UNIT 42

Hand-out 1.b:

Evaluation of the final Kijimana nomination

Take a look at the copy of the final nomination. It might be submitted in this form for evaluation, where it is more likely to be successful than the initial nomination, but some issues may remain problematic. This hand-out suggests some questions for discussion during the evaluation process. However, please feel free to raise other issues.

#### Are the problems identified in the initial nomination resolved?

To guide the discussion, address the following questions:

* Is the name of the element now a suitable one?
* Has the community concerned now been correctly identified?
* Are the domains for the element now properly identified?
* Is the assessment of viability focusing on the correct ‘communities’?
* Are threats and risks clearly identified?
* Do the safeguarding measures address the threats and risks the element is facing?
* Is there evidence that the appropriate community concerned has been involved in the nomination?
* Does the nomination now show greater respect for customary restrictions on access to the element and intellectual property rights?

#### Are there any remaining issues and concerns about the nomination?

To guide the discussion, address the following questions:

* Has the safeguarding plan adequately identified and addressed the possible threats posed by over-commercialization, ‘de-contextualization’ and tourism?
* Has the issue of human rights been adequately addressed?
* Are the women involved in the practice and transmission of the element adequately represented in the file?
* How can revenues from tourism and bee-keeping best be managed to serve the safeguarding purposes?
* How can cultural festivals best be used to raise awareness?
* How could any negative effects of turning the ritual into a performance outside the Madara be mitigated?