UNIT 42

Hand-out 2.b:

Evaluation of the final bridges nomination

Take a look at the copy of the final nomination. It might be submitted in this form for evaluation, where it is more likely to be successful than the initial nomination, but some issues may remain problematic. This hand-out suggests questions for discussion during the evaluation. However, please feel free to raise other issues.

#### Are the problems identified in the initial nomination resolved?

To guide the discussion, address the following questions:

* Is the name of the element now more appropriate?
* Have the communities and groups concerned been correctly identified?
* Has the focus of Section 1 been appropriately shifted to describe the element (as ICH)?
* Have gender considerations now been satisfactorily incorporated in the nomination process?
* Has sufficient information been provided in Section 1 to determine whether the element complies with the definition of ICH in the Convention, or not?
* Has sufficient information been provided for you to decide whether or not the element is viable?
* Have current safeguarding measures been sufficiently described?
* Have the threats been explained in sufficient detail?
* Are appropriate safeguarding measures proposed? Do they address the threats?
* Are the sustainability measures addressing the issue of environmental sustainability?
* Are the safeguarding plans sufficiently detailed?
* Has there been sufficient community participation in the nomination and safeguarding process?

#### Are there any remaining issues and concerns about the nomination?

To guide the discussion, address the following questions:

* Communities and groups: How can it be established whether all the master bridge-builders, stonemasons and apprentices have been identified? How was the process of identifying them carried out?
* Domains: are there any other domains that could be included?
* Threats: Could there be any additional threats to the element, such as threats to the availability of the special tools used in bridge building?
* Are the safeguarding plans sufficiently detailed? What other measures might be considered?