	18	2	4	4
Middle East	8	4	6	11
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Maghreb	0	0	0	1
Middle East	0	1	1	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	0	1	0	0
Maghreb	1	1	0	0
Middle East	1	0	0	0

**ARAB STATES**




- Gender balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process
- Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process
- Gender balance has been explicitly considered in the process but there are still deficiencies in the implementation
- Gender balance has been given limited consideration and implementation is in process

15.3.3 Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	No	Yes
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>50</b>
Gulf	4	13
Maghreb	13	15
Middle East	7	22
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
Maghreb	0	1
Middle East	1	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>
Gulf	0	1
Maghreb	0	2
Middle East	0	1



15.3.4 Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire  
Property specific

15.3.5 Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

Number of properties

<b>Region/Site Category/Sub-Region</b>	<b>Human resources</b>	<b>Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/training</b>
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>23</b>
Gulf	8	8
Maghreb	13	5
Middle East	14	10
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
Maghreb	1	0
Middle East	1	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>
Gulf	1	0
Maghreb	1	1
Middle East	1	1


## 15.4 Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Little of the required information was accessible	Not all required information was accessible	Most required information was accessible	All required information was accessible
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>13</b>
Gulf	0	2	10	5
Maghreb	6	3	16	3
Middle East	2	6	16	5
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1	0
Middle East	0	1	1	0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
Gulf	0	0	1	0
Maghreb	0	0	2	0
Middle East	0	0	1	0


### ARAB STATES



15.4.2 Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Average values, numeric values 1 = Very difficult, 2 = Difficult, 3 = Easy, 4 = Very easy

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Ease of use of questionnaire	Clarity of questions
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>2.9</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>2.8</b>
Gulf	3.1	2.7
Maghreb	3.2	3.0
Middle East	3.0	2.7
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.0</b>
Maghreb	3.0	3.0
Middle East	2.5	3.0
<b>Nature</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>2.8</b>
Gulf	4.0	3.0
Maghreb	3.0	2.5
Middle East	3.0	3.0




15.4.3 Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire  
Property specific

## 15.5 Training and Guidance

15.5.1 Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire  
Average values, numeric values 1 = No support, 2 = Poor, 3 = Fair, 4 = Good, value 0 and missing are not included in calculation.

	Culture	Mixed	Nature	Total
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	3.2	3.0	3.8	<b>3.3</b>
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	2.6	2.8	3.7	<b>3.0</b>
UNESCO National Commission	1.7	1.5	1.3	<b>1.5</b>
ICOMOS International	1.8	1.5	0.0	<b>1.7</b>
IUCN International	1.5	1.5	2.3	<b>1.8</b>
ICCROM international/regional	1.8	1.5	2.0	<b>1.8</b>
ICOMOS national/regional	1.6	1.0	0.0	<b>1.3</b>
IUCN national/regional	1.4	1.5	4.0	<b>2.3</b>



15.5.2 Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities


Report on the results of the Third Cycle of Periodic Reporting exercise  
in the Arab States

WHC/21/44.COM/10A, p.358



Average values, numeric values 1 = No support, 2 = Poor, 3 = Fair, 4 = Good, value 0 and missing are not included in calculation.


	<b>Culture</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	<b>Nature</b>	<b>Total</b>
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	3.1	3.0	3.0	<b>3.0</b>
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	3.4	3.8	3.7	<b>3.6</b>
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	2.2	1.5	2.7	<b>2.1</b>
National Commission for UNESCO	1.6	1.0	1.0	<b>1.2</b>
ICOMOS International	1.5	1.5	1.0	<b>1.3</b>
ICCROM International/regional	1.7	1.5	1.5	<b>1.6</b>
ICOMOS national/regional	1.4	1.0	1.0	<b>1.1</b>
IUCN national/regional	1.3	1.0	1.7	<b>1.3</b>
IUCN International	1.5	1.5	1.0	<b>1.3</b>



15.5.3 Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Number of properties

Region/Site Category/Sub-Region	Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)	No	Yes
<b>Arab States</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Culture</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>46</b>
Gulf	4	6	7
Maghreb	6	0	22
Middle East	8	4	17
<b>Mixed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Maghreb	0	0	1
Middle East	1	0	1
<b>Nature</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Gulf	0	0	1
Maghreb	0	0	2
Middle East	1	0	0



15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented? Property specific

**15.6 Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Property specific

**15.7 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

Property specific